## **BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:** 1 Commissioner Christopher M. Blankenship, Chairman Mr. Rick Oates 3 Mr. William H. Satterfield Mr. Russell Runyan Mr. Horace H. Horn, Jr. Dr. Michael Woods 5 Mr. David Wright Mr. H. E. "Sonny" Cauthen 6 Dr. Patricia G. Sims Mr. Frank "Butch" Ellis, Jr. Dr. Lori R. Tolley-Jordan Mr. Charles E. Ball 8 Dr. Jason E. Bond 9 10 11 12 1.3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Welcome to the 14 Forever Wild Board Meeting. We'll start out with a roll call. So if you'll just 15 16 indicate when I call your name. Charles Ball? 17 MR. BALL: Here. 18 19 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Chris Blankenship, 20 here. Butch Ellis? 21 MR. ELLIS: Here. 2.2 23 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Horace Horn?

MR. HORN: Here. 1 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Rick Oates? 3 MR. OATES: Here. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: William 4 Satterfield? 5 6 MR. SATTERFIELD: Here. 7 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Patricia Sims? DR. SIMS: Here. 8 9 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Lori Tolley-Jordan? 10 11 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Here. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Michael Woods? 12 1.3 DR. WOODS: Here. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: David Wright? 14 MR. WRIGHT: 15 Here. 16 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Jason Bond? DR. BOND: Here. 17 Sonny Cauthen? COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 18 19 MR. CAUTHEN: Here. 20 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Russ Runyan? 21 MR. RUNYAN: Here. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Warren 2.2 Strickland? 23

1 (No response.) COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Not present. And Dr. John Valentine? 3 (No response.) 4 5 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Not present. 6 So we have 13 members. We have a 7 quorum. At this time I would like to 8 9 recognize Alex Dudchock, the Shelby County manager. They have provided the 10 11 refreshments today, and I think he wanted to have just a brief word of 12 1.3 information about the county. 14 MR. DUDCHOCK: Thank you. Well, welcome to Shelby County. We're excited that 15 16 you're having your board meeting here, and we actually would like to have you 17 come back in the near future when we're 18 a little further along on a project that 19 you had a significant role in. 20 This entity several years ago made a 21 commitment on purchasing property 2.2

adjacent to county property on the

Cahaba River, 1212 acres. I have a couple of examples in front of you, some pictures.

The first two pages have actually current aerial shots that were just taken within the last couple of weeks. You will see the Cahaba River bend on the right and a natural landing. area was not cleared. That's always been a little beach area there. There was a crossing there, a low-water crossing, a water ford that people on County Road 251 could get from Montevallo to the backside of Helena and Hoover. So you can imagine that closeness to 459 and the population of Hoover, Helena, and Shelby County, and then you think in terms of rural, Pea Ridge, Boothton, and Montevallo. But that -- this gives you an aerial.

The furthest sight line you see
there is part of your land, Forever Wild
land. The caretaker's house on the left

2.2

23

has been built on county property,
naturally, and it will be one of our
means and methods to ensure that it's
properly policed and all of the
passive-active activities on county
property and on Forever Wild property
are handled appropriately. We will have
a physical presence down there.

That area is still so rural that we need to make sure there is a way for emergency access and contact. So immediately on the bottom left corner we will be putting an emergency call station in for Wifi Hotspot and for direct dialing, if need be, for anybody who may be at one of our trailheads and they have no cell coverage, no cell service.

Now, when you turn the page, you will see a trailhead where we will have -- this is also only on county park property, not Forever Wild. But the parking for this will give access to

Forever Wild. But the improvements are
done on the county property where the
trailhead is and where there will be a
canoe launch and that infrastructure off

of the water.

The last two gives you ideas of some of the amenities that the public will be able to access now -- and that is by way of direct bank and canoe -- to Cahaba Lily outcroppings. Wonderful area. You have purchased Cahaba River water frontage, and the county has land on both the north side and south side.

The last picture was what was done last year for the county road access on the north side. When I say north side, if you were getting off of 459 right next to Hoover and you were trying to get to Cahaba River Park, you're only 15 miles away, believe it or not.

Now, the access to that is winding, and we needed to do some county road improvements because our county road was

HAISLIP, RAGAN, GREEN, STARKIE & WATSON, P.C. (334) 263-4455

deficient. Unfortunately, we had a major Colonial Pipeline accident occur that was a crossing on this road. Colonial Pipeline crews were doing work there, and you've all read about that. And that has slowed down our project some because of what they're doing to not only repair their pipeline but what still needs to be done on the road. we're not as far along as we would like to be on the project, but we're working and making progress on that.

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

Those are just an example. appreciate you. We thank you. We know what you're doing statewide. If we can ever help you in Shelby County -- I've got over 27 years in service with the county. So we believe in your mission. We have a role for conservation and for the passive-active areas as well, and we're balancing those demands. God bless you. Have a good day.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Alex.

You're a good motivational speaker to 1 get us started off. Do you have time for a question? 3 MR. CAUTHEN: MR. DUDCHOCK: Oh, yes, sir. 4 Chris? 5 MR. CAUTHEN: 6 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Cauthen. 7 MR. CAUTHEN: You don't mind, do you? COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: No. That's fine, 8 9 sir. MR. CAUTHEN: Did Colonial Pipeline help you 10 11 with your road? MR. DUDCHOCK: They will be helping me with 12 1.3 the road because on one we had to have 14 some special accesses because we wind on their area. And we didn't have an issue 15 16 with that. But they will be participating significantly as a result 17 of some of the recent actions. 18 MR. CAUTHEN: It looks like they'd have to do 19 some work just to get down there to fix 20 the pipe. 21 MR. DUDCHOCK: Well, we already had a county 2.2 23 road there. So they had access to their

Great question. 1 place. MR. CAUTHEN: Okay. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir. 3 At this time I would like to 4 recognize two new members of our staff 5 6 at the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources that will be working 7 with the board from time to time. 8 9 We have a new Deputy Commissioner, Ed Poolos. Ed comes to work with us 10 11 from the Alabama Department of 12 Environmental Management after close to 1.3 25 years of service with them. 14 And then we have a new general counsel. It's Mr. Patrick Moody. And 15 16 Patrick comes to work with the Department of Conservation from the 17 Department of Ag and Industries. 18 Patrick was the general counsel over at 19 20 Ag and Industries. And so we have two -- those two new 21 staff members to kind of round out our 2.2 23 already really good team at the

Department. So I wanted to make sure that you had a chance to meet them as you'll be hearing from them or talking to them from time to time.

Thank you again for your

participation in the meeting. It's good

to have almost a full house here today.

And as we finish up our opening remarks,

I do think it's important for us to

recognize the contributions of former

Commissioner Jim Martin to the Forever

Wild program. And at this time I think

Mr. Satterfield has something he would

like to say.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner.

As most of the people in this room know, ten days ago we lost one of Alabama's most distinguished citizens, former Alabama Congressman and former DCNR Commissioner Jim Martin, who passed away at the lofty age of 99 years old. And Jim was still in really pretty good health right up to the end, happily,

before he passed away.

A number of us here -- several of us here on the board had the pleasure and the distinction to be able to work with Commissioner Martin when he instigated the Forever Wild program. We had the -several of us had the opportunity to work with him on the committee drafting and then subsequently helping get the legislation passed to establish this program. And it was truly his brainchild. He was the godfather of the Forever Wild program. And if it had not been for Commissioner Martin, probably none of us would have been -- would be in this room today.

So I communicated with Commissioner Blankenship, and he has assisted in preparing us a resolution to look at to honor Mr. Martin. And if it's all right, Mr. Blankenship, I'll read this into the record.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Please.

23

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

Is there any way just to put it 1 MR. CAUTHEN: into the record without reading it? MR. SATTERFIELD: I didn't hear the question. 3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: We could do that, 4 5 but I think it's appropriate to read 6 this. It won't take but just a second. 7 I think it's appropriate to read that into the record. 8 9 MR. SATTERFIELD: Whereas, the Board of Trustees of the Forever Wild Land Trust, 10 11 the "Board," and the broader 12 conservation community lost a valued

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

Whereas, Jim Martin served as the Commissioner of the Alabama Department of Conservation and Natural Resources twice, appointed by Governor Guy Hunt in 1987 and Governor Fob James in 1995;

friend and leader on October 30, 2017,

with the death of James D. Martin;

Whereas, Jim Martin successfully articulated the concept of developing a state land acquisition program promoting both public recreation and habitat

conservation and further had the vision of using the name "Forever Wild" for such a program;

Whereas, he was instrumental as to formation of the 26-member committee composed of competing interests that worked tirelessly to reach consensus on common goals of the Forever Wild program that we enjoy today and that future generations will enjoy forever more;

Whereas, Jim Martin also served as Chairman of the Forever Wild Board of Trustees and remained a faithful advocate for the program throughout his lifetime;

Whereas, he also encouraged environmental education with the introduction of Project WILD curriculum to Alabama;

Whereas, Jim Martin's sense of humor, kindness, energy, tenacity, and graciousness enriched those fortunate enough to know and work with him;

Now, therefore, be it resolved that 1 the Forever Wild Land Trust Board of Trustees, with great admiration and 3 appreciation, hereby recognizes the 4 significant contributions of Mr. Martin 5 6 to the State of Alabama over the course of his distinguished career and mourns his death and further extends its 8 9 sincerest condolences to his family and all friends of the conservation 10 11 community. While he will be greatly missed, he leaves behind a living legacy 12 1.3 ensuring future generations will share and experience his passion for 14 conservation and outdoor recreation by 15 enjoying special places that will remain 16 Forever Wild. 17 Mr. Chairman, I move for the 18 adoption of this resolution. 19 MR. CAUTHEN: I second it. 20 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor 21 say "aye." 2.2 (All board members present respond 23

"ave.") 1 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? (No response.) 3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you very 4 much, Mr. Satterfield. 5 At this time we'll move into the 6 7 I'll call your public comment period. name, and I'll try and mention for the 8 9 board what property they are speaking in favor of or speaking on so that you can 10 11 find that in the book. I'll call the name of the speaker, and then I'll also 12 1.3 call the name of the next speaker so you 14 can be prepared to come up. The first speaker on the Tannehill 15 16 State Park-Ayers Addition is John Morrison. 17 I'm sorry. I apologize to everyone. 18 If you'll come to the microphone, it 19 2.0 makes it much easier for the court reporter and for the crowd to hear. 21 And the next speaker will be Tim 22 23 Ayers.

MR. MORRISON: Thank you very much. Good

morning. I am John Morrison. I'm the

new director of Tannehill State Park.

It is an absolute privilege to be able

to address you this morning. Thank you

for the opportunity.

I'm speaking with you this morning in regard to the Ayers property as well as the Gilmore tract. And with me here today is Jennifer Watts, our museum director, and Jonathan Duke for the Gilmore tract and Mr. Ayers for the Ayers tract.

Tannehill State Park is a historic state park administered by the Alabama Historic Ironworks Commission, and I am appearing before you this morning in my official capacity as an employee of the great State of Alabama to implore you to please make these additions to our park.

Tannehill has been around since about 1969. It was originally founded by Senator Gilmore, whose heirs have a

1.3

piece of property in consideration. And we are centrally located about 32 miles from the University of Alabama campus and 26 miles from downtown Birmingham.

We're two-and-a-half miles off of Exit 100 on the 459 corridor in the greater Birmingham metropolitan area which has about a million people.

We're in a very, very historic and biologically diverse area. These tracts are in the Cahaba watershed. One of them has the Cahaba Lily. The other one has Ginseng Hollow, which is a really unique area. And both properties are of enormous historic significance in that they are contiguous to the heart and soul of the Alabama iron and steel industry, which is where iron and steel was started in Alabama prior to the foundation of Birmingham.

So we have great user groups at Tannehill. We have mountain bikers, horseback riders, hikers, school

children. We have the Cane Creek School where we have the "Creek Kids" program that goes on. Birmingham Urban Mountain Bike Pedalers has 12 miles of world-class mountain-bike trails out at Tannehill. We would welcome y'all to come ride and hike.

And we have a beautiful event center where we would love to have y'all have one of your functions. You are welcome there anytime. It's approximately as big as this. We would love to host you there, show you the park.

We are what is referred to in the state as a checkbook agency, which means that we are essentially self-funded. We have just submitted to the state budget, but due to austerity in the past, we've had some funding cutbacks. So historically over the course of the last decade we've been largely self-funded. We do receive some state funds. We are a state agency. We're trying to get

more appropriations from the legislature for the future.

4 5

3

6

8

9 10

11

12 1.3

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

But currently we have the staff. We have rangers. We have all of the equipment necessary to access these properties. We have our own D3 bulldozer where we can improve part of the access internally for a parking area where we'll be able to have our user groups access the property.

Both of these properties share a common boundary with Tannehill. Gilmore property has over a mile -- it's approximately 8,000 feet -- on our southeastern border. The Ayers is on the other side of the park, and it immediately joins our maintenance shed area where we could literally open the gate and go right out the back gate. And there's an internal road structure and trail structure already there. All we have to do is bush-hog it, box-blade it. Our user groups could use it.

2.2

That area is booming. Mercedes is putting in a billion-dollar plant there with 600 new jobs. There's lots of growth. And so it's really important that we preserve this property. It will be part of our legacy for our children and our grandchildren. And I'm really passionate about this. I hope that you will allow us the opportunity to preserve this for future generations. Thank you very much for the opportunity.

MS. POWELL: Commissioner, I'm sorry. I want to take a second. We're having trouble hearing in the back. Give us just a second to see if we can turn up the sound. It might just be necessary -- there are some additional seats a little more toward the front. For those who may be having trouble hearing, you may want to move up, but we're going to see what we can do. Give us just a second.

Okay. I think we've got everything up as high as it can go. So I just

encourage the board members as you make comments, please do make them into the mic, and the speakers the same. And then I apologize. Anybody that can't hear will probably just have to move forward. I do apologize.

1.3

2.2

And while I'm up here, for the board, the tracts that we're calling out, you can either find them listed in Tab 3-A as appraised nominations or Tab 4-A, which is the short-list nominations. Speakers may be speaking on some other topics, but we will try to help you. When you hear Commissioner call the tract name, if it is currently available for board action, you'll either see it in Tab 3-A or Tab 4-A.

Thank you, Commissioner. Sorry for the interruption.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: The next speaker on the Tannehill-Ayers Addition is Tim

Ayers. The next speaker after that will be Jake Blackwell.

MR. AYERS: Good morning. 1 Thank you, everyone, for allowing us the opportunity to be here this morning. 3 name is Tim Ayers. My family owns one 5 of the properties that Mr. Morrison 6 referenced. The property has been in 7 our family for 38 years. Ironically, on Tuesday marked the 38th anniversary 8 9 since the property --

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, can I interrupt? Can we specifically identify exactly which property we're talking about? Because we've got two or three Tannehill properties in various states of flux.
- MR. AYERS: This is the Tannehill-Ayers

  Addition. I'll give you a minute.
- MS. POWELL: The Tannehill-Ayers Addition is on Tab 3-A. You'll find the map behind 3-A.
  - Oh, I'm sorry. I do apologize. Jo corrected me. This one, because an offer had been made, is actually seen on

Tab 2-A. So you're not going to have in 1 your packet a map. You have what the first speaker brought forward. It's the 3 same tract. 4 MR. SATTERFIELD: Because we did have -- we 5 had one on 3-A called the Tannehill-Mud 6 Creek Addition, but that's not this one? 7 MR. AYERS: That is not this one. 8 9 MS. POWELL: That was my error on -- if you will look on 2-A, Tannehill-Ayers. 10 11 listed here because an offer had been 12 made. There were subsequent questions. 1.3 So that's -- it has moved all the way to 14 the front of your packet on 2-A. MR. SATTERFIELD: I was just trying to be sure 15 16 I was homing in on the right one. I don't have that to share. I do 17 MR. AYERS: have a map of the property I could pass 18 19 if you wanted to pass it around and look at it, if that would be helpful. 20 That's okay. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 21 ahead with your comments, sir. 2.2 23 MR. AYERS: Okay. What I wanted to point out

is, you know, what Mr. Morrison had to
say about the park. You know, the whole
reason we nominated the property to
Forever Wild is because we believe in
this program. In January it will be
five years since we did that, just to

this process is taking.

kind of give you an idea of how long

What Ms. Powell alluded to is we did receive an offer. The original offer was accepted. But then when the second appraisal came back, it came back at a significantly lower amount that the numbers are so far apart that we're not sure that it makes financial sense.

As I expressed at the last board meeting for those of you that were there, the frustration on behalf of the landowners is for us there's no transparency in this process. The appraisals we're never able to see.

We're never able to see the comps.

I hold in my possession an appraisal

2

4

3

5

6

7

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

that we had done on the property. Now, granted, it's old, but I'm going to explain this momentarily. But the Forever Wild appraisal is 50 percent of what our appraisal is.

Now, the appraisal I have is from 2002. Before you say, well, that's 15 years old, I want to outline a couple of points. Just bear with me.

What has not changed: Okay. The property location, 360 acres of property that are all in Jefferson County, the most populous county in the state with almost 700,000 residents, the county that has the highest property taxes in the state. It borders Tannehill Ironworks Historical State Park. The eastern border of our property is Red Mountain. We are from our property to downtown Birmingham, the Jefferson County Courthouse, 26 miles, 16 miles to the Mercedes plant, 33 miles to Bryant-Denny Stadium at the University

of Alabama, four miles to Exit 100 of I-20/59, five miles to Exhibit 1 of I-459.

The location of our property in the southwest corner of Jefferson County puts us within just a few miles of Shelby, Jefferson, and Bibb County. And when you factor all those population bases together, you've got over a million residents just in this area that would be the potential users for this property.

The natural beauty and the undisturbed nature of our land, it is truly a Forever Wild property. It's 360 acres of rolling ridges and valleys. We have two lakes on the property that are professionally stocked with bass and bream, various oak and hickory species and a few assorted pine that during this time frame since this other appraisal was done have not been timbered. There's been no pine beetle infestations

or fires or tornadoes or any other disasters that would have in any way lowered the value of this property.

The historical significance:

There's trails through our property that were actually used by -- during the Civil War in the burning of Tannehill, the Furnace. As a child growing up, you know, we used to dig up old Indian arrowheads all the time on the property. There's such neat archeological history there.

But as John alluded to, the existing infrastructure of roads and trails within our property make it able to be used immediately. If this acquisition were to take place, all we have to do is open a gate and you could ride horses. You could hike. You could bike. You could do whatever you want on this property tomorrow.

What has changed since 2002 to now: The cost of living. I know the car I

drove down in, the gas I pumped to put in it, the clothes I'm wearing, everything else today compared to 2017 have gone up in value, not down.

Interestingly enough, our property taxes have incrementally increased every year. So we find it hard to imagine that values can go down when our property taxes have continued to go up.

As far as just some statistics on the economy, in 2002 on November 8th, the close of the stock market was 8,537 points. Yesterday's close was 23,563. So, again, I see the economy, in spite of the recession, in our nation went — things are on the upswing, not going down.

But as far as growth in the specific area, the things that have gone on specifically close to our property: In 2010, 553 acres were acquired by the Forever Wild program that borders our property, which is the Tannehill Land &

Ridges Tract. We also share a common 1 boundary with the 40-acre Camp Jack Wright, which is the Boy Scouts of 3 America property. There's been numerous 4 residential housing developments in the 5 area. A new school was constructed in 6 7 2010, a 425,000 square-foot shopping center four miles down the road in 2008. 8 Norfolk Southern built a 9 97-and-a-half-million-dollar facility in 10 11 2012 for their intermodal railroad terminal. 12

The Jefferson County Metropolitan

Industrial Park, which is two miles from our property, has opened Home Depot and Publix distribution centers. Dollar General opened a million-square-foot distribution center.

You've got multiple Mercedes
suppliers, including the Mercedes Benz
plant, as I alluded to, 16 miles away
that's currently undergoing a
billion-dollar expansion that when all

21 22

23

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

completed will make over \$6 billion that have been invested in that plant.

All these are jobs that are in that area, people that live in this area.

And so its property value is not going to go down. And we're trying to preserve it so that it can stay in the state that it is and not turn into a subdivision development.

Mr. Jim Bennett, before he passed away, he served on the Tannehill Park Commission, and he was very passionate about seeing this because he was always concerned that with our property it was inevitable that somebody is going to come in and want to develop it. And the only way to prevent that from happening is a program like Forever Wild.

But where we are with these numbers, our question is the second appraisal that was done came back so much lower that we're asking, you know, can we as the landowners get an appraisal of our

1.3

own and have it either confirm that or contradict that, and if it does, can this board even take that into consideration, or are you statutorily locked into what you've previously done? And if so, then we have no other alternative but to turn around and walk away and do something different. We don't want to do that, but that's kind of -- we're painted in that corner.

And so we're just asking on behalf of the board, you know, where we are in this process and what leverage or what is there that we can do to try to, you know, work something out on this.

Because, again, this has been a five-year journey for us to get to where we stand here today. We've driven the ball 99 yards to the goal line. We don't want to fumble before we cross the goal line.

So with that being said, you know, as the landowners, we're going to be

fine either way, whether we hold on to 1 the property, whether we sell the property to Forever Wild, whether we 3 sell it to a developer. We'll be fine either way. Our opinion is who would be 5 6 the loser would be Tannehill Park, and the citizens of our state would miss out 7 on an opportunity to be able to have the 8 9 educational and recreational opportunities that this property would 10 11 afford. So that's all I've got, but I'll be 12 13 glad to entertain or answer any 14 questions. And, again, like I said, I do have a map of the property for anyone 15 16 that is interested in seeing it. MR. WRIGHT: Can I ask you a question, please? 17 Is this -- look right there. 18 this south of (inaudible) --19 (Brief interruption by the court 20 reporter.) 21 MR. CAUTHEN: Nobody can hear. 2.2 23 MR. WRIGHT: Okay. I'm just wanting to find

where it is on this map.

1.3

2.2

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright was just asking were the south additions to Tannehill what we were discussing, and I think the answer to that was no.

Ms. Powell, do you have a comment?

Ms. POWELL: I was going to -- first of all,

we do have a new board member. So I'm

going to take one brief second to back

up on the process because it probably

benefits all of the board members

because we don't always end up talking

about this.

Using this tract as an example, we get our first appraisal. We then do negotiate with the owner at that point to avoid, if we can, the scenario of them paying for a second appraisal,

Phase I environmental reports, due diligence, and the expense of that unless we think we have the likelihood of a tract being closed.

So we then proceed with our second

appraisal. If the second appraisal comes back in within ten percent of the first appraisal, we move on to closing.

You don't really hear any more about it.

In this case the second appraisal, in addition to being lower, was outside that ten percent. So we then had to get a third appraiser to do a reconciling appraisal. This is a normal process. It happens from time to time. And that reconciling appraisal came in at a value that still did not support the first appraisal at the higher value. The offer that's being discussed now was the highest we could offer under the appraisal structure there.

What we can do at this point and would be my suggestion -- I think just before the meeting Mr. Ayers mentioned to me a tract that is adjacent that had sold that might be a comp. I would love that information. We also could take the copy of your 2002 appraisal and take

all of that back to these appraisers.

Anytime we have someone bring us additional information that they think may call into question an appraised value, we take all of that back to the appraiser and ask if -- you know, what their input is, if that would have changed. Here we've got three different appraisals at different values, but, again, they were all lower.

But we'd be more than happy to take all that back if you would not mind giving us that information or any other comps that you might have in the area that you think may have been missed. By regulation, the appraisals that are conducted on behalf of the program are confidential until the tract closes. At that point they're a public document.

But that's kind of where we are now. So my greatest suggestion would be to give us that information. If you were to do another appraisal, it would need

1.3

to be an MAI appraisal to be comparable to the ones that we have. At that point that information also could certainly be shared with the board, could be taken to our other appraisers, too. We would then have to figure out, you know, what to do from there. But those are the options or suggestions that I would have that would be consistent with past process.

The board is not, as you mentioned, statutorily prevented from considering anything that you bring before them. We just have a -- this program's law and an Alabama Constitution -- constitutional provision of not paying more than fair market value. And under this program that three-appraisal process is what was dictated as to how we reach appraised value.

But would that be okay to give us your old appraisal and the new comp you mentioned to me this morning and we'll

be sure they've been considered by the appraisers? Would that be a possible next step?

MR. AYERS: Sure. But, you know, the last thing I would point out, as I kind of alluded to earlier, is just, again, from our perspective, we'll gladly share any information that will help, but at the same time it's not unilateral. We can't get your information. So we don't know what you're using as comps.

And I could probably -- if you showed me the comps, we could probably -- our people could probably look at it and quickly say, you know, okay, either, yeah, that's good or, no, that's -- you know, you could be using reclaimed strip mine. If we're strictly looking at acreage tracts, you know, you can get strip mine land real close to that, you know. They may be comparable sizewise, but they're not the same types of property. So we would just like to

see those things if that's possible.

1.3

2.2

MS. POWELL: Maybe I'll make this suggestion.

We will ask for -- and not as we sit

here -- but ask for our Legal section to

look at the regulation and if we're able

to provide the comps from the three

different appraisers that appraised it

and, if so, provide that to you to at

least know what we used as comps.

But I'm just saying let's put
that -- if everybody is okay with that,
put that to our Legal section to compare
the regulation with that request. And
if the board is all right with that and
Legal thinks that is fine after they've
had a chance to review that, we will
then take each of the three appraisals
and the comps in there and provide them
to you, Mr. Ayers. Would that be --

MR. AYERS: When you say three appraisals, what is the third you're referring to?

MS. POWELL: The reconciling appraisal.

MS. POWELL: The reconciling appraisal.

MR. AYERS: But is that an actual appraisal or

that's -- I understood that to be they just took the first two and looked at those.

MS. POWELL: They do. But it is an appraiser's opinion of those comps.

So let me just say we will -everything that the appraisers had
before them to consider as a comp we
will get to you if that's acceptable --

MR. AYERS: Can I make one final comment?

As far as the reconciliation process, this is also a thing that has caused heartburn from the standpoint of property as unique as this and property as unique as any Forever Wild tract -- this reconciliation process was done by someone that never stepped foot on the property or laid eyes on the property. Our question is how do you take this packet of information and this packet and determine the value without actually seeing the property.

You spend so much time scoring these

1.3

2.2

properties and going out and looking at 1 them. How do you -- why do you need to do that if you can just -- you know, in 3 other words, you could get Google Maps 4 5 and score properties, right, and never 6 leave your office. That -- I'm just explaining where 7 we're coming from. So hopefully I'm not 8 9 being too stubborn. MS. POWELL: No, no. I'm just trying to 10 11 identify next steps. 12 Would that be satisfactory both to 1.3 the board and to you for Legal to look 14 at providing any comps that we have to 15 you? 16 MR. AYERS: Absolutely. MS. POWELL: And then we could get any comps 17 that you -- after that you would be 18 19 willing to give us any comps and a copy of your appraisal at that point? 20 MR. AYERS: Absolutely. 21

MS. POWELL: Okay. We'll proceed that way if

that's -- unless I hear an objection

2.2

from the board. 1 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Hearing no objection, thank you. 3 MS. POWELL: Thank you. 4 5 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright. 6 MR. WRIGHT: Sir, do you have the maps there? 7 Could I see one, please? MR. AYERS: Let me explain to you real quick 8 9 what this is. Okay. Hang on. I'm sorry to 10 MS. POWELL: 11 interrupt again, but let me -- would you maybe share -- the court reporter is 12 1.3 struggling to hear. 14 MR. AYERS: Sorry. Okay. What you're looking at here 15 16 is a large map of our property. What you see -- this is Tannehill State Park. 17 This is the maintenance building 18 Mr. Morrison referred to. It's hard to 19 tell, but there's a system of roads that 20 runs through here. There's a road that 21

runs right here, and there's a gate

right here. All you've got to do is

2.2

open that gate and you're right here accessing these lakes and all of this property.

To understand where this is in

1.3

relation to the existing Forever Wild tract, this is Tannehill. This is the Camp Jack Wright 40-acre Boy Scout tract that we share the border with.

Everything in this pink is the existing Forever Wild tract that was acquired in 2010 and I want to add at a higher price than what the offer is for our property in 2017. So that's another thing that we've kind of struggled with is why values in 2017 are lower than 2010 during the recession.

So we share all of this border with existing Forever Wild, all this with Tannehill and with the Boy Scouts.

- MR. WRIGHT: And what is this?
- 21 MR. AYERS: This is our property.
- 22 MR. WRIGHT: This is what this is?
- 23 MR. AYERS: Yes. This is just the bigger

version of what you see there. 1 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, sir. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 3 Thank you, 4 Mr. Ayers. 5 The next speaker will be --6 MR. RUNYAN: Before we get -- I think I do 7 have a question. Mr. Runyan. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 8 MR. RUNYAN: If we're going to share comps on 9 appraisals, is this going to be opening 10 11 the door for us to do this on every site from here on? 12 1.3 MS. POWELL: That would be your -- if we're 14 able to do it. Again, Legal would have to look at that. But, sure, if you have 15 16 a request, you would have to make that decision each time. You wouldn't have 17 to do it, but you would have to make the 18 decision such as we have discussed 19 today. 20 But we're not -- I'm not -- first 21 we'll need to see if Legal can do it. 2.2 23 But if you have any concern about us

doing that if Legal finds we can, then
this would be the time to mention it.

1.3

2.2

MR. RUNYAN: I guess just from my standpoint, if we're having an appraisal done, you know, we're paying an appraiser. We're paying a professional to do this for us. And if I start looking just for specific comps -- I mean, you know, I think a professional appraiser is going to be looking at everything, not just one particular site. And I just -- I don't know that we need to go to where we're offering appraisals -- those comp prices to every potential client from here on.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any other ...

DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I just wanted to state
that if it does go through for this
particular instance, is it something
that we could revisit after this
particular instance in the future to
decide if this is a one-time thing or if
it's something that has to be considered
each time?

MS. POWELL: You know, and I could be corrected by Legal, but I don't think so. I think it is your choice as to whether to do it this time and/or whether to do it again in the future.

It's just not something that -- a road we've gone down in the past, assuming that we get the "okay" to do it. It may be that you get the request from everybody else in the future, but it would be your choice whether to do it or not in any particular circumstance.

2.2

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I would be comfortable in proceeding on this exceptional-case basis itself as a one-time -- as a one-time opportunity to see what results from this. I think the board and the staff also have an opportunity to learn from this process.

Because the way it's set up now, we're sort of in a do-loop here. We're in a glitch where we can't get out of the process that's been established, and the

2.2

process that's been established may have some more flexibility in it than the way it's being administered. And if that works to the benefit of both the true arm's length negotiation process with the landowners as well as preserving Forever Wild's intent to acquire special properties, I think it's worthwhile to have this on an experimental basis to see how it works.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any other ...

MR. ELLIS: Patti, of course, when an appraiser does a comparable sale, they make an adjustment to that comparable sale to the subject property. Each one of those comparables will be adjusted. If all we gave was the comparable sales, I don't see any problem with the comparable sale. The adjustment -- it's hard to take one adjustment and not take them all, but I assume those could be separated as you dissect the appraisal.

MS. POWELL: Yes. And, again, I'm not sure

exactly what pieces of information we 1 could give. But it would at least reveal to the owners if they feel that 3 the appraisers missed an obvious or 4 5 necessary tract. And that's really, I 6 quess, the starting point I would be at. Because at that point if they did, they 7 could bring back to us, well, I can't 8 9 believe this tract wasn't used. appraiser may have looked at it and not 10 11 used it for a reason, but at least they 12 would know we're looking at or not 1.3 looking at the same tracts and it is at 14 that point a valuation difference 15 between the appraisers, their appraiser, 16 whatever. But that's -- you are correct. But I think we can -- the 17 first step to me would be to identify 18 what was looked at for the owners. 19 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: I quess I would 20 suggest as a board that we move forward 21 with the public comment, and we can 2.2 23 always come back and revisit this during general discussion, I mean, if that suits everyone.

2.2

Hearing no objection, I'll recognize
Mr. Jake Blackwell to discuss the
Skyline WMA-Crow Creek Valley property.
Next is Chandlar Graham.

Go ahead, Jake.

- MR. BLACKWELL: Thank you. Jake Blackwell
  here speaking on behalf of a property we
  have talked about several times before.

  I just wanted to briefly revisit the
  last couple of meetings and get a point
  of clarification regarding our last
  meeting.
- MS. POWELL: I don't want to interrupt, but

  maybe it would help the board -- because

  I see some flipping. I don't have on my

  glasses. But I believe you'll find a

  memo on 5-E that is a shortcut to the

  map. So Tab 5-E.
- MR. BLACKWELL: The meeting before last there was great interest in this property, obviously, because it ties into the

existing Skyline WMA property but probably, more importantly, because of the bottomland farmland down in the valley, you know, about 380 acres' worth, that has a lot of hunting potential. It's a unique property that is not currently in the existing Skyline WMA that will allow food plots, dove shoots, duck hunting and the like.

The discussion then was the Forever Wild Board didn't want to get into the purchase of ag land, which I understand. And they brought in Chuck Sykes to see if his group would be willing to partner and purchase that part and manage the farm leases. And he came back last meeting and said they would.

They went out and looked at the property. It's set up greatly for what they're trying to do. You know, they're doing these special-opportunity hunts now. It fits into that program nicely. He said they would be willing to do a

joint purchase.

1.3

2.2

Later in that meeting a motion was brought up to go ahead and purchase the property, but then it fell apart when discussion was brought up about how the Forever Wild Board didn't want to get into the purchase of ag property.

Now, when I read between the lines,
I think it was because maybe the motion
was for Forever Wild to buy it all, the
timber and the farm, and not a motion to
do a joint purchase, if I understood
correctly. But my question for the
board was, does the board have an
issue -- does that -- we don't want to
buy ag property, does that extend to a
joint purchase with Wildlife if they
were buying the ag property and Forever
Wild was only purchasing the timber
part?

MS. POWELL: Y'all are welcome to discuss it now, or it is, you know, under miscellaneous reports, sort of an old

business matter that would come back.

- MR. BLACKWELL: Okay.
- MS. POWELL: But any motions that would be made would need to be made at that part of the meeting. But if you have any new or additional information, go ahead and state that.
- MR. BLACKWELL: I was just perplexed. I

  didn't know if it was dead in the water

  because it was brought up and fell apart

  and that we should just move on. I

  guess what -- I would like to end just

  by saying, I would hope that the board

  would consider a motion at this meeting

  to move forward with a joint purchase

  allowing Wildlife to purchase the ag

  land that Forever Wild didn't want to

  get into and the Forever Wild Board

  purchasing the timber so that we can add

  that to Skyline but also have the

  duck-hunting potential which is so

  desired here in the state.

Any questions?

1.3

2.2

1 (No response.) COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Mr. Blackwell. 3 MR. BLACKWELL: No problem. 4 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Chandlar Graham on 5 6 the Rum Creek Tract. Mr. Steve Northcutt will be next. 7 Mr. Graham. 8 MR. GRAHAM: Good morning. My name is 9 Chandlar Graham. I'm with Larsen & 10 11 McGowin Properties. We're representing the landowner here for the Rum Creek 12 1.3 Tract, which is roughly 3975 acres in 14 Dallas County. I believe you have a handout there with -- just have a few 15 16 maps and some other miscellaneous information about the tract. I'm really 17 here today to answer any questions y'all 18 19 might have about this property. MS. POWELL: I'm sorry to interrupt again, but 20 I see some flipping. This is a tract 21 that's on the short list, Tab 4-A. 2.2 23 MR. GRAHAM: So this tract has approximately

on the Alabama River. It's a timberland tract, various stages of reforestation, high-quality habitat. I've been on the tract with Game and Fish, with Doug Deaton and Chuck Sykes. And, you know, I think it's -- it's a good fit for the program and what y'all are trying to do.

I do have a report here. University of South Alabama did an archeological study on this tract two years ago, and I included -- it's a hundred-page report, which I included just a short excerpt into that package, that kind of explains the significance of the site.

But it's one of the most significant
Native American sites on the Alabama
River system and it's located on this
property. I have -- you know, I'd be
happy to share this full report with
y'all. If you have interest, you can
just reach out to me. I can send it to
you via email or some other way.

1 But, anyway, I'm just here. have questions, I'd be happy to answer them. 3 MR. SATTERFIELD: Commissioner? 4 5 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir, 6 Mr. Satterfield. MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Graham, I notice on the 7 map that we have in our book here 8 9 provided by the staff that in the southern portion of this proposed 10 11 property there's an inholding. 12 MR. GRAHAM: Yes, sir. 1.3 MR. SATTERFIELD: Can you tell us what that inholding is and who owns it, how it 14 would impact the operation of the 15 16 property if Forever Wild proceeded? MR. GRAHAM: Yes, sir. It's 40 acres that's 17 out -- it really doesn't affect the 18 19 property as it operates. They do have an access to the southeast corner of 20 that out piece. 21 But it consists of two ownerships. 2.2 23 It's a 20-acre -- two 20-acre

ownerships. And the southern portion is 1 owned by a local gentleman who -- you know, we've had discussions with him. 3 He would -- he would sell it to us or to our client if he -- when he decides to. 5 6 But the northern portion of that is one of these where there's probably 25 to 30 7 heirs, and so the title on it is, you 8 9 know, a rabbit hole. And so he -- basically the local 10

And so he -- basically the local gentleman, he leases that 20 acres, so he controls the whole 40. But it would not really impact the way this tract functions.

- MR. SATTERFIELD: And is he leasing that for hunting purposes?
- MR. GRAHAM: Yes, sir. He just hunts on it.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: Does he use it himself, or is it a hunting club?
- MR. GRAHAM: It's just him himself -- him and his son. And, you know, it's been in existence this way for years, and it's never been an issue. It's a good

23

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

relationship with the gentleman. 1 lives nearby. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Cauthen. 3 MR. CAUTHEN: He hunts on 40 acres? 4 5 MR. GRAHAM: Yes, sir. 6 MR. CAUTHEN: That must be unique. 7 MR. GRAHAM: I think he's got several small tracts scattered around there. 8 9 MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner, I would like to ask --10 11 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright. MR. WRIGHT: I'm from Dallas County. 12 1.3 MR. GRAHAM: Yes, sir. This is not the whole tract? 14 MR. WRIGHT: Ι 15 mean, is there some acreage cut out of 16 there? Well, originally, you know, this 17 MR. GRAHAM: was a former Hammermill tract. It was a 18 19 total of 14,000 acres. It's been divided over the years. This particular 20 portion was originally 5,000 acres -- a 21 little over 5,000. They sold off a 2.2 23 portion on the south end a few years

1	ago. And so what's left now is this
2	3700.
3	MR. WRIGHT: So there's about 1200
4	MR. GRAHAM: Yes, sir. There's 1200 less that
5	would carry this piece to the county
6	road. But it was all I mean, it was
7	a swamp.
8	MR. WRIGHT: That's what I'm wondering. Why
9	are we cutting out
10	MR. GRAHAM: They don't own it anymore. This
11	landowner does not own that 1200.
12	MR. WRIGHT: It's already been sold, then?
13	MR. GRAHAM: He sold it in the yes, sir.
14	MR. WRIGHT: That answered my question.
15	MR. GRAHAM: Okay.
16	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you,
17	Mr. Graham.
18	Mr. Northcutt, Steve Northcutt, on
19	the Red Hills-Flat Creek Addition.
20	Give us just a second and let everybody
21	find that.
22	MS. POWELL: The Red Hills-Flat Creek. It's
23	in your short list, Tab 4-A. The map

will be in that section.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Northcutt, go ahead.

MR. NORTHCUTT: So my name is Steve Northcutt.

I'm the Director of Protection for The

Nature Conservancy. And we have three
speakers today to talk about this
property, and I'm going to really talk
about a little bit of the history of our
work in this area with the Department of
Conservation and Natural Resources and
Forever Wild.

So the Red Hills in Monroe County -this is north of Monroeville -- is a
very unique area. It has deep ravines.
You don't expect to see this type of
habitat in a coastal plain. So if
you've ever been there, it's very -- you
feel like you're in the Appalachian
Mountains in a way.

And there is an effort to protect a threatened endangered species called the Red Hills Salamander. In fact, The

1.3

2.2

Nature Conservancy about ten years ago looked at trying to find a way to permanently protect the Red Hills
Salamander, which this animal's entire range exists only in Alabama east of the Alabama River over to the Conecuh River.
So you're looking at the only place on earth, all in Alabama, that this exists.

So back about ten years ago we were at a Forever Wild Board Meeting talking to Department staff about, you know, we really need to do something in the Red Hills. So we started looking at opportunities in this area, and we put together about four parcels. And if you look at your map, you'll see those all in green.

And so The Nature Conservancy looked at ways we could acquire this property with Forever Wild and offset the costs using federal grants. And over the years the Department has done a fantastic job in leveraging the Forever

Wild money, which I'm sure you're aware of.

And because this is a species of concern to Fish and Wildlife -- it's a threatened endangered species -- there are pots of money that you can -- the Department can apply for that will offset your acquisition costs.

And I'll just mention from the green tracts, that consists of about 4400 acres. And together, working with the Department, Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries, we wrote grants under two basic types of programs. Under Section 6 within the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Code there is what they call Recovery to help recover species and then what's called HCP money. And these HCPs are for Habitat Conservation Planning.

So if a private landowner or a large timber company owns property that has these endangered species and they want

to work with U.S. Fish and Wildlife to protect that, they enter into this voluntary agreement under an HCP and they agree that they're going to manage the property so they don't disturb the habitat that these animals need. They burrow in these ravines and slopes, and they need those ravines to be forested and wet for them to be able to survive.

So they come into this voluntary program under an HCP. And if a property has been under an HCP, they have a program where they can provide funding for continued protection.

The property that you're looking at in red is called the Flat Creek

Addition. That property is still under an HCP. So it's eligible for the funds that I mentioned.

So if you go back to the properties that were closed in 2010, those in green, U.S. Fish And Wildlife provided \$4.64 million in grants to help offset

the acquisition costs on those properties.

1.3

So not only do you have the opportunity to provide recreational access, it's very good hunting in this area. It's great for hiking, birdwatching. And you also protect the state amphibian, the Red Hills Salamander. We think this is an excellent opportunity to look at additional properties in this area.

And we have two other speakers. One represents the owner of the property in addition to Andrew Schock with The Conservation Fund who we work with on many protection efforts in the state.

And I'll be glad to answer any questions or move on.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you,

Mr. Northcutt.

MR. NORTHCUTT: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: The next speaker will be Andrew Schock on the same

property.

MR. SCHOCK: You all should have this map in front of you. I don't know if staff passed this out.

Okay. If you would, please.

I'm Andrew Schock with The

Conservation Fund. When Steve was

referring to the green tracts, he was

referring to this map and the green

tracts on this map. The Red Hills

property, the Flat Creek Addition is in

red, and the green tracts are tracts

that Steve referenced that you-all have

been involved in before.

Before I go into the Red Hills, I do want to bring closure to another project, the Terrapin Hill project, the Pinhoti Trail terminus in Coosa County. We reached a compromise with the county on the number of acres that we could -- that they would approve if you-all so approve -- but they would approve the board or Forever Wild to acquire. That

was -- 650 acres is a reconfiguration of what we initially nominated.

And so when your staff looked at that reconfiguration and scored it, it did not make the short list. So it's not available for you-all to consider. So I'm just sort of bringing that to a close and to say The Conservation

Fund -- obviously, we're disappointed with that outcome, but we will move forward as a private landowner and decide what we need to do with those tracts. So I just wanted -- since many of you heard me speak many times about that property, I just wanted to bring that to, I suppose, a close.

So the Red Hills-Flat Creek

Addition, Steve spoke some to the

virtues of the tract, the salamander, an

endangered species endemic only to

Alabama, the state amphibian. It's a

very important tract.

Steve also referenced -- and this is

2.2

why I'm here in front of you today -the possibility of federal funding for
the acquisition of this tract. The
federal funding through those various -those two programs Steve mentioned would
likely be available over time but not
available, frankly, to the magnitude
that would be necessary to complete the
actual purchase of the tract.

The tract has not been appraised, but we're estimating the value of the 12,386-acre tract to be in the vicinity of \$23 million. I would suggest that it would be likely over time for the federal government through those programs to come up with somewhere around \$8 million.

The Conservation Fund or The Nature Conservancy, whoever may acquire this tract in working with you-all -- whoever may acquire this tract from the current owner, Conservation Forestry, we would probably retain a timber deed on the

tract that would be worth about \$5 million.

And so what I'm seeking today,
frankly, is a first appraisal from
Forever Wild because that will set -well, maybe I shouldn't say it will set
the purchase price based on the
conversation we had earlier, but -- or
you-all had earlier, but it will help
establish what values we're talking
about instead of just making an
estimate.

With a first appraisal we then can, one, make sure the seller is interested in selling at that particular price.

And the seller is here today, and he'll speak in a moment. But the other is then we can start working with the

U.S. Fish And Wildlife Service to start making grants because we'll know -- or making grant applications because then we'll know how many dollars we need.

So what I would like to see is for

the board to discuss and hopefully approve a first appraisal. And I think that in round numbers the type of commitment that I would be looking for from Forever Wild as we move into the future over the course of about three to five years -- and I know you can't commit multiple years, but I think the entire amount of money we would be looking for for this roughly \$23 million tract would be somewhere around \$8 million from Forever Wild.

So Forever Wild would -- this
scenario would be The Conservation Fund
would acquire the tract. That way the
current owner can get out of the
picture. They're interested in selling.
They're not interested in holding. They
can get out of the picture. And then
we, The Conservation Fund, can hold the
tract for several years while the
federal dollars come in but, also, if
you approved, while the Forever Wild

dollars come in. And you-all, the State 1 of Alabama, would end up with the entire ownership of the tract, a \$23 million 3 tract, for an investment of somewhere around \$8 million. That's how that 5 6 would play out, but we need to start with a first appraisal. 7 Are there any questions? 8 9 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Mr. Schock. 10 11 Mr. Satterfield. 12 MR. SATTERFIELD: I notice you have a lot of 1.3 inholdings on this property, too, in the 14 red. Can you give us some information about who owns that property and how 15 16 that would fit into the purchase process down the road? 17 MR. SCHOCK: Okay. I -- I don't know, Kent, 18 19 if you can answer those specific questions. 20 But in terms of how they would fit, 21 I would see them as opportunities to do 2.2 23 some acquisition in the future but not

necessarily as part of this package. 1 Most of the actual salamander habitat is in the red area, not in the inholdings. 3 So the red area is what we're most 4 interested in. 5 6 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir. 7 The next speaker is Kent Gilges. MR. GILGES: Gilges. 8 9 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Gilges. Thank you. Sorry, sir. Kent Gilges. And also on 10 11 the same tract, the Red Hills-Flat Creek Addition. 12 1.3 MR. GILGES: Thank you very much for the opportunity to visit with you this 14 morning. I'm representing the seller. 15 16 I'm one of the partners in the firm that owns it, Conservation Forestry. 17 Mr. Northcutt and Mr. Schock asked me to 18 19 limit my comments this morning to no more than 25 minutes. I'll do my best. 20 Just a quick background. 21 Conservation Forestry is a timber 2.2 investment firm. We have offices in 23

Greenville, South Carolina, and then Exeter, New Hampshire. We manage about 650,000 acres around the U.S., and we target properties where we can achieve conservation outcomes.

I spent 15 years working at The Nature Conservancy before helping to found this firm. And in our -- the course of our work over now about 12 years we've protected over 350,000 acres through our work while making a profit for our investors.

The goal of the firm in acquiring this property back in 2011 was that it contains about 30 percent of the habitat remaining for the Red Hills Salamander, and we think that there's a couple of great outcomes potentially by protecting significant portions of it. This would protect all of the Red Hills Salamander that we own habitat. And potentially down the road we think there's an opportunity, given the limited extent of

Red Hills Salamander habitat, that it's

possible to delist this species. And

then by delisting, that opens up the

ability for other land that has habitat

that would no longer be covered by an

endangered species productivity and an

opportunity to be more economically

viable down the road.

2.2

So we're looking for a win-win here where we can protect an endangered species, maybe hope for delisting over time, and enable good forest management in the future on other forestlands around us. We have a ten-year timeline in our investment funds. We're about halfway through that. So we've been working with Steve and Andrew since we bought it to try to get this done. We very much look forward to moving forward if it's possible. And I appreciate your time.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir.

MR. WRIGHT: Question, please.

MR. CAUTHEN: What was your last name again? 1 Some people didn't hear it. MR. GILGES: Half of my family uses Gilges and 3 half uses Gilges, and so I answer to 4 either. 5 6 MR. CAUTHEN: Give us just one of them. 7 MR. GILGES: Gilges. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright. 8 9 MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Schock mentioned a timber reserve. So I'm taking it this would be 10 11 clear-cut timber? 12 MR. GILGES: The interesting thing about this tract is I've never seen this in a 1.3 14 coastal plain property before. We own a lot of land in the coastal plain in 15 16 Alabama, Georgia, and the Carolinas, and usually it's flat land with pine trees 17 on it. This has really unusual 18 topography where there's ravines and 19 creeks in the bottom that run down into 20 the Alabama River. 21 So the species lives in those 2.2 ravines and cliffs, and the pine trees 23

are up on the plateaus and in the flat areas. So it's possible to actually manage a clear-cut timber property and have no impact on the species because the species doesn't leave burrows in those cliffs. So it's a very compatible situation where you can -- as long as you leave the hardwood species in the ravines, you're maintaining the habitat and you can manage timber outside of that.

Our management approach since we bought has been not to touch any of the hardwood lands. So we haven't harvested anything within the habitat areas. But we do harvest the loblolly pine, then, on the plateaus.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. Thank you.

MR. GILGES: Thank you.

1.3

2.2

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: The next speaker will be Dale Lancaster. He's going to speak on the Sipsey River-Taylor Creek

Addition. That's Tab 3, page 22, in your book. Tab 3, page 22.

Go ahead, sir.

MR. LANCASTER: Commissioner and Board, thank you for the opportunity to have an audience with you this morning. My name is Dale Lancaster. I represent the two owners of the Sipsey River-Taylor Creek Addition, which is approximately 1722 acres in Greene County in the central district.

Myself, along with the owners, would like to thank you for the action you've taken so far to move the property forward to first-appraisal status. We would ask that you please consider moving this property forward to second appraisal/purchase status. I've previously made presentations to you concerning the features, assets, and uniqueness of this tract before and would be glad to field any questions that you may have today.

I think this tract fits the criteria of the Alabama Forever Wild program. We previously owned 7,000 acres in the Sipsey River Complex in Tuscaloosa County about three miles north of this tract. So we know it's a very unique piece of property.

Again, I certainly urge you to please consider moving this tract forward to second appraisal/purchase status. And thank you for your actions in the past. And if you have any questions, I'll be glad to try to field them for you.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Satterfield.

MR. SATTERFIELD: I have a question for staff.

The Sipsey River Complex, is that Forever Wild property or is that DCNR property?

MR. DEATON: Forever Wild.

2.2

MR. SATTERFIELD: And it's being presently managed by DCNR now, what's shown in orange on this map?

MR. DEATON: That's correct. 1 MR. SATTERFIELD: Thank you. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir. 3 MR. LANCASTER: Yes, sir. Thank you. 4 5 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Our next speaker is 6 Yael Girard to speak on the Weeks Bay Reserve-Harrod Farm Addition. That is 7 in Tab 3, page 27. Tab 3, page 27. 8 There's going to be a little 9 MS. GIRARD: handout coming around as well, just a 10 11 one-pager. 12 Again, my name is Yael Girard. I'm 1.3 the executive director of the Weeks Bay Foundation in Baldwin County, and I'm 14 here to speak on behalf of the Weeks Bay 15 16 Reserve-Harrod Farm Addition. property is around 200 acres. 17 You have already done a first appraisal on it, 18 and I do appreciate the board's 19 consideration on that. 20 I've spoken on this property before, 21 but it is an amazing piece of property. 2.2

It is a keystone piece for us in the

Weeks Bay watershed. It sits on Fish River, which is one of the two main tributaries to Weeks Bay, and the Fish River provides nearly 70 percent of the fresh water to Weeks Bay.

The property, although being small in comparison to some other tracts you've heard about today, does include over 3.5 miles of river and canal frontage. You can see the property has a lot of twists and turns on the coastline and some inner canals.

The property also contains range for the endangered Alabama red-bellied turtle as well as the diamondback terrapin. The property falls within the Weeks Bay Reserve's coastal zone and core priority areas as well as the Weeks Bay project acquisition area and has been listed in the Mobile Bay National Estuary Program's Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan as a priority watershed area.

One last thing I will say about this 1 property just to give y'all a reminder. The Weeks Bay Reserve secured a NOAA 3 grant for acquisition several years ago 5 now, and the wetland acreage of this 6 property would receive a one-to-one match with this NOAA grant. The entire property was not included in the grant 8 9 but the wetland acreage. And I understand that that is a small 10 11 percentage of the total value, but there are some leveraged funds there to help 12 1.3 pay for part of this property. 14 Any questions about the Weeks Bay Reserve-Harrod Farm Addition? 15 16 And we're hoping for a second 17 appraisal and move to purchase today. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Yael. 18 Mr. Satterfield. 19 MR. SATTERFIELD: I'm looking to staff again 20 because last time when this came up we 21 had a question about how much was 2.2 23 actually wetlands, whether it was

80 acres or whether it was 110 acres, and that made a difference in the purchase price and somehow might also get swept over into the appraisal -- re-appraisal process again. Has that all been cleared up?

MR. DEATON: Yeah. Thank you for the question. I was going to give an update during the grants status.

But, yes, we re-evaluated. The grant does allow for a hundred acres.

We've reassessed the National Wetland

Inventory maps, and they do verify a hundred acres on the property. So the next step is to get with the appraiser to see if he'll reconsolidate the appraisal value and include that hundred acres.

So we're working through that. We will not have that available for the board's consideration today but should have that back by the February meeting for your consideration. So we're

1.3

working to clear up the 80 acres versus 1 the hundred acres available. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, ma'am. 3 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Does that mean that you 4 5 have to do -- forgive my ignorance. 6 Does that mean that you have to do 7 another appraisal since you are negotiating this acreage, or what does 8 9 that mean? We'll just contact the appraiser, 10 MR. DEATON: 11 provide the additional information, and 12 he'll just adjust the numbers. 1.3 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: So he'll adjust the --14 MR. DEATON: The current appraisal that we 15 have. 16 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Right. Okay. 17 MR. DEATON: And those numbers that you have now are what we currently have. 18 19 have updated numbers at the February meeting. 20 So we could make a -- we could DR. WOODS: 21 move on this in February but not today? 2.2 23 MR. DEATON: That's correct.

MR. CAUTHEN: So you don't need anything today?

MR. DEATON: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Doug.

The next speaker is Don Childre on the Wiregrass Trail. That is Tab 3, page 30. Tab 3, page 30.

Mr. Childre.

MR. CHILDRE: Thank you. I'm Don Childre from Opp, and I'm representing the Wiregrass Trail project. It's a partnership between three cities, the cities of Geneva, Opp, and Andalusia in southeast Alabama. We are working to acquire a 44-mile corridor a hundred feet wide that runs from Geneva to Andalusia, and progress is being made on this.

Two years ago this board approved moving ahead with the first appraisal on this project, and at the same time y'all purchased the Pritchett Tract, 400 acres that's adjacent to the state park in Opp, Frank Jackson State Park. And this

1.3

1 rai 2 tha

railroad property is the only access to that 400 acres. It's landlocked. And so this trail would provide public access to the interior of the property.

We currently have \$400,000 in alternative -- Transportation

Alternatives funding from ALDOT and another 400,000 from ADECA that we're working to keep. We're right on the ragged edge of losing that, but we think we can get it back.

We have been working through the NEPA process with the exception of the hazardous materials survey. ALDOT requires this when we use their funds on it, and it has to be conducted with standards that meet the railroad demands also.

But the cost of this project is \$80,000 to do the environmental survey. And as a show of solidarity with the project between Opp, Andalusia, and Geneva, we have come up with \$80,000

this past week to pay for the environmental survey. What that consists of is basically they're going to dig a hole about every 500 feet along the whole 44 miles of this tract and analyze the findings to see if any toxic substances are on the property, which typically the railroad was used to transport cotton in and out of these areas. So no known hazardous substances should be there.

Anyway, we've got to do the survey, and we have an engineering firm lined up to do that. And we're prepared to move on that now that we have the funding.

We just finished that this past week.

All three cities have agreed in a resolution this past week that we -- before we put the state funds with it, we will govern how we will transfer the funding of \$800,000 that we have back to the Forever Wild Board toward the purchase price. We're working the

details of that out now. 1 So the project is going ahead. We've done a first appraisal. We're 3 looking for the second appraisal. We're 5 moving ahead on this property. 6 The City of Opp has just recently completed an \$800,000 renovation on an 7 old 1920s depot. I would like to say 8 9 it's one of the nicest, prettiest depots in Alabama and invite y'all down to see 10 11 it. It's an amazing project. 12 But we look forward to completing 1.3 the trail. It's something unique. think some of the board members called 14 it a linear park in years past. 15 16 would be something very unique. So you said there 17 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: were three cities. Opp, Andalusia, and 18 19 what was the other city? MR. CHILDRE: Geneva. 20 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 21 Geneva. MR. CHILDRE: Any questions? 2.2

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Oh, I'm sorry.

MR. ELLIS: I was going to ask, does the 1 railroad represent that it owns the fee of this, not just an easement for 3 railroad purposes? MR. CHILDRE: They own most of it, yes. 5 6 MR. ELLIS: Some of it they don't own? 7 You would have to have ownership from those underlying landowners? 8 9 MR. CHILDRE: That property is within the city limits of Opp. It would be transferable 10 11 to my understanding. 12 MR. ELLIS: The part that they don't own the 1.3 fee to is in Opp? 14 MR. CHILDRE: There's a very small portion of it. 15 16 DR. WOODS: Do we have a first appraisal on this? 17 MS. POWELL: No. That was my question. 18 19 y'all have a first appraisal, we have not seen it. 20 The board -- to back up -- because 21 this has been some time -- our board 2.2 23 made a motion to proceed with a first

2.2

appraisal. However, in meeting -- we met with ALDOT and ADECA to be sure that between their grant funds that were essential and the Forever Wild funds -- how that would all work together and be sure we were doing it right. And, you know, everybody has got their own requirements.

ALDOT informed us that they could only use an appraisal that they acquired -- that ALDOT acquired. If they -- even if we were willing to pay for it, bottom line, they had to do the appraisal and they had to pay for it. So we worked with them to be sure that their appraisal would incorporate any requirements that we had. So we worked through that.

However, I have not heard anything back from anybody that that first appraisal was completed. As my understanding, this environmental work -- another ALDOT -- well, I say

ALDOT. It's a grant requirement. I

don't mean they're being complicated.

It's just a grant requirement. But that

the environmental work had to be done

before ALDOT would spend the money they

say they have to spend to do the first

appraisal.

- MR. CHILDRE: That's right. And we just came up with the \$80,000 this past week to pay for that.
- MS. POWELL: Okay. I think both of us just misunderstood and thought you said y'all had the first appraisal, and I was worried I was behind a document. So not quite in yet.

And I don't have -- I have not had any additional word since -- maybe it was a year or more back when we had the meeting with ALDOT and ADECA. But as far as any agreement or memorandum that's being structured as to a transfer of funds to Forever Wild, we may need to just be sure that that's also being

structured in a way that we could then 1 receive it if the project progressed. But thank you. I appreciate the 3 clarification and the information. 4 5 Thank you. 6 MR. CHILDRE: Thank you. 7 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Mr. Childre. 8 9 We have one final speaker, W.A. Friese. This is on the Perdido 10 11 River-Friese Addition. That's Tab 3, page 17. Tab 3, page 17. 12 1.3 Go ahead, sir. 14 MR. FRIESE: Thank you. For the board and for the visitors here, I'm representing the 15 16 Friese Corporation which owns 47 acres in -- I guess you call it the Perdido 17 River-Friese --18 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: There you go. Yes, 19 sir. Thank you. 20 MR. FRIESE: Okay. I think I may be one of 21 the oldest people here in the room. I 2.2 23 don't know. But I'm trying -- I'm going

to try to talk like a Millennial or whatever that generation is. So I'll preface my conversation -- my talk by saying "so," and then everybody will pay attention.

I'm a retired Naval officer and a landowner, and we have land mostly in Georgia. And we have two or three tracts here in Alabama, and we're getting rid of those -- not rid of them but selling them if we have an opportunity to.

This land is in the Perdido area.

It's 47 acres. I bought it when I was stationed at Pensacola. One of my buddies -- I was a land survival instructor down there, by the way, and he was a Marine major going into real estate. He took me over here into Alabama to show me this land. It's just a little bit west of Cantonment. I walked around, and all I could see was turkey tracks, deer tracks, and I

thought I saw a bear track, too. I'm not sure. So that's what I focused my attention on. I wanted a place to hunt.

We bought it. It also came with -and I didn't realize it at the time -the opportunity to join one of the
largest hunting clubs in Alabama, I
think it's called Bellefont Hunting
Club. They didn't allow members into it
unless you owned land there. So I was a
member of that club for a long time.

After we bought the land -- I
believe in trying to make money off the
land by reforesting it. I also believe
in the TREASURE Forest Association. I'm
not a member. I do contribute to it. I
decided I wanted to become a member and
have my land certified. So I had the
Alabama Forestry Commission come out
there and take a look at it.
And we have another tract in north
Baldwin County which he looked at also.

Their recommendation to me was to

1.3

Clear-cut it and plant slash pines.

This little tract of land is covered with live oaks and red oaks, white oaks.

It's just a smorgasbord for the deer and turkey during the certain season. The Forestry Commission told me that it was a desert. So I chose not to go any further with the TREASURE Forest Association at that time.

We kept it. I was transferred to Japan and then back to San Diego.
During my vacations in the winter we'd fly back, and I'd plant pine trees out there. My whole family would get out there with a dibble and plant them. And we found out that -- in February I got a call from one of the people down there, and he says, Mr. Friese, the deer have come in and eaten all your pine trees up. I didn't know they would eat pine trees, but they did. We tried the next year. And they came in December, the deer, and they pulled them all up.

So we gave up on -- this piece of -this tract right here that I'm talking
about is not suitable for forestry in my
opinion. It's suitable for wildlife. I
didn't want to cut the -- I didn't want
to cut the live oaks down and the other
oaks there just to, you know, plant
trees out there.

International Paper Company owned land all around us. In fact, we're surrounded on three sides by Forever Wild right now. And they planted slash, and they cut it down and didn't make any money off of it.

But, anyway, I guess to make a long story short, we live in Georgia now.

And my last duty station was in Atlanta, and all our family -- we have three kids and ten grandchildren. They've got

Georgia clay in their shoes, and they don't want to come back to Alabama.

We've had several tracts of land that we've sold here, and we reinvest back

into timberland in Alabama. And that's what we'll do with this if we choose to sell.

The last time I spoke with y'all here in Spanish Fort I didn't know I was supposed to speak. So I got up and tried to give a little speech. I went back to my seat and looked at my wife. I said, how did I do? And she said, you bombed. And I guess even after bombing the board could see some value in this tract and they decided to go ahead with a first appraisal. So, anyway ...

MR. RUNYAN: Can I ask you a quick question?

MR. FRIESE: Yes, sir.

1.3

2.2

MR. RUNYAN: First, thank you for your service to our country.

MR. FRIESE: Thank you.

MR. RUNYAN: On this parcel we've got right here, is there an additional acreage that you don't own that's not Forever Wild?

MR. FRIESE: Yes. Just north of us. It's

owned by McNeal, I believe it is, 23 1 acres. I lease the hunting rights to our property to him. And then I think 3 we're the only two right there. There's 4 another tract, I think, just a little 5 6 bit to the west of us that's the Boone 7 family, I think. But we're surrounded by Forever 8 Wild. We would love for Forever Wild to 9 take this tract and add to their 10 11 acreage. Any other questions? 12 1.3 (No response.) 14 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir. Thank you. Did I bomb? 15 MR. FRIESE: 16 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: I thought you did 17 very well, sir. That is all the cards I have for 18 19 public comment and speakers. Seeing no others, this is usually the portion 20 where we recess into executive session 21 to discuss tract appraisals. 2.2

By regulation, appraised values are

1	confidential during periods of
2	negotiation. Accordingly, in order to
3	discuss tract appraisal values, the
4	board will need to go into recess for an
5	executive session. Is there a motion
6	for the board to now recess to attend an
7	executive session?
8	MR. HORN: So move.
9	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Moved by Mr. Horn.
10	Is there a second?
11	DR. WOODS: Second.
12	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by
13	Dr. Woods. I couldn't tell if that was
14	you or Mr. Ellis.
15	Any discussion?
16	(No response.)
17	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All of those in
18	favor say "aye."
19	(All board members present respond
20	"aye.")
21	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: I apologize. To go
22	into executive session, I need to call
23	your name. Please indicate when I call

your name if you are in favor of us 1 going into executive session at this time. 3 Mr. Ball? 4 MR. BALL: 5 Yes. 6 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Blankenship, yes. 7 Mr. Ellis? MR. ELLIS: Yes. 8 9 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Horn? MR. HORN: 10 Yes. 11 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Oates? 12 MR. OATES: Yes. 1.3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Satterfield? 14 MR. SATTERFIELD: Yes. Ms. Sims? COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 15 16 DR. SIMS: Yes. 17 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Ms. Tolley-Jordan? DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: 18 Yes. 19 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Woods? 20 DR. WOODS: Yes. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright? 21 MR. WRIGHT: 2.2 Yes. 23 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Bond?

1	DR. BOND: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Cauthen?
3	MR. CAUTHEN: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Runyan?
5	MR. RUNYAN: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. That is
7	unanimous.
8	We'll now be in executive session.
9	It is 11:28 a.m. We anticipate taking
10	about 20 minutes in recess. So we will
11	reconvene about 11:50. Thank you.
12	(Recess for executive session was
13	taken at approximately 11:28 a.m.
14	and the meeting was called back to
15	order at approximately 12:02 p.m.)
16	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: We'll now reconvene
17	the meeting after the executive session.
18	It's 12:03. We've reconvened at 12:03.
19	I was remiss when we made the
20	introductions today that I did not
21	introduce Dr. Jason Bond as a new board
22	member.

willingness to serve on the board. 1 And he's from Auburn University. And would you like to say anything about 3 yourself? 4 DR. BOND: I'm a professor of biological 5 6 sciences -- or professor of biology and 7 chair of the Department of Biological Sciences at Auburn. It's great to be 8 9 here. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 10 Thank you, sir. 11 Glad to have you. So now we'll turn to Tab 5 -- I 12 1.3 think it is -- in your book. And we'll 14 start with the program status reports. 15 Tab 4, program status report. 16 MS. POWELL: All right. This is the portion of the meeting, for the benefit of the 17 public, that we will run through --18 we'll do it rather quickly because I 19 know we're running a little long. But 20 we'll run through the status of some of 21 the prior nominations as well as funding 2.2

availability for purchases of future

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

tracts.

For the board members, I am on Tab 2-A. And what we will run through today is whereas in the bank the balance would show there's just over \$22 million available for land acquisition, we always back out, just like you would in your checkbook, your other commitments to get you to the balance that would be available to consider future tracts' purchases from. And then we also -during the meetings we'll run through whether there are any state budgeting process limitations on that amount. So that's what I will be running through now.

So the tracts that have been motioned to proceed to close that are in various stages of closing, Coon Gulf-Heard, Jackson County; DeSoto State Park-French Addition, DeKalb; Lake Lurleen State Park-Roebuck Addition, Tuscaloosa; Old Cahawba Prairie-Childers

Creek Addition, Dallas County; Red

Hills-Parris Trust Addition, Monroe

County; Big Canoe Creek, St. Clair;

Grand Bay Savanna-Solet Addition,

Mobile; Pine Barren Creek Tract, Dallas

County; Tannehill-Ayers Addition,

Jefferson County.

If you subtract the expense of the purchase price and the 15-percent transfer to the stewardship fund for everything that I just mentioned, that leaves about \$14.6 million that is in your unencumbered balance. That is the money that you have available.

And unlike the last several meetings where I had to temperate it slightly by telling you that you were restricted by your budgetary spending authority, we have just started a new fiscal year as of October 1. So you are now back with spending authority. That doesn't mean you have to spend it all today. But you are back with the ability to purchase

some tracts.

The second page of the handout would reference the tracts that have closed successfully last fiscal year and this fiscal year. At times you will see tracts referenced as having been declined. We actually were successful last fiscal year in bringing to a close the projects that you had motioned. You will see everything that's closed.

Any questions on that?
(No response.)

MS. POWELL: Okay. I will move to the next bit of information in regards to the stewardship fund, Tab 2-B. And in your original packet you had some information from the prior fiscal year, and then we've updated as much information as we could provide in this new fiscal year which began, again, October 1st.

So I want to run through -- we did manage the money from the prior fiscal year, but all of it was spent on land

2

4

3

6

5

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

23

will see, not too terribly much has been spent. We have a million -- just over

that we're in now.

1.3 million remaining available for expenditures from the stewardship fund for our various tracts. And we will continue to keep you up to date on what those needs are, where we have had

management. So there was no rollover

into this year's stewardship account.

from the interest earned off of that

reach back into the corpus for some

We try very hard to do as much as we can

stewardship fund. The board did have to

additional funding for management -- and

that you see reflected on here -- which

brought to -- the prior board decision

to for budgeting purposes potentially

stewardship funds in this fiscal year

allow up to 1.5 million to be spent from

It's early in the year. So as you

see activity pick up as we get closer to

expenditures on the tracts. You will

the spring and more of those dollars being spent on those tracts.

Any questions on that? (No response.)

MS. POWELL: Commissioner, if there are no questions on the financial information,

I'll just move into reviewing the appraised nominations list. This is

Tab 3-A in your packet.

These are the tracts that you have previously motioned for a first appraisal on. There are some appraisals that have not been completed yet. We may be missing deed information from the seller that we're still trying to get or simply the appraiser did not complete the work in time for this meeting today.

But you have in your packet a listing, an update -- it's kind of a yellow paper -- 3-A. And for the benefit of the public, I'm going to run through the tracts that we currently have appraisals in from prior motions.

1	Those include Barbour WMA-Leak Creek
2	Addition. Bon Secour River-Swift School
3	Tract and that's Baldwin County. Byrnes
4	Lake, Baldwin County. Caldwell Swamp,
5	Baldwin County. Coldwater Mountain-Rice
6	Addition, Calhoun. Coosa WMA-Hancock
7	Phase III. Emauhee Creek Lake,
8	Talladega. Freedom Hills WMA-Randolph
9	Hollow Addition. Laguna Cove.
10	Lauderdale WMA-Newman Addition. Natural
11	Bridge Creek, Covington County. Perdido
12	WMA-Friese Addition, Baldwin County.
13	Pintlala Creek, Lowndes County. Red
14	Hills-Ziebach Addition, Monroe.
15	Rickwood Caverns State Park-Helms,
16	Blount County. Sipsey River
17	Swamp-Taylor Creek Addition, Greene
18	County. Skyline WMA-Crow Creek Valley
19	Addition, Jackson County. Tannehill-Mud
20	Creek Addition, Bibb County. Terrapin
21	Hill, Coosa. Weeks Bay Reserve-Harrod's
22	Farm, Baldwin County. White Oak
23	Plantation, Macon County.

Taking all of those together, that's over \$44 million of a combination of appraised values and 15-percent stewardship transfers. So, as usual, you've got a bit more sitting there than you have available to spend. But if there are any questions on those ...

If not, we will move on into the grant status update that Doug provides.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Doug.

MR. DEATON: Okay. Next I'll move into the grant status update. I'd like to mention for the board, the new members here and those attending today, that DCNR staff and both Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries staff and State Lands Division staff -- we routinely try to find grants -- land acquisition grants that can help further the Forever Wild dollar. So at each meeting we give an update of what we have and where we are in those processes.

Since the last meeting -- I've

mentioned over several meetings that we have a NOAA grant that we've been able to apply to several tracts that you guys have motioned on. Since the last meeting we have closed on the Weeks Bay Reserve-Sunset Shores Addition and the Weeks Bay Reserve-Bay Road West Addition. Those grants were a 50/50 match. We are working with our accounting section to draw down the reimbursement funds. Those should be available hopefully for the next meeting for you guys to then reallocate to purchases later down the road.

We received all the deed information for the Weeks Bay Reserve-Meadows

Phase III Addition. We've initiated that appraisal and anticipate having that one back for the board's consideration at the February meeting.

As we mentioned earlier, we had some questions about the Harrod's Farm

Addition about whether the acreage was

2.2

80 acres or 100 acres covered by the appraisal and the grant. So we're working to get that appraisal updated so you guys can reconsider the full 100 acres as part of the acquisition and the grant consideration.

Just a quick Solet update. We recently had a celebration down at the coast kind of recognizing the acceptance of Forever Wild taking on that property and just recognizing that accomplishment. We're working with the closing attorneys just to clear up a few title questions and matters, and we should have that closed and transferred to Forever Wild's ownership by the end of this month.

At the last meeting the board motioned for a first appraisal of the Red Hills-Section 2 tract, and it was contingent upon us having the award letter for the RLA grant, the Land Recovery Act grant that we had applied

for. We anticipated having that back by the end of September. U.S. Fish and Wildlife contacted us and asked to make some modifications to the grant award. And so Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division staff made some changes, and it's back in their court for review. We anticipate having that back soon, and when we do, we'll initiate that first appraisal for the board.

That's all the updates I have.

Chuck Sykes is not here today. So Keith

Gauldin is going to come up and share

some opportunities that they have to

offer. And while he's coming up, if

you'll go ahead and turn in your packet

to Tab 5-D, there's a memo there that

he'll cover for you.

MR. GAULDIN: I believe it's like page 27. I
was going to start off with good
morning, but I guess it's good afternoon
now.

But I'd first like to start off by

2.2

saying how much we, the Division,
appreciate the board working with us and
being able to use those Forever Wild
funds as our state-matched portion to
capture those apportionment figures that
we receive on an annual basis from
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service from
those Pittman-Robertson Act monies.
That's been a really great program and a
great collaborative effort to maximize
our common goal of trying to secure
public lands for public use.

With that being said, we try to continue to identify areas of underserved parts of the state that have low access to public hunting grounds, and we would like to continue our efforts in looking for those areas in Dallas County. We've identified a tract that Chandlar Graham spoke about earlier, the Rum Creek Tract. It's in Dallas County.

We've had really good reviews from

the special-opportunity hunts that we've had on the -- I think you call it the Dallas County Tract. We call it the Cedar Creek WMA, the SOA, special opportunity area. We've done real well with that and are looking forward to having some adult mentored hunts there coming in December. We have two in December, one in January.

And that program is a new program to us. We've typically focused on youth mentored hunts, and we've changed towards the adult mentored hunt program because there's a lot of folks that are middle age that would like to learn how to hunt that never had the opportunity or have never -- or they felt apprehensive about asking advice as an adult.

So we created that program. We have six participants for each of the three hunts on Cedar Creek. And we've had over 95 applicants for that program,

which was really surprising to us that there was a demographic that really wanted to learn how to hunt up in the upper-age classes. So we're looking forward to improving those programs and

expanding them in the future.

But that being said, we would like to move forward and ask that you proceed with a first appraisal for the Rum Creek Tract there in Dallas County. It's adjacent to the Pine Barren Creek Tract that is under -- likely to be closed here in a couple of months hopefully. It's under -- you guys have the second appraisal on that.

MR. DEATON: Negotiations.

MR. GAULDIN: Negotiations. So we're moving forward with that.

The Rum Creek Tract lies between the Pine Barren Creek Tract and the Alabama River. Really great quality hunting, really great whitetail habitat for our purposes, and just would ask that you do

1.3

that. And if you have any questions, 1 I'll be glad to answer them. Yes, sir. 3 MR. WRIGHT: Keith, is that -- is it a 4 5 proposed SOA or --6 MR. GAULDIN: It most likely will be. Let me also add that the 40-acre tract that was referenced earlier --8 9 with the magnitude of the benefits from that tract that we will receive, the 40 10 11 acres is not -- is not a concern of 12 ours. 1.3 And we've had, you know, really 14 large, healthy federal apportionments. We have unobligated monies right now 15 that we could utilize for this tract. 16 17 And the projection for our FY18 18 obligations are pretty healthy as well. During the election cycle we sold a lot 19 of ammunition and weapons, so that 20 relates into healthy Pittman-Robertson 21 Act funding. 2.2

With the Pittman-Robertson Act, we

were able to operate off a three-to-one 1 ratio with one part being the Forever Wild funding. We were able to match 3 that with three times that amount with 4 our Pittman-Robertson funds just as 5 6 we've done for the past three years that we've worked with you guys on working on 7 that relationship and trying to maximize 8 9 the leveraging opportunities. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any discussion? 10 11 (No response.) MS. POWELL: We would make motions -- if the 12 1.3 board would like to make a motion, it 14 would come later in the meeting in general discussion. 15 16 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. Thank 17 you, Keith. MR. GAULDIN: Thank you. 18 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: The nomination 19 short list update. Are you going to do 20 that, Jo? 21 MS. LEWIS: Good afternoon. I'm Jo Lewis. 22 23 The lights went out because we are

showing the maps that you have in your packet in a PowerPoint presentation behind you.

The funny thing about auditoriums, they always seem to want to show -- the screens usually face the audience, which is not my priority. But if you would like to follow along by turning around and looking over your shoulder, you're welcome to, or these maps are in exactly the same order in your packet.

I'm going to go over the short list, which is the priority list of nominations, which is my usual routine. The short list is a compilation of the top-three-scoring tracts in each category of use in each geographic region of the state. Hypothetically, there could be 36. This time there is 17. Sometimes nominations short-list in more than one category of use, and sometimes there are no tracts or nominations that are attractive to some

of the categories of use.

\_

So Tab 4-A in your package is an alphabetical list of all the tracts on the short list. The second page of that is organized in a table by category of use and geographic region. So if there was any geographic region of particular interest or category of use of particular interest, you can see which tracts were short-listing there.

I'm going to go through them alphabetically, and the alphabetical tract narratives and maps are in Tab 4-B.

So beginning there, we have Autauga
WMA-Swift Creek Addition with 1,065
acres in Autauga County. Chickasaw
Creek Tract, which is 1,031 acres in
Mobile County. Coldwater
Mountain-Phase II, the Amended Version
of 113 acres in Calhoun County.
Coldwater Mountain-Sarrell Addition,
22 acres in Calhoun County. The Coosa

2.2

23

River Tract, 710 acres in Elmore County. Deer Head Cove-Hartline, which is 213 acres in DeKalb County. Dear Head Cove-Low Gap, which is 280 in DeKalb County. D'Olive Bay, which is 87 acres in Baldwin County. Horse Creek National Forest Retreat, 2,040 acres in Clay County. MTD, the Mobile-Tensaw Delta, Simmons Addition, 300 acres in Baldwin County. Patterson Creek Tract, 151 acres in Elmore County. Red Hills-Flat Creek Addition, 12,386 acres in Monroe County. Rock Creek, which is 418 acres in Blount County. Rum Creek, which is 3,975 acres in Dallas County.

Skyline WMA-Crow Mountain Addition, which is 178 acres in Jackson County, which is near but is not the same as the other Crow Creek we've been discussing. This is Crow Mountain.

Tannehill-South Addition, 422 acres which crosses the Bibb-Shelby County line. And, finally, WBR, or Weeks Bay

Reserve, Meadows Phase II Gill, which is 1 38 acres in Baldwin County. I'll be happy to address any 3 questions. 4 Additionally, Tab 4-C is a list of 5 6 all nominations that are currently active in the program. So if there's 7 one that you are aware of and it is not 8 on the short list, it should appear 9 there if there is a willing seller. 10 11 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any questions for 12 Jo? 1.3 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I have one. 14 MS. LEWIS: Yes. I'm not sure if this is DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: 15 16 the right question for you, but I had a question about land use in the Red 17 Hills-Flat Creek Addition. I think the 18 19 person that was speaking about it mentioned that it was Red Hills 20 Salamander habitat; is that correct? 21 MS. LEWIS: Yes. It's a -- habitat is 22 23 delineated and designated in Habitat

Conservation Plans, I believe, that 1 cover the property. 3 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Right. MS. LEWIS: So it is a delineated 4 characteristic. 5 6 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Right. So if this has an 7 HCP on the property, does that still allow for public use of the property? 8 9 MS. LEWIS: I've never addressed that specifically. I have read a number of 10 11 the HCPs. I would say, no, it does not 12 hinder public access. I've written 1.3 federal grants, and that's been 14 perfectly fine. MS. POWELL: I mean, we may want to 15 16 double-check that particular plan. But there's not, by the nature of there 17 being an HCP, any type of automatic 18 exclusion of public access. 19 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: 20 Okay. MS. POWELL: And we have seen it on several 21 tracts. 2.2 23 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Okay. Good.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Anything else for 1 Jo? (No response.) 3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you. 4 5 MS. LEWIS: Thank you. 6 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: The next item on 7 the agenda is general discussion from the board members. If there's anybody 8 9 that would like to have any general discussion or motions, now is the time. 10 11 Yes, sir, Mr. Woods. DR. WOODS: I make a motion for a first 12 1.3 appraisal on the Rum Creek Tract in 14 Dallas County. MR. CAUTHEN: I second that. 15 16 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So a motion was made by Mr. Woods for a first appraisal 17 on Rum Creek and seconded by 18 Mr. Cauthen. 19 MS. POWELL: Commissioner, I'm not really sure 20 that we have to do that at this stage, 21 but we normally do. Since that has been 2.2 23 presented to the board as a three-to-one

match opportunity, if the board would 1 like to take advantage of that commitment, then we make the motion 3 further subject to --4 5 DR. WOODS: Condition of the bond, yes. 6 MS. POWELL: -- condition of a three-to-one 7 match from Wildlife as to any future purchase -- as to potential purchase. 8 9 So it would just be the same motion but with the understanding of the 10 11 three-to-one match offered by our Wildlife section. 12 1.3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So, Mr. Woods, do I 14 understand your motion to be to move for first appraisal on the Rum Creek Tract 15 16 contingent upon the three-to-one match from the Wildlife and Freshwater 17 Fisheries Pittman-Robertson funds? 18 19 DR. WOODS: Correct. Correct. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 20 Mr. Cauthen, do you second that motion? 21 MR. CAUTHEN: I do second that motion. 2.2 23 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir.

1	Is there any discussion?
2	(No response.)
3	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor
4	please say "aye."
5	(All board members present respond
6	"aye.")
7	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
8	(No response.)
9	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: None opposed.
10	Motion passes.
11	MR. RUNYAN: Mr. Chairman?
12	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir,
13	Mr. Runyan.
14	MR. RUNYAN: On the Perdido Wildlife
15	Management Area-Friese Addition, I move
16	that we proceed with the second
17	appraisal and proceed to closing.
18	MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, we can't hear
19	on this end.
20	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Sure.
21	The motion by Mr. Runyan was to move
22	for second appraisal and move to

1	MR. HORN: Second.
2	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by
3	Mr. Horn.
4	MR. SATTERFIELD: Is that under Tab 3?
5	MR. DEATON: 3-A.
6	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yeah. I think that
7	was page 17 or 27.
8	Tab 3, page 17.
9	MR. SATTERFIELD: That's the Friese Addition
10	that the gentleman spoke to earlier?
11	MS. POWELL: Yes. Correct.
12	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So is there any
13	MR. ELLIS: There were no matching funds
14	available with that, were there?
15	MS. POWELL: No, sir, there were not.
16	MR. ELLIS: Okay.
17	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any other
18	discussion?
19	(No response.)
20	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So the motion is to
21	move for second appraisal and move to
22	purchase on Perdido-Friese Addition.
23	All those in favor say "aye."

1	(All board members present respond
2	"aye.")
3	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
4	(No response.)
5	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: None opposed.
6	Motion passes unanimously.
7	Yes, ma'am.
8	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I'd like to make a motion
9	for second appraisal for the Sipsey
10	River Swamp-Taylor Creek Addition.
11	MS. POWELL: It would just be a motion for
12	second appraisal and proceed with
13	purchase.
14	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Proceed with purchase.
15	MR. BALL: I second that.
16	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: That's Mr. Ball.
17	The motion was made by
18	Dr. Tolley-Jordan for a second appraisal
19	and move to purchase on the Sipsey River
20	Swamp-Taylor Creek Addition, and that
21	was seconded by Mr. Ball. And I'll
22	give everybody just a minute to find
23	that.
	1

Any discussion? 1 Mr. Chairman, I have a MR. SATTERFIELD: question. 3 That would be another lower purchase 4 5 of the existing Sipsey River Complex; 6 right? We have the other two purchases 7 north of there that Forever Wild already 8 9 owns. Who and how is that property being managed now? Is that managed 10 11 under nature preserve? How is DCNR 12 handling that property? 1.3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Doug. MR. DEATON: 14 We manage it under both a nature preserve and a recreation area. And so 15 16 we do allow hunting and fishing on that property as well as birdwatching and 17 other things. 18 MR. SATTERFIELD: So it's multiuse? 19 MR. DEATON: It's multiuse. That's correct. 20 MR. SATTERFIELD: And you have the staff 21 resources and personnel to take on this 22

additional property?

- MR. DEATON: I would say, yes, sir.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: You hesitated.
  - MR. DEATON: Well, I just -- I was thinking to myself. I'm not sure it takes -- based on current activities, I feel like the staff that we have, the manager of the property, would see it as an addition to have additional duck hunting. Because there are so many people that use that current ownership that we feel like we have limited room for the people that do use that property. So it would be a benefit overall.
  - MR. SATTERFIELD: Because the only reason I ask that is because it's not contiguous to the other two pieces. It's separated. And I didn't know what challenges that might have with managing the property.
  - MR. DEATON: It's just down the road a short distance. So I don't think it would be an issue between -- I don't think it would be an additional burden.

1.3

2.2

1	MR. SATTERFIELD: Thank you.
2	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any additional
3	discussion?
4	(No response.)
5	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All of those in
6	favor of moving for a second appraisal
7	and move to purchase on the Sipsey River
8	Swamp-Taylor Creek Addition please say
9	"aye."
10	(All board members present respond
11	"aye.")
12	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
13	(No response.)
14	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. The
15	motion passes.
16	MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman?
17	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir,
18	Mr. Wright.
19	MR. WRIGHT: I would like to go for a second
20	appraisal on the Coldwater Mountain-Rice
21	Addition, which is 20 acres, Calhoun
22	County.
23	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Second.

1	MR. BALL: Second.
2	MS. POWELL: And that would be both second
3	appraisal and proceed to purchase.
4	MR. WRIGHT: And proceed to purchase.
5	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So a motion has
6	been made to move on a second appraisal
7	and move to purchase on the Coldwater
8	Mountain-Rice Addition. That motion was
9	made by Mr. Wright and seconded by
10	Mr. Ball.
11	MR. BALL: Ms. Tolley-Jordan actually.
12	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: I'm sorry.
13	Seconded by Ms. Tolley-Jordan. I
14	apologize. You sound alike or my
15	hearing is bad, one. Sorry.
16	Is there any discussion on this?
17	(No response.)
18	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor
19	say "aye."
20	(All board members present respond
21	"aye.")
22	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
23	(No response.)

1	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. The
2	motion passes.
3	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Mr. Chairman?
4	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, ma'am.
5	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I'd like to make a motion
6	for a second appraisal with move to
7	purchase for the Bon Secour River-Swift
8	School Tract.
9	DR. WOODS: Which one? I'm sorry.
10	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: The second one, Bon Secour
11	River-Swift School Tract.
12	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. So a
13	motion has been made by
14	Dr. Tolley-Jordan on the Bon Secour
15	Swift River Tract I'm sorry Bon
16	Secour River-Swift School Tract for a
17	second appraisal and move to purchase.
18	Is there a second?
19	MR. RUNYAN: Is that the one we're getting
20	clarification on how many acres
21	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Let me get a second
22	before we discuss it, if that's okay.
23	Is there a second?

1	MR. BALL: I second it.
2	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by
3	Mr. Ball.
4	That is not the piece of property
5	that we are waiting to find out the
6	acreage. That was one that was in the
7	Weeks Bay system.
8	Any other discussion?
9	(No response.)
10	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor
11	please say "aye."
12	(All board members present respond
13	"aye.")
14	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
15	(No response.)
16	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. The
17	motion passes.
18	MR. BALL: Mr. Chairman, I have two.
19	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right,
20	Mr. Ball.
21	MR. BALL: First I'd like to ask for a second
22	appraisal and move to purchase for Red
23	Hills-Ziebach Addition, Monroe County,

1	F.O
1	50 acres.
2	MR. HORN: Second.
3	MR. WRIGHT: Second.
4	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So Mr. Ball has
5	motioned for a second appraisal and move
6	to purchase the Red Hills-Ziebach
7	Addition, and that was seconded by
8	Mr. Horn and thirded by Mr. Wright.
9	So that's 50 acres?
10	MR. BALL: Yes, sir.
11	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Is there any
12	discussion?
13	(No response.)
14	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor
15	please say "aye."
16	(All board members present respond
17	"aye.")
18	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
19	(No response.)
20	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: No opposed. Motion
21	passes.
22	All right, sir. You said you had
23	another one.

1	MR. BALL: Yes, sir. My second is Rickwood
2	Caverns State Park-Helms, 45 acres,
3	Blount County.
4	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: And that is for a
5	second
6	MR. BALL: For a second and move to purchase,
7	please.
8	MR. ELLIS: I'll second that.
9	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by
10	Mr. Ellis.
11	Mr. Ball has motioned for a second
12	appraisal and move to purchase the
13	Rickwood State Park-Helms Addition, and
14	that was seconded by Mr. Ellis.
15	Give everybody just a second to find
16	that.
17	All right. Any discussion?
18	(No response.)
19	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor
20	say "aye."
21	(All board members present respond
22	"aye.")
23	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?

1	(No response.)
2	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: No opposed. The
3	motion passes.
4	Anyone else?
5	Mr. Satterfield.
6	MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to
7	make a motion to move to second
8	appraisal and purchase of the Freedom
9	Hills WMA-Randolph Hollow Addition.
10	MR. WRIGHT: I'll second it.
11	MR. SATTERFIELD: 239 acres in Colbert County.
12	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So a motion has
13	been made for a second appraisal and
14	move to purchase the Freedom
15	Hills-Randolph Hollow Addition. The
16	motion was made by Mr. Satterfield and
17	seconded by Mr. Wright, 239 acres in
18	Colbert County.
19	Any discussion?
20	(No response.)
21	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor
22	say "aye."
23	(All board members present respond

1	"aye.")
2	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
3	(No response.)
4	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: None opposed.
5	Motion passes. Thank you.
6	MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman?
7	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir.
8	MR. SATTERFIELD: I'd also like to make a
9	motion to move to second appraisal and
10	purchase on the Lauderdale WMA-Newman
11	Addition of 160 acres in Lauderdale
12	County.
13	MR. OATES: Second.
14	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Lauderdale
15	WMA-Newman Addition.
16	MR. OATES: I'll second that.
17	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by
18	Mr. Oates.
19	All right. So a motion has been
20	made by Mr. Satterfield to move for a
21	second appraisal and move to purchase on
22	the Lauderdale WMA-Newman Addition, and
23	that was seconded by Mr. Oates. That is
	1

1	160 acres in Lauderdale County.
2	Any discussion?
3	(No response.)
4	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor
5	say "aye."
6	(All board members present respond
7	"aye.")
8	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
9	(No response.)
10	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: None opposed.
11	Motion passes.
12	MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman?
13	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir,
14	Mr. Wright.
15	MR. WRIGHT: I'd like to make a motion for
16	first appraisal on the Coldwater
17	Mountain-Sarrell Addition, 22 acres in
18	Calhoun County.
19	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Coldwater
20	Mountain-Sarrell Addition?
21	MR. WRIGHT: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Excuse me. Let me
23	find that one.

1	MR. WRIGHT: 22 acres.
2	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So a motion has
3	been made for the Coldwater
4	Mountain-Sarrell Addition. That is
5	Tab 4-A. And that's a motion for a
6	first appraisal, a motion by Mr. Wright.
7	Is there a second?
8	MR. OATES: Second.
9	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by
10	Mr. Oates.
11	I'll give everybody a second to find
12	this because that's in a different tab
13	than we've been working in.
14	Any discussion?
15	(No response.)
16	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor
17	say "aye."
18	(All board members present respond
19	"aye.")
20	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
21	(No response.)
22	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: None opposed.
23	Motion passes.

DR. SIMS: Mr. Chairman? 1 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, ma'am. DR. SIMS: I would like to make a motion for a 3 first appraisal --4 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 5 I'm sorry. I can't 6 hear you. DR. SIMS: I'd like to make a motion for an 7 appraisal on the -- first appraisal on 8 9 the Skyline WMA-Crow Mountain Addition, Jackson County. 10 11 MR. SATTERFIELD: Second. MS. POWELL: I'm having trouble hearing. I'm 12 1.3 sorry. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: The motion is made 14 for -- a motion for first appraisal for 15 16 the Skyline WMA-Crow Mountain Addition; is that correct? 17 DR. SIMS: That's correct. 18 19 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. And that was a motion made by Dr. Sims, 20 seconded by Mr. Satterfield. 21 MR. SATTERFIELD: Correct. 2.2 23 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: I'll give everybody

1	a chance to find that.
2	DR. SIMS: Tab 4, page 1 on the short list.
3	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. Tab 4,
4	page 1.
5	DR. SIMS: Page 1 on the short list.
6	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. Tab 4,
7	page 1. 178 acres in Jackson County.
8	Any discussion?
9	(No response.)
10	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor
11	say "aye."
12	(All board members present respond
13	"aye.")
14	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
15	(No response.)
16	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: No opposition.
17	Motion passes.
18	MR. OATES: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to note for
19	the record I have to leave for a
20	previous appointment.
21	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Oates has had
22	to leave our meeting. We still have a
23	quorum. Thank you, Mr. Oates, for your

time. 1 Any other --MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman? 3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir, 4 5 Mr. Wright. 6 MR. WRIGHT: On Tab 5-E, would it be the time 7 that we could talk about that one, Crow Creek Valley? 8 9 MS. POWELL: It would be fine to talk about anything you want right now. 10 11 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yeah. We can talk about that now. 12 1.3 MS. POWELL: Absolutely. I would like to make a motion 14 MR. WRIGHT: that we proceed on first appraisal --15 16 well, I say first appraisal. MS. POWELL: I think where we are here, we 17 have the benefit of the first appraisal, 18 but if there's any interest in the 19 20 proposal made by Director Sykes and Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries 21 Division as to purchasing a portion of 2.2

the acreage with their own funds,

non-Forever Wild funds, we would need to update the appraisal to determine what the non-agricultural portion -- what the cost would be to Forever Wild. So it would really just be to update the appraisal. And I think, actually, we could do that without a motion, although I would like to be sure there was board support for updating that appraisal to get a two-tract valuation.

Y'all go ahead and go to your memo.

That's 5-E. And I will recap. We were going to cover this in kind of miscellaneous reports, sort of our old business category.

This is a parcel that has -- we had a speaker mention it today -- has acreage that is predominantly agricultural -- active ag acreage to the north. And you'll see on your map that acreage delineated, again, in 5-E in your packet.

Originally this nomination had an

2.2

20

21

2.2

23

additional standalone parcel to the east. That parcel was removed at our request by the seller. That needed no updated appraisal work because that parcel happened to have been valued separately by the appraiser. But if there would be any partial potential purchase of the remaining acreage, we would need updated work. This is due to the differing nature of the land. would not be able to do a simple math exercise of acreage and the appraised value.

So, you know, Commissioner, I don't think we really need a formal motion of the board to update appraisal work, but because it is an expense, I would just ask for some general consensus of the board to take that action.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So on the WMA-Crow

Creek Valley Addition, is there any

opposition to the Lands Division moving

forward to get an updated appraisal

where those two properties are broken 1 out? (No response.) 3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Seeing no 4 5 opposition, you have their blessing. MS. POWELL: Great. We'll do that. And we'll 6 7 come back to you with those results, and you can decide if you wish to take any 8 9 additional action at that point. Hold on. Doug is motioning at me. 10 11 MR. DEATON: It would be important to note if you're interested in using the Wildlife 12 1.3 and Freshwater Fisheries match, we would 14 have to get a Yellow Book appraisal 15 update as opposed to just a USPAP 16 update, so just for clarification. MS. POWELL: As long as that is a desire that 17 y'all have -- it may be a little more 18 expense with -- if Wildlife's funds are 19 used, but at the bottom line there will 20 be some expense to updating appraisals 21 and getting them in the proper form. 2.2

Keith, if you need to say anything.

- MR. GAULDIN: Yeah. We would certainly be for that. We have to have a little more stringent appraisals for our Pittman-Robertson Act requirements. We would certainly be for that.
  - MS. POWELL: But, conceptually, if it's something you're interested in, we're just going to have to do what we have to do to get the appraisals where we need them to meet those requirements. But if there's interest in the board seeing what that looks like, then we will proceed.

If there's any opposition, let me know. Otherwise, as Commissioner said, we'll proceed with Wildlife to get this parceled out where you would have an option for making a decision.

MR. HORN: And that's reducing that acreage to 931 acres?

MS. POWELL: Yes.

MR. HORN: Okay.

1.3

2.2

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right.

MR. BALL: Mr. Chairman, I have a couple more. 1 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right, Mr. Ball. 3 MR. BALL: Sir, I'd like to ask for a first 4 appraisal for Coldwater 5 6 Mountain-Phase II Amended. That's 113 acres, Calhoun County. That's one of 7 those interior tracts. 8 9 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Second. MS. POWELL: Just one second. That would be a 10 11 first appraisal? MR. BALL: Yes, first appraisal. 12 1.3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So the motion is 14 for a first appraisal on the Coldwater-Phase II Amended, 113 acres in 15 16 Calhoun County. MR. BALL: That's correct. 17 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Second. 18 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: And it was seconded 19 by Dr. Tolley-Jordan. The motion was 20 made by Mr. Ball. 21 MR. RUNYAN: Which map are we looking at? 2.2 23 MR. HORN: Tab 4, page 1.

1 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So the map on that would be page 4 -- I mean, Tab 4 ... DR. WOODS: Page 8. 3 MR. DEATON: Page 8. MS. POWELL: And we'll give y'all a minute to 5 6 get there and look at that one. MR. RUNYAN: And the Phase II Addition is ... 7 MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I have some 8 9 questions. 10 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. 11 Mr. Runyan, I think, had a question and then Mr. Satterfield. 12 1.3 Go ahead, Mr. Runyan. 14 MR. RUNYAN: I'm just trying to find it on the -- with the legend here. I'm trying 15 16 to find which parcel we're talking about. 17 So it's not something that's in 18 19 current --MS. POWELL: That is correct. It is called a 20 Phase II because it is in proximity to 21 that tract. It is not -- it is not 2.2 23 adjacent. There's not -- it is not an

1	adjacent holding or an inholding as we
2	discussed earlier.
3	MR. RUNYAN: Are these outparcels within it?
4	MS. POWELL: Yes. Yes.
5	MR. BALL: Mr. Chair
6	MS. POWELL: Inholdings just one second.
7	Inholdings within that parcel is
8	your question; right?
9	MR. RUNYAN: Yes.
10	MS. POWELL: Yes. Yes. Where you see the red
11	lines that look like a hole, those are
12	inholdings.
13	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Go ahead, Mr. Ball.
14	MR. BALL: I'd like to withdraw my motion.
15	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yeah. Mr. Ball
16	would like to withdraw his motion. Is
17	the seconder okay with that?
18	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So Mr. Ball has
20	withdrawn his motion, and
21	Dr. Tolley-Jordan has withdrawn
22	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I second the withdrawal.
23	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: withdrawn her

second. 1 MR. BALL: Okay. I have one more. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. 3 MR. BALL: Okay. I'd like to ask for a first 4 5 appraisal for Tannehill-South Addition, 6 422 acres, Bibb and Shelby Counties, 7 please. MR. WRIGHT: Second that one. 8 9 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So the motion was made by Mr. Ball for first appraisal on 10 11 the Tannehill-South Addition, 422 acres in Bibb and Shelby Counties, and that's 12 1.3 seconded by Mr. Wright. MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman? 14 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir, 15 Mr. Satterfield. 16 MR. SATTERFIELD: I have some questions for 17 this. 18 We've got -- throughout these 19 various proposals we have underway, we 20 already have two other proposed 21 purchases that have gone to various 2.2

stages of appraisal, I believe, on other

Tannehill contiguous property purchases; is that correct?

MR. DEATON: Yes.

MR. SATTERFIELD: We've already heard we had the appraisal situation on one of them, on the Ayers property, that's created some difficulty this morning. We've got a second piece of property that we've already got a first appraisal on that's also contiguous. This would be a third proposal.

My concern is I would like to see some semblance of a master plan presented to Forever Wild for how these properties will be acquiesced and how they would be brought into the system, how they will be managed.

I'm troubled by this sort of one-at-a-time process of a piece here and a piece there and a piece somewhere else without having them all linked together to see how the master plan is going to unfold. They have a new

director here who's, I think, in the 1 process of developing a master plan. So I would urge us to hold off on 3 adding any more pieces of property to 4 the mix right now until we're able to 5 6 hear back from the Tannehill folks about what they have -- how they have planned 7 to pull these pieces of property 8 9 together and make use of them. And that's my comment. 10 11 MR. BALL: Mr. Chairman, that sounds logical. So I'll withdraw that motion as well. 12 1.3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, 14 Mr. Ball. So Mr. Ball has withdrawn his 15 16 motion. MS. POWELL: And, Mr. Satterfield, staff will 17 get with the director and work -- and 18 19 try to get some information to bring back to the board. 20 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir, 21 Mr. Woods. 2.2 DR. WOODS: I have a motion for a first 23

appraisal on the Red Hills-Flat Creek 1 Addition in Monroe County. DR. BOND: And I second that. 3 MR. SATTERFIELD. I'm sorry. What was that? 4 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So the motion was 5 to move for first appraisal on the Red 6 7 Hills-Flat Creek Addition. DR. WOODS: Yes. 8 9 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: And who seconded that? 10 11 DR. WOODS: Jason. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by 12 1.3 Dr. Bond. MR. SATTERFIELD: I'm sorry. I still didn't 14 15 hear. 16 MS. POWELL: The Red Hills-Flat Creek Addition. 17 MR. SATTERFIELD: That's the one we heard the 18 19 presentation --MS. POWELL: 12,000 acres. 20 Yes, sir, Mr. Ellis. 21 MR. ELLIS: Mr. Chairman, I would just point 2.2 out, I'll third that motion. I was 23

going to second it but with the caveat that I would personally reserve -- want to reserve the right to see that appraisal and see -- that's a big tract. It would kill our budget for one year. It may be a tract that if it comes in in range and everybody -- if the sellers and the other participants are willing to go forward with it, we might have to break that into parcels. But I support it with that caveat, that I might vote against it if it comes down to be a budget killer when we get down to it.

MS. POWELL: Yes, sir. And that would absolutely be available. I would note to the board I've had some inquiries on appraisal expenses, and that is -- that will be a sizable -- by its nature. It can't be helped. It's a lot of acreage.

MR. ELLIS: Yeah.

MS. POWELL: But just because you have a first appraisal, the process does stop at that point until you receive a second.

But I do think it is important to 1 note that and, also, for -- you know, to note that the board would still have a 3 lot of decisions to make, a lot of work 4 to do, and there is some -- as well as 5 6 confirming what would be available actually in grant funds and when. you can absolutely get a first appraisal 8 9 and see what you have. But I appreciate you noting that so 10

But I appreciate you noting that so that the proposers and the owners can take that into consideration moving forward.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir,
Mr. Satterfield.

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

MR. SATTERFIELD: Are we still in discussion on this?

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir.

MR. SATTERFIELD: I'm confused about what it
is we're proposing because I thought I
heard a tiered-purchase process over a
period of years with some inputs of
maybe some money from the feds and maybe

retaining some timber rights and maybe
this -- and I don't think we have enough
detail information yet to go forward on
even hiring an appraiser to do this.
I've done a little appraising in the
past myself.

1.3

2.2

But there are so many uncertainties in this. Until we get some more details tied down as to exactly what this is and how the Habitat Conservation Plan affects it -- I have seen written

Habitat Conservation Plans myself that prohibit public use of the property.

And I don't think we have that information yet. And I would suggest the board would be very wise to hold up on moving forward on this until staff has more opportunity to work on this.

MS. POWELL: And that's totally up to the board. It's your choice what to do next. So you tell us what to do.

MR. CAUTHEN: I second it.

MS. POWELL: I couldn't hear you, sir.

MR. CAUTHEN: Did he make a motion?

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: No, sir.

So the motion we have on the floor is to move for first appraisal on the Red Hills-Flat Creek Addition, and that's what we're discussing now.

MR. ELLIS: One more item. Sonny, if you'll hold -- just one more item.

Do I understand correctly -- what I heard was that we need to move through that step in order to explore the possibility of whether or not we'll have grant money and so forth. Is that an incorrect assumption on my part?

MS. POWELL: I don't want to disagree with any of the speakers, and they can absolutely present their point. I think you could do further investigation on the tract and what the possible path would be without having an appraisal. The appraisal would give you knowledge of what the total -- if you've got to buy all of it, how much it would be, but

1.3

then if you're interested, gauge how you could apportion it over the years. You don't have to have an appraisal to gain additional information about the tract or the grant opportunity.

I don't want to speak for the other speakers. But I think that this board -- if you have any questions about this tract, now is the time to tell us what those are, let staff pursue those, and look at your money, look at -- we'll have a rough idea of what the cost may be. We can also bring forth and ask for additional information on the various grant programs, which cycle year those grant funds might be available, the likelihood of those.

We were very concerned initially there would be no grant money available due to some -- the current budget before congress was taking out some of those programs. It looks like some of those will have funding after all. But there

are a lot of unanswered questions that we could get more information on if you wanted. However, if you want to value the tract and know the totality of the venture, we can also do that. It's up to y'all.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir,
Mr. Woods.

1.3

DR. WOODS: I'd like to withdraw my motion for a first appraisal and ask the staff to gather additional information.

MS. POWELL: And we will work to do that. I

would say individual board members may
have specific questions. I know we have
the HCP. I know we'll be looking at the
possibility of which grant programs, how
much money, which years, things like
that. But if any of you think of
specific questions you want us to
address, if you would, just let us know,
and we will work on that for you.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir,
Mr. Cauthen.

MR. CAUTHEN: Mr. Chairman, I noticed in 1 looking down this list -- and I've looked down every one of them four or 3 five times for one reason or another. 4 But looking down this list, there's some 5 stuff on here from 2013 and 2014. Staff 6 ought to clean all that up. We don't 7 have any reason to be going back through 8 9 that every time. 10

- MS. POWELL: And let me -- could you hear okay?
- MR. CAUTHEN: I'll say it again. I'm proud of it.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: I think we're on the same wavelength.
- COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So Mr. Cauthen suggested that there were several items on this list that have been on here for a while and that --

I don't want to speak for you,
Mr. Cauthen. I'm just trying to
paraphrase what you said so everybody
could hear.

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

-- that some of those items could be removed if we were not -- if the board was not interested in taking action on those or for whatever reason we've moved past on those properties.

MR. CAUTHEN: Mr. Chairman, obviously there hadn't been a great deal of interest in them or they wouldn't have sat there for almost five years without any action being made on them. And if the landowner is doing something wrong, if the Department is not really interested in them, let's get them off of there where we don't have to go through them every time. And we do -- as commissioners, we go through them every time to see if we've got any interest in them, and it just gets -- it just gets kind of laborious to do that.

So if the staff would just look
through them, and if they're not worthy
of carrying forward, let's get them off.
COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Ms. Powell, do you

1.3

2.2

have a suggestion?

MS. POWELL: Yeah, I do. I want to be -- so everyone will understand, in the past, once we have an appraisal, they remain on the list unless the land -- or have remained unless the landowner has said they no longer want them to be considered or, frankly, they're no longer available. They may have been purchased by someone.

I want to be careful that the staff is not -- I mean, obviously, we can tell by years that something is old. But I don't want the staff to make a decision on what's worthy to keep on or keep off.

So what I would suggest is for the staff to break those down clearly for the board members by year and then let's have a board discussion about which ones to take off. I don't think that's a staff prerogative. There may be a tract that's a large tract that we've not had money on, whatever.

But we do pull off anything the 1 landowner doesn't want considered. we'll group them by years, and then I'm 3 going to ask this board to tell the 4 5 staff which ones to pull off to be sure 6 I have consensus. But we'll make it 7 easy and get it out to the board members. 8 9 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. MS. POWELL: And we can also do that on the 10 short list. I mean, there's another 11 list that there are some old ones that 12 still rank. And we'll do the same for 1.3 14 the short list in the same way. 15 make it as easy as we can, and let's get 16 some board consensus on that. 17 MR. CAUTHEN: That would be helpful. MS. POWELL: Thank you, Mr. Cauthen. 18 19 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you. Any other general discussion? 20 Yes, sir, Mr. Ball. 21 I guess something Commissioner 2.2 MR. BALL: 23 Satterfield mentioned a little while ago about -- we were talking about

Tannehill, the fact that they're working
on developing a master plan for the

park. And one of the things that struck
me when I first joined the Commission

was the fact that we really -- we don't

have a strategy for doing any

acquisitions anywhere. And maybe we've

gotten to a point where we need to have
a conversation as a group about do we

want one.

I would be interested in knowing what our counterpart organization in other states -- how do they -- do they have a plan, do they have a strategy. You know, we have areas that are in mountains. We have coastal areas. And we probably have growth pressures in both of those areas. For instance, maybe you could say, well, for this year, you know, we're going to focus on acquiring as much coastal property as we can or we're going to put a larger focus

on some kind of, you know, river basins or et cetera, et cetera.

I don't know what that would look
like, but it would be -- I think it
would make it less confusing. And maybe
we would have a whole lot less anxiety
trying to decide which ones we need to,
you know, take action on.

MS. POWELL: And, also, certainly any ideas
that the board members would like to
share with us, I mean, today or by
email, we can start gathering those and
presenting them back out.

By the nature of the program, the nomination process, it is sort of a grassroots, you know, nominator-driven process. So I think you are looking at -- once you get those nominations in, you have a strategy of prioritization or, you know, that -- some things Chuck and Wildlife has talked about that led us to Dallas County was looking at some underserved areas from that type of

1.3

view.

1.3

So any suggestions of a -- you know, everybody is going to have a different opinion on how to prioritize, how to strategize. I just want to make sure it's board driven. And so if there are ideas, we can start trying to compile that. But I would ask for board feedback. You can email us or come meet with us, whatever.

- MR. BALL: And I will add that the scoring system, that is extremely helpful. So I want to -- I just want to make sure you know we -- I do appreciate that.
- MS. POWELL: I know exactly what you mean. We just want to make sure that this board is heading where you want us to head. I mean, I know that y'all know. The public may not know. But this board meets by quarterly public meetings. The only executive session that this board has is our brief breakout session that you witnessed today to discuss appraised

values. So the work of this group occurs in the public, and sometimes that makes it looks like making sausage. But that's because you're seeing the work at hand.

But, again, any suggestions the board members have and the more specific you could be on how you might like to see either things prioritized or not prioritized or by any basis, you know, let us know and we'll start working and pulling some of that together.

MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman?

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir,

Mr. Wright.

MR. WRIGHT: I have a question for Patti or from the board here.

I would like to ask the Lands

Division to give us a little more

information about how much land Forever

Wild owns -- maybe DCNR, too -- in each

county. Because we have some counties

that have no public lands at all, and

1.3

we've got other counties that have an 1 awful lot. Like Mr. Ball said here, we just need to know where we need to be 3 acting on property. 4 MS. POWELL: Well, that would be an easy place 5 6 to start. We had broken it down just by 7 Forever Wild acreage, but I think your point about the broader public usage of 8 9 DCNR --MR. WRIGHT: Where is it in the state of 10 11 Alabama by county. 12 MS. POWELL: We'll update that and provide 1.3 that to you by county. We can easily do 14 that. 15 MR. BALL: And you have some counties that 16 don't want any. MS. POWELL: That's right. 17 All right. So is COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 18 19 that all the general discussion? Do we 20 have anything else? 21 (No response.) COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. Let's 2.2 23 move into the miscellaneous reports.

Doug, I think you're going to handle that, Tab 5-A. Tab 5-A.

MR. DEATON: All right. The first thing that
we'll cover -- the first two things
we'll cover are land swap requests.

Both of these were presented to the
board at the last meeting. The first
one I'll cover is in Tab 5-A. It's the
McQuinn Land Swap request. Like I said,
it was first presented at the last
meeting.

And so the request proposed the exchange of 40 acres of Forever Wild ownership for 40 acres of Mr. McQuinn's property. Both of these are located in the Skyline WMA and adjacent to the Skyline WMA.

At the request of the board we had an appraisal done. We received that back. And so that resulted in a value-for-value swap. As you can see there, there is a map showing the acreages and what the resulting swap

would be. And herefore would be no --1 no funds would be exchanged in connection with this transaction. 3 So assuming that the terms of this 4 5 exchange are acceptable by the board, 6 we'll need a motion from the board to proceed with the exchange. And at the bottom of the memo there are some 8 9 suggested words that you could use for that motion. 10 11 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Is there a motion? Dr. Woods. 12 1.3 MR. ELLIS: That's recommended? Y'all recommend this? 14 MR. DEATON: Yes, sir, we do. 15 16 DR. WOODS: I make a motion the board approve the State Lands Division to proceed with 17 the closing of the proposed McQuinn Land 18 Swap as a value-for-value swap as 19 20 outlined in the memo dated November 9, 2017. 21 MR. HORN: Second. 22 23 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Woods made a

motion. Mr. Horn seconded the motion.
Any discussion?
(No response.)
COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All of those in
favor say "aye."
(All board members present respond
"aye.")
COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
(No response.)
COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: None opposed.
Motion carries.
MR. DEATON: The next item is found in Tab 5-B
in your green folder. We were
anticipating the appraisal back prior to
the meeting. We only got it back this
Monday. So we haven't had time to
review that appraisal for what we call
the Slaughter Land Swap. So just a
quick update for you guys.
We received it Monday. We're
starting our review on that process and
also provided it to the landowner so he
could review it, too, to see if he was

still agreeable to moving forward.

So there's no action to be taken today. Just a quick update as to where we are. And just a reminder, it was a proposed exchange of 264 acres owned by the Forever Wild program for 418 acres owned by the Slaughters located in the Upper Delta WMA. So I just want to give you guys a brief update on that.

Any questions about that?

(No response.)

MR. DEATON: The next item we'll cover is Tab 5-C, tract management plans.

As required by the amendment,
management plans must be developed
within one year of acquisition of any
tract. Some tracts require new
management plans whereas those
acquisitions that are adjacent or nearby
existing properties can be incorporated
into existing plans that the board has
already approved.

So today there are seven tracts that

2.2

are adjacent to current holdings that
need approval to be added to existing
management plans, and I'll cover those

very briefly.

The first two tracts are the Weeks
Bay Reserve-Meadows Phase II Addition
and the Bay Road West Addition. We ask
that those be added to the Weeks Bay
Reserve management plan.

The next two are the Dothan Trail
Park-Phase II, Part 1 and 2. There were
multiple closings associated with that
tract. So we ask that those tracts be
incorporated into the Dothan Trail Park
management plan.

The next two are the DeSoto State
Park-Stewart Gap Addition and Tutwiler
Addition in DeKalb County. We ask that
those be included in the DeSoto State
Park-Forever Wild Additions management
plan.

And the final one is the Indian

Mountain-Simmons Addition in Cherokee

2.2

1	County. And we're asking that be
2	included in the Indian Mountain Complex
3	management plan.
4	If the board is agreeable to the
5	recommendations of the staff, there's a
6	motion at the bottom of that memo for
7	staff to read.
8	Are there any questions?
9	MR. BALL: Mr. Chairman?
10	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir.
11	MR. BALL: I would like to make a motion that
12	we approve the Lands Division proceeding
13	with amendments to existing management
14	plans for the inclusion of seven tracts
15	as set forth in the memorandum dated
16	November 9, 2017.
17	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. A
18	motion has been made by Mr. Ball. Is
19	there a second?
20	MR. HORN: Second.
21	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by
22	Mr. Horn.
23	Any discussion?

1 (No response.) COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor say "aye." 3 (All board members present respond 4 "aye.") 5 6 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? 7 (No response.) COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. 8 9 Motion passes. We have discussed the 10 11 Pittman-Robertson with the Rum Creek nomination and the Crow Creek Valley 12 1.3 updates, 5-D and 5-E. I think we've 14 already covered those. MR. DEATON: We'll go to Tab 5-G. And that 15 16 will be in your green folder. provided that today for you guys. I'll 17 give everybody a moment to get to that. 18 This request is from the Canyon 19 20 Valley-Valleyview community on Lookout Mountain for the board to consider 21 allowing use of a small area on the 2.2 23 Little River Canyon Tract for the

establishment of a volunteer fire station. The station would consist of a 20-by-40 building used to house a single fire truck. And this building would be constructed, manned, and maintained by the Broomtown Fire Department. And the addition of this structure, along with recent installation of fire hydrants in the area, would allow for better fire suppression for the local community and for the Forever Wild property.

As you may recall, last year there were several wild fires that broke out in the Little River Canyon area that affected some of Forever Wild's property and the local community. And so this is what's kind of bringing on the request to us.

And, also, with the addition of the fire hydrants -- they realize their vulnerability as a community. And so both State Lands Division and Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division -- we

2.2

have evaluated the request. We believe that the installation of the facility would have a mutual benefit for both Forever Wild and for the local community because we lack that facility to help provide fire assistance and suppression in that area.

It would be the staff's recommendation that the board be considered -- that the request be considered favorably by the board. The exact location of the station has not been defined. If the board is interested in continuing investigation, we'll work with the local fire department to find out where the best spot would be and then, also, finalize a draft permit which we've provided for you guys to take a look at and think about.

So if the board is interested in proceeding, we'll finalize that at the February board meeting for your

consideration.

Are there any questions?

DR. WOODS: Any idea of the size of the area?

I know the size of the building, but the area that they would occupy.

MR. DEATON: The estimated area is maybe like a 60-by-60-foot footprint. That would just give some area to construct the building.

The local volunteer fire department has agreed to pay for the construction of the building. The agreement gives no interest in the land. They've agreed to the terms that if at any point the use of the property is changed or anything like that, that they realize it becomes the possession of the Forever Wild program.

Also, we're giving no interest in the property. And we can terminate that -- we have a 30-day termination clause within the agreement that we provided -- or the permit that we

1.3

1 provided to you guys.

They'll provide for the electricity to run the building, the maintenance, the manning, and the security for the property and also agree that we could use it for storage for the Forever Wild -- for staff as well working in that area.

MR. RUNYAN: Do you need anything from us to continue what the process is here?

MR. DEATON: Just a general concept that you guys are interested in me pursuing a final location and between now and then to review that document to see if you guys agree with the terms. And we'll provide a final document --

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So is there any opposition to our State Lands Division moving forward working with the fire department to have something to bring back to you in February for final decision?

(No response.)

1.3

2.2

```
COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP:
                                  Seeing no
 1
              opposition, go forth.
       MR. CAUTHEN: No opposition to that.
 3
        COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: I think that's all
 4
              of the listed items that I had.
 5
 6
              believe Mr. Wright may have had
              something.
 7
       MR. WRIGHT: We covered it.
 8
 9
        COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: We covered it.
              Excellent.
10
11
                   So the next item on the agenda is
12
              approval of the minutes from the
1.3
              August 10th, 2017, meeting. Those
              are -- that is Tab 6 in your packet.
14
                   Is there a motion to approve the
15
16
              minutes?
        DR. SIMS: So move.
17
        MR. HORN: I make a motion to approve the
18
19
              minutes as presented.
20
        COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right.
                                                The
              motion was made by Dr. Sims. Will you
21
              second her motion?
2.2
23
       MR. HORN:
                   Second, yes.
```

1	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by
2	Mr. Horn.
3	Any discussion about the minutes?
4	(No response.)
5	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor
6	of approving those minutes say "aye."
7	(All board members present respond
8	"aye.")
9	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
LO	(No response.)
L1	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: No opposed. Motion
L2	passes.
L3	The next meeting will be February
L 4	the 8th. The location the suggested
L5	location is in the Beard Auditorium, the
L 6	Department of Ag and Industries Building
L7	in Montgomery. I think by statute we
L8	have to have that meeting in Montgomery.
L 9	MS. POWELL: We have to have it between the
20	1st and the 10th, and historically it
21	has always been in Montgomery due to the
22	presentation of our annual report to the
23	legislature. We could technically meet

1	somewhere else, but through the history
2	of the program it has always been in the
3	Montgomery area to be available to the
4	legislature if they wanted to attend.
5	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you.
6	So is there any other business?
7	(No response.)
8	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Do I have a motion
9	to adjourn?
10	MR. HORN: So move.
11	MR. CAUTHEN: Second.
12	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So moved by
13	Mr. Horn. Seconded by Mr. Cauthen. All
14	of those in favor, "aye."
15	(All board members present respond
16	"aye.")
17	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: And no opposed.
18	Motion passes. We are adjourned.
19	
20	(Meeting adjourned at approximately
21	1:12 p.m.)
22	
23	
	i de la companya de

1	* * * * * * * *
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
3	* * * * * * * *
4	STATE OF ALABAMA:
5	MONTGOMERY COUNTY:
6	I, Tracye Sadler Blackwell, Certified
7	Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State of
8	Alabama at Large, do hereby certify that I reported
9	the foregoing proceedings of the Forever Wild Board
10	Meeting on November 9, 2017.
11	The foregoing 179 computer-printed pages
12	contain a true and correct transcript of the
13	proceedings held.
14	I further certify that I am neither of
15	kin nor of counsel to the parties to said cause nor
16	in any manner interested in the results hereof.
17	This 7th day of January 2018.
18	
19	
20	Tracko Sadlor Plackwoll
21	Tracye Sadler Blackwell ACCR No. 294 Expiration date: 9-30-2017
22	Certified Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State
23	of Alabama at Large