BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: 1 Mr. N. Gunter Guy, Jr., Commissioner Mr. Angus R. Cooper, III 3 Mr. James W. Porter, II Dr. Richard D. Holland Mr. Raymond A. Keller Dr. John Valentine Dr. Robert O. Lawton 5 Dr. Gary Hepp Mr. Frank "Butch" Ellis 6 Mr. H.E. "Sonny" Cauthen 7 Mr. Leo Allen Dr. Michael Woods 8 9 10 11 12 13 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thanks for everyone being here. And the first order of business 14 is I will call the roll and make sure we 15 16 have a quorum. Is Mr. Pate here? 17 18 (No response.) COMMISSIONER GUY: I don't think Mr. Pate is 19 20 here yet. Mr. Porter? 21 MR. PORTER: Here. 2.2 COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Holland? 23

- 1 DR. HOLLAND: Here.
- 2 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Woods?
- 3 DR. WOODS: Here.
- 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Hepp?
- 5 DR. HEPP: Here.
- 6 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Valentine?
- 7 DR. VALENTINE: Here.
- 8 COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Cooper?
- 9 MR. COOPER: Here.
- 10 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Cauthen?
- 11 MR. CAUTHEN: Here.
- 12 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Allen?
- 13 MR. ALLEN: Here.
- 14 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Ellis?
- 15 MR. ELLIS: Here.
- 16 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Lawton?
- 17 DR. LAWTON: Here.
- 18 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Keller?
- 19 MR. KELLER: Here.
- 20 COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Strickland?
- 21 (No response.)
- 22 COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Sims?
- (No response.)

COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. We have a few people missing, but we have a quorum and we can conduct business today.

Again, I want to thank everyone for being here. It's the first meeting of the year. We ran into a little problem in Tuscaloosa and didn't get us a quorum; right, Sonny?

MR. CAUTHEN: That's right.

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: So we've got a pretty good bit of business. So hopefully we'll try to get through the agenda as quickly as possible. We do have a lot of things on the agenda.

I do want to recognize one of our new board members, Dr. Michael Woods.

Dr. Woods, thank you for being with us.

Dr. Woods is a professor and herbarium curator at Troy University. Did I get that right?

DR. WOODS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER GUY: You will have to explain to us what a herbarium curator is.

Also, Mr. Pate, who is not here, I think is -- is Mr. Jackson here?

So Mr. Jackson is here, I think, to represent his interest today. Mr. Pate is a new state forester who took

Ms. Casey's place, and I'm sure he's got other issues going on today. But he will be attending at some of the subsequent meetings. So we appreciate you being here, Mr. Jackson.

I think -- I wanted to recognize a couple of -- one special guest, I think. Is it Mayor Bartholomew from Opp, Alabama?

Mayor Bartholomew, glad to have you with us.

If I've missed anybody else, any of our distinguished elected officials, please let me know. But with that, what I'd like to do is go ahead and enter into our public comment period unless anybody else has anything they want to bring up before then.

(No response.)

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. So at this time we have a few people that have signed up to speak. And, if you would, when I call your name, the microphone is over here to the left -- or our left, your right.

And so the first speaker is Mayor Bartholomew from Opp, Alabama.

MR. BARTHOLOMEW: Good morning. Thank you for having me here today. This is a -- was introduced to us, to the city, as being part of the city program, Forever Wild.

It's a great 400 acres of great green project for the city of Opp. Anytime you can get anything in the city that has a green footprint it's very important to the city. Firstly, it's very important since we have Frank Jackson State Park. It's very close in proximity, within a few miles from the park.

This would be a big asset for our city. One of the assets would be that

we would have a natural area that we could have guests come into our city.

We're a growing city like any other city and struggling through times, and this would be a great asset that we could publish that we have this kind of acreage within our city to be able to do hiking and camping and have access to this kind of land for our young people and older people to be able to be part of.

2.1

2.2

So as a representative of the city of Opp, we really do encourage this program for the city of Opp, and I want to thank you for having me here today.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Mayor, let's see -- if you don't mind. Does anybody have any questions for the mayor about this tract that he's speaking about?

I think this has been before us before. Anybody have any questions?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, Mayor. Thank

(334) 263-4455

you very much.

And Mr. Majors.

MR. MAJORS: Yeah. I've been before you before.

COMMISSIONER GUY: We appreciate you being back.

MR. MAJORS: We've had a change of administration in Opp, and we appreciate Mayor Bartholomew coming down here and speaking on behalf of this tract.

I talked about this tract a good bit before. There's not much more to tell you other than I did put together a map just showing you how close it is to the downtown area. And it's actually -- you know, not all the tract, but part of the tract is in the city limits of Opp.

So we're back here again to tell you that we'd love to see this be part of Forever Wild. We like the proximity to the state park and the fact that, if you remember, the old town of Poley was there which at one time had a sawmill on

this tract and was producing 75,000 feet 1 of lumber a day back in the -- back in 3 the early '30s. So, you know, it's got a lot of 4 history there. There's the raised railroad bed through there where you can 6 7 see the cypress swamps and see a lot of different things through there. And you 8 9 can actually -- if you look on the map, 10 you can walk to downtown Opp from the 11 tract. 12 Any questions? 13 COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions? 14 (No response.) COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, sir. Thank you, 15 16 Mr. Majors. Appreciate it. Stephan Tomlinson from Tuscumbia. 17 Mr. Tomlinson. 18 MR. TOMLINSON: Yes. Stephan Tomlinson. 19 20 a forest manager and consultant for Natural Resources Group in Tuscumbia. 2.1 was with y'all -- some of you last 2.2 December at the previous board meeting

and wanted to introduce myself again. 1 I think that we have a proposed swap 3 between a client of mine, Martin Timber Company, and Forever Wild in Colbert 4 I think it will be discussed 5 County. later on in item seven. But I did want 6 7 to introduce myself to you and thank you for the opportunity to be here. 8 9 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, sir. Any 10 questions? 11 (No response.) COMMISSIONER GUY: We'll take that up a little 12 13 bit later. 14 Mr. Yeager. Charles Yeager. Hey, Charles. And then I see there's -- it 15 16 says the Shepherd family. MR. YEAGER: That's correct. 17 COMMISSIONER GUY: Anybody else that wants to 18 19 speak ... 20 MR. YEAGER: Yes. Hello. My name is Charles Yeager, and I am the manager of Forever 2.1 Wild's Turkey Creek Nature Preserve in 2.2 Pinson, Alabama. 23

3

4

5

7

6

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1 2.2

23

Over the past five years the Turkey Creek Nature Preserve has developed into one of the most visited Forever Wild tracts in the entire state. In fact, many of you may be surprised to hear that we estimate our annual attendance figures to be well over a hundred thousand.

However, Turkey Creek is a relatively small nature preserve, especially for its popularity. One of the most common requests we receive from the public is for the addition of more hiking and biking trails. While the 4.5 miles of trails within the preserve are very popular, space and topography limit the possibilities for additional trails.

Luckily, adjacent to the southern border of the preserve is a 244-acre tract of undeveloped land which is owned by the Shepherd family who are willing to sell. The acquisition of this land

1 sc 2 cc 3 sp

6 generations

sounds like the best way to address the concerns of the visitors seeking more space as well as a fantastic opportunity to see a biologically and historically important tract preserved for future generations.

This week I submitted a letter to

State Lands to officially start the

nomination process for this 244-acre

tract which we are calling the Shepherd

Family tract. I have left a packet with

this letter and details concerning this

property for each of you.

Now, I do want to mention that this was just submitted. So it was not included in, I think, the packet that State Lands gave you guys. So while I am aware that this property has not yet been scored, I am optimistically certain that it should score very high.

Therefore, I wanted to take some time to introduce you to this property and the potential it holds.

2.2

The Shepherd Family tract is an absolutely stunning property that contains incredible sandstone rock formations, mature hardwood forests which contains some of the largest persimmon and oak trees I've ever seen, as well as stands of towering montanes and longleaf pine.

In preparation for this nomination and in an effort to better understand the tract's recreational potential, I have consulted with the Birmingham Urban Mountain Pedalers who happen to be part of the same organizations that worked with State Lands to develop the highly popular trails at Coldwater Mountain as well as those at Oak Mountain State Park and Tannehill.

Their initial investigation of this property has led to a concept that includes 11 miles of multi-use trails.

If these trails were to be developed, they would provide the perfect

complement to those at Coldwater

Mountain and help support a statewide

network of biking trails that already

brings in visitors from all over the

country.

I believe that this is only the tip of the iceberg when it comes to the possibilities for this tract, and I hope that when you see more information about this tract next quarter you, too, will see its potential and put serious consideration into making it part of the Turkey Creek Nature Preserve.

Today I have -- the Shepherd family came out to support this nomination.

And I believe Gwendolyn Bradley here would like to speak a little bit more about it.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Ms. Bradley. Thank you.

MS. BRADLEY: Thank you very much and good

day, sirs.

I'm not quite as eloquent as he is, but we did as a family want to thank you

2.1

2.2

for your efforts in the land that you now conserve and to tell you that we would be so very proud if you considered our property, the Shepherd tract, to put into your trust. The land itself is still pristine greatly due to my grandfather's efforts. I wanted to give you a couple of stories from him.

In the '40s he needed to add on to his land and he needed revenue. He needed to harvest some trees. He had perfect ability to get the bulldozers in and use the equipment, but he brought in the mules and he snaked those logs out and he did not hurt that sandstone and he didn't hurt any of the property there. He was a conscientious steward of the land before we spoke of those things.

After his death, my grandmother, his wife, Daisy Shepherd, never sold. She lived a modest life on her hill. She needed to improve her house. Many

2.2

people said clear-cut it; timber's high. My father was one of those. My mother called her siblings, cut the checks. The timber was not sold. The land was not pillaged. So my family before me has continued their humble stewardship of this land. We would just be so proud if you could keep it pristine for the people of your great state to enjoy.

I live in Comer, Georgia. My land adjoins the most -- the most visited state park in Georgia, Watson Mill State Park, and I see every day how that park helps people in all walks of life enjoy life. So I know the benefits that your great state will enjoy if this land is selected.

The sandstone we call the cliffs. I knew -- I knew as a child that the Hole-in-the-Wall Gang had forts there.

I held the tail of my horse as we -- and my horse pulled me over the top of the

ridge. It was too steep for me to sit on him while he climbed up the ridge. So my fondest, my greatest childhood memories are there. I would love for other children to find the memories that I found there.

I would like to see you help the family keep it pristine, keep it in public use as the neighbors of the Shepherds have always used it. The town -- the townspeople in Pinson were always there to use it while my grandparents were the stewards of that land. We've only now come to sell it since no other family member lives there. That's been recent.

My grandfather had a son who would have kept farming and stewarding that land. His direction was in his father's footsteps. The other siblings went different directions. And my Uncle John Shepherd would have taken over that farm, but we lost him in his prime of

life and that farmer didn't take over.

I plead with you to take over the stewardship of this land, do a better job than we can do. The family is willing to work with you, fair market, fair whatever. If you could consider this land, the family would be forever grateful, and I believe the citizenry of your great state of Alabama would be forever grateful. Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Does anybody have any questions of Ms. Bradley or Mr. Yeager?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Thank you, sir. Appreciate it.

Is it Mr. Athey?

MR. ATHEY: It is.

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay.

MR. ATHEY: I am Thomas Athey, and I've come to advocate to y'all with regard to my family farm. It's been in the family for about 75 years. I had hoped to keep it there forevermore, but with the

children and the situation, it appears
that that won't be the case.

It's a lovely place of about 600 acres. It is quite close to here. In fact, it's about 13.6 miles west of here. It's on the Lowndes

County/Montgomery County line. It's about 200 acres of pasture and about 400 acres of timber. There's a good deal of turkey and deer there that there's been no hunting pressure on for a number of years. It's a working farm.

Basically, if I can't keep it in the family, I would like to see it continue as it is in the same uses. I have about three miles of navigable creek off the Alabama River, Pintlala Creek, which y'all may or may not be familiar with. I could take time for the rest of the day to describe it, but what I would like to do is invite any or all of you to come out and look at the farm and see what you think of it and go forward from

there if there's an interest. I thank 1 you for your time. 3 COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Athey, before you sit down, does anybody have a question? 4 What's been the current use of the 5 6 particular property right now? 7 MR. ATHEY: Well, it's a personal residence and it's a farm. We grow a little hay. 8 9 It's about 200 acres of pasture. 10 we've harvested the timber and replanted 11 it with the super trees. And that is 12 what its use is currently. You may --13 if you're familiar with Old Selma Road, I am just past the county line. 14 Yes, sir. 15 16 MR. CAUTHEN: The three miles of navigable creek you say you have --17 MR. ATHEY: Yes, sir. It's about --18 MR. CAUTHEN: Well, is it Pintlala Creek? 19 Ts 20 that --MR. ATHEY: It is Pintlala Creek, yes, sir. 2.1 And it -- as far as I'm aware, my place 2.2 is the last navigable place up Pintlala 23

1	Creek.
2	MR. CAUTHEN: Is Jake's still open?
3	MR. ATHEY: Sir?
4	MR. CAUTHEN: Is Jake's still open?
5	COMMISSIONER GUY: Jake's.
6	MR. ATHEY: I think they've reopened it within
7	the past two months, you know, but I can
8	only apologize for that.
9	COMMISSIONER GUY: I don't know if Mr. Cauthen
10	should have asked that question.
11	MR. ATHEY: I'm actually on the other side of
12	the blacktop there. You go on past
13	Long's Trailer Park, and I've got a farm
14	back off the end of that dirt road
15	there. My northern boundary is the
16	railroad track and it goes there
17	southward, you know.
18	COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir. All right.
19	Thank you, Mr. Athey.
20	Anybody have any other questions?
21	(No response.)
22	COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, sir.
23	Mr. Barnhill Ms. Barnhill.

Excuse me. Ms. Barnhill, I apologize.

MS. BARNHILL: Good morning. I'll be short.

He doesn't like to speak in public, so I get to do it.

We first came before you all in
September and met with you down in
Dothan and presented just shy of a
200-acre parcel on the Perdido River.
It is surrounded by Forever Wild
property and is something we've used for
recreation over the years. Beautiful
river there. Just felt like it was
something that we would love to see be
put in the Forever Wild Trust. It makes
sense. That's our opinion.

There was an appraisal done on the property, our first appraisal in December. And, really, we were kind of passing through here today and found out the meeting was going on and just wanted to come back and say we're still interested and hope you folks will see that it might be something positive for

the rest of the land trust. 1 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you. Does anybody 3 have a question for Ms. Barnhill? (No response.) 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, ma'am. 5 6 MS. BARNHILL: Thank you for your time. 7 COMMISSIONER GUY: Appreciate it very much. That's all the speakers that I have 8 been given a card for. So did we miss 9 10 anybody that had signed up? 11 (No response.) COMMISSIONER GUY: So at this time, by 12 13 regulation, on motion we will go into executive session. Appraisal values are 14 confidential during periods of 15 negotiation. Accordingly, in order to 16 discuss tract appraisal values, the 17 board will need to go into recess for 18 executive session. Is there a motion 19 20 for the board to now recess? MR. ALLEN: Motion. 2.1 MR. CAUTHEN: Second. 2.2 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. All in favor 23

say "aye." 1 (All board members present respond "aye.") 3 COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed? 4 (No response.) 5 6 COMMISSIONER GUY: We will take approximately 7 20 minutes, maybe a shorter time. So we'll try to be as quick as possible for 8 those in the audience and return 9 10 somewhere between ten and 20 minutes and resume our meeting. At this time let's 11 go into executive session. 12 (Recess for executive session was 13 14 taken at approximately 10:23 a.m. and the meeting was called back to 15 order at approximately 10:41 a.m.) 16 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Thank you very 17 At this time we will resume our 18 much. meeting. And the first order of 19 20 business is -- I'm supposed to say what time. 2.1 Have you got the time? 2.2 The first order of business is a 23

program status report from Mr. Chris Smith.

MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. Thank you,
Commissioner.

The first item in the program status report is an update of the financial information. And this is located in Tab 1 of your meeting package. You see on the first page there's some information about the balance as of January 13th, 2014, a list of nominations in various stages of closing and negotiation, and then your current available balance. And I'll run through all that now.

Your current balance as of

January 13th, 2014, was \$21,833,000.

You currently have ten nominations that

are in some stage of closing, and they

are the Heron Bay nomination, which is a

582-acre tract down in Mobile County;

Weeks Bay Reserve-Swift Number 1

nomination, 63 acres in Baldwin County;

Weeks Bay Reserve-Swift Number 3 1 nomination, 40 acres in Baldwin County; 3 the Cahaba River-Shelby County Park Addition, 460 acres in Shelby County; 4 the Sipsey River Swamp-2012 nomination, 5 6 633 acres in Tuscaloosa County; Sipsey 7 River Swamp-T.H. Robertson nomination, 1,889 acres in Tuscaloosa County; the 8 9 Riverton Community Hunting Area-Hog 10 Hollow nomination, 507 acres in Colbert 11 County; the Riverton Community Hunting Area-RMK nomination, 20 acres in Colbert 12 13 County; the Sipsey River Swamp-Springer nomination, 939 acres in Tuscaloosa 14 15 County; and the Walls of Jericho-Hurricane Creek Addition, 277 16 acres in Jackson County. 17 Again, those are ten nominations 18 19 that are in some stage of the closing 20 process.

In addition to those ten nominations, you have two nominations that are in the negotiation phase of the

23

2.1

2.2

purchasing process, and they are the Big Canoe Creek Preserve nomination, 381 acres in St. Clair County, and the Dothan Trail Park nomination, 387 acres in Houston County.

Considering all of the adjustments associated with those 12 nominations, your current available/unencumbered balance is \$9,311,000.

On page 2 --

COMMISSIONER GUY: Can I ask a question?

MR. SMITH: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER GUY: The Dothan Trail Park, you said it's under negotiation. What is it that's still under negotiation?

MR. SMITH: The update on that is that all of the four landowners that were associated with that -- all of the four landowners that were selling their property that were associated with that nomination have all accepted the offer, and we are in the phase of getting the second or confirming appraisal and the

2.1

2.2

Environmental Phase 1 Assessment done. 1 And I think all of that was ordered 3 right at the beginning of this year. So it should -- we should be headed toward 4 the closing of those soon if all that 5 6 comes in line. 7 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you. MR. SMITH: On page 2 --8 9 MR. PORTER: Hey, Gunter, can I ask a 10 question? COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, Mr. Porter. 11 MR. PORTER: What triggers an Environmental 12 Phase 1 Assessment? Is it -- we don't 13 14 do them on every one, do we? MR. SMITH: Yes, sir, we do. We do. 15 16 basically when we -- that's kind of one of the final -- once we go into the 17 18 ordering the second appraisal phase, we get an environmental assessment done as 19 20 well. MR. PORTER: So we do it on every tract? 2.1 2.2 MR. SMITH: On every tract. 23 MR. PORTER: Thank you.

MR. SMITH: Yes, sir.

On page 2 of Tab 1, you'll see a list of all of the nominations that were purchased in FY13 and the two that have been closed in FY14. The two that have closed in this fiscal year include the Riverton Community Hunting Area-Ables Road Addition, 160 acres in Colbert County, and the Blue Springs State Park-West Addition, 100 acres in Barbour County.

And the final page in Tab 1, you'll see an update of the Forever Wild stewardship fund. The budget authorization for FY14 is \$1 million, and you will see that currently there has been 141,000 spent toward various maintenance and reforestation projects.

Just as a reminder, the only spending that's done out of that fund is the interest that's generated off of that Forever Wild stewardship account.

And that is all spent towards

maintenance and stewardship on Forever
Wild properties.

And so that kind of completes an update of the financial information. So I'll move into the overview of appraised nominations, Tab 2, unless somebody has a question.

Tab 2, you see the overview of appraised nominations. These are nominations in which the board has passed a motion to get a first appraisal done. These include the Geneva State Forest WMA Addition, 1,624 acres in Covington County; the Weeks Bay Reserve-Swift Number 2, 29 acres in Baldwin County; the Ryan Creek, 460 acres in Cullman County.

I mention that because, you know, this was on the list of getting a first appraisal, but -- and we didn't know this information before we sent your meeting package out. But since we've sent that information to you, we've

learned that that nomination is no longer available. And that's the Ryan Creek, 460 acres, in Cullman County.

The next nomination is Sipsey
River-Pruett, 274 acres in Tuscaloosa
County, and the Perdido River
WMA-Barnhill Addition, 191 acres in
Baldwin County.

And I guess -- actually, I'll mention as well that as of yesterday, I think that we did close on two of the -- you know, I mentioned there's ten nominations that are in some stage of closing, and yesterday we did close on two of those. And those are the Weeks Bay Reserve-Swift Number 1 and Weeks Bay Reserve-Swift Number 3 nominations.

So that's it on Tab 2, you know, the overview of appraised values. If anybody has any questions, I'll be happy to answer them. Otherwise, I'll move into the grant update.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Please, sir.

2.1

2.2

MR. SMITH: All right. Just for the benefit of the new board members and, also, for the audience, I thought I'd mention that the State Lands Division routinely evaluates nominations that come in to see if that nomination fits the criteria of different federal land acquisition grant programs. So at each meeting at this time, you know, we update the board of any new proposals that were submitted or any proposals that have been funded.

And it just so happens that since our last meeting I'm able to report some good news, that the National Coastal Wetlands Grant Program proposal that was submitted last June -- this proposal was written by our Natural Heritage section staff within the State Lands Division. We've received word that that proposal has been awarded and will result in the amount of \$464,000 in support of the Lillian Swamp nomination. And that's a nomination that consists of 694 acres

.

1.3

down in Baldwin County adjacent to other Forever Wild Land Trust holdings.

An update on a previously awarded
National Coastal Wetlands Grant. Back
in 2011 we were awarded -- we submitted
a proposal associated with the
Portersville Bay and Heron Bay
nominations. In 2012 we received word
that that proposal was awarded. And
we've had -- we've closed on the
Portersville Bay property, but we're
having title issues with the Heron Bay.

And so we haven't seeked reimbursement because of the issue we're having with the Heron Bay nomination in closing, but we've been working with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service over the last year or so trying to resolve the title issue. We haven't come to a resolution yet, but the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service did give us permission to go ahead and seek the reimbursement for the Portersville Bay purchase. So

soon we will be getting 75 percent roughly -- or 72 percent of the purchase price of that nomination will come back into the Forever Wild Land Trust Fund.

And, lastly, on the grant update -and I guess it's kind of fitting to talk
about the closing of the Swift Number 1
and Swift Number 3 Weeks Bay Reserve
nominations because both of those were
associated with a National Oceanic
Atmospheric Administration grant that
the staff at the Weeks Bay Reserve had
gotten that is in the amount of
\$150,000. And so \$75,000 of that
150,000 will go toward each of those two

purchases.

entering the phase of evaluating
nominations and seeing if any of the
current nominations will fit the
criteria of any of these federal
programs and -- because generally in the
summer and then next fall is when we

And we will continue kind of

submit proposals. So we will continue to evaluate that. That's all I have on the grants.

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions for Mr. Smith about any of those issues that he's just discussed?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Thank you, Chris.

At this time we're at the part of the program where we have discussion by board members of anything on your mind, whether it be a request for a first nomination or request for purchase or any other question you might have of staff or anything else. So do I have any -- anybody -- let me recognize Dr. Valentine first.

DR. VALENTINE: I would like to move for the

Perdido River WMA to have a second

appraisal with -- I don't know that I

ever get the words exactly right -- with

an intent to purchase.

COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. So we have a 1 motion for a second appraisal and 3 purchase of the Perdido River WMA. MR. ALLEN: I'll second. 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. There's a second. Any discussion on the motion? 6 7 (No response.) COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor say "aye." 8 9 (All board members present respond 10 "aye.") 11 COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed? 12 (No response.) COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries. 1.3 14 Mr. Cauthen, I saw your hand. 15 MR. CAUTHEN: Yes, sir. And thank you for 16 recognizing me. I would like to make a motion that 17 18 we do a first appraisal on the Virden-Pritchett tract in Covington 19 20 County. It appears to me that it's rather unique, and it's got some support 2.1 from the city down there, as we've 2.2 heard. And the site of the former town 23

1	of Poley is important to me because I've
2	got a first cousin named Poley.
3	COMMISSIONER GUY: So there's a motion for a
4	first appraisal on the Virden-Pritchett
5	tract. Do I have a second?
6	MR. ELLIS: Yeah, I'll second that.
7	DR. HOLLAND: Second.
8	COMMISSIONER GUY: Let me see who's okay.
9	Mr. Ellis.
10	A motion and a second. Any
11	discussion on the motion?
12	(No response.)
13	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
14	say "aye."
15	(All board members present respond
16	"aye.")
17	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
18	(No response.)
19	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
20	MR. COOPER: I would like to make a motion
21	that we get a first appraisal on the
22	Lillian Swamp tract.
23	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Motion for a first

1	appraisal on Lillian Swamp.
2	MR. PORTER: I'll second.
3	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Was that
4	Mr. Porter?
5	MR. PORTER: Yes, Sir.
6	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. There's a motion and
7	a second. Any discussion on the motion?
8	(No response.)
9	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor say "aye."
10	(All board members present respond
11	"aye.")
12	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
13	(No response.)
14	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
15	Any other discussion?
16	MR. COOPER: Yes. I'd like to make a motion
17	that we get a second appraisal and
18	option to purchase for the Sipsey River
19	tract.
20	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay.
21	MR. CAUTHEN: I second that.
22	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Second by
23	Mr. Cauthen. Any discussion on the

1	motion?
2	MS. POWELL: It's hard to hear out here. I
3	just want to be sure it was a second
4	appraisal and proceed with purchase.
5	COMMISSIONER GUY: That's what it was.
6	MS. POWELL: Okay. I'm sorry. It's just
7	COMMISSIONER GUY: No problem.
8	So a motion and a second for second
9	appraisal and purchase on Sipsey
10	River-Pruett tract, I believe, is
11	actually the way it's described;
12	correct?
13	MR. COOPER: That's right.
14	COMMISSIONER GUY: Any discussion on that
15	motion?
16	(No response.)
17	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
18	say "aye."
19	(All board members present respond
20	"aye.")
21	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
22	(No response.)
23	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.

1	Any other discussion? Any other
2	business that y'all want to take care of
3	right now?
4	DR. LAWTON: Yes. I'd like to move that we
5	proceed with second appraisal and
6	purchase of the Geneva State Forest
7	Addition.
8	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Dr. Lawton moved for
9	a second and purchase on Geneva State
10	Forest WMA Addition.
11	MR. COOPER: Second.
12	MR. PORTER: I'll second.
13	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. It sounds like
14	I got both maybe Mr. Cooper and
15	Mr. Porter. I guess it was it
16	Mr. Porter?
17	Whoever it was, I'll just
18	MR. PORTER: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER GUY: call on Mr. Cooper since
20	I heard him quicker as a second.
21	All right. Any discussion on the
22	motion?
23	(No response.)

COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion 1 say "aye." 3 (All board members present respond "aye.") 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed? 5 (No response.) 6 7 COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries. Any other discussion? 8 DR. LAWTON: Yeah. I'd like to move that we 9 10 proceed with first appraisal on the Little Coon Creek Addition of the 11 Skyline Wildlife Management Area. 12 13 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Say that again, Dr. Lawton. 14 DR. LAWTON: Move for first appraisal on 15 Skyline Wildlife Management Area, the 16 Little Coon Creek Addition, 1100 acres 17 in Jackson County. 18 MR. PORTER: I'll second it. 19 20 COMMISSIONER GUY: Second by Mr. Porter. Yes, sir. MR. PORTER: 2.1 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. So the motion 2.2 and a second. Any discussion on the 23

motion? 1 (No response.) 3 COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor say "aye." (All board members present respond 4 "aye.") 5 6 COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed? 7 (No response.) COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries. 8 All right. Any other discussion? 9 10 (No response.) Hearing no COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. 11 other discussion on that part of the 12 13 agenda, we'll move into the 14 miscellaneous reports section. there are a number of these items. And 15 16 so we'll go through them as quickly as we possibly can, but I just want to make 17 sure we get everybody informed about 18 them. 19 20 So, Chris, I think you start out with, first, our annual report. 2.1 MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. We had a few 2.2 supplemental items to provide we didn't 23

have ready when we sent out your meeting package.

In a manilla folder in front of you, you should have found the supplemental information. And one of those items in that folder is the FY13 Forever Wild Land Trust annual report. On behalf of the board, we prepared and submitted that report to the Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Speaker of the House, and the legislature. That report is required by the amendment to cover any of the expenditures and actions of the previous year as well as plans for the upcoming fiscal year. And so that report is there for you to see that we have reported on the nine acquisitions and the financial information and everything of FY13 on there. And it's been, I think, by ...

Also -- yes. I'm sorry. And we will post that on the Website as well as providing it to the legislature and the

2.2

23

1	Governor, Lieutenant Governor, and
2	Speaker. That will be on the
3	Alabamaforeverwild.com Website.
4	COMMISSIONER GUY: Just to remind everybody,
5	that's for it's for '12-13; right?
6	MR. SMITH: It would be October 1, 2012, to
7	September 30th, 2013. So FY13 fiscal
8	year, yes, sir.
9	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Thank you.
10	Any questions for Mr. Smith about
11	the annual report?
12	(No response.)
13	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Thank you,
14	Chris. Go ahead.
15	MR. SMITH: The next item is tract management
16	plans. We've got five acquisitions that
17	need to have management plans approved.
18	Four of those five are adjacent to
19	already existing Forever Wild Land Trust
20	holdings.
21	Yes. I'm sorry. And all of those
22	items are found all of these
23	miscellaneous items are in Tab 3. The

tract management plans are in Tab 3-A.

COMMISSIONER GUY: And let me say again, I really appreciate the staff doing the tabs. I think everybody can tell it's much easier to get to where you're speaking. So I know it's a lot of hard work on that. So please stress to the staff our gratefulness for that.

MR. CAUTHEN: I'll second that.

MR. SMITH: So in Tab 3-A you see a cover memo mentioning the tract management plans that we need to get approval on. Like I said, there's five tracts that we need to move forward with. Four of those five are adjacent to existing Forever Wild holdings, and so I'll kind of run through those first.

The first one is the Jacobs Mountain tract. It's in Jackson County. It has become an addition to Skyline -- the James D. Martin-Skyline Wildlife
Management Area. And we recommend or are asking that that tract management

plan be just included in the other Walls 1 of Jericho/Henshaw Cove management plans 3 that have already been approved. All of those kind of serve the same 4 WMA/recreation area/nature preserve 5 6 purpose. And so we're recommending that 7 the Jacobs Mountain tract just be folded into the Walls of Jericho management 8 9 plan. 10 COMMISSIONER GUY: Can I ask as just a point of order right here? 11 MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. 12 13 COMMISSIONER GUY: I know you're going to need a motion. Why don't you go through 14 them, and could we -- can we do the 15 motion for all of them unless we want to 16 separate them out at one time? 17 I think we can do a motion for all 18 MR. SMITH: of them. I was just going to cover all 19 20 of them and then --COMMISSIONER GUY: Let's just -- before I 2.1 ask -- I'll give everybody an 2.2 opportunity to ask questions. But just 23

go through the rest of the plans as you need to, and then we'll have a discussion at the end.

MR. SMITH: Yes, sir.

The next one is the Tom Ray swap.

That's located in Lauderdale County and is an addition to the Freedom

Hills-Lauderdale WMA. And, again, we just recommend that that Forever Wild tract is folded into the already-approved Freedom Hills WMA management plan.

The third one is the DeSoto State
Park-River City Addition. It's located
in DeKalb County. And this one serves
DeSoto State Park and also serves a
previously purchased Forever Wild tract
called the Knotty Pine tract. So our
recommendation is just to fold the
DeSoto State Park-River City Addition
into the Knotty Pine management plan.

The fourth one is the Cathedral Caverns Cedar Winds tract. This one

also serves a state park -- serves the
Cathedral Caverns State Park, and it is
an addition to the Cathedral
Caverns-Kirkland purchase which has an
approved management plan. So our
recommendation is to just fold this
Cathedral Caverns Cedar Winds tract into
that already-approved Cathedral
Caverns-Kirkland.

So all four of those serve already-approved existing management plans. So our recommendation is to fold them into those existing management plans.

The fifth one, the Live Oak Landing
Forever Wild purchase, we have drafted a
new management plan for that one, and
that is included in your package. Even
though that does -- that tract is
located to adjacent Forever Wild
holdings down in the Mobile-Tensaw
Delta, you may recall that that purchase
was made kind of as a complex of

different Baldwin County Coastal Impact 1 Assessment Program funds, State Coastal 3 Impact Assessment Program funds. And so the intention there was to have -- you 4 know, have that improved boat landing 5 6 and, you know, more of a recreation area 7 and nature preserve area as opposed to wildlife management area. So we drafted 8 9 a new management plan for your 10 consideration there in your package. So that covers those. I'll be happy 11 12 to entertain any questions. 13 COMMISSIONER GUY: On the Live Oak Landing 14 tract plan --MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. 15 COMMISSIONER GUY: -- was that discussed with 16 the Baldwin County folks, or did you 17 talk to them about that at all? 18 MR. SMITH: We've had discussions with them 19 20 over this past year about the plans. There hasn't been anything concrete 2.1 about the trails and the different 2.2 things that's been discussed this whole 23

time. So we haven't identified like 1 specific things in the management plan. 3 But, yes, we've had some discussions with Baldwin County about the future 4 overall plans of all of those 5 6 properties. 7 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Just continue to have that discussion with them so we can 8 coordinate that, please. 9 10 MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. DR. LAWTON: Chris, I noticed that in our 11 supplemental materials there is a Live 12 13 Oak Landing Pasture Lease Request. MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. 14 Is that compatible with this 15 DR. LAWTON: 16 plan? MR. SMITH: We can discuss -- I mean, it's a 17 separate, I guess, request or item, but 18 it is associated with that Live Oak 19 20 Landing. And I guess we --COMMISSIONER GUY: We've got that down further 2.1 on the list, Dr. Lawton. 2.2 DR. LAWTON: Okay. Well, if we're not to 23

it --

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: It's really unconnected,
but, you know, it's a good point. I
guess it would depend maybe on what we
do there. We could -- if we could -- we
could take that up now if it's
important. I mean, we -- you know, if
you'd like to hear about that now, we
can take that up now.

- DR. LAWTON: It's important, but we can touch on it later. That's fine, yes.
- MS. POWELL: Commissioner, I would suggest that, along that line, looking at the management plan and approval of that plan and addressing whether this request we have received -- later if the request we have received would be consistent with the management plan for the tract is one additional question that might be asked in relation to that point.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Right. And there's a few issues on that, Dr. Lawton, that I was going to bring up when we got there.

But if you want to, we can go ahead with 1 this and --3 DR. LAWTON: Yes, let's go ahead. COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Any other 4 questions? 5 6 Good question, Dr. Lawton. Ι 7 appreciate you bringing that up. (No response.) 8 COMMISSIONER GUY: So we need a motion, then? 9 10 MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. 11 I need a -- we can either make a motion separately, or 12 13 we can just make a motion for all five 14 plans to be adopted at once. MR. ALLEN: I make a motion to accept all 15 16 plans and adopt them at once. COMMISSIONER GUY: A motion. Do we have a 17 second? 18 DR. LAWTON: Second. 19 20 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Appreciate it. Who's the second? 2.1 DR. LAWTON: Me, Bob Lawton. 2.2 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Dr. Lawton. All 23

1	right. Appreciate it.
2	All right. There's a motion and a
3	second. Any discussion?
4	(No response.)
5	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
6	say "aye."
7	(All board members present respond
8	"aye.")
9	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
10	(No response.)
11	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Those are
12	passed.
13	All right. So if you would I
14	know, Chris, you're also you're going
15	to talk about the Portersville Bay
16	MR. SMITH: I'm just now getting started on
17	several of these next items.
18	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay.
19	MR. SMITH: And we've got some other folks
20	here to help answer questions.
21	But the next item is located in
22	Tab 3-B, and it's associated with and
23	some of these some of you board

members may recall. We talked about all 1 of these management plans and several of 3 these items we're getting ready to cover at the December meeting, but we weren't 4 able to act on them because of not 5 6 having a quorum. But we went ahead and 7 did discuss them. So some of them will be the same information, but, now, we do 8 have a few new items also associated 9 10 with what was discussed in December, one of which is the Portersville Bay Tract 11 12 Oyster Culture Lease Request. 13 In your package you'll see that we 14 15 16

received -- or you received a letter from a Mr. Travis Stringfellow posing the question would there be interest in leasing the water bottoms south of this Portersville Bay Forever Wild nomination. A couple of items to mention.

I think Mr. Stringfellow is here today as well as director of Marine Resources, Chris Blankenship. At the

23

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

December meeting there were several questions, and we asked Chris to come here so that he could talk a little bit about how this is done and that kind of

stuff.

But a couple of things I will mention that we did not discuss in December, one of which is that this Portersville Bay, you may recall, I just mentioned awhile ago it was associated with a National Coastal Wetlands Grant, and until that grant is closed, any -you know, we won't be able to move on any kind of lease or anything like that associated with that because of the confinement of some of the grant language. But I just thought I would mention that. I failed to mention that during the December meeting. So I just wanted to mention that.

COMMISSIONER GUY: I know Chris is going to talk about it. And obviously a number of you weren't there because we didn't

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

make a quorum that day, but it really was just generally discussed. I know Sonny and some others were there who might recall, but -- so we brought Chris Blankenship back, our Marine Resources director, who's been involved in discussions with Mr. Stringfellow and Mr. Nelson. Chris Nelson is also here.

And I think what would be best is -we've got them here to answer some
questions, but maybe, Chris, you
could -- just remember that a number of
people have not heard anything about
this. This will be the first time. So,
if you would --

MR. BLANKENSHIP: Sure. I'll try and give a quick overview of oyster leases and how this works in the coastal areas for some of you that may not be familiar.

Individuals or entities that own property adjacent to the water, they have certain rights, and one of those is the riparian rights or the rights to

23

gather and plant oysters under the statute within 18 -- essentially within 1800 feet of the shoreline. These areas in Portersville Bay, those areas in Heron Bay and Grand Bay, some of the areas along the coast that have current leases where individuals have leased that right from -- one is the Regions Bank Trust, Tensaw Land & Timber, and some other just individuals that own the property plant and gather oysters in front of their properties. And then they file those leases or the deeds with the Marine Resources Division, and then we keep track of all of that. And then our officers enforce keeping people that aren't on the lease off of those -- off of those areas.

The areas are -- these leases are on the bottom. So it's shell or oysters that are put on the bottom. It's not up in the water column or any -- generally there's no structures that are placed.

Oysters are put on the bottom and then people come out and harvest those.

The ones that have these leases in front of their properties have piers that they may access the oysters that way. But this particular tract and other ones in Portersville Bay, they come to those by boat from Coden or Bayou La Batre to come out and harvest the oysters and then take those back. They're not necessarily accessed across -- across the property. So there's no real development or anything that happens on the land. All of this is on the water bottoms adjacent to those properties.

The area in Portersville Bay, this tract was leased previously. The riparian rights were leased by a man named Paul Ford back in the '90s and early 2000s and so -- when it was owned by the previous property owner. And I think that looking at Mr. Stringfellow,

his request was to take over that --1 those water bottoms and do the same 3 activity that had previously been done there. 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: Can you remind the board --5 6 or, Chris, can you remind the board when 7 we acquired the property? Do you have that readily --8 9 MR. SMITH: We acquired it in 2012, January of 2012. 10 11 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. 12 MR. CAUTHEN: Who from? 13 MR. SMITH: I believe the family's name was Dezauche. 14 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. 15 16 DR. LAWTON: I've got a question. COMMISSIONER GUY: 17 Okay. If there was a prior lease for 18 DR. LAWTON: oyster cultivation there, what happened 19 20 to those oyster beds? MR. BLANKENSHIP: The oysters in Portersville 2.1 Bay, like most of our oyster reefs, were 2.2 affected by the oyster drill -- it's a 23

predator for the oysters -- in late

2000. So it -- the oyster drill

decimated or ate most of the oysters

that were on this lease. And so there
is some shell there, but there's not

any, as far as I know, appreciable

amounts of harvestable oysters

currently. And Mr. Ford, I guess, did

not renew that lease back in the 2000s

sometime, the last that we have on

record.

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Lawton, I've got a
question. I know Mr. Stringfellow and
Mr. Nelson are here, too, and we asked
them to come. And if you would rather
hear before more questions, we could
just ask them to stand up and talk about
this briefly. I know you've got
something in your packet. Would you
like to do that, Mr. Stringfellow?

MR. STRINGFELLOW: Good morning. If I get
tongue-tied, this is my first time

speaking in public.

1

3

4

5 6

7

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

2.1

2.2

23

What we're doing -- what we're trying to do is we're trying to get those leases to get oysters growing back down there to have some oyster reefs. You asked the question what happened to the other oysters. Like Chris explained to you, the drills got to them, but a lot of it is -- an oyster reef -- if you do not work an oyster reef and keep it going, it's going to die like anything else.

And this reef is -- it's covered with mud -- most of it -- now. So we're going to have to go out there and spend money to put barge loads of shells or broken concrete or whatever -- now, I'm talking about little small pieces of concrete, not concrete -- little pieces of concrete out there on the reef to cover the bottom so that the oyster cultch can catch to it, produce, reproduce, and make oysters out there.

So what we're looking at, we're

looking at 280 acres, but it's not a
usable 280 acres because you've got to
come -- we're estimating about a hundred
feet off of the coast to where it will
be workable water. And that's what
we're trying to do as far as getting the

oyster growing.

Because before the whole Gulf

Coast -- we have been just annihilated since Katrina. We've had Katrina.

We've had the oil spill. It's one thing after the other. Used to we'd get oysters from Texas all the way to

Apalachicola, all the way up to

Maryland, and we'd buy them by the 18-wheeler loads and process them.

Before we would get 40, 50,000 pounds a day. Now we're begging to get 40,000 pounds in two weeks.

So what we're trying to do is we're trying to follow our buddies over in Louisiana where Louisiana has taken and just leased all their property out to

property owners. And so what we're trying to do, we're trying to get our water bottoms leased out so we can start growing our own oysters like Louisiana is doing. Because Louisiana has got a ton -- well, they did have a ton, but now they have a few. But if it wouldn't have been for the owners and it wouldn't have been for the state leasing that property out, we would have been out a long time ago.

MR. NELSON: All that is very accurate, and I just -- was just going to say my company is Bon Secour Fisheries, Chris Nelson.

We're -- I'm fourth generation in the business. Travis has been in the industry for quite a while as well.

Traditionally, our company -- in fact, my great-grandfather started growing oysters similarly to what we're proposing to do on this leased bottom.

And it would be to stabilize the bottom with some sort of cultch material, allow

for a natural set of oysters to occur on that cultch material and then go back and begin to harvest it. This process takes two-and-a-half to three years really to get a crop of oysters in, in general. I don't know about this area. We may get it quicker. It may take longer even.

But it doesn't -- the harvesting process does not impinge on the ecosystem services that the reef provides continuously. Once you have oysters growing on that reef, you don't harvest all of them like a row crop. You don't go in and pick them all up and then have to go back and replant the whole thing.

The idea is to get a growing reef there and then you have some natural mortality occur. And that shell that is provided by the natural mortality of the growing oysters is new cultch material for the larval oysters to settle on

and -- whenever they settle, primarily in the spring but also in the fall. So once you get sort of a critical mass of material on the bottom, you can have a continuous harvest from that and still have a thriving and vital reef there.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

And so we're -- as Travis described, we're under a lot of pressure right now in other parts of the coast, along the Gulf Coast particularly where we like to get our oysters, to get enough oysters to keep our processing plants going and keep product into the marketplace. so we're looking closer to home to try to accomplish that. And we're not coming to you because you're Forever We're coming to you all because Wild. you happen to be the landowner of this particularly good -- potentially good piece of farmable -- oyster-farmable bottom. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Would y'all mind just holding it right there. And I know

Dr. Valentine had his hand up first.

I'm sure we've got some questions.

DR. VALENTINE: This question may really go to Chris, but --

MS. POWELL: We have a bunch of Chrises.

DR. VALENTINE: Chris Blankenship.

As you know, I've been pretty supportive of lower Alabama getting the oyster aquaculture off the ground because I believe the fishermen need to be able to feed their families. only concern is given the volume of cultch I just heard -- and maybe this is taken care of by the distance that you have from the shoreline -- is trying to avoid whatever seagrass habitats that are out there who benefit from shrimp and all the rest, from them surviving. And if you could do this, you could get a win/win. You could improve water clarity by doing this and at the same time improve habitat for the rest of the fishermen.

23

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

So that's probably more comment than 1 question, but I'm hoping that that's 3 been factored into where you would place the cultch. And that's my only issue. 4 I've got one, Gunter. 5 MR. CAUTHEN: 6 COMMISSIONER GUY: Do you need to address 7 that, or is that --There is a -- in the permit MR. BLANKENSHIP: 8 9 that allows this to go on there's a 10 provision that you can't do this over 11 submerged aquatic vegetation. And so 12 those areas are protected. They would 13 not be allowed to plant cultch material over those grass beds now. 14 COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Cauthen. 15 16 MR. CAUTHEN: Is it anything -- can oysters be fed, and if they can be fed, what do you 17 feed them with? 18 MR. BLANKENSHIP: They filter -- they 19 filter-feed from the water. 20 MR. CAUTHEN: I understand that. But you put 2.1 something in there? 2.2 MR. BLANKENSHIP: We would not in the open 23

waters of Portersville Bay. 1 You probably can in a closed system like 3 you'll see sometimes with oyster aquaculture work that's done to seed 4 oysters. But primarily even those, they just continue to pump water through 6 7 there, and then the oysters filter what they need out of the water. 8 9 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. So, Travis or 10 Chris, I have a couple of questions. 11 MR. STRINGFELLOW: Yes, sir. 12 COMMISSIONER GUY: And I know y'all have talked to Chris Smith and Chris 13 Blankenship quite a bit, but let me --14 so I was looking at the documentation 15 16 here, and there's a pretty substantial investment that you have to make. 17 18 MR. STRINGFELLOW: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. And so without 19 20 getting into the weeds, so to speak, what would in your estimation be the 2.1

you would need, you know --

2.2

23

minimum number of years on a lease that

MR. STRINGFELLOW: If we did this and you okayed it and authorized it, the minimum lease that I myself would be willing to sign would be 20 years. I don't know about you, Chris. I'm thinking 20 years. Because with that type of money we're going to be putting in there, we've got to be able to recoup our money.

COMMISSIONER GUY: And I want you to understand why I'm asking. I'm very supportive too.

MR. STRINGFELLOW: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER GUY: But there are -- and I'm going to -- you know, there's a couple of things I want to let the board know.

We need -- there's still some issues we need to weed our way through, get our way through. Y'all probably had some of them discussed with you, you know, legal requirements. I think this is maybe one of the first times we've done this,

Ms. Powell; right?

2.1

2.2

MS. POWELL: 1 Yes. Something like this. COMMISSIONER GUY: 3 So I'm just trying to get some idea from you first. And so you're saying 4 you would need at a minimum 20 years in 5 order -- in your mind? 6 7 MR. STRINGFELLOW: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GUY: Do you guys recognize or do 8 9 you understand that there's a 10 possibility, too, that we have to get appraisals and that it might be open for 11 others to bid on that as well? 12 13 MR. NELSON: And what I was going to say is I understand that the length of the lease 14 would probably depend on that as well. 15 16 And so we might -- you know, depending on what your needs are as well, we might 17 be willing to look at, you know, seeing 18 if the culture activity there is 19 20 feasible. You know, it might could be

But Travis is right. Typically leases in other parts of the country for

done over a shorter period of time.

2.1

2.2

bottom leases are more long-term because 1 of the investment that you then can't 3 typically go back and take out. It's hard to go back and get that cultch 4 material after you've placed it on the 6 bottom. 7 COMMISSIONER GUY: And I think -- and I just want y'all to understand where we are --8 9 And I think you do because I know 10 you two guys well enough. I know Chris really well. 11 -- as to what -- some of our 12 13 obstacles we've got to get through, I think, as a board. So you've answered 14 those questions. 15 16 And then you understand right now that there's a grant-issue problem that 17 18 would hold us up no matter what we do today; right? 19 20 MR. NELSON: How long before that is resolved? COMMISSIONER GUY: I was going to ask Chris 2.1 just to explain to the board a little 2.2

bit more about that grant issue.

MR. SMITH: We are currently in -- I mean,
we've had an extension on that grant to
try to work through the title issues of
that Heron Bay nomination. Currently
that grant period ends December 31st of
this year, of 2014, so -- and I'm -just based on what we've seen so far, it
would probably take us most of that time
to try to resolve these issues we're
having with that other nomination.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. So we really couldn't act on it until we got that resolved; is that right, Chris?

MR. SMITH: That's correct, yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. And now I've got one more question, and then I'm going to keep my -- Mr. Blankenship.

Chris, could you just tell me on management issues -- so let's just say we did this. Who is responsible or who would normally be responsible -- you said something earlier. Our guys try to patrol that for the landowner, but, I

1.3

2.1

2.2

mean, obviously we can't guarantee -you know, I would assume that somebody
couldn't come in there and, you know,
take oysters off your lease unless we

5 knew about it.

MR. BLANKENSHIP: And it would be a violation if somebody does not -- does not have the permission of the riparian right owner or the lessee to harvest oysters from that reef. And we've done this, you know, in the past. We still do it on certain areas that are under lease now.

The landowner or the lessee provides us a list of the names of the people that are allowed to harvest there.

They're required to keep the perimeter of these areas, these leases, marked so that our officers can identify what is under lease. And then if we see -- if they have people that are harvesting oysters there that are not -- don't have permission, then that's a violation and

they're ticketed. And we would do the
same with this lease or any other lease
that comes up.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. And then my last

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. And then my last question: Do either one of you have a lease on any other property currently?

MR. NELSON: No, sir.

MR. STRINGFELLOW: No, sir.

COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. That's all the questions I have. Thank you.

Mr. Cauthen.

MR. CAUTHEN: Doesn't the lessee have some responsibility about protecting his own interests out there, in other words, sit there with a high-powered rifle and --

MR. BLANKENSHIP: Well, it is in the statute that the Marine Resources Division is responsible for -- as long as the lessee or the landowner abides by those parameters that are in the statute with marking it and providing us information, we are obligated under the statute to patrol that.

23

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Ellis.

MR. ELLIS: I'm assuming -- and you don't need to respond to this if it's accurate -- that this in no way interferes with me as a member of the public fishing over the top of it for speckled trout or whatever I might be looking for; that's okay?

MR. BLANKENSHIP: That's correct.

MR. ELLIS: All right. The other question

that we were talking about is -- and I

think you broached the subject. I would

assume that this probably, it being a

state entity, would have to be subject

to competitive bidding. Is that -- has

anybody looked at that in advance?

COMMISSIONER GUY: Do you want to address it,

Ms. Powell?

That was one of the -- there's a couple of issues that I think Chris and Travis are aware of that we need to probably get some of our staff to work through.

1.3

2.1

2.2

MS. POWELL: I'll give you a brief thumbnail.

There is a state statute called the Land Sales and Leasing Act that applies to all state agencies. And if an agency is to dispose of -- whether by sale or by lease, basically transfer an interest in land that they own to a private individual, if the value of that transaction -- and, for example, if you have a lease, the value of the lease over the -- whatever the period is -reaches an amount under that statute of \$20,000, which would generally be looked at -- if you think you're getting close to that, you would have to get an appraisal to be comfortable whether you were bumping up and hitting that or not.

If you hit that 20,000-dollar threshold, the Land Sales and Leasing Act sets out both a public notice -- publication of notice in certain newspapers requirement and then

1

3

thereafter a bid process, a bid

opening -- sealed bid opening and then

the appraisal would set the floor. That

statute is designed to be sure the

public has notice of opportunities when

a state agency is disposing of land and

also to be sure that the state is

getting fair market value, also, as much

as they can, through the bid process.

2.1

2.2

If it's under 20,000, however, you would -- and we would be very careful in evaluating that -- you may not have a legal requirement to bid it out, but you may from a policy standpoint decide that you wanted to do that. That's pop quiz for --

COMMISSIONER GUY: So what I was going to suggest to the board is because of the grant issue that currently exists, as I understand it -- Chris, you correct me if I'm wrong -- we couldn't have a motion to actually proceed with the appraisal and the leasing of this right

1 now anyway.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

What I was thinking is -- if the board thought appropriately the same, is that I just wanted everybody to hear what the proposal was. And if everybody, you know, thought that it was a good idea and they would be interested very much in seeing this possibly moving forward, then we could just let the staff go ahead and maneuver through the grant issue. We need to work a little bit with our legal staff to figure out about a lease, you know, get with Chris -- the two Chrises maybe and talk about management. And then there's some precedent issues that we just need to think about because to my knowledge -and some of the members of this board that have been on here longer -- we've never done anything like this to my knowledge. So there is some precedent issues.

I, like Dr. Valentine, would love to

23

see this happen. I think it's good for the coast. It's good for people in the oyster industry and all of those things good, but at the same time I'd like for the board to be as informed as possible before they make a decision on this.

So that was where -- that was where my particular head was. And given the fact that this grant issue is presently an obstacle, Travis and Chris, I mean, I think that we could continue to work with you through the details until we can figure out what we need to do.

Is that right? Am I still right about the grant issue? We couldn't move forward today if we wanted to; correct?

MR. SMITH: That's correct, yes. We would need to close that out before --

COMMISSIONER GUY: Well, I don't know if we really need a motion, but if everybody is -- I'm sorry. Yes, Chris,

Mr. Nelson.

TELCON: Voc din Thank was

MR. NELSON: Yes, sir. Thank you.

2.1

2.2

1 2

3

6

7

5

8

11

10

13

12

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

I quess what I would be really appreciative of is just a sense of the group whether or not there is any general opposition to pursuing this. And as far as the precedential aspect of it, you're exactly right. However, as you all acquire more and more coastal land -- Alabama's coastline is only about this long -- and given the market situation and the availability of oysters in the oyster business, I think if it's not Travis and me coming to you, there are going to be others asking you to do this. So just, you know, for all those reasons it would be good to know if you're generally -- I'm getting the sense that you're generally inclined to do this if it's possible, legally and otherwise.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes. And let me just say that it's not just oyster aquaculture.

We've got to be -- you know, there's other properties that -- I mean, it's

not like I'm -- so I'm very -- I'm in favor of it. You know, we could have a motion just to proceed with further -- if anybody wants to have a motion like that.

MR. CAUTHEN: I want to make a motion.

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Mr. Cauthen.

MR. CAUTHEN: I want to make a motion that we proceed with every -- every part of this, but the part that I'm going to be most interested to see is how you structure a lease on something like this. Because if, you know -- and certainly if it's not going to exceed \$20,000, then let's just pull all this staff off and move on to something else because -- so, Patti, we're going to ring another bell, I feel sure. But I don't see any reason for us not to proceed with this. So I make that motion.

COMMISSIONER GUY: So your motion is just to proceed -- let staff proceed with all

the issues and --1 MR. CAUTHEN: Yes, sir. 3 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Any second to that? 4 MR. KELLER: Second. 5 Second. 6 MR. COOPER: 7 MR. CAUTHEN: We can always stop. 8 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. So there's a 9 motion and a second on just proceeding, 10 not actually to do --Just general instruction for the 11 MS. POWELL: staff to continue with discussions and 12 13 try to narrow down the remaining issues that the board would need to make 14 15 decisions on and present at the next 16 meeting. COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Mr. Porter. 17 MR. PORTER: Mr. Chairman, wouldn't it be 18 better to have something in the nature 19 20 of just -- that the board has a consensus that they want this to 2.1 continue to be explored and see if it 2.2

can be done?

23

COMMISSIONER GUY: And that's kind of where I was going to begin with, but, I mean, whether it's a motion -- well, Patti, does it matter?

2.1

2.2

- MS. POWELL: Commissioner, honestly, I don't think -- I don't see anything that you're asking us to do or seem interested in the staff doing to require a motion. We would not take the step of actually entering into a lease or proceeding with expenses to this board without coming back. And we'll be back in, I think, June and we can talk more then.
- COMMISSIONER GUY: Well, so let's just do
 this. My feeling is that there's a
 consensus that we would like to see this
 proceed by the staff to further explore
 all the issues that we have out there.
 So unless I hear differently, then we'll
 just -- we'll let you do that.
- MS. POWELL: And I would ask the board as you -- the board members, as you

continue to think about this, if you have additional questions that are specific you would like the staff to follow up on, please just let us know if there's anything other than what's been discussed.

COMMISSIONER GUY: And Mr. Cauthen will withdraw his motion; is that right?

MR. CAUTHEN: No. But I'll let it die for lack of a second.

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: It actually got a second.

It got a second.

MR. CAUTHEN: All right. I'll withdraw it. COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you very much.

So I want you gentlemen to know -- I think you've heard that we are very interested in this, and not just for our sake but for your sake we need to make sure that the staff -- and they've been working on it, I know, already. And I think you hear that we really want to try to find a "yes." We just need to get a little more information. We need

to get through this grant issue and then 1 understand, I think, critically about 3 appraisal, lease information that this board needs to understand so that we can 4 actually make a decision. Is that 5 6 fair? 7 Yes, sir. Thank you for your MR. NELSON: time. 8 9 MR. STRINGFELLOW: Yes, sir. 10 COMMISSIONER GUY: And if you'll just continue to work with the staff, Travis and 11 12 Chris, I would appreciate it. 13 MR. STRINGFELLOW: All right. We appreciate your time. Thank you for hearing us 14 out. And if you have any questions, 15 16 just call us and feel free to ask. COMMISSIONER GUY: And thank you very much for 17 18 coming up today. I very much appreciate it. 19 20 MR. ELLIS: Gunter? COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir. 2.1 MR. ELLIS: One quick thing. As part of that 2.2 study, I would be -- several of us would 23

be interested in what benefits other 1 than the \$20,000 or \$200,000 would 3 result to the ecosystem down there. That seems like it would be a critical 4 factor more than the money. Is this 5 6 good or bad for that environment? 7 MR. STRINGFELLOW: You want me to answer? Well, what you're going to be doing 8 9 is you're taking a flat bottom right now, 280 acres, that is nothing there. 10 There's nothing there. You go out there 11 12 on your boat. You can sit there all day 1.3 long and not even catch a rock because there's nothing there. 14 15 We're going to go in. We're going 16 to put this cultch material in. We're going to make a thriving oyster reef. 17 MR. ELLIS: Have fish above it? 18 MR. STRINGFELLOW: Sir? 19 20 MR. ELLIS: Have fish above it? MR. STRINGFELLOW: I didn't hear you. 2.1 MR. ELLIS: Have fish running above it? 2.2 MR. STRINGFELLOW: Oh, there's going to be --23

2.2

yeah. It's proven fact. I mean, like a friend of -- a guy that we do business with, Steve Crockett at Point aux Pins Oysters, he started the farm-raising in the baskets. You go out there anytime you want to and buy oysters from Steve and he's got to shake the crabs out of them because it's a habitat for them. And around every oyster reef people go -- in Portersville there's only one little oyster reef that Chris and them made about four or five years ago. That's the only place you go catch a fish because it's the oyster reef.

So we're going to take a mile and a half of coastline that is nothing there and a mudflat that's nothing there and we're going to turn it into a fishing haven. So if you guys do this, then you come down. Me and you will jump on a boat and we'll go catch some fish.

MS. POWELL: Mr. Ellis, though, but we will -- we'll ask Chris Blankenship, director of

our Marine Resources Division, to 1 provide some informational material and 3 include that for the next meeting. COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Valentine. 4 I would add one more thing, DR. VALENTINE: 6 and that is, if this is designed 7 properly, you could help manage the Forever Wild property that's owned 8 because the reef would serve like a 9 10 breakwater and erosion from the big storms would not reach the marshes that 11 we purchased. So I think there's 12 another win for Forever Wild in this. 1.3 14 COMMISSIONER GUY: The next meeting, I think, is in June; right, Patti? 15 16 So we'll try to have as many of these issues ironed out for you guys and 17 get another report back to the board. 18 And I appreciate your time. 19 20 MR. STRINGFELLOW: All right. Thank you. Thank you. 2.1 MR. NELSON: COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. 2.2 That was a good discussion and we needed that. 23

And, Chris and Drew, I think y'all have a report on some timber issues -- land swap. Excuse me.

MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. In Tab 3-C you'll see a proposal that was submitted by Stephan Tomlinson representing Martin Timber Company. And this has been a proposal that has been in discussion for the last several months about swapping some Martin Timber Company land with some Forever Wild property up in the Riverton Community Hunting Area. And I think that Mr. Tomlinson was at the December meeting and answered some questions the board had.

Drew Nix with the Wildlife and
Freshwater Fisheries Division is here to
answer any questions and talk about it.

I think the main interest from Wildlife
and Freshwater Fisheries' position is
this swap allows some access to some
parts of the WMA that we currently don't
have, shores up some management issues

and that kind of thing.

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: And for those that were at the December meeting, you might remember this is the one that had the issue about the site prep; correct?

MR. SMITH: That is correct.

COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. So, Drew, do you want to speak to it or -- because there's a lot of people that weren't here.

Does anybody have any questions?

Because at that time didn't somebody

stand up for the Wildlife and Freshwater

Fisheries Division and say they were

interested in --

MS. POWELL: I think maybe if you would just give an overview, Drew, of the proposal and the discussions from the last meeting for the -- and state the overview of this proposal is where I was going.

MR. NIX: Would it possibly be better for

Mr. Tomlinson to make the whole proposal

to the whole --

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: Well, we don't really -well, I'll ask the board what they
want. But, really, I think there just
needs to be an understanding about what
Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries would
like to do as far as this swap was
concerned because there are a number of
people that weren't there in December.
So I just --

MR. NIX: Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries is in favor of this swap. Like Chris said, it gets us some access issues off the eastern side of the Freedom Hills

Management Area, in the Mount Mills area. It also provides a tract that might add to the Cherokee Physically

Disabled Hunting Area off of Highway 72, and we're in support of the swap.

COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Does anybody

have any -- so this would take a

motion -- let me just go ahead and

mention that -- to make this swap;

correct, Chris?

2.1

2.2

MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. It would take a motion to -- and basically the motion would be to maybe get a first appraisal on our portion to Mr. Tomlinson and Martin Timber Company, and they would handle appraising their portion of the proposed swap acreage. And then we would bring that information back to the board for their --

COMMISSIONER GUY: So all we can do is have a motion to get the appraisals to see if we could do the swap; is that correct, Patti?

MS. POWELL: I believe that's a necessary next step on this. And, also, if anybody has any questions on the maps that were included to see the acreage, we can have Drew address those.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Can we --

MS. POWELL: But, yes, I believe the first step would be -- we have to be careful on the swap that we are equal value.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Can we just -- can we make
a motion that if the appraisals come
back where they are equal that you go
ahead and do it or does it need to come
back here is what I'm trying to figure
out. I'm trying to figure out how we do
this for a swap.

MS. POWELL: Yeah. And it's been a while since we've actually had this scenario.

But I'll look down for a nod from David Dean, from our legal counsel.

David, if you could just look up here. You don't have to stand up here. But I believe if we were to receive a motion to proceed with obtaining the appraisals and then proceed with the swap, assuming that those values were —the acreage associated with the swap produced equal value under those appraisals. Would that be correct?

MR. DEAN: Yes.

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: Or would you rather just do it in parts, do the appraisal first and

then come back and do the actual swap?

MR. DEAN: The only issue, Commissioner Guy,
is that we have to be careful, you know,
just as far as them lining up right, and
within that whole thing, you know, there
might have to be adjustments here and
there to be sure that the values equal.

2.1

2.2

MS. POWELL: But I believe because we would not be -- it would not be a transfer of funds. What we're seeking through the appraisals is to be sure that the values associated with the swapped acreage would be the same. So, therefore, it's really not an expenditure. It's moving forward with the swap and that closing. I think we could -- if that was the board's desire to do it all in one motion and at one time as opposed to doing the appraisal work, reporting back in June and making another motion, that we could.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Does it have to be exactly equal, or is there some --

MR. DEAN: As long as it's --1 COMMISSIONER GUY: Within ten percent? 3 MR. DEAN: Well, if we end up having to pay money, then --4 COMMISSIONER GUY: Then we would have to come 5 6 back. 7 MR. DEAN: -- that's okay, but you would have to authorize that. 8 9 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. So really --10 MR. DEAN: But if the other party had to pay 11 money, that creates a legal issue. 12 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. So it sounds like we 13 just need to go ahead as far as a motion with just letting -- if the board wants 14 to, with the appraisals first because 15 16 you've got to come back possibly. MS. POWELL: I think that that would be a fine 17 step and it would allow us to come back 18 before the board and clarify any 19 20 additional questions if there are any. COMMISSIONER GUY: Well, that was my 2.1 question. Does anybody -- Dr. Holland, 2.2 23 have you got --

DR. HOLLAND: No. I make a motion for that, that first step to get an appraisal.

COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Dr. Holland makes a motion for us -- a motion to proceed with the proposed land swap subject to -- well, really the appraisals for the land swap. The motion is to go ahead with the appraisals for the proposed land swap.

MS. POWELL: Commissioner, I'm sorry. Could I have just one second?

COMMISSIONER GUY: Sure.

1.3

2.1

2.2

MS. POWELL: Commissioner and Board, I

apologize. I didn't mean to -- when we

were up there talking about -- what I

was trying to do to be sure that in

meeting all these legalistic things that

I didn't ignore a practicality that was

an issue that needed to be brought

before the board as to timing on the

ground. There does not appear to be an

issue with what has been motioned here

with proceeding now just with the

appraisals.

2.1

2.2

you were there in December and we couldn't move on this, but I just want to make sure we get it right. And I think -- I was just talking to

Mr. Cooper. I think in the past we've done it this way. And then when it comes back to the board, if there's differences we've got to work out or issues with a different price or different appraisal, then the board has to make that decision; correct?

MS. POWELL: Yes. And, now, the only reason I took a moment, again, is to be sure I was not creating a practical problem, which we are not by proceeding with --

COMMISSIONER GUY: Well, Dr. Holland's motion is to proceed with these appraisals toward the swap, and we'll just have to bring it back after we do the appraisals. Is there a second to the motion?

(334) 263-4455

MR. PORTER: Second. 1 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Heard a 3 second. All in favor of the motion say 4 "aye." 5 6 (All board members present respond 7 "aye.") 8 COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed? 9 (No response.) 10 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. So we'll proceed with that ASAP. 11 All right, Mr. Smith. What's next? 12 The next item is located in 1.3 MR. SMITH: Tab 3-D. We also included in that 14 15 supplemental information folder a couple more maps. We noticed that when we sent 16 the package information out to you that 17 that copy of our maps didn't really show 18 up real well. Plus, we kind of made 19 20 some improvements to it so you could see a little bit more about what we're 2.1 talking about. 2.2 We had received a request from a 23

landowner or a representative of a landowner down in Baldwin County that owns some property adjacent to the Forever Wild Clearwater tract, and they have submitted a request to see if the board would be interested in selling an easement or actually -- they would like to actually purchase an easement to access their property.

You can see these maps I'm talking about, the map in your package and the better map in the supplemental folder.

There's a road that comes into the wildlife management area, and that is a gravel road. And then you'll see a little mark that goes off to the southwest, and that is a road that you travel through -- that leaves the actual main entrance into the WMA and goes to their property.

In our conversations with this landowner or his representatives -- actually, the representative that I've

2.1

2.2

been talking with is a fellow by the name of Clint Flowers. He is here at the meeting today if there's any questions that come up as we discuss this topic.

But as I explained to them, we routinely issue annual renewable permits to cross. We also have temporary permits to cross. And so he's aware of these other things that we typically do or have done in the past, but he was interested in seeking, you know, the possibility of actually purchasing a deeded easement.

And then so I -- this would require for him -- for the landowner at their expense to get an appraisal done to appraise the footprint of this easement area. So they haven't done anything like that because they want to make sure that this was, you know, something that we have the option of doing an annual renewal or something like that or

whatever the board would like to do. 1 COMMISSIONER GUY: And just so I'm clear, if 3 the board was interested in pursuing this, we would still have to go forward 4 with the appraisal and get legal to --5 6 MR. SMITH: We would not -- we would go 7 forward with the appraisal at the landowner's expense. And you may recall 8 that this -- this was done with a tract 9 10 in the Yates WMA maybe about two years ago. So this is basically a similar 11 process where we will get an appraisal. 12 We would order it at the landowner's 13 14 expense and then come back, present that 15 information to the board. You know, if 16 you wanted to proceed, the landowner would then have to pay that amount to 17 then have this easement. 18 COMMISSIONER GUY: So the -- and I know 19 20 Mr. Flowers is here. Is Mr. Flowers here? 2.1 MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. Mr. Clint Flowers is 2.2 here. 23

COMMISSIONER GUY: So the owner currently

is -- just for the board, is having to

traverse a private road through Forever

Wild property in order to get to his

property?

2.1

2.2

MR. SMITH: That is correct. Currently -- on the maps that we have currently, the road that's highlighted -- the portion that's highlighted is the portion that's inside the Clearwater Forever Wild Land Trust property, which is also part of the Upper Delta WMA. So, yes, they come in on Forever Wild -- the road is on -- it's not a public road.

road, it looks like, on this map, and it looks like you turn off that road before you get to the Forever Wild. Is that public road there before it actually goes onto the trust land there, or is that private too?

MR. SMITH: I think it's public up to where it enters our property, the Forever Wild

Land Trust property. 1 DR. LAWTON: Chris, I've got a question. 3 Exactly where is the road? The two maps have differing 4 information on where the road --5 MR. SMITH: One is the topographic map. 6 The 7 road is the black highlighted area. DR. LAWTON: Yes. And then it continues on 8 9 through more of our property? 10 MR. SMITH: Correct. That road -- the part that's highlighted in black would be the 11 part that this landowner is interested 12 13 in purchasing an easement to access. The road continues on --14 DR. LAWTON: The road as it exists doesn't go 15 16 through his property at all? MR. SMITH: That's correct. The road does 17 continue on all the way to the river 18 through the Clearwater tract. 19 20 COMMISSIONER GUY: I just want to be clear. So, Mr. Flowers, you might -- so from 2.1 that public road, which I assume on this 2.2 map is the one I'm looking at --23

MR. FLOWERS: If you've got the Google 1 photograph, I highlighted the easement 3 road in blue. That's basically --MR. CAUTHEN: We're looking at a plat map --4 The Google -- do we have a 5 COMMISSIONER GUY: 6 Google? 7 MR. CAUTHEN: -- out of a plat book. That's what we're looking at. 8 9 MS. POWELL: Give us one second. We're going 10 to get oriented on the same map so that everybody -- hold on one second. 11 12 (Brief pause.) 13 MS. POWELL: Okay. And I'm going to hold up for another second until I kind of get a 14 nod from the board that everybody has 15 16 found this map and is ready for us --COMMISSIONER GUY: There's not a Google map in 17 That's what I'm saying. So we here. 18 19 don't have a Google map. 20 MS. POWELL: You do not -- he brought one with him, but y'all do not have a copy of 2.1 that. We're trying to work off a map 2.2 23 that everybody has.

COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Go ahead.

2.1

2.2

MR. FLOWERS: Basically the red line on this map reflects the same road. Where the red line begins is where the public road ends. As I understand it, that's where the Forever Wild gate is.

One thing that wasn't pointed out is this road is the access road and has always been the access road before

Forever Wild existed in this area. They just want to get it on paper. And it's approximately 2800 feet, a little over half a mile. They're basically just -- in my opinion, just want to turn a prescriptive easement into a deeded easement. And they're willing to do it at their expense. They're just looking for approval to proceed.

COMMISSIONER GUY: So currently we have not kept the owner off the property or any lessees off the property?

MR. FLOWERS: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER GUY: I know that there was a

legal issue with this earlier, about a 1 year or so ago, that I dealt with with 3 some lessee on the property. MR. FLOWERS: Right. 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: Are they still -- do they 5 6 still lease the property? 7 MR. FLOWERS: Yeah. That wasn't about ingress/egress as much as it was about 8 9 just quiet use of the property like with 10 the gun range being right behind their hunting lease. 11 COMMISSIONER GUY: Correct. 12 Yes. 13 MR. FLOWERS: That's not really related to 14 this at all. This is just something we would like to do. 15 16 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Yes, sir, Mr. Porter. 17 MR. PORTER: Going back to that shooting range 18 business. Did the lessees sue us for 19 20 nuisance or something like that because of the --2.1 They were just making a --2.2 MR. FLOWERS: No.

23

I don't even know that I'd call it a

complaint, but just making the board 1 aware of it. And the property was then 3 nominated for purchase. I came up then and spoke to the nomination, but it 4 I don't know why, but 5 didn't proceed. 6 it didn't. But that was really the end 7 of it. MR. PORTER: I've got another question. 8

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir, Mr. Porter.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

MR. PORTER: Just so I understand, looking at this map, the red portion of the road that pre-existed that you colored red is already a road that's maintained by Forever Wild?

MR. FLOWERS: As I understand it. You know, usually these roads in my experience will be joint maintenance based on -- you know, if my client logged over it, they would be responsible to maintain it. But they haven't. So that's been a non-issue.

MR. PORTER: So you -- I believe you said something to the effect that they had a

right of way by prescription you felt?

- MR. FLOWERS: In my opinion, yes, sir.
- MR. PORTER: Yeah. But the portion that jags off to the southwest, that would be -- is that a present road now for ingress and egress?
 - MR. FLOWERS: That's the road that they use for main access. There is another road that comes in the northwest corner behind the gun range. It appears to be -- primarily have been used as a logging road because there's a cypress and tupelo gum pond in the middle of the property that kind of hinders you from hauling through the middle of the property.
 - MR. PORTER: So if we do what you want us to do, then this road would -- it would no longer belong to Forever Wild. It would be y'all's property and y'all would maintain it?
 - MR. FLOWERS: No, sir. They would just have a deeded right to use it.

2.1

2.2

MR. PORTER: So this is just a deeded right of way?

MR. FLOWERS: Correct. They would basically pay you for it without taking title to it based on y'all's required procedure.

COMMISSIONER GUY: And, Mr. Porter, I just -just because you -- you remembered
this. What we did, the road that went
behind the range, there was a concern by
the lessee that they might get shot.

MR. PORTER: Okay.

other access to their property that they didn't have to go behind the range, we closed that off and locked the gate.

And we felt that was the most appropriate thing to do given the concerns they had about somebody going behind the range and maybe accidentally getting shot. We didn't think that would happen, but we did that.

So this is the other means that they can enter the property, but they still

2.1

2.2

have to go down this road -- is what 1 you're saying -- to get to that 3 entrance? MR. FLOWERS: Just before you get to the gun 4 range, you turn left, go a couple of 5 6 hundred feet into the corner. 7 COMMISSIONER GUY: That's right. DR. WOODS: One more question here. 8 9 COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Woods. 10 DR. WOODS: Will the gate still be closed at the entrance to the Forever Wild land? 11 12 MR. FLOWERS: To my knowledge there's no 13 request to do otherwise. They just --14 you know, they just want to have a deeded right to use that road. And, you 15 16 know, if something were to change -- not in the near future, but long-term --17 they just want to do this to the benefit 18 of the property. They don't want to 19 20 change anything else. Mr. Cauthen. COMMISSIONER GUY: 2.1 2.2 MR. CAUTHEN: Where was the range? 23 COMMISSIONER GUY: The range is over here

actually. It doesn't show up on the 1 map, but they were having -- they were 3 going around -- I'm just showing you. But on the map, they were going -- there 4 was a road over here, and they were 5 going around. The range is right next 6 7 to the Tensaw Partners property here. don't know what direction that is. And 8 9 then they were going around and entering 10 on the back side. And we closed that 11 off. But the range was set up by Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries on 12 13 that property. 14 MR. CAUTHEN: On the --COMMISSIONER GUY: On the Forever Wild 15 16 property. 17 MR. CAUTHEN: On our property, yeah. 18 COMMISSIONER GUY: Yeah. They had two ways of 19 getting in the property, and they were using the -- they were going around the 20 range because it was easier access or it 2.1 was less intrusive to the hunting area. 2.2 MR. FLOWERS: Well, and there's a wet flat in 23

the middle of the property and a gum pond basically.

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: So let me just ask

Mr. Smith. This is just for

clarification now. So if we proceeded

with this, tell me again how this would

proceed. What would we need to do?

MR. SMITH: We would order an appraisal at the landowner's expense, and then what we did when we did this with the Yates property is we brought that back to the board for their final approval and then executed the easement.

COMMISSIONER GUY: So all you need now is a motion for an appraisal and then come back after the appraisal is obtained to make that -- for the board to make the determination of whether to proceed?

MR. SMITH: That's correct. What we'll do

when we come back is we'll have the

actual easement document for the board

to review to make sure that they're okay

with the language. And that's what we

did with the Yates tract. And then 1 after that -- after the board approved 3 it, there were some adjustments to the language, which we will just follow the 4 same adjustments that the board approved 5 6 then and bring that back to the board. 7 COMMISSIONER GUY: So what we -- if the board is interested, what we would be doing at 8 this time is just asking for an -- a 9 10 motion for an appraisal? I make that motion. 11 MR. KELLER: 12 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Mr. Keller, a motion 13 for an appraisal. 14 MR. ALLEN: Question. COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir. Question. 15 16 MR. ALLEN: What width are we talking about? That road is -- it's your 17 MR. SMITH: 18 typical -- it's not like a two-lane It's your typical road that goes 19 road. 20 through the woods. It's gravel just like -- you know, typical of maybe a 2.1 county dirt road would be -- it's 2.2

probably roughly 20 to 25-feet wide.

MR. CAUTHEN: Is that all they're going to want, 20 feet, 25 feet?

MR. FLOWERS: I can't answer that for them, but in my experience, depending on whether we're -- I would assume that they would like the right to bring power into the corner as well, which would be to y'all's benefit ultimately. If that is the case, again, my experience is with these easements we do no less than 35 feet, no more than 60, unless there's something that comes up to change that. So I think 35 to 60-feet wide would be the range. I don't know why we would need a 60-foot easement, but I'm just giving you a real high end. I think we're probably looking at 35.

One thing to note -- and I e-mailed this copy in. But when you do see this, this area -- these plantations coming in, most of these here were recently clear-cut. Just something to make a note of. I don't know how it would

20212223

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

apply to you guys, in fact, for this, but they have all been liquidated. To have it surveyed and marked, it wouldn't interfere with any of that.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Ms. Powell.

MS. POWELL: Commissioner, if there is not opposition to us continuing discussions, I think -- because if we are talking more than access and we are talking broader -- well, potentially. We're not sure yet -- not sure of the breadth of this and power and everything. I would -- as long as there's no opposition, I would ask simply that the board let us continue with discussions and clarify a few things I, frankly, just wasn't fully aware of.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yeah. I think,

Mr. Flowers, since you brought that up about additional -- more than just what the road entails, if your client -- I'm not sure if it's a client, again. But if your client has -- he needs to make a

2.1

2.2

specific request so the board can then act on the specific request as opposed to just -- you know, not knowing exactly how much of right-of-way easement you're looking for, so ...

Mr. Porter.

2.1

2.2

- MR. PORTER: Yeah. The question I had is the property that this goes through, is that a wildlife management area? Is that where active hunting is going on?
- MR. FLOWERS: That's a safety zone right
 there. At least that's how it's
 classified. That is part of the Upper
 Delta Wildlife Management Area. And as
 I understand it, there's no hunting
 allowed in the safety zone.
- MR. PORTER: Well, I'm just saying that you would have a potential of disturbing hunters and whatnot going through there anytime day or night, too, something we need to look at.
- MR. FLOWERS: They've been using -- they already are and have been using that

road, like I said, since before the management area was in place. Nothing would change with that.

In fact, on the aspect of utilities, the specific request is for ingress and egress. My comments about utilities are somewhat of a sidebar, but --

COMMISSIONER GUY: Well, we need to know.

I mean, I just -- I think that's what

Ms. Powell is saying is we're going -
we need to let the staff -- unless

there's an objection, I think we need to

let the staff get some more particulars

so that we can really act on --

MS. POWELL: I don't think anybody is just,

for whatever reason, absolutely opposed

to it under any condition. It just

sounds -- it sounds like there's

willingness to discuss it. My concern

is not just even taking a carbon copy of

what might have been done on the last

one, but understanding what the specific

request is here, any -- because once we

know that specific request, if there are any limitations or restrictions we think would need to be included in the language. And so I would -- you know, I would say -- I would -- I'd prefer to just continue discussions and come back with a more specific request.

Again, the appraisal would not be at our expense. It would be at the owner's expense. But, again, I'll go whatever direction the board wants. But it sounds like we may need a few more details and confirmation from the owners as to precisely what they're asking for.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Cauthen.

MR. CAUTHEN: I think the one thing that we need to really be cognizant of is what kind of neighbor are we creating with this right of way. If we sell it, then what's going to be the next step? And I just think we really ought to take that into high consideration. I'm not asking you what it is, because once we sell it,

2.1

2.2

you can do anything you want to with it. 1 COMMISSIONER GUY: So unless there is an 3 objection, I think we could just proceed as Ms. Powell requested, which is to --4 we'll work with Mr. Flowers and get the 5 6 specific request and let the staff look 7 at the particulars and then we can come back in June and act on it. Is there 8 9 any objection to that?

MR. FLOWERS: If I could make one more comment.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Porter.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

MR. PORTER: And I'm concerned about the legal liability issues of -- particularly if we're hunting through there and, also, the maintenance and upkeep and obligations of that road. So just if y'all would take that into consideration, I would appreciate it.

MR. FLOWERS: I think that can be defined fairly easily. But one thing I wanted to make clear is there is no alternative access beyond this. You enter into the

major flood plain issue when you go 1 south and west of the property as far as 3 coming in through another landowner. COMMISSIONER GUY: We understand, Mr. Flowers, 4 but let us -- you know, as a board we 5 6 have a fiduciary duty to do certain 7 things. MR. FLOWERS: Right. 8

COMMISSIONER GUY: So just let our staff work with you, and I think we can get to a point where we can all be satisfied hopefully.

MR. FLOWERS: Thanks for your time.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Chris, a report on the Big Canoe Creek restrictive covenant issue.

MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. This item is not in your packet, but it's just -- we wanted to include this as a topic today because this is one of the nominations the board had, you know, motioned to proceed with purchase. And as we're going through that process, the landowner has provided

us with a restrictive covenant document that was not provided to us before.

Generally when, you know, we're initiating getting deeds and other information to move forward with appraisals, we request any information about easements or timber reservations or anything that might impact the value of a piece of property. This was not provided to us when that original request was made.

And so as we were going through the negotiation phase on the board's behalf, this document came to light. David Dean with the Department's legal section is reviewing that document. And we just wanted to bring that up because this was motioned a couple of meetings ago to proceed, and we just wanted to let the board know that we are proceeding, but this might take a little bit longer than we thought originally.

I would like to mention, though, one

thing to the board, that you may recall 1 this is a nomination that for probably 3 two years straight there was -- Friends of Big Canoe Creek would come to the 4 board meetings and talk about this 5 6 nomination. And the representative that 7 would come and speak to the board wanted me to make sure to mention to the board 8 9 that he was not aware of this, you know, 10 when he -- and certainly wishes he would have been so that we wouldn't be running 11 12 into this stumbling block right now. 13 But, anyway, just wanted to update the board on that. 14 COMMISSIONER GUY: No action required for 15 that? 16 MR. SMITH: No action required, no, sir. 17 18 COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions? 19 (No response.) 20 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Proceed with 2.1 the next one, please, sir. The next item, in your 2.2 MR. SMITH: supplemental information folder that we 23

provided you'll see a map -- you'll see 1 a map that's got a heading on it Live 3 Oak Landing Pasture Lease Request. This is a -- there's a landowner that, I 4 5 quess, for many, many years -- this 6 fellow told me that he's 73 years old 7 and his daddy leased this land and now he's been leasing it. And so he had 8 9 contacted us and wanted -- you know, asked us to put in front of the board, 10 11 you know, the possibility of leasing 12 this pasture. And you can see the area 13 indicated. It's west of Highway 225. 14 And so, you know, we're just bringing this information or this question in 15 front of the board on behalf of this 16 individual whose name is Newman Smith. 17 And he, you know, lives adjacent to this 18 19 property. 20

COMMISSIONER GUY: Y'all have got some good ones today.

2.1

2.2

23

MR. SMITH: There's -- there's not a current written lease document. This is --

according to Mr. Smith in conversations 1 I've had with him, it's verbal. 3 you know, six months or so after the Live Oak Landing closed -- you know, 4 this is one of the tracts that closed 5 December of 2012 -- he contacted our 6 7 office and, you know, started posing questions about potentially leasing this 8 9 pasture. 10 COMMISSIONER GUY: I know Dr. Lawton had a

COMMISSIONER GUY: I know Dr. Lawton had a question early on about that. So I'd like to let him follow up on that question about consistent with the management plan. Is that right,

Dr. Lawton?

DR. LAWTON: Yes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

COMMISSIONER GUY: What would be your opinion on that and how would we address it?

MR. SMITH: Yeah. I would say that, you know, that management of that property along with the adjacent county and state CIAP properties are going to be managed as nature preserve/recreation area. We

haven't discussed specifically this 1 pasture area and maybe what -- there's 3 been some discussion about early successional habitat, you know, 4 reverting it to that or -- but we 6 haven't nailed down a specific -- you know, I don't know at this point as we're moving through those discussions 8 9 that this would have any impact on the 10 management, but I don't know that leasing a pasture would be part of the 11 12 long-term management goals associated 13 with this tract would be my opinion. 14 COMMISSIONER GUY: So what -- okay. How many acres involved in this 15 MR. CAUTHEN: 16 proposal? MR. SMITH: According to Mr. Newman, it's 17 roughly 20 acres. 18 COMMISSIONER GUY: And does he request --19 20 well, first of all, are there any grant issues on this based on our recent 2.1 purchase of the -- you know, this 2.2

23

property, this whole Live Oak Landing --

MR. SMITH: I believe that CIAP -- the CIAP grant has been closed, so there wouldn't be any issues related to that.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

Now, I will say that, you know, one thing that this might impact with our -you know, our discussions with the management of this is -- and we talked about -- we've talked about potentially putting an access point and parking areas -- would be a logical place to do that -- to access this Forever Wild property. And, you know, without having any impact on any other portions of the property, this would logically be the place to do that. It's right off the highway. It's already cleared. it's -- like I said, you know, it may be a little while before we get to that point, but in the long-term management goals or things we've discussed, I don't think this would be part of it.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Did he request a certain length of a lease, number one, and did

you talk with the county about this 1 since we're involved with them --3 MR. SMITH: I have not talked with the county about this, and he did not request a 4 certain length of the lease. Again, I 5 6 didn't ask that. I probably should 7 have. But I think he's had it for -like I said, he's 73 years old, and his 8 9 father had, you know, pasture rights or 10 grazing rights on this before. So I think he's looking for any -- any length 11 12 of time, I guess. COMMISSIONER GUY: Are there cows on it right 13 now? 14 MR. SMITH: I don't believe so, no, sir. 15 I think --16 COMMISSIONER GUY: So he moved them once the 17 property was purchased? 18 MR. SMITH: 19 Right. 20 MR. KELLER: Does he own the adjoining land? MR. SMITH: It's my impression that he owns 2.1 the land across the street. You can see 2.2 23 on that map the pasture across the

1	street, that he owns that land there.
2	MR. KELLER: And he would access it through
3	MR. SMITH: He would access this
4	MR. KELLER: through his land?
5	MR. SMITH: Correct.
6	COMMISSIONER GUY: We don't have to so
7	MR. CAUTHEN: Let's just table this for a
8	couple of meetings.
9	COMMISSIONER GUY: Is that a motion?
10	MR. CAUTHEN: Yes, sir.
11	DR. VALENTINE: I'll second.
12	COMMISSIONER GUY: There's a motion to table
13	and a second. Any discussion on the
14	motion?
15	(No response.)
16	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. All in favor of
17	the motion say "aye."
18	(All board members present respond
19	"aye.")
20	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
21	(No response.)
22	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
23	Ms. Powell, are you going to speak

to us about the five-year plan?

MS. POWELL: Just briefly. I wanted to give the board an update.

As you recall, we were beginning the process of having a listening session in each of the three districts beginning to try to elicit public input on what they would see potentially as goals or things they would like to see in the long-range planning document. As you recall, we discussed once we have a draft, it will also go back out for public comment. But these three listening sessions that we had scheduled were in conjunction with accepting comments through the Website. So it's not the only method of accepting comments.

But we've had our first listening session in the north district. It was January 23rd in Huntsville. The second listening session will be in the south district. It will be done at our Five Rivers facility February the 20th. And

then we'll have our final session in
Birmingham February 27th. And these are
at night and -- but I thought I would
report back so far on what we -- how the
session went in Huntsville.

We'd also mention to the board we purposely did one before this meeting to see if we wanted to tweak anything, had any additional thoughts from the board, and, frankly, I thought it went well. I don't know that we would change anything.

We did an initial short PowerPoint presentation that was between 15 to 20 minutes giving a brief overview of the program and the board's resolution that was passed to proceed with a long-range plan starting initially with a five-year plan and updating in five-year increments after that. We informed the public again of the Website opportunity to comment if they did not want to comment that night. We had a court

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

8

1112

10

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

reporter there to be sure that we transcribed everything, and we'll get that to the board and make that available so you can see the comments.

Due to my wisdom in scheduling, I ended up scheduling on the night of single-digit temperatures in the Huntsville area. So our attendance was not high. I do feel the word got out. We used press releases in addition to the Website. And, also, we're very grateful to several of the friend groups, The Nature Conservancy, Freshwater Land Trust, several others --I'm sorry to name any because I know I'm leaving out -- who also got the word out to membership. And we had several calls before the meeting asking about it, asking details, also asking if we were providing dinner, so -- and we did not provide dinner, but -- and then some follow-up questions afterwards for those who could not make it inquiring about

other dates.

2.1

2.2

We -- the comments we received were positive. There were a few suggestions and a few questions, but I would say nothing of urgency. I would probably wait and kind of give an overall report of the types of comments. We've already received -- I mean, through the Website -- I don't have an exact number. I should have checked that for you. I think we're over a hundred in the number of comments through the Website alone.

So I'll answer any questions, but I mainly just wanted you to know that I thought that it went well. There were no issues either in the structure of our presentation or any issues brought forward by the public that I think really require special attention today.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions?

I would say based on today's session you might want to add leasing to the

five-year plan to figure out what we 1 need to do there. 3 MS. POWELL: Well, you know, we -- that is part of why we're doing it. 4 But I will communicate back to the 5 board that we have had numerous people 6 7 thank the board for the opportunity for input on the front end of a draft 8 9 document instead of just after a draft 10 document comes out. So I was asked to pass that along to y'all. 11 12 COMMISSIONER GUY: And thanks to everyone --13 staff and everyone for putting together 14 those meetings and being there to help out, so ... 15 16 Okay. Mr. Smith, it looks like you've got one more maybe on here. 17 MR. SMITH: Yes, sir, Commissioner. The last 18 item today is to -- located in Tab 3-E 19 20 we have the State Lands Division Administrative Budget Request. What you 2.1

have in your packet is a memo just

laying out that, you know, since 2009

2.2

the State Lands Division has requested \$425,000 to help offset our budget with some of the staff support.

This year we did -- this past year,

FY13, we did implement a more detailed
accounting for the time the State Lands
Division staff puts toward the
management/support of the Forever Wild
Land Trust program. On page 2 of this
memo, you'll see a detailed accounting
of different expenditures associated
with State Lands Division time as well
as some of the income that's generated
through the grants that -- the
restoration grants that we administer in
support of Forever Wild lands.

So you see we will continue with that request of level of funding of 425,000 and will continue monitoring and evaluating the amount of time that the staff is putting into Forever Wild Land Trust projects. But, you know, if the board sees fit, we will need a motion to

1	approve that budget request.
2	COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions?
3	(No response.)
4	DR. HEPP: Make a motion to accept it.
5	COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Hepp with a motion to
6	approve the budget. Is there a second?
7	MR. PORTER: Second.
8	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
9	say "aye."
10	(All board members present respond
11	"aye.")
12	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
13	(No response.)
14	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
15	Thank you, sir. Thank you for your
16	work.
17	All right. Is there anything else,
18	Chris, or any other matter, Ms. Powell?
19	MR. SMITH: I believe that's all the items on
20	the agenda, sir, in that category.
21	MS. POWELL: I should have mentioned when I
22	was speaking earlier on the public
23	meetings, you will see in your packet

under Tab 3-F, again, just a mention of the locations and the times for the remaining two meetings. That's also published on our Website. We're issuing another press release as to the second meeting coming up. But if you had any questions, I wanted -- in addition to directing people to the Website, I want you to know you have the address and location information.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Is the staff letting the board members know, Ms. Powell, when they have meetings in their district?

Are they being notified by e-mail or anything about it?

MS. POWELL: Chris has been. Chris and Jo have been working on that.

And, also, she's not here today.

She could not attend. I wanted to thank

Dr. Sims. She was present on behalf of

the board at the meeting in Huntsville,

and she could not attend today. But we

appreciate it. And I think there was

interest in the audience to be able to 1 talk with her also. So I want to thank 3 Dr. Sims. COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Before you sit 4 down, Patti, when is the next scheduled 6 meeting? 7 MS. POWELL: The proposed date is June 19th. We have not received a specific request 8 9 as to location. As we always say, if 10 you have a suggestion, please let staff know so we can begin circulating and 11 12 discussing. 13 COMMISSIONER GUY: So if you have a 14 suggestion, we -- we're probably going to be looking at going north, more than 15 16 likely somewhere north. So unless somebody has a suggestion, we'll find a 17 18 spot. It is the 75th anniversary of the 19 20 parks. So absent any other suggestions, we might look at one of the parks, like 2.1 maybe Guntersville. And I'm sure 2.2

23

Mr. Lein, who is here, would be happy to

host us and we could complement his 75th 1 anniversary celebration maybe by 3 something along those lines. Is that right, Greg? 4 5 MR. LEIN: Absolutely. 6 MS. POWELL: And we do appreciate the State 7 Parks Division's help and support in our administration of the program. 8 9 Greg, thanks to you and your staff for 10 all their help. 11 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you. The last item I have 12 All right. 13 here is approval of the minutes of 14 September 19, 2013, and the December 5, 2013, meeting, which wasn't a real 15 16 meeting. So I need a motion on that. MR. ALLEN: So move. 17 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Got a motion by 18 Mr. Allen. Second? 19 MR. KELLER: Second. 20 COMMISSIONER GUY: Second by Mr. Keller. 2.1 in favor of the motion say "aye." 2.2 23 (All board members present respond

"aye.") 1 COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed? 3 (No response.) COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries to approve 4 those minutes. 6 All right. Any other -- yes, 7 Mr. Porter. MR. PORTER: Just before we leave today, I 8 9 just want to thank Commissioner Guy and 10 commend him. I think this is one of the most productive meetings that I've ever 11 12 been a part of. I mean, you look at the complex issues that we dealt with. And 1.3 14 I appreciate his leadership and his 15 friendship in going forward in what this 16 program does. This is a very, very important program not only to the 17 citizens of this state but literally to 18 all the citizens in all the states. 19 And 20 I just want to commend my friend Commissioner Guy on an excellent 2.1 meeting. 2.2

Thank you. I think most of

COMMISSIONER GUY:

the credit probably should go to the 1 staff. And I certainly appreciate the 3 board being here. It's always an imposition, I know, to travel a long 4 ways and do the work. But thank you, 5 6 Mr. Porter, and I thank the board. 7 MR. CAUTHEN: I believe this is the largest group since I've been on here. We've 8 9 only got one absent. I think the 10 productivity of it is overwhelming. MR. PORTER: Well, Sonny, with you and I being 11 here, it is going to be a large group. 12 13 COMMISSIONER GUY: On Sonny's note, we're adjourned. 14 (Meeting adjourned at 15 16 approximately 12:26 p.m.) * * * * * * * * 17 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 18 * * * * * * 19 20 STATE OF ALABAMA: MONTGOMERY COUNTY: 2.1 I, Tracye Sadler Blackwell, Certified 2.2 Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State of 23

Alabama at Large, do hereby certify that I reported 1 the foregoing proceedings of the Forever Wild Board 2 3 Meeting on February 6, 2014. The foregoing 140 computer-printed pages 4 contain a true and correct transcript of the 5 6 proceedings held. 7 I further certify that I am neither of 8 kin nor of counsel to the parties to said cause nor in any manner interested in the results thereof. 9 10 This 14th day of April 2014. 11 12 13 Tracye Sadler Blackwell ACCR No. 294 14 Expiration date: 9-30-2014 Certified Court Reporter 15 and Commissioner for the State of Alabama at Large 16 17 18 19 20 21 22