1	MINUTES OF THE
2	FOREVER WILD BOARD MEETING
3	Department of Agriculture and Industries
4	Montgomery, Alabama
5	February 8, 2018
6	
7	
8	* * * * * * * * * *
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11	
12	* * * * * * * * * *
13	
14	
15	Proceedings taken before Tracye
16	Sadler Blackwell, Certified Court Reporter, ACCR
17	No. 294, and Commissioner for the State of Alabama
18	at Large, at the State of Alabama Department of
19	Agriculture and Industries, Montgomery, Alabama, on
20	Thursday, February 8, 2018, commencing at
21	approximately 10:01 a.m.
22	
23	* * * * * * * * * *

```
BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
 1
    Commissioner Christopher M. Blankenship, Chairman
    Mr. Rick Oates
 3
    Mr. William H. Satterfield
    Mr. Russell Runyan
    Mr. Horace H. Horn, Jr.
 5
    Dr. Michael Woods
    Mr. David Wright
    Mr. H. E. "Sonny" Cauthen
 6
    Dr. Patricia G. Sims
    Mr. Frank "Butch" Ellis, Jr.
    Dr. Lori R. Tolley-Jordan
    Mr. Charles E. Ball
 8
    Mr. Raymond B. Jones, Jr.
 9
10
                   * * * * * * * * * * *
11
       COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Good morning.
12
13
              I would like to call to order the
              meeting of the Forever Wild Board for
14
              February 8th. Welcome to the Department
15
16
              of Ag and Industries and to the board
              meeting today. I will call the roll,
17
              and if you would, please indicate
18
              "present" after I say your name.
19
                   Chris Blankenship, here.
20
                   Charles Ball?
21
       MR. BALL: Here.
2.2
23
        COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: David Wright?
```

1	MR. WRIGHT: Here.
2	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Lori
3	Tolley-Jordan?
4	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Here.
5	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Michael Woods?
6	DR. WOODS: Here.
7	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Patricia Sims?
8	DR. SIMS: Here.
9	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Butch Ellis?
10	(No response.)
11	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Sonny Cauthen?
12	MR. CAUTHEN: Here.
13	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Horace Horn?
14	MR. HORN: Here.
15	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Raymond Jones?
16	MR. JONES: Here.
17	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Rick Oates?
18	MR. OATES: Here.
19	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Russ Runyan?
20	MR. RUNYAN: Here.
21	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: William
22	Satterfield?
23	MR. SATTERFIELD: Here.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Bond, Jason 1 Bond? (No response.) 3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: And Dr. John 4 Valentine? 5 6 (No response.) 7 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So we have our nine for a quorum. Welcome to the board 8 9 meeting today. Glad to be here. I want to express our appreciation 10 11 to the Department of Ag and Industries for allowing us to meet in their 12 1.3 facility today. With the legislature in session, it's a little crowded downtown 14 to find parking, and so I appreciate 15 16 Commissioner McMillan allowing us to meet here. 17 18

I do want to recognize Former

Commissioner Gunter Guy, who is the general counsel here with the Department of Ag and Industries that's with us today. Glad to see you here,

Commissioner.

19

2.0

21

2.2

And then I want to also recognize our state treasurer, Mr. Young Boozer, for joining us today.

We have a new board member.

Dr. Strickland, due to his practice and the amount of time that he has to dedicate to his practice, asked to resign from the board, and the Speaker of the House has appointed Raymond Jones from Huntsville in Dr. Strickland's spot.

So, Raymond, glad to have you here for your first meeting. Appreciate you using your time to participate in the board activities. Glad to have you. Do you want to speak? Do you want to say anything?

MR. JONES: No.

1.3

2.2

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: And I will note for the record that Mr. Ellis has joined us and he is here today.

With that, I would like to take a moment to allow our state treasurer,

Young Boozer -- he has some information he wanted to share with the board on the ATF. And so before we start with the public comment, Mr. Boozer.

MR. BOOZER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I

appreciate that. And good morning,

board members. I am Young Boozer. I'm

the treasurer of the State of Alabama.

And as the treasurer of the State of

Alabama, I'm also on the board of the

Alabama Trust Fund, and I serve as

secretary of the Alabama Trust Fund.

And what I wanted to do today, as we did last year, is to give you a brief report on where we are with respect to the ATF from a financial point of view and, also, provide a -- give you notice of a resolution that was passed at our last board meeting.

Let me say that fiscal year '17 was extraordinary for the Alabama Trust
Fund. For the year ending September
the 30th, we had a rate of return of

1.3

1 1.55 percent on our investment
2 portfolio. The investment portfolio has
3 risen to \$3.15 billion. That represents
4 a \$602 million increase over the
5 previous year, which is -- as I said, it
6 was an extraordinary year and it was
7 extraordinary events that occurred that

allowed us to do that. We actually

increased our balances by 24 percent.

The ATF also paid out approximately \$159 million in support of all the recipients according to Amendment 856. And during the year one of the highlights is that the ATF received \$400 million in repayments to the General Fund Rainy Day Account and the People's Trust Act. Those were funds that came from the BP settlement. It allowed us to pay back the General Fund Rainy Day Account which was due and payable at or about that time, and this is about the only way that we could have gotten it paid back. So those monies

1.3

have been returned to us.

We're off to a good start this year.

Although the market has been a little

volatile in the last couple of days,

things still look good in the ATF. And,

of course, the ATF supports Forever Wild

and is happy and proud to do that.

To follow up on that statement, at the last board meeting the board passed the following -- I'm not going to read the whole resolution. I gave a copy to the chairman. But let me just say the important parts.

It's a resolution of the Board of the Alabama Trust Fund and the topic is "Legislation Negatively Impacting Alabama Trust Fund." And there are a lot of "whereases" in this thing.

But be it resolved is the following:
That at the meeting of the Alabama Trust
Fund Board of Trustees held on November
the 16th, 2017, the present members
unanimously expressed objection to any

proposed legislation that negatively impacts the Alabama Trust Fund and disavows the original stated purpose of the Alabama Trust Fund.

1.3

And that is our position. There are several bills that we are -- have opposed and would oppose, and we are doing it in order to preserve the balances and the purpose of the ATF.

And with that, I would ask if there are any questions.

MR. CAUTHEN: Does that mean we're going to get a lot more money this year?

MR. BOOZER: It doesn't mean -- well,
actually, you will get more money in
this coming year because you didn't
quite make the \$15 million in the last
fiscal year, and the way things are
going, I think you're going to get
there. You're always thinking about
money, and I understand it. So am I.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: That's good for our treasurer to be always thinking about

1 money. MR. BOOZER: That's right. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any other 3 questions, comments? 4 5 (No response.) 6 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you so much. 7 MR. BOOZER: Thanks for the opportunity. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. 8 9 Now we'll move into our time of public comment. When I call your name, 10 11 I will, also, for the board members try and mention the page number in the book 12 1.3 that relates to the property that they 14 want to speak about. I'll give you a second to find that. 15 16 So our first speaker is Commissioner 17 Gunter Guy. MR. GUY: You don't need to look to a page in 18 19 your book. I'm not going to be speaking to any particular project today. 20 Commissioner Blankenship, members of 21 the board, thank you for a few minutes 2.2

to let me come and address you. I'll be

brief. Just have a few notes that I'll try to look at to stay on point.

I read one time that feeling
gratitude and not expressing it is like
wrapping a present and not giving it.
So my reason for being here today is
when I left office in May of last year,
I had some unfinished business, and for
me today that's making sure that I
express my gratitude to several groups
of people connected with Forever Wild.
And I hope you'll give me a second to do
that.

The first group is -- I would like to say thank you to all the board members, including many of you, from January of 2011 to May of 2017 that I had the privilege and honor to work with. I thank you for your professionalism, your integrity, and your kindness. I thank you, also, for your patience as I attempted to chair our meetings as proficient and fair as

possible.

The second group I would like to thank is all of the Forever Wild and DCNR staff who make this board's job and the Commissioner's job so easy and effortless four times a year and all those times in between. What the staff does each and every day for the Forever Wild program, as many of you know, deserves everyone's praise and commendation. And to all of those staff that are here and for those that I hope will get this message, thank you for how well you did your job and how well you treated me.

The third group I would like to thank is all of our federal, state, and local governmental officials, representatives, and leaders. Thank you to those who supported this program and continue to support the Forever Wild program. And thank you for recognizing how many people believe in it, enjoy it,

3

4 5

6 7

9

8

11

10

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

support it, and voted for it and maybe, most of all, for recognizing how much positive economic impact it has in billions of dollars on our state.

The last group that I want to mention -- and maybe one of the more important groups in some respects -- is I want to say thank you -- even though they're all behind me -- to all of the nonprofit organizations, conservation groups, outdoor enthusiasts, recreational groups, individuals, and just everyday people who support this program, who supported me and our department in our mission through some tough but rewarding times over those Thanks to all of y'all for your years. cooperation and efforts to keep the general public and me educated and informed during that time.

I wish I had the time to mention people by name, but as many of you know that, you know, give speeches and talk,

I would inevitably forget someone. And I recognize how valuable your time is, so I'm not going to do that.

2.2

You know, I'm reminded by something else I read that says we are so often caught up in the destination that we forget to appreciate the journey, especially the goodness of the people we meet on the way. And I hope I will never do that, and I hope I have not done that.

And I certainly want to again thank all those groups of people I mentioned. Thank you for making my time at the department on the Forever Wild Board an experience that I will always appreciate and cherish. And best wishes to all of y'all and keep up the good work.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Our next speaker will be David Johnson. He'll be speaking about the Skyline WMA, which is Tab 4, page 38. Tab 4, page 38.

MR. JOHNSON: First of all, I'd like to thank the board for listening to me. I've nominated a piece of property that I own, me and another gentleman, for sale to the Forever Wild. I think it's a great piece of property. I've got a few pictures -- if you'll allow me to hand them to you and pass them around -- of the property. It's got a lot of unique springs and, you know, streams and some sand rock formations that I feel like that I'd like to see it taken care of from now on.

The property is going to be for sale. You know, like I say, I'll give y'all first opportunity on it. The demand of sand rock for building is very high. And you can see the pictures.

There's some pinnacles on it that's over 100-foot tall and that I'd hate to see tore down. It's got abundant wildlife.

It joins the Skyline WMA. And I think that it would be a great access -- asset

to what's already there. 1 Thank you for allowing me to speak, and I'd like for you to possibly vote on 3 it to be appraised and maybe nominated 4 5 for purchase. Thank you. 6 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir. 7 Yael Girard. This is Tab 3 -- at Tab 3-A -- Tab 3-B, page 23. 8 9 Give everybody just a second. MS. GIRARD: You've got to give me just a 10 11 second also. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Make sure I gave 12 1.3 them correct information. Tab 3-B, page 23. 14 MS. GIRARD: Yeah. We've got two at the same 15 16 time, so ... COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So one is Harrod's 17 Farm. 18 19 MS. GIRARD: Yes. Hi, everyone. I'm Yael Girard, 20 executive director for the Weeks Bay 21 Foundation. Thank you all for letting 2.2 23 me speak today.

I will be starting with the Harrod's Farm Tract. And this is a property that you've seen in front of you several times now at this point. It's about a 200-acre piece of property located on Fish River. It has significant conservation value which is listed in the little handout that I've given you.

And this property is also available to have some cost match on 100 acres of the property to include the wetlands.

But any additional acreage on top of that up to 100 acres will be matched in the cost share with a NOAA grant that the Weeks Bay Reserve has received.

So this property, as I said, is about 200 acres. It has habitat and including canals and sloughs that are in range for the endangered Alabama red-bellied turtle as well as the diamondback terrapin. It hosts lots of migrating birds. It's a really important piece of property. It's one

of the last large -- and I know

200 acres is not that large in some of
the scale, but around the Weeks Bay
area, in fast-growing Baldwin County,
this is a big piece of property for this
area.

1.3

2.2

So that is the first piece of property. I don't know if you want me to take questions on each piece before I move to the other one, or do you want to just go ahead?

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any questions on the Harrod Farm Tract?

Mr. Satterfield.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, we've had this piece of property two or three times before about the acreage, and there was a discrepancy. My notes show at the last meeting there was a discrepancy on the -- particularly on the NOAA acreage. And there was an indication that there might need to be some remapping and some recalculation of the acreage which would

affect the appraisal. So -- and there's 1 some -- I notice there's some questions about the numbers here in our report. 3 So where do we stand with all that? 4 5 MR. DEATON: The acreage, as we understand it, 6 is 235 acres for the entire tract. NOAA grant will cover 100 acres --7 50 percent of 100 acres, which we've got 8 9 the appraisal updated, and it's reflected in your overview of appraised 10 11 nominations. 12 MR. SATTERFIELD: Is that in the yellow pages 1.3 here? 14 MR. DEATON: That's correct. 15 MR. SATTERFIELD: The changes that we just 16 received today? That's correct. So that number 17 MR. DEATON: that you have should reflect what the 18 19 purchase price would be including the 20 grant. MS. POWELL: Restate your question again. 21 couldn't -- Doug, we now know the 2.2 23 acreage. Before there was confusion

because of the complication of -- we had 1 different figures for the number of acres that were eligible for the NOAA 3 grant, and then it gets a little more 4 5 confusing because the NOAA grant pays 6 for 50 percent -- or reimburses 7 50 percent of the cost of those specific 8 acres. 9 MR. DEATON: That's correct. MS. POWELL: Is that correct? 10 MR. DEATON: 11 That's correct. 12 MS. POWELL: So you have now staff and the 1.3 appraiser -- and, Yael, I think we're 14 all now in agreement on the number of acres that are eligible to benefit from 15 16 the NOAA grant. And that was, again, 17 Doug, how many of the 235? MR. DEATON: 100 acres. 18 So 50 acres. Half of those 100 19 MS. POWELL: acres, being 50 acres, would be eligible 20 for reimbursement with the NOAA funds. 21 So that's where we are. So let's 2.2

restate your question.

- Well, it's really a staff 1 MR. SATTERFIELD: question. Right. 3 MS. POWELL: MR. SATTERFIELD: And I don't know that I want 4
 - to ask that here.
 - MS. POWELL: Okay. Well, you don't have to.
 - MR. SATTERFIELD: But I want to show you my question.
 - MS. POWELL: Okay.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- MR. SATTERFIELD: It had to do with some of these numbers here on the appraisal sheet, Mr. Chairman, which I don't want to discuss here but I wanted to ask staff about.
- Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 15
 - MS. POWELL: And I think I understand. So we can do some calculating to be sure we don't have a glitch, so --
 - COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. Well, go ahead with the Meadows.
 - Okay. So I only bring the second MS. GIRARD: property up at the same time because it is also under the NOAA grant, which is a

time-sensitive grant. And this property has received a first appraisal, and we would like the board to consider making a second appraisal and move to purchase.

You'll see the size says 200 acres. That's incorrect. But it's 79 acres from three separate property holders but adjacent to the state and county-owned Meadows Complex. The Meadows Complex is a large undeveloped tract that straddles Weeks Bay and Mobile Bay. And this addition -- portion of this addition would provide a wildlife corridor and, also, a drainage into Weeks Bay from this large holding.

So there are three separate property owners with several different parcels in here. You'll see the names and the acreage of each parcel at the bottom.

And as I mentioned, this property has received a first appraisal, and we would really appreciate it if this could be considered for a second appraisal.

Again, this property is -- the whole 1 property would be matched one to one with the NOAA grant. There is no 3 acreage limitations on this one. All of 4 the properties under consideration for 5 6 this nomination, the acreage, they could be matched one to one with that NOAA 7 8 grant. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 9 Yes, sir. MR. SATTERFIELD: I have a question, too, and 10 11

maybe this is again a staff question.

I'm still -- it still looks -- in regard to the acreage, the presentation here from this young lady says 79 acres, but our sheets here -- the yellow sheet shows 124 acres. So, again, it looks like a discrepancy in either the records or somewhere here about how much acreage we're talking about. And that's a question.

MS. POWELL: So if you've got -- if you can explain --

MS. GIRARD: Sure.

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

MS. POWELL: Go ahead.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

So the original nomination for MS. GIRARD: this -- for Meadows Phase III contained several other parcels, but it also included a -- I'm not sure what you called it -- a line item that the parcels should be able to move independently. And we put that in there because we had so many landowners in on this nomination. And so several landowners that were adjacent to the existing nomination parcels that were in the original nomination have since backed out from wanting to be incorporated in this nomination, and so that has reduced the acreage.

But I believe it has been appraised under the understanding that these parcels that still remain in the Meadows Phase III nomination that equal 79 acres can move as they are as this nomination still. And, Doug, you can --

MR. DEATON: She's correct in what she stated.

The number you have on the yellow page
there should say 79. That's what was
appraised, and that value reflects the
79 acres.
MR. SATTERFIELD: Okay. So the appraised
value here is for the 79 acres, not the
124 acres?
MR. DEATON: That is correct.
MR. SATTERFIELD: Because that's been carried
for two or three meetings now.
MR. DEATON: That's a staff error. Yes, sir,
it's that is 79 acres. That's
correct.
COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Are there any other
questions?
(No response.)
COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you.
MS. GIRARD: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: The next speaker is
Jonathan Goode. It is Tab 3-A, Mud
Creek Addition.
Creek Addition. Give me just a second. Let me give

That will be page 20. Tab 3-A -- I guess 3-B, page 20. 3-B, page 20.

Yes, sir.

MR. GOODE: Good morning. I'm Jonathan Goode
with Southeastern Land Group. I'm the
land broker here representing the
Gilmore family in the sale of the
Tannehill-Mud Creek Addition property.
I'm the first that you'll hear from a
contingent of folks from Tannehill today
to speak about several properties that
are in consideration.

The property that I'm representing is 691 acres owned by the Gilmore family and the Gilmore family trust. This morning we have Ms. Julie Howell who is one of the heirs to the property who is here. They are very much interested in seeing their father's legacy continue by adding this property. Senator Gilmore was very instrumental in the founding of Tannehill State Park and the whole

1.3

would very much like to see this property incorporated.

1.3

2.2

Mr. John Morrison, who is the director of Tannehill State Park, is going to speak about how the property will benefit, what they're doing there.

This is a beautiful property. If you ever get the chance to see it, I would encourage you to do that. There is a 20-acre private lake on it and then miles and miles of trails that they are hoping will add opportunities for horse riding and mountain biking, and they're going to share about that.

The property has already received the first appraisal, and what we would like to ask you to do today is to consider the second appraisal and moving forward to purchase the property.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir.

MR. WRIGHT: Could I ask a question, please?

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir.

MR. WRIGHT: Jonathan, there's a small

inholding here. And according to the tax map, it says Roupes Valley Water Authority.

1.3

2.2

MR. GOODE: The Roupes Valley Water Authority.

Several years ago the Gilmore family donated this. It's about 1 acre. There is a well there that served the Roupes Valley, which is now the Warrior River Water Authority, and that is the well that serves them. So one time a day the Warrior River Water Authority has someone that comes in and checks the well to make sure it works, but it is critical infrastructure for the area. They have a good water source, so they allow the community to use that.

MR. WRIGHT: Which way does the water main go from there?

MR. GOODE: It would actually go -- it would go west to the Furnace Creek -- it does not go toward Tannehill. Tannehill is to the north, and it would go to the west. It goes through the Furnace Creek

1 subdivision.

1.3

2.2

And there is a -- and we can speak more about this if we need to. But there is a private road that comes through the Furnace Creek subdivision into this property that would be used for emergency personnel and access and then, also, for the Tannehill State Park personnel to do maintenance and those sorts of things. So it would not -- they don't have to cross the state park to get in there and service that.

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: The next speaker is John Morrison. It's going to be the same parcel, Tab 3, page 20, also the South Addition of Tannehill, which is Tab 4, page 40.

Just a second. Tab 4, page 40.

And then I think he's also going to speak on the Ayers Addition, which is Tab 5-I in your green folder.

All right, sir.

MR. MORRISON: Thank you. Good morning,

Mr. Chairman, Commissioners. Thank you
for the opportunity to speak with you
this morning. It's an honor to be here.

I am here on behalf of the Alabama
Historic Ironworks Commission as well as
Tannehill State Park.

Tannehill is a really special place. It's a 2500-acre park that is in Jefferson County, Bibb County, and Tuscaloosa County. It was established about 50 years ago. Senator Gilmore was instrumental in the foundation of the park. It has the largest collection of early American log cabins anywhere in the Southeast and, also, has the historic Tannehill Furnace which operated during the Civil War and was destroyed right before the end of the war.

When it was in operation, it produced about 20 tons of iron a day, and it was a really important historical

1.3

2.0

2.2

site. It's been preserved. Now it's used by over 500,000 visitors a year. We have a museum, miles and miles of wonderful mountain-bike trials, the confluence of Roupes and Mud Creek, an old gristmill, country store, and over 300 campgrounds.

Our entity is 97-and-a-half percent self-generated funds. Our budget is over \$2 million a year. We have 18 employees. And we are a checkbook agency of the state and fall under all the state agency guidelines of the state.

The park has been in operation for about 50 years now. And a really, really important part of the development of the park was the recent acquisition of the Forever Wild land. I heard the remarks about gratitude earlier, and I express my profound gratitude to you all on behalf of the citizens of the state who can use this resource.

1.3

I went out on the Forever Wild land the other day. It's incredibly beautiful. We've cleared off all the trails where people can get in and out of the property. And if you go down there in the spring, you can see the beautiful, beautiful stand of Cahaba Lilies which grow nowhere else in the world but in the Cahaba watershed.

So we're really proud to have that site and administer it and be the stewards of it, and we are the good stewards of it. I would love to have y'all visit. Anytime you want to visit -- we're right at Exit 100 -- I'll give you a private guided tour of the whole park and show you the whole thing.

And, you know, a month from now if you come visit you'll see 2,000 high school mountain-bikers out at Tannehill from all over the state participating in the state mountain-bike championships.

And they'll be riding our miles and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

miles of improved trails. And people come from all over the country to ride our mountain-bike trails.

And we have the new Mercedes site going in, and a lot of folks get off work from Mercedes and come riding at Tannehill. And I think that's a big part of why a lot of the development in that area was drawn there, because it's such a vital recreational and cultural resource. It's a really, really special place that is part of the fabric of that community, and these Forever Wild additions will be appreciated and enjoyed for generations to come and will be such a wonderful part of your legacy. And we are so hopeful that you will include them.

I had the good fortune to go out on all of these properties, and the Ayers property is just heaven. It's a paradise. It has two beautiful lakes on it, a 600-foot elevation gain, beautiful

hardwood trees, an old meadows area
that's teeming with deer and turkey and

wildlife.

We have a user group of horseback riders who love to go horseback riding, and what they would like to do is use our equestrian facilities. We have a rodeo arena, stables, pavilions, barns, and a trailhead where folks can access this property and go horseback riding. That's super popular now.

We also have members of the
Birmingham Urban Mountain Pedalers
group, BUMP, who improved the
mountain-bike trails, and they're
interested in developing this area for
mountain-bike use.

The last time we were here

Mr. Satterfield asked for us to generate
a long-range plan. So our commissioner,
Colonel Marty, who is our long-range
planning commissioner with the Alabama
Historic Ironworks Commission, developed

this plan. And it represents a lot of time, effort, and energy on the part of our staff to give you a long-range view of what we have in mind for this property.

And not only are we interested in the Ayers property, but we're interested in the South property and the Gilmore Tract. The Gilmore Tract has a beautiful, beautiful 20-acre lake on it that would be super popular for fishing. The Ayers has two lakes which would be great for fishing, canoeing, kayaking.

On the Gilmore Tract, you can actually put in from Mud Creek and go by kayak or canoe all the way downstream this time of year to the other Forever Wild sites and see the Cahaba Lilies.

And on this Gilmore Tract, there is the site of the historic Owens Furnace which escaped destruction during the Civil War and operated until the 1890s. It had a gristmill and a dam, a whole little

village. I just talked to an archeologist, Jack Bergstresser, yesterday, and he said it was a very archeologically significant site, that we could include trails and signage to have the public be able to appreciate that historical and archeological site and access it from our property.

One of the main -- the primary goal of all of this is trails. And trails are super popular with people now. If you go to the visitor bureau for Alabama, the number-one thing people are interested in when they're visiting the state is trails. They love to mountain-bike. Mountain-biking has just taken off like crazy. Trail-running is super popular now. People love that.

And we have over 500,000 people a year in the park. We have the resources. We have the staff. We have the equipment. We have the drive. We have the vision. And we will be very

good stewards of this. We hope you will bless us with this opportunity to be the stewards of it.

And in a moment Mr. Marty will speak with you. And I'm grateful for this opportunity. I'll be happy to take any questions you have about our vision for the property.

MR. RUNYAN: I've got a question.

On the South Addition, you've got -I guess it's the eastern block there.
Shades Creek comes up and then traverses
through there and cuts it off from the
existing Forever Wild land. Is there
access to that eastern block here on
this South Addition?

MR. MORRISON: There is access to it. You could get through it. There's a public right of way right now -- well, there's a power-line right of way and a trail that goes there, and then it's easy to connect it through the other Forever Wild property.

And one of the things about Shades

Creek is that it is good for canoeing

and kayaking and the Cahaba Lily.

I wish I could take you down there. If

you could --

MR. RUNYAN: I've been down there.

1.3

2.2

MR. MORRISON: It's so beautiful. It's just really, really a special place. And when you're down in there, it's really remote. I mean, it's three miles as the crow flies from our headquarters building to that spot. So if you walk it, it's a good, long hike, or if you mountain-bike it, it's a good pedal.

And one of the main things people love to do is ride horses down there and picnic and enjoy this spot. It's an idyllic spot to see the horseback riders and their families enjoying it. And, you know, it would just be an incredible blessing for us if we could get this property.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir.

MR. MORRISON: Yes, sir. Thank you.

Mr. Marty will speak with you in a

moment. Excuse me.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Our next speaker is

Bill Marty. He's going to be on the

same property.

MR. MARTY: Thank you so much for allowing me to speak today.

I have a team of people that have just finished the long-range plan. I'm the long-range plan committee chairman. And I would like to read you our mission statement. We worked very hard on this mission statement, and those of you who have done a mission statement know that it should encompass everything that you do in an organization, and so here it is.

To manage, protect, preserve the selective historical resources of the Alabama iron and steel industry and ancillary industrial sites and their surrounding lands, waterways, and

structures, to give these irreplaceable assets new life through educational programs, events, and recreational opportunities for all park visitors using environmentally sustainable methods and management practices.

The mission includes activities which provide a sufficient revenue stream for the perpetual operation of the park.

We are extremely lucky on our board to have a young employee of Robert Trent Jones Golf Trail who is able to take a GPS and lay out a credible and usable horse trail and bike trail. And that has been included in our plan. Josh Dyer has done a magnificent job of this. And this is what we plan to use to bring the general public into the magnificent landscape of our park. And in order to connect the three elements of the park -- four elements, we really need the acquisition of the Ayers property to take place because it allows

connectivity to all the different areas 1 of the park. Very important. And if that could be done, we would greatly 3 appreciate it. 4 5 We have given you all a copy, I 6 think, of the plan -- our long-range plan. 7 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. 8 9 MR. MARTY: And we would appreciate it if you would study that and look at it. And I 10 11 think you will see that the basis of the 12 plan is the acquisition through Forever 1.3 Wild of three pieces of key property for

And that's -- that's my spiel, and I appreciate being able to give that to you. Thank you.

us, and we would be very appreciative of

any consideration you could give to us

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

Ms. Powell, do you have something to add?

MS. POWELL: Just a clarification for the

in helping us get that land.

board.

1.3

2.2

We included in your green folder -and it's Tab 5-I, but, again, it's in
the green folder -- a copy of what we
received. At the time we received it,
it was a draft plan. We understood the
commission was going to meet and discuss
the plan but had not done so. We
learned this morning -- and be sure I'm
correct because the memo I gave them
still calls it a draft plan because it
was at the time.

We did not know until right before the meeting that the commission adopted the plan, and I just want to verify -- so there is a final plan, but I just wanted to be sure what Mr. Morrison -- it's the same. Nothing changed. So they can consider that draft final?

MR. MORRISON: Correct.

MS. POWELL: Okay. Then you do have a --

MR. MARTY: We presented this to the commission, and they approved it.

I just wanted to be sure we were 1 MS. POWELL: working off -- their review was off the right document. So what you have that I 3 said was draft is now final. Just an 4 Thanks. That's it. 5 update. 6 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you. The next is Mitch Reid to talk about 7 the Red Hills-Flat Creek Addition. 8 9 That's Tab 4-B, page 34. 10

All right, Mr. Reid.

MR. REID: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner and the commission.

> So let me just say up front, I am not Steve Northcutt. I'm going to do my best to relay information that he has passed. He was at another engagement today, and he couldn't get out of it. So forgive me if I stumble on this.

So we're seeking today consideration of the Flat Hills Tract. This came up last commission meeting, and Mr. Northcutt spoke on it. Really, I just wanted to report back that we've

23

11

12

1.3

14

gone back to answer some of the questions that came up last time about whether -- with the HCP provisions being on the land, whether it would limit access or public access to the land.

We've gone back. Steve has looked at it again. It would -- first of all, the HCP, once purchased, could be revoked. I think it takes a 30-day notice if for some reason you did not want the HCP funds listed on this -- or this land listed under HCP. However, the only thing that it would restrict would be land conversion, so like putting in ATV trails -- ATV and things like that. It would not limit people putting in hiking trails or hunting on the land, using the land for public access.

It would allow you to bring in those federal funds in order to bring in the ability to purchase the land. The federal funds require 25 percent

non-federal match.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

And another question that came up, as I understand, was whether this could be a phased acquisition. It absolutely The Nature Conservancy has done a first -- has done an appraisal of the We spent \$26,000 looking at the land. land. We listed Forever Wild as an intended user. We would recommend adopting that report. It would need to be updated, and Steve estimates that would be somewhere around \$7,000 to estimate -- or to update the report, look at timber, make sure there's no damage on it, beetle damage or things like that. And then once we have a first appraisal, we can move on from that.

I'd be glad to try to answer any questions.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Jones.

MR. JONES: The \$7,000 you mentioned, would that be to update that appraisal?

MR. REID: That's correct. And that's an 1 estimate. That's just Steve's back of 3 the envelope. MR. JONES: 4 Okay. 5 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir. 6 MR. REID: Thank you, sir. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 7 Just for your information, it is Tab 5-C. There's 8 9 some information about this. And I think our next speaker is going to be on 10 11 the same -- Andrew Schock is the next 12 speaker on the same property. And after 1.3 that, Doug, can you give us the update 14 from 5-C as it relates to this property? MR. SCHOCK: Good morning. I'm Andrew Schock 15 16 with The Conservation Fund. Frankly, I think Mitch covered it, but I'd be happy 17 18 to answer some questions. 19 Importantly, what we're asking for 20 is, I suppose, to get an updated appraisal since you already 21 theoretically have one; right, Doug? 2.2

MS. POWELL: I'm sorry. Repeat that.

I would be asking for you all to 1 MR. SCHOCK: update the appraisal that you -- you don't have it yet, but you should be 3 able to ... 4 He's saying theoretically. MR. DEATON: 5 6 MS. POWELL: Oh, okay. MR. SCHOCK: Yeah. You don't have the appraisal yet, but you were named as an 8 9 intended user. So based on that, to do an updated appraisal. 10 So we'd be 11 asking --12 MS. POWELL: After review of the appraisal, as 1.3 we would any first appraisal. 14 MR. SCHOCK: Yes. MS. POWELL: I think it was done by an 15 16 appraiser that has done work for us. 17 MR. SCHOCK: Yes. Yes. So it is possible, yes. MS. POWELL: 18 19 MR. SCHOCK: So I can maybe be more succinct. We are asking that you would do a 20 first appraisal on this property. 21 question came up before can you, if need 2.2

be, acquire it in phases. We've talked

to the seller. The answer is, yes, that can be done.

Also, it could potentially be less than the whole. There's 12,000 acres that are for sale. As Patti Powell has pointed out, not all of those acres are necessary for the Red Hills Salamander. So there could be a less-than-whole acquisition done as well.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir.

MR. SCHOCK: Okay. Thank you.

1.3

2.2

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: At this time I think it would be appropriate, Doug, if you would cover 5-C while we're talking about this particular piece of property to answer some questions some people had at the last board meeting.

MR. DEATON: Yes, sir. As Mr. Reid stated, staff also looked at the HCP and confirmed that there's no issues with recreational use or public access and things like that. The only restriction is to timber harvest in the areas that

are designated as protected for the Red Hills Salamander, which we would follow those protocol anyway in our standard operation.

We also looked at potential grant opportunities that could help fund this. There are two programs that we could apply for. There's the HCP, which is the Habitat Conservation Plan grant, and the RLA, the Recovery Land Acquisition grant, that — they cycle every year. If awarded, they're a two-year — you have two years to spend the money. And the HCP has the potential of \$2 million annually. The RLA has a potential of \$1 million annually.

And those are typically announced in February. We haven't heard the announcement for this year. If it comes out, we'll continue to look and see if that's available. Also, the application we do in March, and we'd be notified in October if we're awarded. So there's no

guarantee that those funds are available, but historically those have been there.

1.3

2.2

And as Mr. Schock said, the appraisal, from what we understand, is broken down in different phases. And we could also look at priority areas to get those that might be adjacent to us that might be of better interest and, also, look at habitat for priority purchases.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any questions?

MR. DEATON: Any questions about that?

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman?

14 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir,

Mr. Satterfield.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Here in the notes under

Tab 5, you had indicated that you had
asked for some grant funding in last
year's fiscal year cycle from federal
agencies on 1100 acres and that was
still pending. First of all, was that
RLA grant money or HCP grant money, and
have you heard back from last year's

fiscal year grant request? 1 MR. DEATON: It was HCP money. We have not heard back from it. Several of our 3 grants have been put off just based on 4 current administration has different 5 6 views on whether or not these grants should continue. So we're still in 7 limbo. 8 9 MR. SATTERFIELD: Okay. So that amount is still pending, and you're still waiting 10 11 on the process to be announced to begin 12 in the next fiscal year? 1.3 MR. DEATON: That's correct. So there's still 14 some --MR. SATTERFIELD: So all of that is really 15 16 contingency funding at this point? That's correct. It's uncertain. 17 MR. DEATON: MR. SATTERFIELD: How did you make the 18 decision out of the 12,000 acres to ask 19 for the grant on just 1100? 20 This was a decision that Wildlife MR. DEATON: 21 They were looking at a potential 2.2 made. 23 acquisition of the property. So it

wasn't contingent upon Forever Wild's 1 involvement. But knowing that they've applied for it, it's something that we 3 could take advantage of if we chose to 4 move forward. 5 6 MR. SATTERFIELD: So since that was HCP grant 7 money, I'm presuming that was part of -at least part of the property that's 8 9 designated as critical habitat for the salamander? 10 MR. DEATON: 11 That's correct. Because their 12 goal would be to delist the species. 1.3 You know, that's their mission as well, so -- outside of Forever Wild. 14 DR. WOODS: I have a question, Doug. 15 16 If we get a first appraisal on the 12,000 acres and they said they were 17 willing to break this down into 18 19 sections, would we not have to go back and do first appraisals on those 20 sections? 21 MR. DEATON: Repeat your question. I'm sorry. 2.2 23 DR. WOODS: Okay. This is up for first

appraisal. 1 MR. DEATON: Okay. DR. WOODS: If we were to do that for the 3 12,000 acres and got the first appraisal 4 and they mentioned that they would be 5 6 willing to break these 12,000 acres down into sections --7 MR. DEATON: 8 Okay. 9 DR. WOODS: -- would we not have to go back and get first appraisals on those 10 11 sections? 12 MR. DEATON: If you wanted to just pick and 1.3 choose certain sections to get an 14 updated appraisal on, we could do that. We could do the entire property if you 15 wanted to do that as well. Did that 16 answer your question? 17 DR. WOODS: Yes. 18 19 MR. DEATON: Okay. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Doug. 20 Our next speaker is on the D'Olive 21 Bay nomination, Selena Vaughn. That's 2.2

Tab 4-B, page 24. 4-B, page 24.

MS. VAUGHN: Good morning. I'm glad to be 1 here and not hear any coughs or sniffles. I'm glad everybody is well 3 I got a nice tour of the Farmers today. Market next door. The GPS and I had a 5 little misunderstanding, but other than 6 7 that, it's been a great drive. I'm not sure -- on the handout I 8 brought, on the front page is a map of 9 exactly where D'Olive Bay is. 10 11 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: I'm sorry, ma'am. If you would give everybody just a 12 1.3 second so they can get this in their hand. 14 MS. VAUGHN: 15 Sure. 16 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: I want to make sure 17 they hear what you have to say. MS. VAUGHN: Sure. 18 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: We're all concerned 19 with getting our page number. 20 MS. VAUGHN: I'll go ahead and tell you that, 21 you know, Spanish Fort, Daphne, 2.2 23 Fairhope, the whole Eastern Shore -- of

21

2.2

23

course, if you've seen the news, we are one of the fastest-growing areas in the country and certainly in the state. And I'm constantly amazed at every farm and bog that they are building on, I mean, places that I just don't think you can build. That's one of the reasons that we are sort of driven to try to save this particular piece of property. Because even though when I walk around on it I think, well, I would never build anything here, but I have seen plans drawn out -- dusty, now, but plans -- by the owner for developing this area. And it would break my heart.

Number one, you know, this watershed here -- we have such an issue with siltation and massive rain events.

We're constantly trying to filter our stormwater, and as everything is cleared, just the aerial shots of the siltation going into the bay is -- it's just phenomenal. And this is one area

that we just really would like to see stay preserved and untouched.

And let's see. On this map, on the very front page, somehow I-10 dropped off of this. I-10 would kind of run east to west parallel to the Causeway that you see there right through the middle of this oval. That gives you an idea of where this is. So if you want to flip to the next page, it's a zoomed-in map of this area, and I-10 actually appeared on this map.

There are a couple of developments since the last time I appeared before this organization several years ago when we first brought this nomination to you. And the main update is that this lavender and green property that you see have been donated to us to go toward this project because we're hoping Forever Wild will purchase the property in yellow and we would be able to match more acreage than what we're actually

asking Forever Wild to contribute.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

So the lavender property, 67 acres, has been donated to the City of Daphne, and they fully intend -- and they have a resolution on the books. They fully intend to hand this over to Forever Wild if this goes through.

And then the property in mint green there, that was donated to the foundation I'm chairman of, the Village Point Foundation. And the reason they split it that way was that mint green property fell into Spanish Fort city limits. So the City of Daphne couldn't accept it. Spanish Fort gave us their blessing for us to take it to put this toward this project. They are more than willing for us to donate this to Forever Wild as one project.

The other update since the last time I came before this board is at the very bottom, the little, tiny yellow square there, that is just .3 acres that

23

happens to be owned by the same property And the reason that this little owner. piece of property is important to us -besides the fact that it's at the foot of a steep hill going to the bay and we would just like to preserve that and not have much construction on it -- is that the City of Daphne as of this year has taken over what was a private boat launch by the Lake Forest Yacht Club and made it a public boat launch. And this property is adjacent now to this little yellow square you're seeing here. just south of there. In Daphne, because the water fills with the siltation, there are just no -- there aren't any deep places for boat launches.

So everywhere we've attempted to make a public launch has failed. This is the most likely site, and even it requires some dredging. We also have some search-and-rescue organizations that want to use this, and we think that

partnered together we could get grants
and improve this existing nice boat
launch.

But this piece of property to the
north, that little, tiny yellow piece

But this piece of property to the north, that little, tiny yellow piece would allow us a little more parking, picnic tables, and the ability to make a kayak launch, which we don't have anywhere along -- until you get closer to Fairhope, I guess. That would take that away from where the power boats would launch.

And as you can see -- let's see -- yeah. That's about 100 acres total -- let's just round it up -- all of that, including that little yellow piece.

- DR. WOODS: What is the distance from the 100 acres down to that point?
- MS. VAUGHN: Oh, just about maybe 3 miles.

 It's very close, very close.

And this property I'm talking about, the large piece of yellow -- you know, this is alluvial swamp. You know, the

waterfront side, of course, are lilies, and you move into alluvial swamp, blackwater swamp, and it even transitions into some -- a little bit of dry hardwood.

This was owned formerly by Friday

Construction. The owner now shows as

JT, LLC. It's the same person. I think

that he might have lost a partner there

but the same organization as originally

proposed.

And we're working really hard. When I say we, Village Point Foundation and Daphne is working hard with the NEPA on trying to do something about stormwater. And this property — the most exciting thing about it — and I guess you'll be able to see this clearly two pages over on the animated map that shows Daphne parks. We have Gator Boardwalk, which is an existing park, where we would be able to cut access through — we would be able to just cut the access through

to this new property tomorrow. All we would have to do is put up a sign and say "Forever Wild, Welcome."

What we would like to do in the future, though, because there's a lot of shell middens and poison ivy and, like I said, swamp, we would eventually like to put some boardwalks and things in there. But we could open it tomorrow adjacent to this already popular Gator Boardwalk.

And on our master plan -- if you look all the way down 98 here to Village Point Preserve Park, which is the first piece of property Village Point Foundation worked with the city to acquire, we have a master plan that we presented to the city that we would like continuous sidewalks and boardwalks where you would be able to walk all the way from this property at Gator Boardwalk all the way down along the bay, some on sidewalks, some cutting over to boardwalks on the bay back and

forth, with a few scenic overlooks all the way to our nature preserve.

And it's a very big plan. And since the last time I was here we were able to acquire this piece in the middle, the 12-acre overlook and boardwalk tract. We're going to sort of start from the middle and work out as we can do it with grants. And then you see the D'Olive Boat Ramp there south of that, future amphitheater, and our existing Bayfront Park and Village Point Preserve.

So we think that this is just a piece of a really bigger project that is much needed on the Eastern Shore. I don't know if you've been through Spanish Fort or Fairhope lately. And this is really our last chance on this Eastern Shore to open this to the public.

And if you'll look on the very last page, I've included a couple of signs here, the educational signs that are

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

right now in process of being constructed that are going into Gator Alley Boardwalk.

The other thing about that Gator Alley Boardwalk, like I said, it's an existing park. It's very popular. city recently acquired more property to add parking. We're putting in the signs. We just got a grant and did a huge restoration of where this D'Olive Creek goes into D'Olive Bay doing these step pools and native plants. And it's one of the few creek restorations that we have done -- and we've done many, just millions of dollars in Daphne because of our siltation and runoff issues. But this is one of the few that the public can actually see and walk alongside. We have all kinds of educational signs.

And then this takes you on a little boardwalk over D'Olive Creek down into Gator Boardwalk. And with the addition

1	of this property, they would be able to
2	go on into work their way all the way
3	to the bay. And we would love to do
4	some educational signage of how the
5	shoreline changes from the coastline
6	into the hardwood. And, like I said,
7	what's most exciting about it is that we
8	would be able to use it tomorrow.
9	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any questions?
10	(No response.)
11	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you,
12	Ms. Vaughn.
13	MS. VAUGHN: Thank you.
14	MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman?
15	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir,
16	Mr. Satterfield.
17	MR. SATTERFIELD: I hate to be the only one
18	asking questions here.
19	Selena; right?
20	MS. VAUGHN: Yes.
21	MR. SATTERFIELD: Selena, thank you. This is
22	a great presentation, and it's a
23	dramatically different presentation from

what was originally nominated encompassing a whole lot more land and three different landowners.

MS. VAUGHN: A lot has happened.

MR. SATTERFIELD: So my question is, if

Forever Wild acquired this property, who would be responsible for managing the property?

Your foundation owns 45 acres. The City of Daphne owns 67 acres. And then you're asking Forever Wild to acquire another 100 acres. So we have three different property owners on three different pieces of property there even though they're close together. Who would be responsible for managing and funding and operating these properties? Because, as you know, once Forever Wild acquires a property, the staff has to develop a management plan for those properties.

So how would all this work? And depending on who is managing it, what

1.3

kind of written agreements would have to be worked out with DCNR and the Forever Wild staff to be able to put this thing together?

MS. VAUGHN: Sure. I didn't make that very clear. I talk way too fast, and I get too excited about it.

The City of Daphne and Village Point Foundation want to sign over these properties to encompass with what you are purchasing so that it becomes one Forever Wild tract. So this is our donation match to what we would be asking -- we would like you to sign it over.

And then the City of Daphne has on their books -- and we just updated this resolution -- that they would take care of this and it would belong -- this would be under the City of Daphne along with their parks. It would just be sort of an extension of Gator Alley Boardwalk. It would become their

maintenance, and they would oversee and be the managing party.

MS. POWELL: There would need to be some additional details worked out. The City of Daphne has offered support and offered some security and some maintenance similar to our Dothan tract where we spent a good bit of time working with the Dothan officials and entering, you know, into certain agreements that specified who was doing what.

There would need to be some additional documentation and agreements there, but right now the property is just on the short list. If the board would like for us, you know, to engage and bring back, you know, an outline more specifically of who would do what and what -- on the dotted line, everybody would be -- the city would be willing to sign and what that would bring forward, similar to the

2.2

arrangement in Dothan, we can certainly do that and work on that and bring that back to the board if there's interest on pursuing this but wanting that additional detail either before an appraisal or after appraisal, however you want.

But the staff can do that, absolutely, but those are -- until the board expresses interest on a tract to engage in that degree of work and developing potential MOUs, we would wait for direction from the board.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Well, it seems to me that
that would be helpful before we went to
the expense of having an appraisal on
the property, just have more definition
over who's responsible for what and what
kind of management agreements would be
worked out and what kind of additional
revenue sources might be needed and
where that money would be coming from to
manage the property, because that gets

more complicated once that all becomes the responsibility of Forever Wild.

1.3

2.2

MS. VAUGHN: That's great. I have two points before I forget them.

One, I'll read you the final sentence of this resolution on the books that the City of Daphne --

- MR. SATTERFIELD: Excuse me. Is that in our packet, that resolution?
- MS. POWELL: I do not think the exact
 resolution -- we reference the
 willingness expressed by the City of
 Daphne to do some things. You know,
 part of it, too, would be -- obviously,
 though, even with the resolution, you
 would want an actual agreement, you
 know, more than a resolution creating
 obligation. But I guess what I'm saying
 is it creates, to me at least, a very
 good possibility that this -- you know,
 similar to Dothan, again, something
 could be worked out with everybody
 willing to sign those agreements and

specify what they are willing to provide and how it would interact.

2.2

So I think the benefit we have here is we already know we have a willing city council to engage in those discussions, and so that's excellent and would be a very -- much further along than some tracts where that local support hasn't been confirmed. And so I think that's a great jumping-off point.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Well, we had that kind of agreement before with other properties we've acquired like up in Springville and other places where we had a pretty specific agreement with the local authorities, local political officials, local elected officials about who was going to do what before we actually sent it on through an appraisal process.

That's why I was asking these questions.

MS. POWELL: And, also, in explanation, you know, a little bit to the board, you know, that obviously does take the time

of those officials. And so before we go 1 that route, we always like to be sure that the board at least has interest in 3 pursuing it and thinks they might like 4 to see something work. And so with that 5 6 view from the board, we would certainly not mind engaging the city and taking up 7 their time of those officials and see 8 9 what we can get. So we'd be happy to report back on that. 10 11 MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Commissioner, I have a 12 question. 1.3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir, 14 Mr. Wright. MR. WRIGHT: Patti, would the City of Daphne 15 16 have to go to your office to say let's build a boardwalk over here? 17 MS. POWELL: You mean to get permission on our 18 19 property? We would require permission 20 for the Forever Wild acreage to know 21 what they're building, agree with where 2.2 23 the boardwalk would exactly go. Our

Natural Heritage staff would be sure if there were any sensitive areas that we simply route around it. We're used to doing that on our tracts. That's part of what we do.

But, you know, the entire city would not -- these discussions can be our staff going down and meeting, you know, with the city. I mean, so they do not have to come up. But part of the agreement would be -- similar to other properties that we -- you know, we have to agree -- or if a biking group wants to put a trail, well, that's great, but we have to be sure where it's going and route that around sensitive habitats, also be sure it coincides with our access points, do we have enough parking, et cetera, et cetera.

And so, yes, it gets very detailed.

And that's an example of why -- and,

frankly, until this board expresses the

desire to engage in that level of

conversation and tie up local officials, we wait for just what we may have gotten here today, a signal of interest in us doing that.

- MR. WRIGHT: One thing that concerns me, too, along with the building and so forth, is that .3 acres for that boat launch.

 That looks like that could be really complicated.
- MS. POWELL: And it could. But, I mean, there are other -- you know, again, if y'all would like for us to look into this -- if, for example, though, the potential of that area would lead you not to be interested in hearing more and learning more and seeing what we can do, that would be something we'd want to know so we wouldn't go do it.

I would say at this point I would suggest giving staff some feedback if the board might be interested in us getting down in the details and spending that time and coming back. But if

anything about this would make you not want to do it, then we don't want to spend that time. So this is the kind of feedback we need.

- MR. WRIGHT: Well, the .3 acres concerns me.
- MS. POWELL: But to a point of not acquiring it or bringing you options about how it might work -- might or might not work with or without it or how it might operate?
- MR. WRIGHT: Yeah. All those details. It just seems complicated to me with that .3 acres of what is proposed to go on it. I mean, we're not in the building and boat-launch business.
- MS. POWELL: And that would probably be an example of where either other grant funds or the city's contribution or a foundation -- but someone's contribution of funds -- now, just as with some of the other tracts, we do not have the money to go in and build every recreational element that might be

1.3

possible on a tract. So, yes, that is 1 an example of something -- the city has indicated willingness to pursue other 3 grant funds, but --4 Who actually owns that .3 acres? 5 MR. WRIGHT: 6 MS. VAUGHN: The same owner of the other 100 acres. 7 MR. WRIGHT: Of the 100 acres. 8 9 MS. VAUGHN: Originally Friday Construction. Now I think it's JT, LLC. 10 11 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Just for informational purposes, the Marine Resources Division 12 1.3 of the Department of Conservation is 14 working with Daphne in building this 15 boat ramp currently. So this property, 16 I think, would be adjacent to the boat ramp that's --17 MS. VAUGHN: Yes. We would not build a boat 18 19 ramp on this. The boat ramp already exists on the property the city has 20 acquired south of this. This would just 21 be extra elbow room. And when I say we 2.2

would like picnic tables and a kayak

launch, I mean literally a place to push 1 your kayak off. We're not talking about building a boat ramp. We want this 3 property north of our boat ramp that 5 we've just taken over so that we -- so 6 people with a canoe or a kayak would be able to just push off into the water. 7 We're not talking about building a 8 9 structure other than picnic tables or a place to drive and drop off. 10 11 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So if it pleases 12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

the board, if I could suggest that the staff between now and the next meeting maybe visit with Daphne and talk about some of these issues that we've discussed today and then be prepared at the next meeting to answer any questions we might have and see how -- what would be required for those agreements to be worked out. Is that --

MS. POWELL: That would be great. And like we know we have this question. If there are any other questions any of you have,

if you would, just email staff, and
we'll add it to be sure we specifically
cover -- if you know you have a specific
question, we'll specifically cover it.
Thanks.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you.

MS. VAUGHN: I have a quick two answers to something I heard while you were speaking.

One is if you skip all the "whereases" at the bottom, I just want to read to you quickly about the -- be it further resolved by the City Council of the City of Daphne that the City of Daphne willingly and in full support agrees to assist in providing public access to any Forever Wild parcels by expanding the Gator Boardwalk to include the parcels. And the city will seek funding to implement boardwalks around the parcels and once installed will provide maintenance and security of all improvements.

2.2

Then the other thing I wanted to add to that -- I left off a very, very big part of this. And, that is, part of what the Village Point Foundation did back in the '90s when we came into being is we worked with the city and established that part of -- well, originally 100 percent of our lodging taxes went to all of our Bayfront Park's maintenance, improvements, and acquisitions. Now that pool of money is big, and everybody is fighting over it. But now we still get 42 or 43 percent of that.

And last month we had \$72,000 in lodging tax. So, obviously, 42 percent of that goes into our Bayfront account. Right now we have an account that's sitting there at about a million dollars that we're fixing to spend on acquiring more waterfront properties down here around Village Point and doing the improvements on the properties we've

already acquired. So we do have a lot 1 of stream of funding for some improvements, and we go after as many 3 grants, of course, as we can. 4 5 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you. 6 MR. CAUTHEN: I've got one question. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right, Sonny. MR. CAUTHEN: Selena, did you say that y'all 8 9 have got 72,000 in ... They collected 72,000 a month in 10 MS. VAUGHN: 11 lodging tax, but we get a percentage of 12 that into the Bayfront fund. 1.3 MR. CAUTHEN: Get 42 percent of that 72,000? MS. VAUGHN: 14 Right. And we need about three more zeros behind that to -- to do 15 16 everything we need to do. MR. CAUTHEN: Well, that's a nice income 17 stream. 18 19 MS. VAUGHN: And, also, this property is probably small compared to what you're 20 looking at in the sense that I think the 21 tax appraisal for all of this together 2.2 is about \$200,000 -- yeah, including the 23

.3 parcel there. So I know this is 1 really a small fish compared to some of the things you're looking at. 3 MR. WRIGHT: It's valued at \$200,000? 4 5 MS. VAUGHN: About 200,000 tax appraisal for 6 all of this. 7 MR. WRIGHT: That's all three properties? MS. VAUGHN: Yes. 8 9 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Ellis. MR. ELLIS: Mr. Chairman. 10 11 What about timing with the seller? How critical is the --12 1.3 MS. VAUGHN: He's had it for decades. You know, this is the same builder who built 14 Lake Forest in the '70s. And originally 15 16 he owned all of this, and now he's down 17 to these few parcels. MR. ELLIS: You've got time for us to work 18 through all this we've talked about? 19 MS. VAUGHN: Right. Unless he passes away and 20 we have to deal with his heirs. 21 MS. POWELL: And we'll get a copy of this 2.2

resolution circulated to the board.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you.

Our last speaker is Charles Yeager on the Turkey Creek Nature Preserve.

And there's not a nomination currently,

I don't think, with this property, so there's nothing to find in your books.

Yes, sir.

MR. YEAGER: Thank you, Commissioner and board. I'm honored to be able to speak with you guys today. Thank you so much.

My name is Charles Yeager, and I work for Birmingham-Southern College, the Southern Environmental Center at Birmingham-Southern College as the manager of Forever Wild's Turkey Creek Nature Preserve.

If you guys are not familiar with the tract, it's a 466-acre tract that is located in Pinson, Alabama, so just about 15 miles north of Birmingham. We are now in about the ninth year of our partnership. This is a unique partnership in that it's between --

Birmingham-Southern, Forever Wild, City of Pinson, Freshwater Land Trust are all partners in making this project happen.

We have a big impact. We've come a long way. In the nine years of our partnership we've seen lots of trails built. Not too long ago the preserve was a very difficult place to visit. It was a very dangerous place. And now it's a safe place for families and for kids and for our education programs.

Last year was a really busy year at the preserve. And I apologize for not being able to make it out and give you guys an update about what we're doing and what's going on at the preserve. We had about 130,000 visitors come out to the preserve last year. So that probably makes it one of the more heavily visited Forever Wild tracts even though it's probably maybe one of the smaller ones.

But we had about 5,000 participants

in our programs, about 57 school groups,
27 public events. This includes guided
hikes, trail runs, plant sales, and our
big summer annual festival called "Float
Your Boat." In addition, we had about
41 service groups that came out and
helped us maintain the preserve, take
care of the preserve, and make additions
to the preserve as well.

So in addition to that, we -- in addition to maintaining the preserve, we are maintaining over six miles of trail, about a mile and a half of road, our parking areas, picnic areas, and all the landscaping that goes along with it.

We've made numerous improvements to the facility. We just recently eradicated over 10 acres of invasive species with grant funding from the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation. We constructed a commercial greenhouse and shade house for propagation of native plants that will be used for

future restoration projects. We expanded our park pollinator gardens. We partnered with Ruffner Mountain and The Nature Conservancy to start work on restoring the stream bank in the upper Shades Creek. This includes planting of over 2,000 plants that came from our nursery.

In 2018 we're looking forward to expanding our educational programming to provide more students with unique experiences in STEM education that meet Alabama's College and Career Ready Standards. Like I said, we had 57 school groups last year, and we're already looking at probably far more than that coming this year hopefully.

We are currently prepared for what looks like our busiest spring in education programs and events ever.

April 7th, we are preparing to partner with Ruffner Mountain for our annual native plant sale. On April 15th, we're

hosting the "Darter Festival" at Cahaba

Brewing Company.

And on April 27th and 28th, we are going to host our annual spring
"BioBlitz" during which we will have students and professors and enthusiasts all descend on Turkey Creek to catalog as many plants and animals as possible.

This event not only helps us to learn more about what is actually at Turkey Creek so that we can manage it better, but it also is a great outreach event to get people excited about the preserve and excited about all of the cool things that are there.

So this year we're also taking on some new projects to help enhance our visitor experience as well as sustainability of our operation. One of the more exciting projects that we're looking at is the installation of a new outdoor education pavilion. Information about that should be included in what

was handed out to you guys.

This pavilion we expect to be able to hold over 150 people. It's going to include restrooms, a catering prep area, power, those sorts of things, and it's going to -- it's greatly needed. It's something we really need because it will allow us the opportunity to host larger groups. We have to turn down a lot of education groups because we don't have the space. We don't have any facilities. We also don't have running water anywhere in the preserve. So we don't have the opportunity to do that.

It's also going to give us the ability to hopefully bring in some more sustainable income through rentals and through events. It's actually not on the Forever Wild tract. It's on the Freshwater Land Trust tract that's adjacent, but it's a big part of what will help us be able to continue to grow.

We're a very small nonprofit.

There's actually -- so I'm the only

full-time person at Turkey Creek. And

so I oversee just about everything,

including all the volunteers, the

grants, and all of the maintenance.

The construction for this project is -- we're expecting it to cost about \$450,000. We've currently raised about \$250,000. We're still diligently working towards this goal. We've received support from private donors, the City of Pinson, Jefferson County. So we're edging closer to reaching that goal.

I don't -- I know that I can't

expect -- or even really expect much

funding support from you guys, but I

wanted to make you guys aware of what

we're trying to do to expand our public

opportunities at the preserve but also

to ask that if you guys know of any ways

that you could help, contacts

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 2.2

23

politically or otherwise have any suggestions for ways to help find these funds, it really would make a big difference in our operation.

You know, our operation is bare bones, \$60,000 a year. And that's just, you know, having that one employee and keeping those gates open. And we try to do so much more with that. We've done a lot. Our funding -- our initial funding that was set aside has now dried up. we're now at a point where we get about -- a little less than half of our annual budget comes from the City of Pinson and a little bit of support from State Lands, and the rest of it we have to fund -- we have to fundraise for. So that's a lot of begging and pleading, and it's a lot of work for one person.

So any kind of support you guys can provide or any kind of ideas, I'm certainly open to it. And I appreciate all that you guys do. You might not see it a lot, but the places you guys are supporting and protecting really do have a huge impact, not just on economics, but on families and communities. And I get to see that every day. I get to see what it means to these families and these kids to have a place that's safe and clean for them to come out and enjoy free of charge. And that makes a huge difference.

So thank you guys so much. And I appreciate your time. I think my card was included. So if you guys would like to contact me, please do. I would like to invite you all to come out and take a tour of the preserve, come and see what we're doing, because it really is a remarkable place.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you,

Mr. Yeager, for your update. And thank you for your work at the Turkey Creek Preserve. I know you're up there by yourself with a lot of volunteers.

1.3

Thank you very much. 1 MR. YEAGER: Thank you so much. MR. WRIGHT: I would like to say something 3 about Charles here too. 4 I went up and visited his facility 5 6 up there, and I was really amazed at the 7 people that were there that day. want to make a comment to Doug. 8 Doug, they need some more parking up 9 there. It sort of gets close in there. 10 11 But, Charles, y'all are doing a super job with a minimal amount of 12 13 budget that you're working with. You're doing a good job. 14 MR. YEAGER: Thank you so much and we do 15 16 appreciate that. 17 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir. Thank you to all of our speakers 18 this morning. Now I think it's the time 19 we usually recess for executive session 20 to discuss appraised values. 21 By regulation, appraisal values are 2.2 23 confidential during periods of

1	negotiation. In order to discuss tract
2	appraisal values, the board will need to
3	go into recess for an executive session.
4	Is there a motion from the board to
5	now recess to attend executive session?
6	MR. HORN: So move.
7	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So moved by
8	Mr. Horn.
9	Is there a second?
10	DR. WOODS: Second.
11	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by
12	Dr. Woods.
13	As I call your name, please state
14	your position on the motion to recess.
15	Those in favor indicate by saying "aye"
16	and those opposed by "nay."
17	Chris Blankenship, aye.
18	Charles Ball?
19	MR. BALL: Aye.
20	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: David Wright?
21	MR. WRIGHT: Aye.
22	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Tolley-Jordan?
23	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Aye.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Woods? 1 DR. WOODS: Aye. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Sims? 3 DR. SIMS: 4 Aye. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Ellis? 5 6 MR. ELLIS: Aye. 7 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Cauthen? MR. CAUTHEN: Yes. 8 9 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Horn? MR. HORN: 10 Yes. 11 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: 12 Aye. 13 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Oates? 14 MR. OATES: Aye. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 15 Mr. Runyan? 16 MR. RUNYAN: Aye. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Satterfield? 17 18 MR. SATTERFIELD: Aye. All were in favor. 19 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 20 So at this time we will be dismissed to attend executive session. 21 It is 11:22. We anticipate that 2.2 23 we'll be gone about 20 minutes, 25

minutes. So we'll return -- we'll try
and return promptly at 11:50. Thank
you.

(Recess for executive session was

taken at approximately 11:22 a.m.

and the meeting was called back to

order at approximately 12:11 p.m.)

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: We are back out of the executive session at 12:11. At this point we're at number five on the agenda, the financial data. Patti Powell. Tab 2 in your folder.

MS. POWELL: And this would be the updated information that you have in your green folder. So it would be your yellow 2-A. And I know we're running long today, and so I'm going to kind of speed through to probably what everybody is interested in.

Of the properties that -- prior tracts the board has made motion on to proceed to purchase, taking everything into consideration and everything that's

1.3

2.2

2.2

in some stage of trying to accomplish the board's motion to proceed with purchase -- we take our initial -- our current balance of just over 25 million and if you subtract the tracts, assuming -- hoping they'll all end up getting closed, that leaves this board with an available balance of \$16,874,000. And you have spending authority to take action today, and so that's not an issue this time.

The tracts that have closed that I normally tell you as of, again, this fiscal year, which began October 1st, is Dallas County WMA Addition, DeSoto State Park-French Addition, Grand Bay-Solet Addition. That was a donation that we received through The Nature Conservancy benefit of the National Wildlife -- Fish and Wildlife Federation, NFWF funding.

Old Cahawba Prairie-Childers Creek
Addition, the Sipsey River Swamp-Taylor
Creek Addition. That was a motion by

the board, but that offer was declined by the landowner.

And Weeks Bay Reserve-Sunset Shores Addition. That has also closed.

Any questions about funds available to the board or any other aspect of Tab A?

(No response.)

If not, I'll go to Tab B. MS. POWELL: is the stewardship fund. Again, for benefit of the public, the stewardship fund is funded through -- anytime this board makes an acquisition, you have to also have enough money to transfer 15 percent of the appraised value into the stewardship fund. That fund is designed to provide perpetual maintenance money for these tracts basically so we can take care of them. We then try to spend the interest earnings off of that -- no more than the interest earnings off of that stewardship fund. Sometimes the

23

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

interest earnings haven't provided 1 enough, but this year our budget for stewardship expenses is 1.5 million.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

To date, we have an available balance of 866,000, although you will see that go down as we get out of the wet season and into spring. That will go down. But currently we're fine and operating within the activities we had previously outlined to the board that would need to come from that stewardship fund. So we're okay there right now.

If there are no questions on the stewardship fund, I will give a brief overview of appraised nominations. any questions on Tab 2?

(No response.)

MS. POWELL: Then you have your Tab 3-A. I'm going to run through the appraised nominations. These are the nominations for which appraisals have been received. There may be some tracts that the board had motioned on in a prior meeting to

2.2

move for an appraisal that we don't have back yet. We try to do that from one meeting to the next. However, sometimes simply the appraisal is not returned before the next meeting or in the interim we were unable to get all the deed information that we needed from the landowner to the appraiser in time to allow him to complete the appraisal. So there may be some reasons you don't see one. But this is what — the appraisals that we have in hand in some form right now.

The tracts are Barbour WMA-Leak
Creek Addition. That's Barbour County,
obviously. Byrnes Lake, Baldwin County.
Caldwell Swamp, Baldwin County. Coosa
WMA-Hancock Phase III, Coosa County.
Emauhee Creek Lake, Talladega. Laguna
Cove, Baldwin County. Natural Bridge
Creek, Covington. Pintlala Creek,
Lowndes. Rum Creek, Dallas. Skyline
WMA-Crow Creek Valley Addition, Jackson.

1	Tannehill-Mud Creek Addition, Bibb.
2	Terrapin Hill, Coosa. Weeks Bay
3	Reserve-Harrod's Farm, Baldwin. Weeks
4	Bay Reserve-Meadows Phase III Addition,
5	Baldwin. And White Oak Plantation.
6	That's Macon County.
7	Those appraisals roughly total over
8	\$40 million. So that tempers my good
9	news about your available balance. But
10	any questions about the appraised
11	nominations report?
12	If not, we will move into grant
13	status as we usually do. Any questions?
14	And, again, that was kind of fast,
15	but do stop me now.
16	(No response.)
17	MS. POWELL: All right. Thanks.
18	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Doug.
19	MR. DEATON. All right. Now I'll cover the
20	grant status updates that we have.
21	Earlier we spoke about the NOAA
22	grant and how that can be applied to the
23	Weeks Bay-Meadows Phase III Addition and

the Harrod's Farm Addition, and those numbers are in your appraised values today. Those are 50/50 match grants, and it shows what it would cost if the board decided to acquire those tracts. Any questions about those?

(No response.)

MR. DEATON: A quick update about the Solet

Tract. We closed on December 29th, and
we're working with TNC to establish
property lines and access points and
make that available to the public. So
hopefully we'll have that online soon.

The Red Hills-Section 2 Tract, at the last meeting the board motioned for a first appraisal contingent upon receiving reverted RLA funds. We just received notification we did not get those funds. So today I've come back to the board for your consideration. We need action from you on how you want us to proceed on that. Either we could proceed with a first appraisal as is, or

2.2

we also have another pot that we're waiting to hear back from. You can make the motion contingent upon that. So those are options for you guys today.

And that's all the appraisal -- I mean, that's all the updates I have for grants. Chuck Sykes will have something for Wildlife.

- DR. WOODS: Doug, real quickly. That last one you mentioned that the grant has not come through, which one is that?
- MR. DEATON: That was the Red Hills-Section 2.

 It's like 330 acres. We have another tract -- I mean, another grant in place that could potentially cover it if you guys wanted to move forward with that.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: I'm sorry. I didn't get that. You do have a grant available or do you not have a grant available?
- MR. DEATON: We had a grant available, the reverted RLA funds, and we received notification that we did not receive those. So we moved that tract -- we can

move that tract to another grant and 1 have those funds apply to it if you guys want us to proceed with that. 3 But we haven't received 4 notification. We typically receive 5 6 notification in October. It's in the same situation as all the others are. 7 We haven't heard back on any of our 8 9 grants. MR. SATTERFIELD: So if we hold off on that 10 11 till the next meeting, maybe you'll have more detailed information then? 12 1.3 MR. DEATON: Yes, sir, we would hope so. 14 MR. SATTERFIELD: Okay. DR. WOODS: We did make a motion for a first 15 16 appraisal on that. MR. DEATON: Contingent upon receiving the 17 reverted RLA --18 19 DR. WOODS: So we could go ahead and say continue that first appraisal based --20 MR. DEATON: Contingent upon receiving these 21 RLA funds. 2.2 23 DR. WOODS: Yeah. Okay.

1	MS. POWELL: If you would, turn to Tab 5-H.
2	That relates to Chuck's discussion.
3	MR. SYKES: Thank you, Patti. And, board, I
4	will be very brief.
5	Just wanted to let y'all know that
6	if you chose to move on second appraisal
7	and close of Rum Creek, we are prepared
8	to match that three to one like we did
9	with Dallas and with Pine Barren. We do
10	have that in our budget. So it will be
11	one-quarter Forever Wild and
12	three-quarters Wildlife and Freshwater
13	Fisheries.
14	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Chuck.
15	Jo, the short-list update.
16	MS. LEWIS: Good afternoon. I'm going to run
17	through the short list as quickly as
18	possible. I'm Jo Lewis.
19	As usual, these are the top-scoring
20	tracts in each of the categories of use
21	in each of the geographic regions.
22	Hypothetically, there could be 36. I
23	didn't count. There's 21, I think.

They range in size from 12,000 acres to about 38 acres. And they're all over the state, as usual, Clarke County to Jackson County.

To begin with, they are arranged on Tab 4-A alphabetically. This is a condensation of the different categories in which the tracts short-list.

We start with Autauga WMA-Swift
Creek Addition in Autauga County. Briar
Lake in Baldwin County. Cahaba River
Wildlife Management Area-High Pines
Addition in Bibb County. Cahaba River
WMA-Mohon Addition in Bibb County.
Chickasaw Creek Tract in Mobile County.

Coldwater Mountain-Martin Addition in Calhoun County. This is one of our inholdings or outparcels in Coldwater Mountain.

Coldwater Mountain-Phase II-Amended nomination in Baldwin County. Coosa River Tract in Elmore County. Deer Head Cove-Hartline in DeKalb County. Deer

1	Head Cove-Low Gap in DeKalb County.
2	D'Olive Bay D'Olive Bay in Baldwin
3	County. Freedom Hills WMA-Robbins
4	Addition. Horse Creek National Forest
5	Retreat in Clay County. Mobile-Tensaw
6	Delta-Simmons Addition in Baldwin
7	County. Mobile-Tensaw Delta-Three
8	Rivers Tract, which is actually in
9	Clarke County. Red Hills-Flat Creek
10	Addition in Monroe County. Rock Creek
11	in Blount County. Skyline WMA-Bishop's
12	Cove in Jackson County. Tannehill-South
13	Addition in Bibb and Shelby Counties.
14	And Weeks Bay Reserve-Meadows Gill
15	Addition in Baldwin County.
16	If you have any questions, I'd be
17	happy to try to answer them.
18	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any questions?
19	(No response.)
20	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Jo.
21	MS. LEWIS: Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: The next item on
23	the agenda is general discussion, item

1	six. This is the portion where we have
2	an opportunity for you to make
3	discussion or motions on any of the
4	properties that we have discussed and
5	ask questions at this time.
6	DR. WOODS: Commissioner?
7	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir,
8	Dr. Woods.
9	DR. WOODS: I make a motion that we continue
10	with the first appraisal on the Red
11	Hills-Section 2 in Monroe County
12	contingent upon the funding of the
13	second grant.
14	MR. BALL: I second that.
15	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So Dr. Woods has
16	made a motion that we continue with the
17	appraisal of the Red Hills-Section 2
18	Addition contingent on the RLA funds.
19	DR. WOODS: I wasn't sure which one.
20	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: RLA funds. And
21	that was seconded by Mr. Ball.
22	Any discussion?
23	(No response.)

1	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor
2	say "aye."
3	(All board members present respond
4	"aye.")
5	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
6	(No response.)
7	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: None opposed.
8	Motion passes.
9	MR. HORN: Mr. Chairman?
10	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir, Mr. Horn.
11	MR. HORN: I would move that we proceed with a
12	second appraisal and proceed to purchase
13	on the Barbour WMA-Leak Creek Addition.
14	MR. CAUTHEN: I second that one.
15	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: There's been a
16	motion by Mr. Horn that we move to
17	second appraisal and move to purchase on
18	the Barbour WMA-Leak Creek Addition, and
19	that was seconded by Mr. Cauthen.
20	Any discussion?
21	(No response.)
22	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor
23	say "aye."

1	(All board members present respond
2	"aye.")
3	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
4	(No response.)
5	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: None opposed.
6	Motion carries.
7	Thank you.
8	MR. CAUTHEN: Mr. Chairman?
9	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir,
10	Mr. Cauthen.
11	MR. CAUTHEN: I would move that we proceed
12	with get a second appraisal and
13	proceed with purchase on the Rum Creek
14	Tract in Dallas County.
15	DR. WOODS: Second.
16	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Second.
17	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Cauthen has
18	made a motion that we have a second
19	appraisal and move to purchase on Rum
20	Creek in Dallas County, and that was
21	seconded by Dr. Tolley-Jordan.
22	MS. POWELL: And I would need for examiner's
23	purposes to also note that that if

1	the board wants to, as contingent upon
2	the three-to-one match, the proposal
3	from Wildlife to match that.
4	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So if it pleases,
5	Mr. Cauthen, we will add that caveat to
6	your motion that as contingent upon the
7	three-to-one match from the Wildlife and
8	Freshwater Fisheries Division.
9	MR. CAUTHEN: That will be fine.
10	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Is that okay,
11	Ms. Tolley-Jordan?
12	Ms. Tolley-Jordan said yes.
13	Any discussion?
14	(No response.)
15	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor
16	say "aye."
17	(All board members present respond
18	"aye.")
19	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
20	(No response.)
21	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Motion carries.
22	Thank you.
23	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Mr. Chairman, I would like

1	to ask about the Weeks Bay
2	Reserve-Harrod's Farm Tract with I'm
3	not sure exactly how to word this but
4	to proceed contingent upon
5	MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, we can't hear
6	the discussion on this end of the table.
7	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I'll just talk louder.
8	On the Weeks Bay Reserve-Harrod's
9	Farm Tract for 235 acres that has some
10	holdings, if we could proceed contingent
11	upon donation of acreage related to
12	structures. I don't know how to say
13	that.
14	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So you want to move
15	for a second appraisal and move to
16	purchase on the Weeks Bay Reserve-Harrod
17	Farm contingent on reaching an agreement
18	with the sellers on current structures?
19	MS. POWELL: I think it would be to donate the
20	acreage containing current structures.
21	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: To donate the acreage
22	containing current structures.
23	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: To donate the acreage

containing current structures. 1 I'll second. DR. WOODS: COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by 3 Dr. Woods. 4 I want to make sure everybody is 5 6 clear on that. Any discussion on that? 7 MR. OATES: I would say we need to do that -we need to ask the question first about 8 9 the structures before we get the appraisal -- move for the appraisal. 10 11 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Staff understands 12 that. 1.3 MR. SATTERFIELD: I agree with Mr. Oates that 14 we don't need to go to the expense of 15 the appraisal without getting an 16 agreement from the property owners that they're willing to --17 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So staff has 18 indicated in the affirmative that 19 they'll take care of that --20 That we will do that. MS. POWELL: 21 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: -- before we would 22 23 spend the money for a second appraisal.

MR. JONES: Mr. Chairman, also, if the staff could look at how much it would cost to demolish those structures because that could be quite costly.

1.3

2.2

- MS. POWELL: And so why don't we -- we'll let
 that motion stand, and I will just
 represent to the board that if we
 investigate that cost and it is of any
 significance, then we will come back to
 this board before we take any further
 action. Is that -- I mean, does the
 board want to hear that -- if there's
 any significant expense to that for
 removal of structures?
- MR. OATES: I would be comfortable with y'all making that decision.
- MR. JONES: I would too, you know, but if it's \$200,000, that's significant.
- COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Sims.
- DR. SIMS: At what point, Patti, will the team determine if there is a use for those structures?
- Let's just say that the landowners

are willing to donate those structures.

At what point would there be an

assessment to determine if there's a use

for them?

1.3

2.0

2.2

MS. POWELL: Well, we would determine -- based upon the request from Mr. Jones, we would determine that because that would tie to the expense of either converting them to whatever use, like if we needed a storage shed or something, or demolishing them. And so that's kind of incorporated within the decision and the expense to demolish. I think we can handle this.

Anytime you make a motion to proceed with a purchase and the staff hits something that causes us pause or makes us a little concerned that we think might have changed something here, we bring it back. And so this falls roughly within that -- I think we're okay.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any other

discussion? 1 (No response.) COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor 3 say "aye." 4 (All board members present respond 5 "aye.") 6 7 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? (No response.) 8 9 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: No opposed. Motion carries. 10 11 Yes, sir. MR. WRIGHT: I would like to bring up the 12 1.3 Tannehill-Ayers Addition and see where we could stand there on a re-appraisal. 14 MS. POWELL: Do you want me to update the 15 16 board on -- and I'm sorry. This was just breaking as we came in. 17 I have been provided a comp provided 18 by the owner, and it is a comp from 19 2.0 March of 2017 related to new home construction on 28 acres that is -- now, 21 our property is 354 acres. So you 2.2 23 couldn't really take that -- under

Δ

normal appraising you wouldn't just take that on a per-acre because you normally will see a swing between smaller acreage and larger acreage.

But having said that, we do have that one new comp that -- anytime we have new information, it is our -- you know, we can go back and talk to prior appraisers to see if that would have changed their view or possibly have resulted in a different valuation had this -- was this missed, does that therefore call into question the prior appraisal.

I will say the first appraisal was
July 19th of 2016. The second appraisal
was May 21st of 2017. If I understand,
this sale would have occurred -- this
new home construction on the 28 acres
would have occurred in March of 2017.
So I'm not sure how that might play in.
That's one alternative.

If the board seeks at any time on

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

any property -- if you think there's any concern about the appraisals, we can -this board can request staff to go pursue a new appraisal. And that's also at your discretion and absolutely an option open to this board. But it would require direction from the board because that's not something discretionary the staff would do because that is not the normal process according to approvals you've given us in the past.

So those would be the options, either for staff to take the comp back and see if it would have changed any of the appraiser's valuations and see what we do with that information, or we can start over and just go get a new appraisal.

You're coming up on -- we're not quite there -- but coming up on a -- May of this year would be -- we've already passed one year off the first appraisal. We're coming up on -- May would be two

1 years on the second. So, anyway, we could do that. y'all have got to direct the staff what 3 you would prefer or what you would like, 4 if any action. 5 6 DR. SIMS: What would be the most cost effective? 7 We've paid for two appraisals; is 8 9 that correct? MS. POWELL: And a third reconciliation 10 11 appraisal. DR. SIMS: So what would be the most 12 1.3 cost-effective way of moving forward, I 14 guess, would be my question. MS. POWELL: Well, we could certainly try to 15 16 present the comp. I'm just concerned that one comp off new home construction 17 on 28 acres in comparison to a 354-acre 18 19 tract may not yield the confidence from the appraiser to change their value. 20 So if this is something you want to 21 pursue, I think we're approaching a 2.2 23 point where -- I don't know about cost

effective or time effective, but I'm not 1 sure what to do short of a new appraisal. If this is the only comp 3 we've got to go back with, I'm just not 5 sure -- they're not going to take a 6 per-acre and work --7 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Ayers, do you have some additional information? 8 9 MR. AYERS: Not with me as I sit here today. But I will tell you that is not the only 10 11 comp. I requested formally at the November 12 1.3 board meeting to --14 MS. POWELL: I'm sorry. I just want to make sure -- I'm sorry. 15 16 MR. AYERS: I requested formally at the November board meeting if we could 17 possibly get -- if not the appraisal, if 18 19 we could at least get the comps. received that information yesterday, 20 less than 24 hours before this meeting. 21 So late is, I guess, better than never. 2.2 23 However, I didn't really have time to

prepare the way I would have liked to.

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

But that comp is one of several that were not used as comps. And that property touches our property. And what It is a 28-acre she says is true. compared to -- we have 360 acres. that landowner that acquired that who is building a house that will be completed in the next two months approached me yesterday about the possibility of buying 20 acres of our property at the same price. We can easily subdivide. We don't have to sell it as one 360-acre tract. We can subdivide. And if we do subdivide, we can sell for three times what the Forever Wild appraisal is.

That's where we are. And we're trying to do the right thing. It's been five years since we started this process. I nominated the property because I believed in the program. I still do. But the market has turned, and we're at a point now where -- this

is an area in Jefferson County where there is development all around it.

We're surrounded on one side by Forever Wild land, the other side by Tannehill, and the other side by an existing residential development.

Jim Bennett, before he passed away, served on the Tannehill Park Commission, and he was always fearful that eventually that residential encroachment would come into where, you know, our land would be desirable to developers to want to develop. And that's kind of where we are.

And, you know, I don't want to belabor the point. I don't want to go back and get another appraisal and end up right back where we are. So, you know, if we need to just agree to disagree and go our separate ways, then maybe that's what we need to do. And I will say there are more than just that one. And I'll be glad to get -- if I

3

5

4

6

7

8

9

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

22

23

had had more than 24 hours' notice to prepare some of this information and compare and contrast what comps were used in appraisals versus what is out there, I would have been better prepared.

MS. POWELL: And procedurally -- and if there are other comps that are larger-acre comps -- the reason I'm -- I'm not criticizing the presentation of this comp, and I appreciate it. What I'm saying, when I'm asked about efficiencies either in cost or in time, if there are larger-acreage comps where you could take a per-acreage to this, figure something and get to a cost, that -- maybe. I don't know. But to come back to the board with something that I am confident we can move on -also, the timing of the comps based on -- in relation to the timing of the appraisals.

My problem is I'm having to guess at

1.3

what an appraiser would be willing to give us back to give us the authority under the law to demonstrate we are not -- that we are paying fair market value. There are just certain ways that that is established.

So when you -- so that is all I really have right now. Because let's say the appraisers -- to get an appraiser at this point to change their mind and put in writing my new valuation is "X," what they might charge to do that I can't answer.

Due to the late -- to this comp and the date of this comp, I simply think if this board wants to pursue this opportunity, if you're asking my opinion, I think it may be more effective to get a new appraisal. That should take into account -- you can give us everything that you have at one time. We can take everything that you have and be sure that the new appraiser has that

information.

1.3

2.2

MR. AYERS: Just one other question because I know I've talked to some of you about the appraisal process. Also, when I got the information yesterday that included what was used for comps, I have three large-tract Forever Wild acquisitions, one that our property touches, shares a border with, and two others within a 10-mile radius, one that's 734 acres, one that's 460 acres, that were not included as comps. Now, how in the world can you not use your own properties as comps that are in the area, including one that you touch?

And I understand the one that we touch, they said it was because it was 2010 and it was old. But I also remind you that 2010 property values were lower than 2018 property values, so ...

MS. POWELL: And I don't want to appear to argue, but I'm a little strapped because, first of all, there are some

differences with these comps. But it doesn't matter. If they were not included in this appraisal process, for me to give this board something that you can act on to offer a higher value and proceed with purchase, I can take the time to ask these appraisers these questions, which they have been, but give them whatever you've got. I am concerned, though, between now and the next meeting all I'm going to come back to you with is appraisers that either will not change or it's going to take more time and authority to do something.

And so I'm really -- I'm not trying to argue. I am pinned down by what I have. If we have bad appraisals that miss comps, I just don't have the -- this board just does not have the authority to pick a number and make an offer. So I'm a little stuck. So I don't want to appear to be arguing with anyone. I don't mean to appear

confrontational. If I'm trying to help you get to a purchase that you want, I really -- I think that is going to be a new appraisal.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So is there -Mr. Satterfield.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I agree that the best way to wrap this thing up is to get a new appraisal. Because we've got two other properties that are sort of in line behind here, and depending on what happens with this property -- this property sale, if it would eventually go through, will affect the comparable prices on the other two pieces of property. So we've got to do -- my view is we need to do this in an orderly fashion so that we can deal with the other two properties that are in line as well, one of which we do have an appraisal on but could affect this either way, one way or the other.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Would you like to

1	make that in the form of a motion?
2	MR. SATTERFIELD: So I would think that the
3	best way to do it would be go back and
4	get a new appraisal and then go from
5	there.
6	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Would you like to
7	make that in the form of a motion?
8	MR. SATTERFIELD: I do. I make that motion.
9	MR. BALL: Second.
10	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: The motion is to go
11	back and get another I guess to begin
12	a first appraisal on the Ayers
13	Tract-Tannehill State Park. The motion
14	was made by Mr. Satterfied and seconded
15	by Mr. Ball.
16	Any other discussion?
17	(No response.)
18	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor
19	say "aye."
20	(All board members present respond
21	"aye.")
22	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
23	(No response.)

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: No opposed. Thank you. Motion carries.

1.3

2.2

- MS. POWELL: And we will eliminate the prior appraisers that have viewed this from our appraiser list. As y'all may know, we send out -- we ask five appraisers for quotes. Everytime we get an appraisal we take the lowest quote. So they will be eliminated. Just before that's asked, it will be a new pack of five appraisers we will ask quotes from, and we will get that done for the board. Thank you.
- COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any other -- yes, ma'am.
- DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Mr. Chairman, I would like to nominate the Weeks Bay Reserve --
- MR. SATTERFIELD: Again, we cannot hear you on this side. I'm sorry.
- DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Okay. I would like to nominate the Weeks Bay Reserve-Meadows

 Phase III Addition contingent upon donation of acreage related to

1	structures, please.
2	DR. WOODS: I second.
3	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Motion made by
4	Ms. Tolley-Jordan, seconded by Dr. Woods
5	for the Meadows Phase III Addition, to
6	move for second appraisal and move to
7	purchase.
8	MR. SATTERFIELD: Now, is that also contingent
9	on the structures issue? Because that
10	has a structure on it as well, just like
11	the previous one.
12	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir,
13	contingent upon the structures them
14	donating the structures on that
15	property.
16	MR. SATTERFIELD: I'm sorry. We just couldn't
17	hear the motion over here. That's all.
18	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir.
19	Any other discussion?
20	(No response.)
21	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor
22	say "aye."
23	(All board members present respond

"aye.") 1 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? 3 (No response.) COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: No opposed. Motion 4 carries. 5 MR. BALL: Mr. Chairman? 6 7 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. I actually have a question about 8 MR. BALL: 9 one of the short-listed properties. It's the Mobile-Tensaw Delta-Three Lakes 10 11 Tract. It's a large tract and, you 12 know, outside of Mobile County. It's in 1.3 Clarke County. And I was looking at our 14 list of holdings per county, and I don't think we've had any in Clarke County. 15 16 And it's very close to some of our 17 existing holdings, and I was just wondering if staff could just sort of 18 19 comment on, I guess, that tract and if they have any thoughts that weren't in 20 the description that they would like to 21 share with us. Because it seems like a 2.2

great opportunity to protect additional

acreage in that area.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

MS. POWELL: And it short-listed in the WMA category, so I don't want to speak.

Chuck or Keith, do one of y'all want to give some feedback?

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Tab 4, page 32.

MR. GAULDIN: That's in the bottom part of Clarke County. Let's see. You can see the Tombigbee on the western edge of it. The bottom of that tract is actually called the Mobile-Tensaw Cutoff -- the Alabama River Cutoff. It connects the Alabama River to the Tombigbee. access to that tract would be pretty tough because you've got to come all the way from the top and then come down because there's no -- there's really no bridge really close when you get over to Jackson on Highway 43, which you can't see on the map that well. For us it would be pretty tough to get to just because of the access issue.

MR. BALL: That's good for wildlife.

MR. GAULDIN: Oh, it's good for wildlife. 1 Just not for people to utilize it. MR. BALL: All right. Thank you. 3 MR. GAULDIN: Yes, sir. 4 5 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any other 6 discussion? MR. CAUTHEN: I make the motion we adjourn. 7 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: I don't think we're 8 9 there quite yet, Mr. Cauthen. MR. CAUTHEN: I thought it was time. 10 11 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: We do have a few 12 reports from staff and then general 1.3 discussion. If there's no other general 14 discussion, I would like to ask the 15 16 staff at the next meeting -- before I was on the board I recall there was some 17 discussion about using the property 18 adjacent to the Forever Wild lands for 19 20 oyster aquaculture operations. I don't know where the board is on that or what 21 happened before I got on the board. So 2.2

23

I would ask the staff if we could add

that to the agenda for the next meeting and be prepared to --

MS. POWELL: It had been tabled whatever -however many number of meetings back.

It wasn't rejected. It was just simply
discussion tabled. So we'll pick back

up -- frankly, I need to -- I'll have to
see myself. We'll pick that back up and
then update that with any additional
information for the next meeting.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you.

So we're now to number seven on the agenda, annual reports, Ms. Powell. And we'll try and cover these pretty quickly. If you have any questions, though, feel free to ask the staff.

MS. POWELL: Yeah. And one thing that you will find in your packet is Tab 5-G.

This is the annual report that we provide to the governor and to the legislature regarding the prior fiscal year's activities of the program. It is posted on our website, and, again,

1.3

2.2

1.3

2.2

you've got it in your packet, 5-G. It is due every year by February 1st, and we try to wait until we have, you know, all of our financial information, to the extent we can, correct in there.

So, anyway, we've submitted that.

Please review that. It's just
activities that the board has conducted,
acquisitions, board members that have
spanned the last fiscal year, and just a
general update, a little more reminder
about how we do things, our process.

But if you have any questions, I can answer those. But for benefit of the public, I'm sorry, but it is posted on the website. So we'll speed on past that.

The next item for discussion you'll find in your packet at 5-A. This is something that we can cover today or if the board would rather wait and cover it at the next meeting because we're running long.

Staff had been asked to propose a process to start removing older nominations from both the short list and the appraised nominations list. It wouldn't be seen as a rejection of the opportunity, but if they were removed, they would just have to start back through the process due to the passage of time and be nominated again. It would not otherwise impact a nomination.

So before I proceed, do y'all want to cover this -- go ahead and cover this item now?

MR. SATTERFIELD: Yes.

MS. POWELL: So what staff did, you will see in 5-A -- you will see both a short list and an appraised nomination list as they currently stand attached. And what you see in gray would be the result of removing per the process I'm about to outline.

Staff as to appraised nominations would suggest removing a nomination from

the appraised nominations list if we have gone four meetings with no board action. And the clock would start after the tract appears on that list — appears on your 3-A — not from when you made the motion, but from when we get the appraisal back and it appears on 3-A.

If there are four meetings with no board action -- and we can change the definition, but what we had thought would work would be motions for second appraisals, requests to staff or landowners for additional information or action, or simply a formal request from the board to keep that nomination on the list. You would just tell staff don't remove that. But we wouldn't even propose it for removal if there had been board action in any of those four meetings.

We picked four meetings just because that's about a year. You generally have

2

3

4

6

5

7

9

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

to do some updated appraisal work

after -- if you get too far past a year.

So that's why we picked a year.

As to the short list, because you don't have the delay I spoke about of getting an appraisal, I mean, something just comes on the short list, we would say six meetings from first appearance on the short list, and if there's been no board activity -- which in the short-list world would mean there had been no motions for first appraisals, no requests to staff or landowners for additional information or certain action, or, again, simply a formal request from this board that we would really just like to leave that one sitting there for whatever reason.

So using the four-meeting no board activity for appraised nominations and six meetings with no activity for short list, we would propose at each meeting telling the board, hey, pursuant to the

3

4

5

7

6

9

8

10

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

process, this is what would be up for removal; we're removing these unless we hear "stop/don't" from the board.

Now, if we implemented that process -- you voted to implement that process today -- again, you see the result -- we would go ahead and take those off. The biggest change in process you would see -- frankly, when it's on appraised nominations, it just makes the sheet crowded, but for short list it is important. Because if something is sitting on a short list because it did score high but for whatever reason there's not been initiative of the board to move forward, it does sort of take a potential spot of something else that could move up on the short list. So I do think that is important.

But if the board likes that process and also wants us to implement it as of now so your next meeting will have

reduced lists, we would need the motion at the bottom of the page. But let me ask if there are any questions about that.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman?

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Satterfield.

MR. SATTERFIELD: For purposes of discussion,

I would make the motion that's included

in the report so we can move forward

with any discussion.

MR. BALL: Second that.

De by Mr. Satterfield that the board approve staff to proceed with implementation of the process to remove long-standing appraisals and short-list nominations with no board activity as outlined in the memo dated January 23, 2018. In addition, the board approves immediate removal of the highlighted nominations identified in said memo.

That was moved by Mr. Satterfield and seconded by Mr. Ball. Is there any

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

other discussion?

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, if I could speak to the motion.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir.

MR. SATTERFIELD: This idea was brought up at the last meeting by Mr. Cauthen, and I supported that idea because each time we have all these projects which have been languishing. And as staff has said, having some of these old ones on the list that have been on there -- five, six, seven years old that haven't moved just keeps other projects from moving up on the priority list in terms of the The same way with potential short list. the ones that have been nominated for appraisal but for some reason haven't moved in the last three or four years.

And I think it's challenging enough to prepare for these meetings for each of us who are on the board without having to go back and review all of those old nominations which have

22 23

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

obviously lost interest for whatever reason. And I think this will make not only our job easier but staff's job easier, too, to sort of clean up some of these old projects or nominations that have been languishing out there so we can really focus on the ones that are important to our decision-making process. And that's why I made the motion.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Sims.

- DR. SIMS: Will there be any communication to the person who nominated the property?

 Have you given that any thought?
- MS. POWELL: We could certainly have a letter that we send, one of our -- we'll make it a new form letter that upon this action let them know but then, also, tell them they are free to resubmit at any time the nomination in the original or revised form or whatever.

So, again, it won't prevent anything from going -- you know, from coming back

1	up. And, again, if y'all want something
2	to just stay because you like its
3	potential, you just tell us that at each
4	meeting. We'll go through one by one
5	and you can tell us.
6	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any other
7	discussion?
8	(No response.)
9	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor
10	say "aye."
11	(All board members present respond
12	"aye.")
13	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
14	(No response.)
15	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: None opposed.
16	Motion carries.
17	Coldwater Creek Complex.
18	MS. POWELL: And we're not asking for any
19	motion from the board today on this.
20	There's not necessarily any action
21	required except that this is an easement
22	request
23	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 5-B.

MS. POWELL: I'm sorry. 5-B.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: I apologize.

MS. POWELL: No. That's my fault.

-- a request that we received from an individual adjacent to the Coldwater Mountain Complex. That's one of our properties that is well known for its biking opportunities.

You will see both in your packet at 5-B and in your green folder at 5-B -the 5-B is just supplemental. I had asked the landowner to -- so we wouldn't misrepresent anything, to give me something in writing that described to the board the reasons why the request for this access right of way was being made. So that's what you see in your green folder.

In essence, the landowner, Clint
Payne, is asking for a deeded
60-foot-wide access easement. You'll
see the map attached to 5-B that was in
your original packet. This covers

approximately 1.1 acres of land as proposed. As implemented, I can't say that it wouldn't require a little more acreage, but we don't think it would

exceed 2.5 acres.

He wants to put in a road and some utilities that would be aboveground utilities. He says he's been unable to gain access from adjacent landowners. He's willing to cover the cost of the appraisal and some survey work that would be needed consistent with the request.

- MR. RUNYAN: Ms. Powell, looking at the map, the shortest distance would be right down the property line. Is there any way instead of having an easement with utilities running through the parcel we could look at perhaps an easement just straight --
- MS. POWELL: And I'll confirm -- I'll confirm with Doug. But I believe staff did go on site and the reason it's that manner

1.3

2.2

is -- it may not end up exactly that,
and we would try to straighten it as
much -- but there are some terrain
issues coming through there that are
going to keep it from being just a
straight line down the property line.
We would lessen it to the extent that we
could, but you're not -- if we were to
grant this, it wouldn't be able to be a
straight line.

- MR. WRIGHT: Patti, what would happen to the timber and all that's on there?
- MS. POWELL: Well, any damage or any timber taken, we would have to be compensated for that, any damage in putting -- this would be putting in a road and it would be some aboveground utilities.

And this is something we can just table to the next meeting. But I did not want to indicate -- have the landowner do any work such as toward a survey or toward an appraisal without some feedback from the board. And that

was -- again, we're not looking for 1 approval, but I just didn't want to spend time on this if this created any 3 issues. But we have not -- this can --4 we can discuss it at the next meeting. 5 6 MR. WRIGHT: Who would actually choose this right of way? Would Forever Wild and 7 Doug's group choose --8 9 MS. POWELL: You mean the path? 10 MR. WRIGHT: The path. 11 MS. POWELL: We would have final authority 12 over that, yes. 13 MR. HORN: It's probably recommended by the 14 utility that's running the line there 15 would be my guess. 16 MS. POWELL: I'm just saying that -- and it may not look exactly like this, but the 17 simplistic straight line -- because I 18 19 asked that too -- is not going to be a 20 possibility. So I just will tell you up front 21 there's going to be -- now, from the 2.2

staff's evaluation, we don't think that

the right of way would result in any 1 impact to the current public usage of the tract or anything else or habitat as 3 long as it's routed this way. So we've 4 5 done that analysis. But there would be 6 more work that would have to be done to 7 give you an exact path. But, again, it won't be straight. 8 9 MR. JONES: Have we historically -- has Forever Wild historically done things 10 like this for private use on public 11 land? 12 1.3 MS. POWELL: done --14

We have certainly -- we have

MR. JONES: So there's precedent?

MS. POWELL: We evaluate each right-of-way The constitutional amendment request. gives this board the authority to transfer this type of an interest upon, you know, vote and upon being compensated for whatever interest -- the value of the interest that we would be giving up.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

You know, we try to balance being a
good neighbor where we can. Therefore,
we consider all requests, and we take
them seriously. There are some,
frankly, the staff rejects pretty
outright because there would be a
habitat impact or would impinge somehow

1.3

the recreation on the tract and we know it's a "no" and we just say "no."

This one, although not as simple as a straight line, technically does not impact public usage or habitat, but it does also involve construction and aboveground utilities. And so there's that aspect. But we do consider them -- staff brings whatever we think might could be done to the board for consideration.

- MR. CAUTHEN: Patti, are we the only way he can get in there or the best way he can get in there?
- MS. POWELL: We asked that question too.

 That's why you'll see the email that's

Tab 5-B in your green folder. 1 Mr. Payne said that he has made efforts with the adjacent landowners, 3 but I would -- you can read 5-B for his 4 exact description. But he doesn't 5 6 believe he's going to be able to get permission from the other adjacent 7 landowners. 8 9 And his plan for the property is to create a rustic campground with a 10 11 pavilion and sleeping cabins and a shower house with rest rooms. And he 12 1.3 chose this property because of the proximity to the bike trails. 14 Anyway, so, again, this was before 15 16 you because we didn't reject it outright. 17 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir, 18 Mr. Satterfield. 19 MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I have a 20 question. 21 If this -- this would create a 2.2 23 triangular piece of property that would

be isolated from the rest of the Forever Wild holdings. What would that -- what impact would that have on that Forever Wild property? Because it would no longer be contiguous with the rest of the property in terms of habitat or use or whatever. It'd just be sort of sitting out there without people being able to use it.

MS. POWELL: Right. And staff did -- due to the size -- you know, the distance, the size, and the configuration of the tract and the bike trails on this tract, we believe -- we don't think there's going to be a serious impact to the physical usage of this tract. Anytime you do this, yes, you are transecting your tract. But in looking at the interruption of what we do on that tract, it didn't cause that problem.

But that is -- and if we had found that, we wouldn't have brought it before you.

Whether you want to do it or not is

another question, but it doesn't impinge the habitat or the recreation because of where it is on the tract.

1.3

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So if it pleases
the board, I think we could ask the
staff to work with the landowner that
has made this request and to come back
at the next meeting with a suggestion
that addresses all of these where -including whether the board could
consider just selling that little -that whole piece right there to him
because it does impact the entire
property.

MS. POWELL: And we would check --

MR. SATTERFIELD: That was my idea. If you're going to -- just sell him what he wants as an easement plus that triangle, sell him that property and carve it out of the Forever Wild property, and then that solves the problem.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: If it pleases the board, if they could come back -- if

staff could come back at the next meeting --

2.2

MS. POWELL: We'll engage and check
willingness into that -- as to what that
option is, and we can bring that back.

I think the next few items I'm going to let Doug cover, I think.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: We have three items that will go pretty quickly, I think, some updates from some previous issues with Crow Creek, Broomtown, and our new vessel tags.

MR. DEATON: Yes, sir. At the last meeting the board motioned to get an updated appraisal on the Skyline WMA-Crow Creek Valley Addition to represent the Wildlife portion and the Forever Wild portion so we could get the values there for the match. Since that time I've been in contact with Mr. Blackwell, the owner. He said that he had a purchase-option agreement on his property. It expires at the end of

February. So considering that information, I held off on the expense of the appraisal until we find out where that's in the process. So just a quick update on that.

Also, at the last meeting I brought to you guys a proposal from the Broomtown Fire Department. They had engaged with us about establishing a volunteer fire station on our property. Since that time they've decided to withdraw their request.

The next item I'll cover is found in Tab 5-F. And then, also, if you look in your green folder, there's a Tab 5-F, a visual that you could look at.

We've been contacted by ALEA, and they've made it -- notified us of the opportunity to have distinctive vessel stickers for boat owners. They're similar to what people have on their car, like car tags. It would represent what you see there in front of you. And

then those would become -- those would be on sale starting April 1st. And as the car tag does, a portion of that revenue generated would come to the trust fund.

Any questions on any of that?

MR. JONES: Do you have to sell -- I know like on the car tags they have to sell like a minimum of a thousand before you can do that. Is that --

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: No. On these a bill was passed last year to create -- actually, two years ago to create the distinctive vessel stickers. And so anything that already had a tag -- a car tag, they asked did we want to have vessel stickers, and we said that we did. Half of the money from that goes to our state parks for all of these vessel tags -- not just Forever Wild, but all of them go to state parks, and then half of the revenue above what it costs goes to the organization. So if

1.3

2.2

you have a boat and you buy one of 1 these, half of the money goes to Forever Wild and half of it goes to our parks. 3 The money MR. OATES: Quick question, Doug. 4 5 that comes in for car tags and for these 6 boat stickers, where does it -- where do we use it? What do we do with that? 7 MR. DEATON: It goes into the trust fund, 8 9 acquisition portion of the program. So it's used for acquisitions and other 10 11 things like that. 12 MR. OATES: Okay. Thank you. 1.3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Item number eight, approval of the minutes from the 14 November 9th meeting. 15 I think 16 everybody's had a chance to review 17 those. I hope you have. MR. HORN: I move that we approve the minutes. 18 19 DR. WOODS: Second. MR. CAUTHEN: I second that. 20 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: A motion by 21 Mr. Horn and seconded by Mr. Cauthen to 2.2 23 approve the minutes.

Any discussion? 1 (No response.) COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor 3 say "aye." 4 (All board members present respond 5 6 "aye.") 7 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? (No response.) 8 9 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Our next meeting will be May 10th. To date we haven't 10 11 received any suggestions from the board members on a location for that meeting. 12 1.3 If anybody would like to make a suggestion either today or feel free to 14 email me or Patti if you have an idea. 15 16 If not, we'll work with staff and select a location for that meeting. 17 But for your calendars May 10th is when the 18 meeting will be. 19 MR. MORRISON: We would love to have y'all at 20 Tannehill. We have a beautiful event 21 center. You're welcome anytime. 2.2 23 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. Thank

1 you, sir. That's all we have on the agenda. Is there a motion to adjourn? 3 DR. SIMS: So move. 4 I make the motion. 5 MR. CAUTHEN: 6 MR. HORN: I second that. 7 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: We have a motion by Dr. Sims and Mr. Cauthen. With no 8 9 objection, we are adjourned. Thank you. (Meeting adjourned at 10 11 approximately 1:04 p.m.) 12 1.3 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 14 STATE OF ALABAMA: 15 MONTGOMERY COUNTY: 16 I, Tracye Sadler Blackwell, Certified 17 Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State of 18 Alabama at Large, do hereby certify that I reported 19 the foregoing proceedings of the Forever Wild Board 20 Meeting on February 8, 2018. 21 The foregoing 154 computer-printed pages 2.2 23 contain a true and correct transcript of the

proceedings held. I further certify that I am neither of kin nor of counsel to the parties to said cause nor in any manner interested in the results thereof. This 14th day of April 2018. Tracye Sadler Blackwell ACCR No. 294 Expiration date: 9-30-2018 Certified Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State of Alabama at Large