1	MINUTES OF THE
2	FOREVER WILD BOARD MEETING
3	STATE OF ALABAMA
4	CAPITOL AUDITORIUM
5	Montgomery, Alabama
6	February 9, 2017
7	
8	* * * * * * * * * *
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11	
12	* * * * * * * * * *
13	
14	
15	Proceedings taken before Tracye
16	Sadler Blackwell, Certified Court Reporter, ACCR
17	No. 294, and Commissioner for the State of Alabama
18	at Large, at the State of Alabama Capitol
19	Auditorium, Montgomery, Alabama, on Thursday,
20	February 9, 2017, commencing at approximately
21	10:13 a.m.
22	
23	* * * * * * * * * * *

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: 1 Commissioner N. Gunter Guy, Jr., Chairman 3 Mr. Gary Cole Dr. Gary Hepp Mr. Frank "Butch" Ellis, Jr. 4 Dr. Patricia G. Sims Dr. Warren Strickland Mr. William H. Satterfield 6 Dr. Lori R. Tolley-Jordan Mr. Charles E. Ball Mr. Russell Runyan Mr. Horace H. Horn, Jr. Dr. Michael Woods 8 Mr. David Wright 9 10 * * * * * * * * * * * 11 12 13 COMMISSIONER GUY: Good morning, everyone. Welcome to our first meeting of 2017 of 14 the Forever Wild Land Trust Board. 15 name is Gunter Guy. I'm chairman of the 16 board. And at this time, I'd like to 17 call role so we can establish that we 18 19 have a quorum present. 20 Mr. Cole? MR. COLE: Here. 2.1 COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Woods? 2.2 DR. WOODS: Here. 23

1 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Tolley-Jordan?

- DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Here.
- 3 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Hepp?
- 4 DR. HEPP: Here.
- 5 COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Ellis?
- 6 MR. ELLIS: Here.
- 7 COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Horn?
- 8 MR. HORN: Here.
- 9 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Sims?
- 10 DR. SIMS: Here.
- 11 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Cauthen?
- 12 (No response.)
- 13 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Runyan?
- 14 MR. RUNYAN: Here.
- 15 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr.Satterfield?
- 16 MR. SATTERFIELD: Here.
- 17 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Wright?
- 18 MS. WRIGHT: Here.
- 19 COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Ball?
- MR. BALL: Here.
- 21 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Strickland?
- 22 DR. STRICKLAND: Here.
- 23 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Valentine?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER GUY: We do have a quorum present, and we will be able to conduct the board's business today.

At this time, the first order of business is I would like to recognize three new board members -- well, two board members and one that we're welcoming back.

So Dr. Sims has been reappointed.

In her case, she was eligible for reappointment because she had not served a full term. She served out the end of a term for someone else.

And we are very happy to have you back, Dr. Sims. Thank you for being a part of this board.

I'd also like to recognize Mr. Bill Satterfield, who will be representing the central district.

Thank you, Mr. Satterfield. It's a pretty aggressive agenda today, but would you just tell us a little bit

about yourself so everybody will know 1 who you are, please, sir. 3 MR. SATTERFIELD: Gunter, I'm pleased to be on the board. I'm of counsel with the 4 Balch and Bingham Law Firm in 5 6 Birmingham. I formerly was the chairman 7 of the firm's environmental and natural resources practice for about 25 years, 8 9 until four or five years ago when I 10 became of counsel. So now I'm just sort of a part-time -- I'm a recovering 11 12 lawyer. 1.3 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you. Thank you, Bill. 14 And, also, one of our new board 15 members from the southern district is 16 Mr. David Wright. 17 Welcome, David, to our board. 18 would you do the same. Tell us a little 19 20 bit about yourself, please, sir. MR. WRIGHT: Yes. My name is David Wright. 2.1 We have been in the wholesale greenhouse 2.2 business for 51 years, been selling 23

plants for nine years longer than that. 1 But we also have a little forest lands, 3 and I take great pride in trying to take care and be good stewards of what the 4 good Lord has loaned us to use here. 5 6 Thank you. 7 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you. Thank you, David. Glad to have you with us, very 8 9 much. 10 I also want to take a moment to recognize some of our special quests. 11 know our Alabama State Treasurer, 12 13 Mr. Young Boozer, is here. Young. Thank you for being here, 14 15 Young. We appreciate what you do to 16 protect our money for the state. you, sir. 17 MR. BOOZER: You're welcome. 18 COMMISSIONER GUY: Todd Adams, Chairman of 19 20 Coosa County Commission. Todd, you're here. Thank you, sir, 2.1 for being here. 2.2 I think we also -- do we have a 23

Commissioner Paul Perrett?

Commissioner Perrett, thank you, sir, for being here. I hope I got that name -- last name. Coosa County. Coosa County Commission.

Any other guests that I've overlooked, public officials?

Yes, sir.

MR. KELLEY: Unzell Kelley, Coosa County Commission.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, sir. Thank you for being here, Mr. Kelley.

All right. Well, we do have a number of people that have signed up to speak today. And so in order to get through this part of our public comments, I will start calling on you to come down.

And I know it's a little awkward,
but if you will speak into the
microphone here, our court reporter will
take down what you say as part of our
minutes, of course, that are posted

online after each meeting. So try to speak slowly, and, you know, she can then get down what you say and what we say.

So the first person up today is Ms. Tammy Herrington.

MS. HERRINGTON: Thank you, Commissioner and trustees. Thank you so much.

My name is Tammy Herrington. I am the executive director of Conservation Alabama Foundation. In partnership with The Trust for Public Land and The Nature Conservancy in Alabama, we have conducted a return on investment study about the Forever Wild Land Trust, and we're here today to share some of the great economic benefits that we found as we did this report and to thank you so much and to remind you of the great work that you're doing for the state and what all it's bringing back to us.

And I believe that they are passing out the full economic impact study, this

2.1

2.2

return on investment report, as well as a one-page document. And you should also have a copy of what would have been PowerPoint slides -- if it wasn't going to be behind you so that you could see -- for the presentation, some of the numbers.

So we wanted to have someone for The Trust for Public Land here, as I am not an economist. So I won't be able to answer a whole lot of questions about how this came about, but we did kind of want to walk through how these numbers were found and what the actual economic impact is.

And, as you know, conserved lands provide many diverse benefits, from preserving species habitat to providing recreational opportunities. And we know that these values are not always quantified. So it can be hard for policymakers and other stakeholders to fully understand these benefits compared

to land uses that generate more directly quantifiable economic benefits.

So the purpose of this economic analysis was to bring to light some of the real values accrued from conservation investment. And combined with other forms of information, having tangible values attached to conservation land can help us see the fuller picture of what this investment for conservation means for our state.

So The Trust for Public Land tracks public funding for land conservation nationwide in what's called the Conservation Almanac, and their economists use tools to identify lands conserved through the Forever Wild funding.

The Trust for Public Land's methodology only includes acres directly acquired through the program. So it doesn't include land exchanges, donations. And so the ROI figure that

we will give you today on the -includes that direct -- those direct
acquisitions. So we know that this is a
very conservative estimate and that all
of those leveraged funds bring
additional benefits to our state.

So once all the conserved parcels were collected, a land cover analysis was carried out on each parcel. And using the national land cover database, the actual land cover in each parcel was identified and categorized into 15 different land cover types, and these include things such as deciduous forest, open water, pasture, and others. And those are further outlined in the full report.

So The Trust for Public Land's
economists then used published
literature relevant to Alabama to
estimate the per-acre values of natural
goods and services provided by each land
cover type that's been protected. And

they were then able to compare the state's investment in Forever Wild with the value of the natural goods and services that result from those protected lands.

So based on the per-acre economic values, 188,000 acres of conserved land provide \$1.91 billion of present value. That's the value of past investments in today's dollars in the form of natural goods and services from the date of purchase. So the first purchase was in 1994, and we used data between 1994 and 2015. So beginning in 1994 and the estimate is through 2025, which is ten years in the future.

So The Trust for Public Land used this value to estimate the return on \$299 million, which, again, the present value invested, and 188,000 acres of land conservation. And what they found was that for every \$1 invested, \$5 is returned in economic value. And we know

that these goods and services will continue to provide value past that date of 2025, which is how far they reached out, but, again, it's a conservative estimate on what the lands bring back to our state.

So we know that in addition to the direct access, your direct purchase, that Forever Wild's impact is far greater than that of its budget.

Forever Wild plays a critical role in bringing together federal, private, and nonprofit sources of funding to complete often complicated and nuanced land acquisition projects. And we know that you know that's because, I'm sure, you have to go through all of these decisions as you're making these purchases.

But there are -- here are some of the additional benefits that Forever Wild funding helps support. And, again, these are not in the ROI estimate.

So the state scenery and opportunities for outdoor recreation play an important role in attracting visitors to this state, and visitors spend about \$12.6 billion annually in Alabama. We know outdoor recreation generates \$7.5 billion in consumer spending, \$494 million in state and local tax revenue, and \$2 billion in wages and creates 86,000 jobs each year. In 2011, 1.7 million residents and nonresidents fished, hunted, or viewed wildlife in Alabama and spent over \$2.1 billion on trips and equipment.

So land conservation contributes to Alabama's economy by maintaining the scenic beauty of the state, improving quality of life for residents, and enabling the state to attract and retain new businesses and high-quality workers.

On average, residential land requires \$1.16 in services for every dollar paid in local taxes while working in open

lands require about 35 cents in services for every dollar contributed in property taxes. So, again, it's a huge investment and a good investment for our state. We believe it is.

2.1

2.2

And park lands can increase the physical activity of adults and children, reducing health-care costs related to obesity.

So, in conclusion, we just want to say that these investments in land conservation are critical to creating and protecting the places and amenities that we love in Alabama. We want to thank you for all the work that you do. We hope that this will prove to be a good resource for you as you continue to purchase lands for the Forever Wild Land Trust. And we thank you very much for all you do.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you. Hold on.

Questions for Ms. Herrington?

MS. HERRINGTON: Keeping in mind that I'm not

an economist.

COMMISSIONER GUY: So let me start this off by -- real quick in just saying two things.

Tammy was kind enough to give me a preview of this the other day, I think, a couple of days ago, and I took a minute to go through it. It's very interesting information. I think it's -- I would encourage the board to read the entire document when they get a chance.

It helps support my message that has been -- that I say about the whole conservation department, which is we have a huge economic impact on the state of Alabama positively like -- I'm going to say when you start looking at the billions of dollars, I mean, we're talking about some big companies that we can stand toe to toe with with what we do in both creating jobs and creating economic impact in our state. And

that's important for people to know, because a lot of people don't know that.

So I thank you for the work that has been done on this and those who have helped do this. So if you would express my appreciation for that --

MS. HERRINGTON: Absolutely.

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: -- I would say that.

And then, secondly, I would like to just say to Tammy publicly, since I've got this opportunity, thank you. And I know there's many other people that worked with you on helping secure the passage of our Constitutional

Amendment 2 for parks. So Tammy came to us along with a lot of her colleagues who are very interested in protecting our parks and making sure they were there for Alabamians and worked hard.

And I would say an 80-percent passage rate is pretty --

MS. HERRINGTON: Pretty good.

COMMISSIONER GUY: -- indicative. Y'all did a

good job.

2.1

2.2

Thank you. Thank you for that.

MS. HERRINGTON: Well, thank you.

COMMISSIONER GUY: And I appreciate what you do for our state.

MS. HERRINGTON: Thank you.

And I'll just -- one last point I will just say since Commissioner brought it up. We do a survey at the beginning of each legislative session and ask our members and voters what's the most important thing to them about conservation in our state, and protecting our drinking water and our public lands were by far the top two things that people in Alabama care about. So, again, we just appreciate all that you're doing to do that for us in Alabama. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you.

All right. Next speaker is Randy Malone, Childers Creek Addition.

MR. MALONE: Yeah. I'm Randy Malone. I own

the Childers Creek Addition. And I'm 1 asking you to do a second appraisal and 3 go ahead and vote to purchase it. Y'all have already closed on 1500 acres that 4 border it on two sides. 5 6 COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir. 7 MR. MALONE: Huh? 8 COMMISSIONER GUY: I mean, is that -- I didn't 9 know if you were finished. 10 MR. MALONE: Yeah, I was through. 11 COMMISSIONER GUY: Oh, okay. MR. MALONE: I'm short. 12 COMMISSIONER GUY: That's the best kind. 1.3 Tt. was so quick that I didn't even know how 14 I hadn't even finished 15 to respond. 16 writing your name down. MS. POWELL: Commissioner, let me just say for 17 those who may be looking in your packet, 18 it starts off as Old Cahawba. So it 19 20 will alphabetically be in "O." COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. So I like them short 2.1 and sweet. Does anybody have any 2.2 questions for Mr. Malone? 23

I'll give them just a second, Randy.

I know we have it in our packet. I know

we talked about it.

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. I'm going to take that as either -- if they have one in a minute, we'll call you back up.

But we thank you for your time.

MR. MALONE: All right. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, sir.

Jonathan Goode.

MR. GOODE: Good morning. I'm Jonathan Goode with Southeastern Land Group. I'm here to speak today on behalf of the Mud Creek Addition to the Tannehill State Park.

We have -- I'm the land broker representing the Gilmore family on the sale of 691 acres that joins the state park. We have about 8,000 feet of common border along the southern part of the property. It would preserve a green space there, prevent development in that

area that would be beneficial to the 1 public coming out of Birmingham and 3 Tuscaloosa. There's a 20-acre lake that would be good for public recreation use. 4 The property has been in the same 5 6 family for 35 or 40 years. It already 7 looks like a state park. The timber on the place is beautiful. 8 9 You'll have the appraisal and the 10 timber cruise in your packet today, and I'm here to request that you would do a 11 second appraisal and an offer to 12 1.3 purchase the Mud Creek Addition of Tannehill. 14 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Any questions for 15 Mr. Goode? 16 MS. POWELL: Again, that one is listed as 17 Tannehill. So it would be 18 alphabetically a "T" for y'all, 19 20 Tannehill-Mud Creek Addition. And I'll start trying to tell y'all 2.1 that on the front end. 2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: That's fine. Thank you.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman? 1 COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir. 3 MR. SATTERFIELD: Could I clarify the acreage? How many acres did you say? 4 MR. GOODE: 691, plus or minus. 5 6 MR. SATTERFIELD: That's a difference in 7 what's in the packet. MR. GOODE: I believe there were two 8 9 properties at Tannehill. 10 COMMISSIONER GUY: Let's see if we can get you an answer to that, Mr. Satterfield. 11 12 Hold on just a second. 1.3 MS. POWELL: Hold on. Let's -- I mean, we had 14 the figure as 651, and some acreage had been from cut out from the nomination is 15 16 our understanding. MR. GOODE: Okay. I haven't really seen that, 17 18 so ... MS. POWELL: Okay. So what was appraised was 19 20 the 650. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2.1 MR. SATTERFIELD: COMMISSIONER GUY: Did you need to address 2.2 that any? 23

If that's what's nominated. MR. GOODE: No. 1 I haven't seen what was nominated. can discuss that. 3 COMMISSIONER GUY: Yeah. We could get some 4 discussion on that, Mr. Satterfield, 5 6 maybe when we take our break for 7 appraisals --MR. SATTERFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 9 COMMISSIONER GUY: -- and then get some clarification. If you'll get with 10 our -- can you get with Jo? 11 12 All right. Thank you. Good 1.3 question. Thank you, sir. Dale Lancaster. Sipsey River. 14 15 Good morning. MR. LANCASTER: Good morning. I'm Dale 16 Lancaster. I am a forester and real 17 18 estate broker and appreciate the opportunity, Commissioner and board, to 19 20 have an audience in front of you this morning. 2.1 Previously I've had the opportunity 2.2 to work with Forever Wild Board on the 23

3

4 5

67

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

successful nomination and purchase of five of the seven acquisitions of the Sipsey River Complex, which is in Tuscaloosa County, containing over 7,000 acres and going back to the original purchase in 1999. And we commend you for your efforts that's been made there to preserve that property.

You're probably familiar with the Sipsey River. But from its headwaters in Fayette County, it runs through Fayette, Tuscaloosa, Greene, and Pickens Counties into the Tennessee-Tombigbee It's been considered as one Waterway. of the state's ten most natural wonders. It's one of our last free-flowing rivers. It contains 37 species of mussels, and that's one of the largest mussel communities in the United States. Our own Department of Conservation has determined that there is 102 species of freshwater fish, some of which may eventually be -- have conservation

status. So we appreciate, again, your efforts there.

The river flows for 92 miles, and it's a very beautiful and scenic river.

And it flows through approximately 50,000 acres of wetlands, which is one of the larger wetland regions that we have here in the state.

No today I'm here to make a nomination for 1722 acres in Greene County which is only three miles down river from the southern border of the current complex. This property contains about eight miles of river frontage. It has highway frontage, has a diversity of timber and vegetation makeup. So, again, it's very unique, but it's very similar, in the same basin, as the property that you already own.

To secure this property, Forever
Wild would be continuing their efforts
that you've already made to ensure that
this land is protected for years to

come, for further generations to use -not only for west Alabama and the
citizens of the state of Alabama, but
for our neighbors also.

2.1

2.2

So I ask today that you please give this nomination serious consideration.

Appreciate the opportunity we've had to work with you in the past and hopefully look forward to working with you on the purchase of this property.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Any questions -- and I see Ms. Powell --

MS. POWELL: I believe this is the Taylor

Creek Addition, best we can determine,
but we may have -- I'm not sure what's
in there is exactly our nomination. So
we'll need to -- we'll need to also get
with you and -- it doesn't look exact -I'm not sure it looks exactly the same
as what was nominated.

COMMISSIONER GUY: I think we have some questions, too. I see

Dr. Tolley-Jordan.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm not familiar with Taylor Creek.

MS. POWELL: Okay. I'm sorry. Well, then,
hang on a second. I'm not sure -- it
helps them -- I'm trying to help the
board understand which property you're
speaking toward. So could y'all just
give us one second?

COMMISSIONER GUY: Sure. Absolutely.

MS. POWELL: Commissioner, if I could take just one second --

COMMISSIONER GUY: Sure, Ms. Powell.

MS. POWELL: -- and not specific to this tract but to maybe shed a little bit of light.

You know, when we get a nomination in from the public, the first thing we do, we send out a "willing seller" letter based upon that nomination just to be sure the owner is -- it's not a commitment of any type, but you're okay with your tract being processed as a nomination in our program. Some people may not want that. We don't do anything

further.

But if we get the "willing seller"

letter back confirmed, we then begin to score the nomination we've received.

What then you see on your short list is a scoring of the information that we have as a part of that nomination. The acreage you see for property that's on the short list is tied to the nomination that we process.

If a property then moves on, gets nominated by this board for a first appraisal, then we have the appraisal. We may discover at that point a little difference in the acreage. Even after that point, between your motion to -- for move to closing and a closing, we may discover through title work or something else that some acreage is not available. The owner may change the acreage in the offer. That's why you sometimes see us come back to you, even after you've told us to go close

something, and tell you we found an acreage change, and we want to bring that before you and just be sure we're still all wanting to close this.

So you may see, as we progressively gain more information, changes in acreage. But that's worked out, and we only pay for what we're getting by the time we close.

My con -- not concern, but the confusion here a little bit is in this first step of the nomination, it looks like there may be an additional parcel that has become available but was not part of the nomination. And that may account for this difference in acreage. All that would be available for the board for a first appraisal would be the current nomination.

If you would like to add acreage to that nomination, that's fine. But we'll need to back up, process that, and score that and be sure, you know, it still

short-lists. I wouldn't expect a 1 problem, but procedurally we would need 3 to know what we're scoring and processing. 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: Yeah. I was looking in 5 6 there. It looks like it's five tracts; 7 correct? MR. LANCASTER: It is. The map here -- that 8 9 acreage that she's referring to as 10 Taylor Creek is included here. And I'm representing the owners. Someone may 11 have nominated that. 12 COMMISSIONER GUY: I think what Ms. Powell --13 So you would have to submit a 14 MS. POWELL: nomination. If we don't have a 15 nomination from the public we've 16 confirmed is available, then we would 17 need to get that information. So it's 18 great for the board to know it's 19 20 available, but we'll need to maybe get with you and regroup the nomination. 2.1 MR. LANCASTER: Okay. It looks like someone 2.2

has previously nominated a piece of

this. 1 COMMISSIONER GUY: Right. 3 MR. LANCASTER: But I am representing the owners, and we're proposing to sell it 4 all. 5 COMMISSIONER GUY: Well, you know, 6 7 like Ms. Powell said, we want to get it right --8 9 MR. LANCASTER: Sure. 10 COMMISSIONER GUY: -- so that we make sure the board is voting on the proper acreage 11 that somebody has, you know -- so it 12 13 doesn't hurt, though, to now let some questions be asked, because I know 14 15 Dr. Tolley-Jordan had a question. 16 You changed your mind. Okay. DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Yes, I changed my mind. 17 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. All right. One of 18 19 those days. 20 Did I miss anybody else with a question at this time, either side? 2.1 MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner? 2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir.

MR. WRIGHT: You said it had eight miles of 1 highway frontage? 3 MR. LANCASTER: River frontage. MR. WRIGHT: River frontage. 4 It does have highway frontage, 5 MR. LANCASTER: 6 about two miles of highway frontage. 7 And what highway? MR. WRIGHT: MR. LANCASTER: County Road 156 -- Greene 8 9 County Road 156 and Greene County Road 10 208. 11 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. 12 MR. LANCASTER: Yes, sir. 13 COMMISSIONER GUY: Any other questions? And, like I say, I looked down there 14 There's five tracts. 15 just quickly. 16 are those the same owners? MR. LANCASTER: It's two owners. 17 18 COMMISSIONER GUY: Two owners. 19 MR. LANCASTER: One owner owns approximately 20 1692 acres of it and one owns 30. MS. POWELL: Do you represent both owners? 2.1

COMMISSIONER GUY: Very helpful. Thank you.

I do, yes, ma'am.

2.2

23

MR. LANCASTER:

Any other questions? 1 (No response.) 3 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, sir. MR. LANCASTER: Yes, sir. Thank you. 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: We'll get that straightened 6 out, too. Have a good day. 7 Steve Northcutt. Where are you, Steve? 8 9 Steve, you just have this one? 10 MR. NORTHCUTT: Just this one. 11 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. MR. NORTHCUTT: Good morning, board. I'm 12 Steve Northcutt with The Nature 13 14 Conservancy. I'm here today to talk about the Grand Bay-Solet Addition. At 15 16 the last board meeting in December, I kind of went over this briefly. I'm 17 going to provide more details today. 18 These transactions, which consist of 19 20 several parcels along Grand Bay -- Grand Bay is the most southwestern portion of 2.1 the state along the Mississippi Sound, 2.2 just north of Dauphin Island. And these 23

properties are very unique in that they
tie ownership of the state's Grand Bay
Savanna Complex -- and I believe that's
about a six or 7,000-acre block that's
owned by Forever Wild and the state. It
ties all the way to Grand Bay National
Wildlife Refuge, which is a federal
National Wildlife Refuge.

So if you start at the state line between Mississippi and Alabama and you travel west, you have about 12 miles of protected shoreline owned by the federal government under Grand Bay. With this transaction -- these multiple transactions, you will have protected about 12 miles on the Alabama side from the Mississippi line all the way to Bayou La Batre.

So these properties are made up of several parcels. And since we've been talking about acreage, I will mention that just recently some of these properties have been surveyed. So there

2.2

may be a few differences in acreage. We could provide the exact acres once we get all the surveys confirmed and given back to the state. But we're somewhere in the neighborhood of about 2400-plus acres, maybe 25. And so that would provide multiple parcels within the Grand Bay Complex.

And the way these would be funded is the National Fish and Wildlife
Foundation received money through the
Gulf Environmental Benefit Fund. It's some of the oil spill -- BP oil spill
money that was provided in multiple
phases. You had a criminal penalty, and you had the Natural Resource Damage
Assessment penalty.

So this money is controlled by NFWF. The Nature Conservancy applied for these funds. We were approved for them. So the concept of this today would be that these properties would be transferred to Forever Wild through a donation. And as

_

you know, Forever Wild cannot purchase land or accept donations unless you have a 15-percent stewardship endowment.

So what I'm asking you today is would you consider accepting these properties at no charge to Forever Wild except for your stewardship endowment, which would go into your larger pool of investments for your stewardship for statewide land. So it's basically giving yourself money to operate your lands.

So these properties, again, starting at the far west, the Solet property, one of the larger pieces, and going all the way over -- and if your map -- to the very far east, you'll see a long, linear piece along Bayou La Batre. That piece of property was actually cut out of a deed that Forever Wild bought in 2002. The property was cut out because the company that owned it felt like that they had a much higher and better use

for that for industrial shipyard building. And if you know Bayou La Batre, there's quite a bit of shipyard building and fishing going on. So they cut it out of the deed so that they could sell it for a higher price.

We were able to negotiate, I think, a much lower price, in fact, half of what they had been asking for the property. So we're real pleased at the process for this, and we hope you will consider today making a motion to accept these donations with the stewardship endowment. And I'll be glad to answer any questions.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Questions?

So while everybody is looking, let me -- some are familiar with this, of course.

What was the -- remind me what NFWF -- the funds that we were able to get and how that worked.

Patti, you might --

2.1

2.2

MS. POWELL: I will.

MR. NORTHCUTT: Okay.

MS. POWELL: NFWF is one of our acronyms for the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, actually a private organization that is part of the various settlements of the claims from the Deepwater Horizon oil spill or BP oil spill. These are the criminal penalties that BP had to pay. And under the court order, the funds were paid to the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation to be invested in a fund that they hold with certain dollars allocated for the states to use. But you have to apply for those funds and be awarded those funds by NFWF.

What's occurring here is the state, in working with NFWF and The Nature Conservancy, supported a grant of funds for -- to The Nature Conservancy to proceed with purchase of this acreage.

Now, the benefit to us is NFWF will pay

1819202122

23

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

for the appraisal costs, Environmental Phase 1s or whatever environmental work is needed -- needs to be done, survey work, the closing costs, all those recording fees.

2.1

2.2

So what The Nature Conservancy has done, they have jumped in, secured that with the NFWF funding, and is now offering that acreage as a hundred-percent acreage donation. But, of course, again, you have to move 15 percent to the stewardship fund for the long-term maintenance of the acreage, as you do with any acquisition. But these are from the criminal penalties from both BP and Transocean that were paid into the court and then to the NFWF fund.

COMMISSIONER GUY: So the bottom line is if
the board wanted to accept the property,
we would only be responsible for paying
the 15 percent --

MS. POWELL: Just the 15 percent here. The

costs are covered. And because it's a donation of acreage, we can use the appraisal that was paid for with those funds to establish the value to then do the 15-percent calculation on.

So, really, the only cost you're bearing is that 15-percent transfer, as you would with any acquisition, to the stewardship fund. But it -- but TNC is basically doing all the work with the NFWF money and offering it to the board as a donation.

that -- of course, doing the -- for what it's worth, a little tidbit of information. During the early restoration before the settlement, the states of Mississippi and Alabama were looking at this Grand Bay property to try to preserve those. And I guess the good thing we learned is that the opportunity to leverage some of these BP settlement funds and the various buckets

of money, as we talk about, can be beneficial for something that then we could use the NRDA monies for other more beneficial --

MS. POWELL: And I will just say, this may
be -- this is our first leveraging of
these funds. It's the first time it's
really been available and we've been
able to work this out with NFWF. It
might be a model in some other potential
acquisitions in the future, probably
limited to the Mobile-Baldwin County
areas. Not all the acreage would fit,
in my opinion, necessarily the Forever
Wild program. But this is acreage
already adjacent to acreage that we
manage. So there's also leveraging of
our management efforts we're there doing
anyway.

So this happened to fit. It might be potential in other areas in the future, but we'll just have to evaluate that. We want to get to the close on

this one and be sure it works, and then
we may bring other opportunities
for the board as part of our grant
search.
COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions?

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman?

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir, Mr. Satterfield.

MR. SATTERFIELD: As a new kid on the block and trying to understand these opportunities, how do you go -- how would we go about determining what the amount of the stewardship funds are? If it has to be based on some kind of an appraisal, how would that work?

MS. POWELL: Yes, sir. In this case it is based on an appraisal. We've already actually had the appraisal. It was done to USAP standards, which is actually a standard above MAI but below your Yellow Book appraisal that we have to use for federal grant funds.

The appraisals have been done. So we have -- all the acreage has been

appraised. So what we did is do, frankly, just the 15-percent calculation of the appraised value, as we would with any tract.

We also -- and at the last meeting, you know, we were aware of the opportunity but had to take a step back. We had to have the acreage submitted as a formal written nomination. We had to go through all the "willing seller" -- all of our steps to be sure it short-listed, to be sure that it was a quality of tract for this board to be able to consider.

So we've taken all the regular steps. The difference here is it's 15 percent off the appraisal we've already received, and you can proceed, if you choose to, later with motions to accept the donation of the acreage.

COMMISSIONER GUY: And that's in your packet.

MS. POWELL: In 5-A in the packet.

But that -- but there is no -- that

2.1

2.2

is -- we had to have an appraisal, as always, to calculate the 15 percent of the appraised value of the acreage.

MR. NORTHCUTT: Can I say one other thing?

Because I think it's important. You bring up a good point.

2.1

2.2

When we had some of these appraisals done, the appraisals were done on estimated acres. You can estimate acres on GPS, GIS, county tax estimates. So the acres may change a little, but now that we've had these surveyed -- and so I'll make sure that Patti -- and some of these surveys I just got this week.

So you may not have the updated to-the-exact acre. But I'll make sure that those are provided, and you can kind of calculate down to the acre. And then if we need to go back to the appraiser and if things change at all, we can make sure that that's up to date. But you'll make sure that you're getting -- you know, even though you're

not paying for it, you are paying a 1 15-percent. So it's important to have 3 those accurate. I'll make sure I get that to you. 4 MS. POWELL: Make sure the appraisal is 5 6 accurate so the 15 percent is 7 to-the-dollar accurate --MR. NORTHCUTT: Right, to the dollar. 8 9 MS. POWELL: -- by the time the donation 10 moves. COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Thank you. 11 12 Thank you, Steve. MR. NORTHCUTT: Okay. 13 COMMISSIONER GUY: Mark Bice. 14 MR. BICE: Good morning. Thank you, 15 16 Commissioner. Thank you, board, for hearing me this morning. 17 I'm Mark Bice. I represent Potlatch 18 Corporation as their senior real estate 19 20 and acquisition manager. And I'm here today to continue our discussions about 2.1 the Autauga Wildlife Management 2.2 Area-Swift Creek Addition. So you 23

should have a map in your package on that.

2.1

2.2

This is a 1,164-acre tract adjacent to the current Autauga Wildlife

Management Area. We first nominated the property at the November board meeting, and after continued discussions with the staff, we think it's an excellent addition to that Autauga Wildlife

Management Area and would improve the state holdings in that area.

Today I'm here really to just encourage the board to consider the nomination and moving forward on a first appraisal for this 1,164-acre property.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions for Mr. Bice?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, sir.

Jake Blackwell. Good morning.

MR. BLACKWELL: Hey. I'm here speaking on behalf of the Skyline WMA-Crow Creek Valley Addition. My name is Jake Blackwell. I'm here representing my

2.2

family regarding 1521 acres in Jackson County that was nominated for an appraisal at the last meeting.

After signing up today to speak, I learned that the first appraisal is not done. So we can't really take the next step, I think, until the next meeting.

But since I had already signed up, I figured I'd take the opportunity just to remind you of a few things to keep in mind when that first appraisal does come back, and then I'll come and address the board at the next meeting, I reckon.

It's 1521 acres. It is adjacent to -- we share 425 yards of common property line with the existing Skyline WMA. Our property would add a new access to the WMA off of Highway 117.

It's a beautiful mountain up there where it connects. We've got a road system already in existence because of the hunting leases we've had over the years. Our road coming in from the

highway would connect with the existing 1 road on the WMA. 3 We've got 170 acres of farmland currently being farmed. 4 That's something that's lacking in the existing 5 6 WMA now is some open, plantable 7 bottomland area. So we think that would be a nice addition. 8 9 And probably the neatest thing on 10 the property is Crow Creek flows through the middle of the property. We have 11 approximately one mile of creek frontage 12 13 that would be perfect for recreation. And I'll save all the other stuff 14 15 for the next meeting, I quess, unless 16 you guys have any questions. COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions for 17 Mr. Blackwell? 18 19 (No response.) 20 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, sir. I appreciate it. 2.1 MR. BLACKWELL: COMMISSIONER GUY: We'll see you maybe at the 2.2 next meeting. Thank you for being 23

patient with us.

Jeffrey Pruitt.

MR. PRUITT: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Good morning.

MR. PRUITT: Thank you for allowing me to come and speak to you briefly concerning the Wiregrass Trail project.

My name is Jeff Pruitt. I'm with the North Central Alabama Regional Council of Governments. That's in Decatur, which is in the opposite end of the state from this project. But one of the other things I do is I serve on the Alabama Trails Commission, which is -- as you know, promotes and supports trails statewide, and one of the projects that we're working on is the Wiregrass Trail.

You know, one of the things that we do through my office is the Area Agency on Aging. And one of the -- and the thing that we try to do through the Area Agency on Aging, among other things, is

1617181920212223

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

try to promote better health outcomes for senior citizens. We know that regular exercise leads to better health outcomes for senior citizens -- not just for senior citizens -- for all of us.

And so that is one of the reasons the Alabama Trails Commission supports these kinds of projects throughout the state.

Another thing that I know from my own experience when I travel around -I'm an avid cyclist. I'm an avid hiker.
And when I travel, I look for such projects. And what I've observed and what I've found wherever I go -- not just in Alabama, but around the country -- is that where you have these trails, at the trail heads and along the trails, businesses tend to cluster, cafes, bike shops, any kind of business like that to support not only the local population but the traveling public.

And so what we see is this is not only better for health outcomes. This

is better for the local economy. 1 that's why the Alabama Trails Commission 3 continues to support these kinds of projects. So I would urge you to 4 continue to support the Wiregrass Trail 5 6 project as well. So thank you very 7 much. COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions for 8 Mr. Pruitt? 9 10 (No response.) COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, sir. 11 Looks like the next one we have two 12 13 speakers. I don't know if -- Tim Speaks 14 and Jack Mayhall. Thank you. I'm Tim Speaks from MR. SPEAKS: 15 Auburn with Speaks Land Company, and I 16 want to talk about White Oak Plantation. 17 I have a little bit of a speech 18 impediment. I stutter. So y'all don't 19 20 be concerned. White Oak. I just wanted to 2.1 encourage the second appraisal. Y'all 2.2 have done the first appraisal, and I 23

just wanted to encourage the second one. 1 It is located ten miles southeast of 3 Tuskegee University. And something has occurred since the first appraisal. 4 Another 771 acres that adjoins it to the 6 east has been nominated and adjoins 7 Tuskegee University property. And it would make the entire property ideal for 8 9 timber, forestry, outdoor recreation, of 10 course, hunting and so forth. Tuskegee University was interested in 11 12 the adjoining property at one time. 13 And, anyway, I just wanted to 14 encourage the second appraisal on White Oak. 15 16 COMMISSIONER GUY: Since we've got two speakers, maybe if you would just have a 17 close seat right here --18 MR. SPEAKS: 19 Sure. 20 COMMISSIONER GUY: -- and we'll go ahead and bring Jack Mayhall -- did I get your 2.1 last name right? 2.2 23 MR. MAYHALL: Yes. Jack Mayhall. Thank you

for letting me speak with you.

On behalf of our family, we are nominating the property, the 771 acres, which adjoins the White Oak property.

And we want to say, as a landowner, we highly endorse your looking at that carefully as an acquisition.

This is not a statement to brag on, but we strongly support what you've done for this state. We believe in conservation, and we believe in putting the habitat back like it was and where we can. There's an old adage that says listen not to a man's words but watch his hands. Some of these things are very easy to say, as you know, each of you. Some of them are very difficult to put in place.

But to back up the evidence of what we've done -- more my son than myself -- but we were awarded the TREASURE Forest designation on a property we have in Bullock County. We have planted 40,000

longleaf pine on the property that we're asking for you to look at here next to White Oak, our 771 acres. And we've protected three properties in other parts of the state through conservation easements, one of which was already under contract to be clearcut, beautiful property near the Bankhead Forest.

Tim has already said it. We're located between the White Oak property and Tuskegee, who a number of years ago financially couldn't put it together but were very interested in this property that we have now. We ask for your consideration. We're not on the short list and mainly because, I think, of the access that we do not have from our property. But with White Oak, we have a corridor coming all the way from County Road 10.

Any questions about our property?

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yeah. Any questions either for Mr. Mayhall or Mr. Speaks?

2.1

2.2

(No response.) 1 MR. MAYHALL: I certainly thank you for your time. 3 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you for your time, 4 sir. 5 6 MR. SPEAKS: Thank you. 7 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thanks for being here. Yael ... 8 9 MS. GIRARD: You've got it. 10 COMMISSIONER GUY: I know it. Every time I think I remember it exactly and I mess 11 12 Thank you for being here. it up. 13 MS. GIRARD: And I got the short mic here. 14 Okay. Once again, my name is Yael Girard, Y-A-E-L G-I-R-A-R-D. I am the 15 director with the Weeks Bay Foundation 16 in Baldwin County. And there's a sheet 17 coming around for the property that I'd 18 like to discuss today, which is the 19 20 Weeks Bay Reserve-Bay Road West Addition. 2.1 This is a 64-, 65-acre piece of 2.2 23 property that is a very important

2.2

gateway piece of property. On the sheet that is being handed out to you, you'll see two images at the bottom. The one on the left shows the property that we're talking about in green, and the one on the right shows the property in relation to several hundred acres of other state-owned lands. So this property allows road-front access to those state lands.

The property also has a very nice wet flatwoods ecosystem with a wiregrass understory. It provides a lot of ecosystem services. It is undeveloped. It provides water filtration and habitat for birds, reptiles, and amphibians.

This property has already undergone a first appraisal. We would like you to consider a second appraisal and move to close.

And another important thing about this piece of property is that the appraised value will be matched one to

one by the Weeks Bay Reserve NOAA 1 acquisition grant. So say this property 3 appraised for 200,000. The NOAA grant would pay 100,000 of that appraised 4 value, so leveraging some funds here. 5 6 Any questions from anyone? 7 COMMISSIONER GUY: Ouestions for Yael? (No response.) 8 9 MS. GIRARD: Thank you all for your time. 10 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you. Thank you, ma'am. 11 Ted, I saw -- or Rod. There he is. 12 13 Hey, Rod. I didn't see you earlier. How are you doing? 14 Good. How are you doing? 15 MR. BACH: 16 COMMISSIONER GUY: Good morning. Good morning. I'm Rod Bach. MR. BACH: T'm a 17 forester out of Montgomery and work for 18 the Moore -- Jerry Moore and Cal Moore. 19 20 They're both from Montgomery. And they have a farm in Barbour County that joins 2.1 the Barbour County Wildlife Management 2.2 Area, and I would like to make a -- ask 23

y'all to consider conducting the first appraisal on it. They're

But the Moores have owned this property since the mid '30s. Started out with about 4,000 acres, and they've added to it over the years. They've got about 7,000 now.

passing around a map of how it lays.

The piece that I'm asking y'all to consider for an appraisal is part of the original piece, and it used to be -- you know, it was used for -- back in the day for quail hunting. I mean, it was one of the original quail plantations in southeast Alabama. But they in the '80s sold all the timber and put it in a timber lease with Mead. So the lease has been paying them a yearly fee for the past 30 -- close to 35 years now, and it's coming due. And they're in the process right now of cutting all this timber.

They've kind of gotten to a point

where because of the management style
with planting longleaf and the heavy
emphasis on wildlife habitat -- they -they probably need to consider selling a
piece and putting in something that's
more cash flow instead of a long-term

slow investment.

And this land that is on the map
that's in red, it's -- about a thousand
acres is an approximate acreage there.
But the -- when it reverts back to them
after the lease is over, it will be
clearcut. And it comes with a
reforestation package that will include
a chemical site prep, a burn, and
replanting with loblolly pines. The
owner has the option of paying the
difference between the loblolly and
longleaf to plant it back in longleaf.

As you can see across the road, we're in the process right now of planting, and they're doing some of that. But in their minds, they would

like to sell it to Forever Wild because, one, they feel like the management style would be more in line with what they're doing already on their property with the emphasis on the longleaf restoration and wildlife and quail. They also have found gopher tortoise burrows on their property. And I think this property is ranked fairly high on the list for y'all to consider.

But I think that about sums it up.

If you have any questions ...

COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Woods.

DR. WOODS: Is this the Leak Creek Addition?

MR. BACH: Yes, sir.

MS. POWELL: Yes, sir.

MR. BACH: Yes, sir. Sorry.

And as you can see, the wildlife management area, it's 20,000-plus acres, and this -- you come in from 51 -- Highway 51, and it's a long way from 51 to the east side of the property. And this would help them establish another

boundary on County Road 79, which would give them access, in my mind, and clean up a couple of the boundaries.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Horace.

2.1

2.2

MR. HORN: Is this the land with the red cross marks? Is that what we're looking at?

MR. BACH: Yes, sir. Yes, sir. It's got

1,012. That's supposed to be -- that's

what my hard-view calculations came up

to.

MR. HORN: Okay. Thank you.

MR. BACH: So it would be close to that.

MR. HORN: Yes, sir.

MR. BACH: And as you can see, all those other properties -- there's the Wyecott

Plantation, which is in blue. That's the original Wyecott. And then the stuff to the north -- there are two brothers, and they -- they own it separately, the red and the yellow, but the -- and the blue they own jointly.

But they manage it all as one contiguous

HAISLIP, RAGAN, GREEN, STARKIE & WATSON, P.C. (334) 263-4455

piece of property.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Now we understand. 1 Thanks for asking that question. 3 Any other questions? (No response.) 4 Thank you, Rod. 5 COMMISSIONER GUY: 6 MR. BACH: Thank you. 7 COMMISSIONER GUY: I think they were saying Leak Creek. 8 It's on the short list. 9 MS. POWELL: Yes. So 10 you would see it in Tab 4 of your packet. It's Barbour WMA-Leak Creek. 11 12 COMMISSIONER GUY: And Rod planted longleaf trees on my property about ten years 13 ago, and they look good. You need to 14 15 come back and see them. Best thing I 16 ever did was plant those longleafs. So thank you, Rod. 17 Andi Wilson. 18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can we all three come 19 20 down? COMMISSIONER GUY: Oh, yeah. Oh, that's 2.1 right. I'll tell you what. I just --2.2 thank you, sir, Mr. Chairman. 23

Who would like to speak first? Or everybody could speak --

2.1

2.2

(Dialogue inaudible to the court reporter.)

COMMISSIONER GUY: So we have a number of board members -- we have a number of people who will be speaking for Coosa County Commission concerning the Terrapin Hill property.

So Chairman Adams will speak first.

That will be fine. So just whoever speaks next, it's okay. I can just check you off the list. And then, as you've seen before, if you would just state your name and if you hold a position. That would be nice. And then we'll let everybody have a turn at the mic. And thank you for being patient with us.

MR. ADAMS: Well, I'd just like to thank the board for letting us take up some of their time as we share our concern.

My name is Todd Adams. But at the

last convention that Young Boozer and I were together, I asked him could I change my name to Old Boozer, and he said it wouldn't offend him.

But, anyway, I am here representing the Coosa County Commission speaking on their behalf concerning the possible acquisition of the Terrapin Hill property in Coosa County. I think some of this property pertains to the Pinhoti Trail and some of it doesn't.

And as per our letter to the Forever Wild Board dated December the 8th, 2015, which reads -- and I'll read it to you.

To the Forever Wild Board Land Trust
Board of Trustees: The Coosa County
Commission is pleased to support the
expansion of the Pinhoti Trail project
in Coosa County and subsequent transfer
of designated areas of land along the
trail to the state provided an
acceptable agreement is negotiated to
prevent loss of the county's ad valorem

taxes applicable to said transferred property. Ensuring the property taxes will be available to the county in the present and future to both enhance and maintain the quality of life for residents in our communities is very important to the county.

We do believe that the development of the trail will eventually encourage development of locally owned business and industry that may improve overall economic growth in the area and are excited about that prospect. Our goal is to work together to achieve these common goals.

And we were asked to clarify that
letter when we sent another letter
stating we support it as long as the
property is kept as small as possible,
maybe one-acre wide or whatever. And we
did that. Then we was asked to clarify
that. And the commission voted just to
send a letter stating we didn't want any

more county taken out of the county
because of tax purposes.

And one of the reasons I'm going to explain is Coosa County's unique situation. We're a large county in area that is sparsely populated. We have about 10,000 residents. And we have cities on all four borders of our county that's not in the county. We have Sylacauga on the north. You have Wetumpka on the south. Alexander City And, of course, Clanton is on the east. is on the west. And, like I say, none of these are actually inside the county. So we don't really get much impact.

But with that being said, basically all the county can support population-wise is a few Dollar Generals, restaurants, convenience stores, and so on. And this small tax base is why Coosa depends so heavily on the ad valorem taxes that we receive. And loss of these taxes affect our daily

3

4

5

1

_

3

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

operations at the county, salaries of the employees, sheriff and jail budgets, infrastructure, and that kind of thing.

Natural resources is what we have in Coosa County. And we certainly want to promote it, but we just cannot at the expense of the county. And if the ad valorem taxes continue to trend downwards because of the loss of these taxes, the loss to disabled citizens and aging population, which all makes this up, then the county would be forced to ask the citizens to pay more taxes in order to make up the loss that we're incurring. And if this property is purchased, it would make up around \$13,000 that had already been taken off the tax book, and this is just something we can't afford and keep up.

If there's any other questions on the -- I think you all got a handout from Ms. Lamberth. She has all the financials. If there's any questions

for her you'd like to ask, you can 1 certainly ask her on the financials, or 3 anything that I might can answer. Ms. Graham, the county administrator, 4 we'll get her up if we need to. 5 Again, thank you for your time and 6 7 thought and consideration on this matter. 8 9 COMMISSIONER GUY: So, Mr. Chairman, if you 10 would -- if the board is okay with this, since we have a few speakers, I thought 11 it would be best, rather than ask 12 13 questions of the individual right now, let's hear from everybody. Is that 14 okay? 15 16 And then we can ask questions to the whole group, if that would okay. 17 MR. ADAMS: Absolutely. 18 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 19 20 If you would, then, we'll wait and let everybody speak from Coosa County and 2.1 then -- thank you, ma'am. 2.2 MS. LAMBERTH: My name is Debra Lamberth. Ι'm 23

the revenue commissioner for Coosa

County. And I thank y'all for having us and hearing our complaint -- not really a complaint, but our concerns about the loss of tax dollars.

You should have a spreadsheet that I prepared based on the last seven years of ad valorem tax that has not been collected because the property has been held by the trust fund. And, of course, as additional land is acquired and transferred into the trust fund, that amount continues to increase. And it's perpetual. We'll never get that funds back into our revenue base.

Based on our low-income families, we do have a lot of disability-type claims against our tax base, age 65 and older, low income. Because we are considered to be a poor county as far as our tax revenues. We can't generate and regenerate that funds to make up for the loss based on the land that is held by

the trust fund. We're not against the trust fund, but we do need to compensate somehow to recoup the taxes that has gone out of our revenue bases.

Right currently, I think Forever
Wild -- well, I know they own a little
over 11,000 acres, and with the
acquisition, it would be about 12,500
acres of our county. Based on the taxes
right now, you're looking at 343,000 of
cumulative taxes over the last seven
years, and you're just compounding that
a little bit more by this new
acquisition of the trail, which will add
another 6,000 each year into the tax
loss.

So, you know, we're just here to plea for our county. Our loss of taxes is what we're here for. Thank you. If there's any questions --

COMMISSIONER GUY: We're going to -- thank you, Ms. Lamberth. I think we'll just --

2.1

2.2

MS. LAMBERTH: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Let's hear from everybody if that's okay with y'all.

Good morning.

MS. WILSON: Good morning. I'm Andi Wilson,
and I am the superintendent for Coosa
County schools. And so you're going to
hear a little different information from
me dealing more on the school side.

But I do appreciate everything that Forever Wild is about, but I would like to speak on the effects Forever Wild has had and will continue to have on our school system.

As you know, Coosa County is a natural -- a county of natural resources and TREASURE forest. It's also a county that, unfortunately, gives sales tax revenue to the Alexander City, Elmore County, Chilton County, Sylacauga City, and Talladega County school systems due to Walmart, Winn-Dixie, and other retail shops in the surrounding areas just

1.3

1 be

because of where we are and being the rural county that we are.

The Coosa County school system receives approximately 1.7 million from the 12-mill ad valorem tax each year and, on average, 410 from sales tax. Unfortunately, this does not meet the current needs of the school system with a yearly deficit of about \$350,000.

Because of our financial status, we have been under financial intervention from the state department for the past two years. We have a revenue issue in the county, and the school system is currently facing a million-dollar deficit. If Forever Wild proceeds with large land tract purchases, the effects for the school system will cause more detriment to the current situation.

Unfortunately, education in Alabama is not funded 100 percent. Because of this, Coosa County schools send out buses, on average, over ten years of

1.3

age. And the state provides approximately \$6100 for each bus that is eligible for renewal. Currently we have -- well, a bus costs about \$90,000 to purchase one bus.

So our last six buses are about to be up for renewal. So that means each bus would be getting back \$6100 from the state. Well, that only totals \$36,600. So, unfortunately, we can't buy a third of a bus to help transport our children across our large county.

Textbooks have not been fully purchased in almost a decade. In fact, 2008 was the last year for that due to the minimal funding that the state provides us to purchase textbooks.

So these are only a couple of the examples where the school system is in critical areas, but this doesn't even include making ends meet with paying utilities and things of that nature for the school system.

2.1

2.2

As of my last report, about \$158,000 has been lost due to the land purchase through Forever Wild per year. I fear for what could be ahead for our most valued treasures in Coosa County, our children. Please consider the immediate effects for the Coosa County school system if you continue large purchases.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, Ms. Wilson.

Mr. Kelley.

MR. KELLEY: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Good morning.

MR. KELLEY: Unzell Kelley, Coosa County

Commission. Along with our chairman,

Todd Adams, he and I are two of the

longest-serving elected officials in

Coosa County, serving over 18 years.

I stand before you today, first, to thank you for your service and thank you for your consideration. As I read over some of the minutes that was provided to us, I noticed some of the dialogue and the discourse that took place. And I

just want to say personally thank you for giving us an opportunity to come back down and state our case and state our purpose of why we're not comfortable with what is being proposed.

I think from some of the comments of some of the board -- we are amenable and open to working out a compromise. We're open to that. But at the end of the day, you've heard the facts. You've heard everything stated by our chairman, by our revenue commissioner, by our superintendent of education.

I think the first young lady did discuss the study that talked about economic impact as it relates to Forever Wild. I will tell you there is an economic impact, a positive one, but, also, there is a negative economic impact. And the statistics that they just shared with you is what we as a county commission is responsible for.

Our primary responsibility is a

fiduciary responsibility to the citizens that we represent and serve. And this latest acquisition, I think, will pose an undue burden -- financial burden upon the county commission in carrying out its fiduciary responsibility, which is to provide a quality level of services to our citizens so that we can improve the quality of life.

And I'm not going to belabor the point. I think they've done a great job of presenting the information to you. We just hope and pray that you will take everything that we've said today into consideration as you make your decisions.

Once again, we are not against the Pinhoti Trail or any of the acquisitions that will help to connect that trail and make it a destination for ecotourism, and that is represented by our efforts working with members of our Coosa County Chamber of Commerce and, also,

representatives from Coosa County who work with the trails association that are here present today. We have a collaborative effort, but at the end of the day, we all understand the challenges that Coosa County faces.

And, once again, we thank you for this opportunity, and we thank you for this time to present to you.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you.

So, members of the board, you know, we've heard this -- and I really want to first thank the commission members for being here and the people that live in Coosa County. Now, we also have Mr. Schock here and, I think, at least one other speaker that has been here before.

For some of the new board members -and I don't know if you had a chance to
read all the minutes. We had a couple
of, you know, discussions about this.

I am going to suggest again that it

2.1

2.2

might be easier before we start asking 1 questions just of the commission, if 3 they would allow us to do this, to go ahead and let Mr. Schock and anybody 4 else speak on behalf of this, which 5 6 they've done before. And then, that 7 way, when we start asking questions, it might be such that the board could then 8 have both of those positions available 9 10 to them before the questions begin. Does anybody have any problem with 11 Is that okay, Mr. Chairman? 12 that? 13 MR. ADAMS: Absolutely. COMMISSIONER GUY: So, Andrew -- where are 14 you, Andrew? 15 Andrew. 16 And, Andrew, I know you've got -- I 17 don't -- also, Mr. -- is it --18 Ms. Thornton is here. 19 20 And is Mr. Riddle here on this issue, or is that a different issue? 2.1 MR. RIDDLE: Yeah, I'm here. 2.2 Yes, sir. Is this on the 23 COMMISSIONER GUY:

same issue?

MR. RIDDLE: Yes.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. So would you like to speak first, Mr. Riddle, and then -- y'all pick. It's all y'all. Why don't you just -- I think you're going to get most of the questions, so why don't you just go ahead.

MR. SCHOCK: Good morning. I'm Andrew Schock with The Conservation Fund to talk on behalf of the Terrapin Hill project.

Another map is being passed out to you-all.

Most of you are familiar with the project. It is an acquisition for the Pinhoti Trail. Part of it is for the trail corridor itself, and part of it is to support as buffer and as scenic view amendment to the existing location of the trail.

The terminus of the trail, which is where we're talking about, is in Coosa County. It's the terminus of a trail

J

that extends from Coosa County into
Georgia to Springer Mountain, which is
the southern terminus of the Appalachian
Trail. So the Pinhoti Trail is an
approach trail to the Appalachian Trail.

By adding these additional lands, as proposed, it would allow the trail to become a higher-quality trail. You don't want to have the terminus of a trail that attaches into the Appalachian Trail which, you know, could -- we'd like to call it world class. You don't want to have a trail looking over houses and, you know, things that aren't very pretty.

Right now it's very pretty. The gorge there is tremendous. It's beautiful views. Getting the trail off the road is what we're attempting to do on that long, narrow piece you see on the map in front of you to make it so that when somebody from Canada or somebody from California or somebody

from Alabama wants to hike the trail, they have a nice place to start.

Instead of starting on the road, they start in Coosa County with that beautiful old-growth longleaf pine in that mountainous area and that gorge.

The trail ultimately, as we heard -you know, I guess the opening

comments -- from an economic development

opportunity, it's tremendous. People

are going to start saying, gee, why hike

the Appalachian Trail if I don't start

in Coosa County. And the Appalachian

Trail gets an awful lot of use.

So I'm here in support of that.

I -- most of you have heard the reasons before. I certainly appreciate Callie coming. She's done a lot of the actual work on putting the trail on the ground. So I'll finish my comments here.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Why don't you just hold tight and take a seat.

MR. SCHOCK: Yes, sir.

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: And I'm sure there will be some questions. Thank you, Andrew.

Mr. Riddle. Good morning.

MR. RIDDLE: Good morning. Good morning, y'all. Thank you for having me.

I am a property owner in Coosa

County, and I'm also an outdoor

recreation enthusiast. And I'm here

today to let you know that I really

appreciate The Conservation Fund's

efforts and Callie's and all they're

doing to enhance the recreation -
outdoor recreation opportunities in

Coosa County. And I'm also concerned

about the economic impact.

We just heard that there is a tremendous amount of economic impact.

Well, I'd like to know how that's going to offset -- and if we've got numbers, details that would show how we're going to offset any negative economic impact such as the loss of ad valorem tax.

Now, if The Conservation Fund

2.1

2.2

continues to hold the property, there wouldn't be an issue, and we can still have this beautiful property and still have this Pinhoti Trail.

2.1

2.2

So I know we've got a lot of brains here, a lot of smarts in this room, to come to some solution that's going to give this county a trail and also provide the economic support it needs.

And I thank you for your time and your consideration.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, Mr. Riddle.

And Ms. Thornton. Good morning.

MS. THORNTON: Good morning. I am the -- I'm

Callie Thornton. I'm the current vice

president of the Alabama Hiking Trail

Society for public relations. I'm the

past state president for the Alabama

Hiking Trail Society. I'm also owner of

the first Coosa Hiker Hostel that -- the

first hostel in Alabama. So I'm seeing

the effects of the Pinhoti Trail

already. I've already seen people from

Canada and from Grand Cayman Islands and all over the United States that are coming to do the Pinhoti Trail as a connector to the Appalachian Trail.

2.1

2.2

I've been trying to talk with The Conservation Fund to help with the county commission side of how we can help. We build the trails for -- build the main trails for the state, but our main focus now is the part that's in Coosa County. The Conservation Fund has -- I talked to them last night, and they're thinking about taking off -- some of it off the table where it won't affect property taxes.

So what I'm asking you for is to purchase the Terrapin Trail tract, the 1,334 acres, where we can continue building our trail and close the 16-mile road walk. And that's all I have.

COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. So everybody

hang tight and -- so now we -- and I

appreciate everybody's patience on that.

And thanks again, everybody. This has been an issue that we've talked about, and I think that it's unresolved in the board's mind.

2.1

2.2

So at this time I'm going to ask the board if there are any questions, particularly of the commission since they were so gracious to come today. I know there was a passing of messages by myself and Ms. Powell, but now is an opportunity for everyone, if they want to, to ask the chairman or the revenue commissioner, superintendent, or the other commissioner here today.

DR. HEPP: Mr. Chairman, I have a question. COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Go ahead.

DR. HEPP: So one of you talked about a compromise -- a potential compromise of this property. Is that what everybody is feeling, or is that just from one or two of you?

COMMISSIONER GUY: Before -- you're going to have to -- somebody -- I hate to do this

(334) 263-4455

to y'all, but because of the court reporter --

MR. ADAMS: I can probably answer that question.

Callie had told me this morning she got an e-mail that they might take a portion of this off the table, but it's still going to be 1300-and-something acres. So I don't know if the commission would go that route.

And I'm not -- and Mr. Schock has been super nice to Coosa County. He's offered seven years of funding in lieu of taxes, which nobody else has ever done when they bought the other properties in there. So we're not here to bash him, you know. We're just here to state our position.

We have got a bill drafted up that I will give y'all a copy of. It -- you know, I know it'd take a constitutional amendment to change it. But we have got it drafted, and I got one -- which would

try to get the state funds to put money in so it wouldn't take away from Forever Wild, but they would add money for situations like this, to continue to give land y'all purchased -- continue to give -- pay the ad valorem taxes in counties like ours.

You know, that's -- that's what I look that we might try.

- DR. HEPP: I guess where I'm coming from is that the potential economic benefit of having --
- MR. ADAMS: Well, let me tell you, as I explained --
- DR. HEPP: -- a destination like this --
- MR. ADAMS: Yeah. I understand. And we said in our letter, you know, we see that possibly happening, but it's way down the road.

If you've ever been to Coosa County and know how rural -- just like the 10,000-acre tract on the WMA, the wildlife management area, the last hunt

they had, I just rode over in there to 1 see what was in that area, how many 3 people were in there. I saw four vehicles. All four of them had 14 4 County tags coming out of Chilton 5 6 County. They don't go by a store 7 anywhere to spend a penny in Coosa County getting there. This is what I'm 8 9 saying. 10 We have -- you know, eventually, like I said, we see a possibility down 11 the road, but at this time we can't 12 afford --13 14 DR. HEPP: Oh, I agree it's not going to 15 happen by itself. MR. ADAMS: Yeah. I understand. 16 DR. HEPP: There would have to be some input 17 from --18 MR. ADAMS: And don't think we haven't 19 20 looked --DR. HEPP: -- people in the county to make it 2.1 happen. I mean, so I can -- you know, 2.2 it's -- to me, you know, I would say 23

Forever Wild doesn't want to go into an 1 area that it's not wanted. 3 MR. ADAMS: Right. Right. 4 DR. HEPP: But at the same time, you can't move the southern terminus of the 5 6 Pinhoti Trail over to --7 MR. ADAMS: I understand that. DR. HEPP: -- Lee County or Chambers County or 8 9 something like that. It is where it is; 10 right? MR. ADAMS: Absolutely. Yeah, it is where it 11 is. 12 13 And, like I say, I've looked at acquisitions y'all have made of 14 15 properties. If it was in my county, I would want them if we had the venue to 16 support something. I saw where they had 17 the birding events as far as the dog 18 field trials and that kind of thing. 19 20 But where this property is located, you couldn't get to it really. I mean, 2.1

the 10,000 of the WMA tract, it's got

mats where they cut the timber. There's

2.2

wood mats in the road going to that property -- clearcut property that nobody can get to anyway, you know.

And my feeling -- one of my feelings would be it would have been nice to connect to this trail before they bought -- you know, the 10,000 acres came out that put us in this situation so bad. And, you know, I'm sure you wasn't on the board then, and I don't know who was. And I'm not saying -- they didn't realize this was coming, I think.

COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. I see

Commissioner Kelley has his hand up, and
I'd like to hear from him.

And remember, too, when you're asking a question, board and for the speakers, our court reporter can't take down when everybody is speaking at the same time. So we need to make sure we have a question-and-answer type of situation. So if I interrupt you,

2.1

2.2

please bear with me.

MR. KELLEY: Yeah. Just to address Dr. Hepp's question, I think we could necessarily go back to the table and sit down and take a look at the aerial maps and look at the acreage that we feel is needed and possibly come to a better solution.

You know, just with what I've heard there that was proposed, taking some out, you still have an acquisition over a thousand acres. I mean, the effect there is still going to be, you know, adverse to Coosa County.

But I think with the board allowing us to go back to the table and sit down and then possibly through, you know,
GIS, we'll look at -- with our revenue commissioner and the commission as a whole, with Mr. Schock or anyone else, and see what we can come up with that is amenable to the parties involved.

I have traveled to Osage Beach,
Missouri, Springfield. I've seen their

1.3

2.1

2.2

23

hiking trails. I've seen those destinations and stuff. And I'm an old land good. I've done land acquisition for -- on behalf of the state. So I understand the aspects that are involved. And sometimes when you design a corridor, sometimes you look at what is needed for the scope of that project. And based on what I've seen on the maps, I think the scope is a little wide. can be narrowed some and still achieve the purpose of what is being requested. And I just think if we went back to the table and looked at that, I think we could maybe work out something where everybody achieves their goals.

And as I said before, we're dealing with real-life situations in Coosa

County. I know 10-, 20-, \$40,000 may seem nominal to some of you, but in Coosa County that is the difference between the quality of life and the services that we provide. And we're

also responsible for the infrastructure, which we get beat up all the time about the infrastructure. But we work with what we have to work with.

And as our chairman said, you know, we're willing to sit down and work. And I know he's committed to that, and I know the rest of the commission is committed to that. But I think just with even what has been proposed in the compromise, that is still a significant amount of land that will pose an adverse impact on Coosa County.

And, as I said, \$10,000 may seem nominal to you, but to us it's the difference between keeping the lights on and keeping the lights off. So, once again, thank you --

COMMISSIONER GUY: No, no. And, look, let me say this. I promise you, we do not take \$10,000 as nominal. We consider that important to every county or other interest that comes --

2.1

2.2

1	MR. KELLEY: Yes, sir.
2	COMMISSIONER GUY: And I appreciate your
3	comment, but I just wanted to make sure
4	everybody knows that. We do not take
5	those issues lightly, and we understand,
6	as I told does anybody have any
7	questions of yes, sir.
8	MR. ELLIS. Mr. Chairman, if I
9	COMMISSIONER GUY: Go ahead.
10	MR. ELLIS: Butch Ellis.
11	Coosa County's part of the taxes on
12	this 1300 acres is \$10,000 a year
13	your portion?
14	MR. KELLEY: No. I would defer that to our
15	revenue commissioner who assesses that.
16	MS. LAMBERTH: (Inaudible to court reporter.)
17	MR. ELLIS: The Coosa County part is 6,000 a
18	year on the 1300. And then that's
19	current use valuation, I assume?
20	(Dialogue inaudible to the court
21	reporter.)
22	MR. ELLIS: The second part of my question
23	and whomever can address it I assume

that that property would qualify for

current use valuation, and if -- and

then what is the tax, you say?

MS. LAMBERTH: If the current use was

applicable there, then you would dedu

2.1

2.2

- applicable there, then you would deduct about two-thirds of that. But under this situation, I'm not sure if current use -- if they would qualify for the current use application. That would need to be something we would have to check to make sure that they would be, you know, eligible to apply for that.
- MR. ELLIS: What about the adjoining properties? Are they under current use --
- MS. LAMBERTH: They were under current use, the large landowners.
- MR. ELLIS: And so the Coosa County portion, if current use valuation is put on it, would be about two or \$3,000 --
- MS. LAMBERTH: About two to \$3,000.
- MR. ELLIS: -- a year. That does not include the education part; right?

That does. That includes --MS. LAMBERTH: 1 Includes education? MR. ELLIS: 3 MS. LAMBERTH: That includes the education 4 part, yes, sir. MR. ELLIS: How much of that would go to the 5 6 general fund for Coosa County? 7 The general fund would get MS. LAMBERTH: about seven-and-a-half mills there. 8 9 can't do the math right off my head. 10 But it would probably be -- 12 mills goes into the foundation, so --11 MR. KELLEY: 14 comes to us. 12 13 MS. LAMBERTH: 14 comes to y'all. 14 So it would probably be, out of the 2,000, what, seven or eight, nine --15 16 \$900 going to the education, and the balance would be between the state and 17 county funds. 18 MR. ELLIS: So the county would lose about a 19 20 thousand dollars a year --Probably. 2.1 MS. LAMBERTH: MR. ELLIS: -- and education would lose about 2.2 23 a thousand a year?

MS. LAMBERTH: Yeah, that's -- yeah. A little 1 more than a thousand, right. 3 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. And I -- if there are any more questions -- I know that 4 Andrew raised his hand -- Mr. Schock 5 did -- and I wanted to recognize him. 6 7 Any down here? DR. STRICKLAND: Actually, my question was 8 9 answered. 10 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Strickland. 11 Y'all shout out. I can't see --12 13 yes, sir, Mr. Satterfield. MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, it looks like 14 on the southern portion here on the map 15 16 that Forever Wild already owns the property immediately adjacent to and 17 surrounding the trail; is that correct? 18 COMMISSIONER GUY: And, Andrew, you can come 19 20 on up. Ms. Powell would have to -- she 2.1 would be better to answer that, Bill, 2.2 because as I understand it right now --23

MS. POWELL: I think for the board's ease --1 MR. SATTERFIELD: I'm looking at what's in 3 blue on the map. MS. POWELL: Correct. I think what may help 4 5 the board, if you will look at Tab 3-B, 6 I believe --7 MR. SCHOCK: On the map that was passed out --MS. POWELL: Just a second, Andrew. Let me 8 9 get them oriented on what they've got. 10 COMMISSIONER GUY: That's the one he passed 11 011t. 12 MS. POWELL: I know. But I think the acreage 13 breakdown is shown -- is more helpful on ours. 14 Is that the Terrapin Hill? 15 MR. RUNYAN: 16 COMMISSIONER GUY: That is Terrapin Hill, yes. MS. POWELL: So for the board, you will see --17 18 and we'll try to -- but I want y'all to 19 be oriented on your map. 20 What is yellow and hatched is currently owned by Forever Wild or the 2.1 2.2 Coosa County WMA. That is WMA acreage 23 that is owned by Forever Wild. Not all

of the WMA is owned by Forever Wild 1 because --3 MR. SATTERFIELD: Okay. So this blue on this map corresponds to the hatched on this 4 5 map? MS. POWELL: Hatched yellow on their map, 6 7 correct. MR. SATTERFIELD: So the acquisition only 8 9 includes what's red on this map, or does 10 it include what's yellow on this map? MS. POWELL: It's all three tracts that 11 12 Mr. Schock has in orange, red, and blue 13 that he has handwritten on your map that 14 Andrew gave you acreage numbers by it. So the current nomination consists 15 16 of three tracts -- here, here, and here -- I'm sorry. I'm looking on -- on 17 18 Andrew's map -- orange or yellow, whatever that is --19 She's looking at this map now. 20 MR. SCHOCK: MS. POWELL: -- red and blue. 2.1 For the board, in your packet, your 2.2 map, the red border consolidates the 23

nomination, and that is the two 1 parcels --3 MR. SATTERFIELD: But it doesn't include the yellow on this map? 4 MS. POWELL: Because that --5 6 MR. SATTERFIELD: The Stewart tract is not 7 shown on this map, 190 acres; correct? COMMISSIONER GUY: It's part of the 8 9 nomination, Bill. 10 MS. POWELL: Hold on. Jo has said -- that is correct. The board's map is missing --11 12 Am I correct, Jo? 13 MS. LEWIS: Yes. MS. POWELL: -- the Stewart tract that's been 14 15 identified in Mr. Schock's map, but that is part of the nomination. 16 MR. SATTERFIELD: So there's a 190-acre 17 18 discrepancy between the two maps? MR. SCHOCK: Yes, apparently. This is the 19 20 map --(Dialogue inaudible to the court 2.1 2.2 reporter.) MR. SATTERFIELD: But what my question really 23

went to, Mr. Chairman, was that it looks
like from this map that Forever Wild
already owns the property surrounding
and adjacent to this portion of the
trail; is that correct?

2.1

2.2

- COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir, we do. And that is part of the WMA, as I understand, that we have there.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: So, then, the acquisition of
 those two tracts, the red and the
 yellow, is not central to the continued
 use of that part of the trail, is it,
 unless I'm misunderstanding something;
 is that correct?
- COMMISSIONER GUY: I would direct that to Mr. Schock's nomination.
- MR. SCHOCK: It is not central at this point
 to where you would walk, but if you were
 to be there -- as you're hiking the
 trail on the property already owned by
 the state and you look to the east,
 because of the terrain, the red and the
 yellow tracts you would see clearly from

the trail.

2.1

2.2

So while it's not where you would walk, the visual aspect of it is critical. Those tracts also help protect Weogufka Creek, which in itself has some interesting biodiversity. The tracts also have overgrowth mountain or montane longleaf, which is also important. But to the trail itself, it's the visual shed, the view shed that those two tracts support.

- MR. SATTERFIELD: Okay. Now, this is my question: On the blue, the light blue, who presently owns that property?
- MR. SCHOCK: The Conservation Fund. We do.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: So whether Forever Wild acquires that tract or not, that portion of the trail is also going to continue to be able to be used by the public for hiking?
- MR. SCHOCK: Not necessarily. The

 Conservation Fund needs to -- I mean,

 our pledge to our donors who put this in

here was that it would be used for the Pinhoti Trail. But The Conservation

Fund is not a long-term landowner. So we always retain the option that we will just put this on the market and sell it. It's part of -- I mean, Potlatch is the adjacent owner now. It's timberland. I would go to them and say are you interested in buying it.

The trail -- I mean, Callie -- I
hate to say it since she's put the blood
and sweat in it, but I would not
promise -- because we don't hold land
for the long-term -- The Conservation
Fund would continue to own it.

- MR. SATTERFIELD: But The Conservation Fund owns the land now?
- MR. SCHOCK: Yes, sir. We own that blue tract, as you point out, as well as the Stewart, the yellow tract, and the red tract. We own all three of those.

 Those are part -- all part of the nomination today or that we've made

previously. 1 MR. SATTERFIELD: Well, then, Patti, my 3 question then goes to the fact that if that orange portion is not on the map 4 that shows it's appraised, was that 5 6 orange portion included in the 7 appraisal? MS. POWELL: Yes, it was. It is a mapping 8 9 error on my staff's part, and it should That is a staff error. 10 be on our map. COMMISSIONER GUY: So the one that Andrew gave 11 us would be --12 MR. SATTERFIELD: This would be accurate. 13 14 COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir. 15 Any other questions? 16 MR. SCHOCK: And what I would like to say in 17 response to Dr. Hepp --COMMISSIONER GUY: Sure. 18 MR. SCHOCK: -- when a compromise has been 19 20 discussed, I want to make a couple of 2.1 points. As I've just said, the yellow and 2.2

red tracts are critical to the view

shed, but they are not the footpath; okay?

The blue tract -- or the gray-blue tract, the long and skinny one, that is where the footpath is now because of Callie and others' good work.

In conversations with the chairman of my board, our national board who has got millions of dollars into Alabama for the Pinhoti Trail, he has agreed that because of the concerns of the county that we would remove from the nomination as part of a compromise the yellow Stewart tract and the red Kaul tract.

Now, in terms of trail corridor, this blue tract, we have to maintain -to keep the integrity of the trail, we have to maintain an 800-foot-wide corridor. The sort of northern half of what's shown on this map is a very long and skinny parcel. That is surveyed out as an 800-foot-wide corridor for the trail.

The area where -- on the southern portion of that where it balloons out -- you know, if you understand real estate acquisition, lots of people don't want to sell to you an 800-foot piece out of their property. Okay. So we were fortunate on the northern portion, but on that southern portion where it balloons out, because of the way the land lays, we had to acquire more than 800 acres because that area that's sort of on the eastern side is bottomland hardwood.

Basically, from a timber company perspective, it's not really valuable land. So they wanted to get rid of it. So they wouldn't sell us a narrow corridor. They sold us the most narrow corridor -- or we bought the most narrow corridor we could, but I can't force the landowner to only sell 800 feet.

So that's what this represents.

This represents the most narrow corridor

possible to maintain the integrity of a trail that should be, if done right, a world-class trail.

2.1

2.2

We are willing to remove those other two tracts. I mean, it's -- would be detrimental to the view shed, but it's important to get this trail really moving forward.

So we would be willing to remove those two tracts. It's going to take a reappraisal, I believe, because I think you appraised all of them as one unit since we own all of them. But that is a compromise that we're willing to do.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Have you discussed that with --

MR. SCHOCK: Not until this morning. Callie presented it to the commission. I'm sure they haven't had an opportunity to consider it.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions?

Yes. Go ahead, Mr. Ball.

MR. BALL: I just wanted to add -- this is

just -- regardless of what happens with this particular item on the agenda, I just think it's unfortunate that we're having a conversation about, I guess, what a liability this particular trail is from a tax -- property tax standpoint rather than trying to have a conversation about how do we get the trail into downtown Rockford where you have -- where you would have an opportunity to create some synergy and bring economic development into that part of the county and create more tax revenue, you know, down the road.

2.1

2.2

It would be great if the officials of the county could have that conversation as well. Because this is definitely an asset, not a liability, regardless of what's been said this morning. I just wanted to say that for the record.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Ms. Thornton, while you're coming up -- so I -- along those lines

with Mr. Ball's conversation, as -- and I'm not saying that you're under a responsibility to, okay, by inference. Have y'all had any discussions with the county about how you could create, as Mr. Ball is saying, more economic opportunity for them by anything else you could do other than the purchase of the property?

MR. SCHOCK: Well --

do?

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: You know what I'm saying?

Like trying to create advertisements

or work with them towards creating a

synergy in their county for people to

spend money through anything y'all might

MR. SCHOCK: What we've done, as I think the chairman alluded to -- we've done two things, one he alluded to. And that is that we have agreed -- because we understand, you know, this -- to their budget this is a shock when you take land off. We have agreed to pay

basically seven years' worth of the ad valorem taxes, which is, you know, between six and \$7,000 a year for all the acres that we originally were talking about. Okay. We've agreed to do that. When we transfer -- I mean, we're paying taxes now, but when we transfer it to the state, we would pay that equivalent.

The other thing that we did was probably last year, I think, that it occurred. The Conservation Fund has national seminars known as "Commerce and Nature" or "Nature and Commerce." And, you know, we recognize that Coosa County/Rockford could be a gateway to this national trail. So we sponsored, at a cost of almost \$3,000, members of the community from Coosa County to go to a seminar -- a multiday seminar in north Alabama to start gaining some knowledge of how this could be a gateway, how they could actually -- I'll use the word

"exploit" this as a gateway; okay?

So while we haven't talked about bringing the trail into Rockford, we have provided opportunities for them to learn about how this could be beneficial moving into the future from an economic-development standpoint.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Ms. Thornton.

Let me -- do you mind one second?

She raised her hand first.

MS. THORNTON: Yeah. I just wanted to make a note of his comment. The -- I've been working for the last three years with the Southeastern Foothills Trails

Coalition to try to make Rockford a trail town. It is very slow and -- very slow moving. But between that -- because we're only ten miles from the Eastern Continental Trail. So it's possible that we could pull those people in, but I need somebody to -- if anybody knows anybody, to help me, because I'm doing it on my own. And so that's

just -- just trying to -- try to say 1 that according to what you were saying. 3 COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Tolley-Jordan. DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Yeah. I just had a quick 4 question. 5 6 So there's the RMS tract that is the 7 long skinny one. And there's a large stretch of the trail that is between 8 where the Forever Wild tract is 9 10 currently to that one. Who maintains 11 that part of the trail, or who owns the land that --12 13 MR. SCHOCK: Okay. Right now -- I mean, what 14 you're looking at -- and I don't have my glasses on. But the area between the 15 16 town of Weogufka where you see this looks like a trail going to the RMS 17 18 tract, that is proposed trail route. So it does not exist yet. 19 20 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: And so how would you complete that if --2.1 MR. SCHOCK: We would -- working with willing 2.2 sellers, which is the only way we work,

we would acquire property. I mean,
these were all willing sellers to begin
with. We would acquire the property.

And I hear what the county says. We would endeavor to acquire, you know, nothing -- we would try not to acquire anything greater than an 800-foot-wide corridor. Because, again, I mean, think of hiking. You'd rather not hike on the road. You would rather hike in the woods.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Any other questions?

I would -- ma'am, if you'll come up
and state your name.

MS. McDADE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I signed up on the other sheet to speak.

My name is Jodi McDade. I'm a citizen of Coosa County, and I'm also the treasurer of our newly formed Chamber of Commerce. I want to make sure that the board understands that we are trying to help ourselves.

We also have a strategic planning

committee that is forming. We've been meeting for about six months. We're trying to address the financial situation that our county is in and to help promote business and tourism.

We recognize the assets that we have in Coosa County, the natural resources for outdoor activities, but we also have to recognize the financial situation of our county. And we would just like to see some type of compromise or postponement to give us a chance to work some of these things out.

So I just wanted you to know that we are working to help ourselves. We're working with the county commission.

They're open to some of our plans. But all of these plans take time, and we're just getting going. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, Ms. McDade.

We have a question, Ms. McDade.

DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: So I would just like to clarify that The Conservation Fund was

2.1

2.2

willing to pay seven years of taxes, and you recognize -- and I guess I'm speaking to the commission as well.

It seemed like you recognize that there is a long-term potential benefit to this. Is seven years not long enough to make that long-term?

MR. KELLEY: Dr. Jordan, with your question, seven years -- you know, the federal government for use of federal lands throughout the Appalachian region pays PILT, which are payment in lieu of taxes. And they pay those every year, and it has to be reauthorized through congress. And, you know, when you talk about taking away PILT, I don't care what side of the aisle you're on. People converge on D.C. and express their concerns with taking away those payment in lieu of taxes.

And seven years, as our chairman said, is better than what -- some who have never offered anything. That's

2.1

good. But seven years -- once seven years is done, that's revenue that goes away, and we have to find replacement revenue.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So I don't know if I'm answering your question. That sounds good in terms of from a compromise, but for us, we have to look short-term and long-term as well. When we go to the public sector to do public financing, we have to do issues for 20 or 25 years to pay that off. And so any loss of revenue affects any long-term or future plans that we may have as a county to support community and economic development. So I hope that answered your question.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Did that answer your question?

DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Any other questions?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. The only thing I would say here is this: I've heard --

and I don't know that there is -- it seemed like an ask for some more time for the group to sit down together and see if there is a compromise. I'm looking to see if both sides want to do that or not because -- not just speaking for the whole board, but if we hear that, then we would rather, I think, give you the time.

2.1

2.2

I see some nodding of heads to

the -- some of us have also heard a lot

and may be willing to go ahead. But

I -- I will say that I'd like to know

that there's that willingness. And I'll

look to Andrew and Mr. -- the chairman,

Mr. Adams, as to --

MR. ADAMS: We're certainly open, you know, to anything. But, you know, if nothing changes other than those two -- the red and the yellow/mustard color just being taken off, I don't think the commission would change their views.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. And that's fair.

That's what we're looking for is your 1 opinion on that. 3 MR. SCHOCK: So I guess you heard the answer. COMMISSIONER GUY: Certainly. 4 I mean, I presented that the MR. SCHOCK: 6 trail has got to be 800-feet wide or, 7 you know, you lose the integrity of it. So given that, the best case 8 scenario is that all three tracts be 9 10 acquired by the state because that is the best for the Pinhoti Trail and that 11 will be the best for the economic 12 13 opportunities in the county. 14 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Thank you, sir. All right. With that, I think we 15 16 have heard from everyone. And I thank everybody for their patience. I think 17 that was a valuable discussion. 18 So, again, for those from the county 19 20 commission that are here today, I want to say thank you for taking the time out 2.1 as well to be here. 2.2

23

So what happens -- I need to -- if

everybody would kind of be quiet so the 1 court reporter can hear. 3 At this point, that ends our public comment period, and we will now go into 4 recess for executive session according 6 to the laws that are set up for us. 7 And by regulation, appraisal values are confidential during periods of 8 9 negotiation. Accordingly, in order to 10 discuss tract appraisal values, the board will need to go into recess for an 11 executive session. 12 Is there a motion for the board to 13 14 now recess to attend to an executive session? 15 16 MR. HORN: So move. COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Got a motion by 17 Mr. Horn. 18

DR. WOODS: Second.

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

COMMISSIONER GUY: A second by Dr. Woods.

All right. I have to go through the role and make sure that you -- by voice vote that we have -- that motion

carries. 1 Mr. Cole? 2 3 MR. COLE: Aye. COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Woods? 4 5 DR. WOODS: Aye. 6 COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Tolley-Jordan? 7 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Aye. 8 COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Hepp? 9 DR. HEPP: Aye. COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Ellis? 10 MR. ELLIS: Aye. 11 COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Horn? 12 MR. HORN: 13 Yes. COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Sims? 14 15 DR. SIMS: Aye. 16 COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Runyan? 17 MR. RUNYAN: Yes. COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Satterfield? 18 MR. SATTERFIELD: 19 Aye. 20 COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Wright? MR. WRIGHT: Yes. 21 COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Ball? 22

MR. BALL: Yes.

1 COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Strickland?

DR. STRICKLAND: Yes.

COMMISSIONER GUY: And myself. The vote is unanimous.

So it is now approximately noon.

We'll take a 20-minute recess, and for
the members of the public, we'll return
for the rest of our agenda.

(Recess for executive session was taken at approximately 11:57 a.m. and the meeting was called back to order at approximately 12:28 p.m.)

COMMISSIONER GUY: So if the court reporter will please note the time for the record, we will resume our meeting.

Appreciate everybody's patience again. We had a good, active discussion this morning on some nominations. And so the next item on the agenda for the board is a program status report. And Ms. Powell will be the first speaker. We'll give her a second to get ready. I believe she'll be talking on matters

2.1

contained under Tab 2.

While she's getting ready, for the new board members and for the old board members, I just want to state that I remember -- it wasn't that long ago when I got this job and took this chair and I got -- the first time I was here and I was listening to the old board members, you know, go about business and I was sitting here thinking I need to ask a question. And so I finally did and realized that's very appropriate to ask questions.

And so, please, do not hesitate to ask questions or stop us if we can help in any way. And that goes to every board member but particularly to the new board members.

Thank you, Patti.

MS. POWELL: Okay. For the benefit of the public, I'm Patti Powell. I'm director of the State Lands Division.

What we do at this point in the

2.1

2.2

agenda each time is run through the financial status of our funds. And our fund that I will start with relates to the money that you would have available

for acquisitions.

And so in your packet, 2-A, you'll see on the second page a list of properties we've already closed in this fiscal year. We do work on an October 1 to September 30 fiscal year.

So you will see that we've closed approximately ten properties in this time: Autauga WMA Phase II; Dallas County WMA Phase II; DeSoto State Park-Tutwiler Addition; Guntersville State Park-Stubblefield Mountain Addition; Indian Mountain-Simmons Addition; Monte Sano State Park-Dug Hill West Addition; Old Cahawba Prairie Addition; Shelby County Park-Shades Creek Addition; Skyline WMA-Threwer Point Addition; and Weeks Bay Reserve -- or our abbreviation for Weeks Bay

Reserve, WBR -- Meadows Phase II

Addition. That's what has closed so

far.

The properties that we have at various stages of our closing process — and by that, I mean these are properties that you have motioned and directed staff to proceed with purchase. Once you do that, we continue toward closing unless we hit a glitch or an issue, a problem, something that changes the nature of that property or that closing, and we come back to the board before we proceed. But, otherwise, we move on to closing.

Those tracts that are currently being worked on: Yates Lake-North Addition; Coon Gulf-Heard -- and I'm sorry. Yates Lake is Elmore County.

Coon Gulf-Heard, Jackson County;

Dallas County WMA Addition; DeSoto State

Park-French Addition, DeKalb County;

DeSoto State Park-Jones Addition, also

Skyline WMA-Pole Branch Addition.

3 | That's in Jackson.

DeKalb.

Tannehill-Ayers Addition, Jefferson
County; Uchee Creek Confluence -- which
I have trouble saying every time -Russell County.

Upper Wolf Bay Savanna and Marsh Tract. That's in Baldwin County.

So if you -- what we try to do at this point in the meeting is kind like you keeping your own checkbook. We like to review what cash you have in the bank but then tell you the payments you have pending, your encumbrances, which for us means any tract that you've instructed us to close that we still believe will close.

So your current balance is just over 28 million. But taking into account, in round numbers, the tracts that I just went through, you have a balance of just over \$9.8 million that we've called your

available unencumbered balance. You have nothing committed to happen with that money.

However, I need to bring to your attention at this meeting an additional factor that will limit the portion of that \$9.8 million that are available to you to actually close acquisitions during this fiscal year which began October 1, 2016, and will close September 30th, 2017.

We have referenced before your capital spending authority. This is an amount that is determined through state budgeting procedures, which is kind of complex. We're running long. I'm not going to go through that all today. And some of it I have to review every time I go through it.

But bottom line, although you've got 9.8 in the bank -- or more than that in the bank -- but 9.8 unencumbered in the bank, you have capital spending

authority only for just over \$1.8 1 million. 3 In the past, the board has not moved to acquire tracts outside of their 4 spending --5 6 MR. DEATON: 8.1 7 MS. POWELL: What did I say? MR. DEATON: 1.8. 8 9 MS. POWELL: I'm sorry. 8.1. I'm dyslexic 10 this morning. A little difference. Not that bad. Not that bad. 11 12 And I thank Doug. My staff does 13 what they should, which is keep me in the rails. Even have my glasses on 14 today, so I don't know what the problem 15 is. 16 But, anyway, so you have 8.1 million 17 in capital spending authority, which 18 means we would be able to close 19 20 8.1 million worth of acquisitions in this fiscal year ending September 30th. 2.1 So basically that's what you've got 2.2 for this meeting, your May meeting, and 23

your August meeting. Although your cash will continue -- your cash balance will continue to grow in that time, your budgetary capital spending authority is going to limit you to 8.1 million for these -- this meeting and the next two meetings.

2.1

2.2

I just wanted to point that out.

It's been a while since we've had

that -- the numbers work that way. But

that's just simply because of the number

of closings that we've had and the

number of additions that we've had that

have been sort of eating at your capital

spending authority under budget. So,

again, 8.1 million.

Any questions on that -- now that

I've confused it -- that you have 8.1?

(No response.)

MS. POWELL: The other fund that we have is our stewardship fund. As we talked about a little bit earlier in the meeting with one of the tracts, we --

2.1

2.2

every time you acquire a property, you can't acquire that property unless you have enough money to also move 15 percent of the appraised value from the land purchase fund into the stewardship fund. That fund is designed for long-term care and maintenance of the tracts that you acquire. So each year we set up a budget for the coming fiscal year that we present to the board of what we will spend of stewardship funds.

So the goal is to only spend the interest income from that stewardship fund. As you know, this year we've actually had to go into corpus a bit, and interest earnings over the many last years have not been what they've been in the past.

So we had set the budget this year for a total of 1.5 million budgeted.

You still have -- we still have an available balance in the stewardship fund of 1,387,000 plus a little bit.

But we are really getting into the springtime now and the months where we really do a lot of work, and you will see that start to decline.

But the balance that we are drawing interest off of right now is right around 31 million. But, again, that is there to try to generate the interest that we try to use to manage the properties long-term.

Any questions on the stewardship fund?

(No response.)

MS. POWELL: All right. Commissioner, I would move now -- kind of change gears a little bit. We always start with the financial status to then discuss the tracts that you have that would be available at this time, tracts that have been appraised, available for motions to acquire to purchase. I will run through these.

The ones that are available that

2.1

2.2

1	have been appraised that we have
2	appraisals in hand: Byrnes Lake,
3	Baldwin County
4	COMMISSIONER GUY: Hey, Patti, that would be
5	Tab 3?
6	MS. POWELL: I'm sorry. Tab 3-A.
7	COMMISSIONER GUY: And we got a new sheet.
8	MS. POWELL: We had a little bit of updated
9	information. January 24th will be in
10	sort of the right-upper toward the
11	upper-right-hand
12	COMMISSIONER GUY: In your green folder.
13	MS. POWELL: In the green folder.
14	COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you. That helps us
15	get
16	MS. POWELL: That's okay. I'm glad you
17	mentioned it.
18	Byrnes Lake, Baldwin County; Coosa
19	WMA-Hancock Phase III Addition, Coosa
20	County; Emauhee Creek Lake, Talladega;
21	Laguna Cove, Baldwin County; Lake
22	Lurleen State Park-Roebuck Addition,
23	Tuscaloosa; Natural Bridge Creek Tract,

Covington; Old Cahawba Prairie-Childers Creek Addition, Dallas County; Pintlala Creek, Lowndes County; Tannehill-Mud Creek Addition, Bibb; Terrapin Hill, Coosa. Weeks Bay Reserve-Bay Road West Addition, Baldwin County, and Weeks Bay Reserve-Sunset Shores Addition, Baldwin County.

White Oak Plantation. This is the just over-a-thousand-acre tract, Macon County.

And then we also -- although -- of those properties, just to give you an example, in round numbers, that's about 31-million-plus worth of appraised property compared to your 8.1 million spending authority. So I'll just point that out. I'm always the bad person with that news.

The additional appraisal that we have that isn't factored into that would be the Grand Bay-Solet Tract. Again,

that was an appraisal acquired and paid for by other funds. And, in addition, because that is donated acreage, we just need the one appraisal to calculate the 15-percent stewardship fund. And so that's also a little different animal.

Any questions on anything I presented in that information?

COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions for

(No response.)

MS. POWELL: Great. And we'll --

COMMISSIONER GUY: If we have them later, we'll ask you about that.

MS. POWELL: Okay.

Ms. Powell?

And so what we would normally move to next is sort of a grants status portion. The board in the past, for the benefit of the new members -- it's always been important to this board to leverage its funds when possible, when those funds help tracts you want to acquire anyway. So we continue various

programs and various efforts to seek out grant funds.

Sometimes during this portion we will tell you about something new that we have. Sometimes it's merely an update as to status of a grant we've mentioned before; we got it, we didn't get it, or we have grant money available on a certain tract, but it's going to expire, just so you will know that, various forms of information.

I'm going to call Doug up to run through that. I will just say up front -- because we've already had some discussion on the Grand Bay Savanna-Solet Tract and the leveraging of the NFWF funds, I'm not going to run back through that. But that would fall in this category of our efforts to identify available funds to leverage tracts that would benefit the program.

So with that, I'll turn it over to Doug to -- whatever his update is. And

1 I'm not sure. Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you. Mr. Deaton.

MR. DEATON: All right. Patti, thank you for that introduction.

At the last meeting I reported that we had a NOAA grant that was secured by our Weeks Bay staff. That could be applied to the Weeks Bay Reserve-Sunset Shores Addition and the Weeks Bay-Bay Road West Addition. The grant -- the NOAA grant itself requires a 50/50 match for the acquisitions.

I would like to remind the board that the owner of the Sunset Shores Addition is offering a 25-percent donation of value for the property. If the board chooses to move forward with the purchase of this tract, you would only pay 25 percent of appraised value to acquire the tract.

DR. WOODS: Which tract is that?

MR. DEATON: That's the Sunset Shores

Addition -- Weeks Bay Reserve-Sunset

1	Shores Addition.
2	The go ahead.
3	COMMISSIONER GUY: Of course, I'm reading
4	here, but I want to make sure.
5	So we had one proposal this morning
6	that was by Yael on the West Addition.
7	That was the one
8	MS. POWELL: Bay Road West was what the
9	speaker referenced today.
10	COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes. But the NOAA applies
11	to both of them.
12	MR. DEATON: That's correct. Yes, sir.
13	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay.
14	MR. DEATON: Yes, sir.
15	COMMISSIONER GUY: Yael.
16	I was just saying Yael. I had to
17	get my name right.
18	Did I get it close, Yael?
19	MS. GIRARD: You're getting closer every time.
20	MR. DEATON: Also included in this grant is,
21	as I mentioned, the Weeks Bay
22	Reserve-Bay Road West Addition. It
23	would strictly be a 50/50 match, as Yael

1 mentioned earlier.

So these tracts are both available under this grant. And both of those have first appraisals which appear on Tab 3-A and are available for the board's consideration today.

Since my last report, we've had two nominations come in that have short-listed, and they are included in the NOAA grant as well. Those tracts are the Weeks Bay Reserve-Meadows Phase III Addition and the Weeks Bay Reserve-Harrod's Farm. You'll see those on your short list.

The Meadows Phase III Addition would require just a simple 50/50 match opportunity, and the Harrod's Farm Tract is a little different.

It's a tract that consists of 235 acres total of which only a hundred acres of the wetlands is included in the NOAA grant. This means that of the 235 acres, only 100 acres would be

1	available for the 50/50 match grant.
2	The only action that could be taken on
3	these tracts would be a first appraisal
4	at today's meeting.
5	Any questions about that?
6	(No response.)
7	COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions?
8	So we always try to make sure the
9	short list is 4-A; right?
10	MR. DEATON: That's correct, 4-A.
11	COMMISSIONER GUY: Just for the new folks and
12	myself.
13	MR. DEATON: Yes, sir.
14	If there are no
15	COMMISSIONER GUY: Tell me those two again,
16	those that were added. I'm sorry.
17	MR. DEATON: The two new ones are the Harrod's
18	Farm
19	MS. POWELL: WBR.
20	MR. DEATON: WBR-Harrod's Farm and WBR
21	Weeks Bay-Meadows Phase III.
22	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Got it.
23	So those would be a 50 yeah.

MR. DEATON: That's all the updates I have for 1 now. 3 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Ms. Powell, you look like you want to say something. 4 MS. POWELL: No. Jo is next, but I thought 5 6 while Jo was setting up, I'd take a 7 minute --COMMISSIONER GUY: Jo, is this -- are you 8 9 going to do just a run-through on the --10 MS. LEWIS: Yes, sir. MS. POWELL: And it'll be Tab 4-A. 11 The maps 12 behind 4-A represent the information 13 that Jo will run through. So after Jo concludes and before we 14 go into general discussion, just let 15 16 me -- I want to get everybody oriented with their paper before we move into 17 general discussion. That's the only 18 reason I stood up now. Thanks. 19 20 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Well, that's what I was going to say. Rather than everybody 2.1 move, can we just -- so she's going to 2.2 have a presentation, but unless 23

everybody wants to, I thought we'd 1 just -- you can look through --3 MS. POWELL: Yeah. It's exactly what you have. 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: So we try to set it up in 5 6 other places where you can see it, but 7 in this particular venue it's kind of tough, so ... 8 9 MS. LEWIS: All the maps that are going to be 10 shown behind you are available to you in 11 hard copy in 4-B. They're arranged in 12 the same sequence. COMMISSIONER GUY: 13 The same sequence. Okay. That's what I wanted to know. 14 MS. LEWIS: In 4-B you have a narrative, the 15 16 textual page, and then the map. We skip the text on the slide show and just show 17 the maps and some of the information. 18 There's some information on the slide. 19 20 That information is on the narrative 2.1 page that you have. I'm Jo Lewis with the State Lands 2.2 23 Division. As I always say, I am going

to run through the short list, which is a compilation of the highest-scoring tracts in each category of use in each geographic district of the state.

Hypothetically, there could be 36 nominations on the short list. As is often the case, nominations often will short-list in more than one category of use, and in some cases there are no nominations in a district that short-list for one of the categories of use.

Ultimately, at this time we have 23 nominations. They occur in 14 different counties, and they range in size from 24 acres to 4,300 acres. I wanted to pause at this moment to very briefly explain some of the strange naming results.

When a nomination comes in and it is in the general vicinity or is adjacent to public lands and can be managed in a complementary or synergistic sort of way, we consider it a potential

2.1

2.2

2.2

addition. So we initiate the name with that land -- that public land entity and then give it a unique descriptor. We select the descriptor by looking at the USGS topical quad sheets that have named features on them, and I believe that these named features come from the national database of named places.

So hence the difference between what the colloquial local name is and what the nomination name ends up being. There is logic. It doesn't always work out that way, but there is logic in it.

So running through the sheet, we start with Autauga Wildlife Management Area-Swift Creek Addition; Barbour Wildlife Management Area-Leak Creek Addition; Coldwater Mountain-Henson Addition.

Coldwater Mountain Phase II Amended.

This is a nomination that came in

with -- much larger. After assessment

practicalities, the nominator asked us

to reduce it down to this current confirmation.

Coldwater Mountain-Sarrell Addition; Coosa River Tract; Cooters Pond; Deer Head Cove-Hartline; Deer Head Cove-Low Gap; D'Olive Bay in Baldwin County; Freedom Hills Wildlife Management Area-Randolph Hollow Addition; GBS or Grand Bay Savanna-Solet Addition; Horse Creek National Forest Retreat; Lauderdale WMA-Newman Addition; MTD or Mobile Tensaw Delta-Pine Log Creek Addition; MTD-Simmons Addition; Muleshoe Bend; Shell Banks Bayou; Sipsey River Swamp-Lewbrig Addition; Sipsey River Swamp-Taylor Creek Addition; Skyline WMA-Bean Addition; Weeks Bay Reserve or WBR -- Weeks Bay Reserve-Harrod's Farm; and Weeks Bay Reserve-Meadows Phase III Addition.

I would be happy to address any questions you have about the nominations.

3

MR. WRIGHT: Could I ask a question? 1 COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes. Yes, sir, please. 3 MR. WRIGHT: Since I'm new here, is one the better score or three the better score? 4 MS. LEWIS: One is the stronger score. 5 6 means it was the highest-scoring tract 7 in that category of use in that geographic region. 8 9 COMMISSIONER GUY: And, Mr. Wright, I had to 10 figure this out. The second page breaks 11 it down by category. So the first page just shows all the names she went 12 13 through. The second page breaks it down 14 by category, nature preserve, recreation, state park, or WMAs, and 15 16 then out there you can see the -- that's a cumulative score right there, isn't 17 it? 18 MS. LEWIS: No. That's the score for the 19 20 category of use. COMMISSIONER GUY: Oh, for the category. 2.1 2.2 Okay. MS. LEWIS: So if it's in the wildlife 23

management area, that's the score as a 1 wildlife management area. COMMISSIONER GUY: 3 I got you. At the top you just have NP score. That's right. 4 MS. LEWIS: And so if you look at southern 5 district, we have GBS-Solet Addition 6 7 listed in the nature preserve category, the recreation category, and the 8 9 wildlife management category because it 10 scored well in all three of those 11 categories of use. So the second page 12 has redundancies. The first page is 13 alphabetical and condensed. 14 COMMISSIONER GUY: Good question. MS. LEWIS: Thank you. 15 16 COMMISSIONER GUY: Anything else? (No response.) 17 18 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you. All right. So that ends our -- or 19 20 is the end of our program status report. It's at this point on the agenda that we 2.1 have general discussion by the board 2.2 members. And I'll just remind everybody 23

that's -- it says what it means -- oh, 1 I'm sorry, Patti. 3 MS. POWELL: Oh, no. COMMISSIONER GUY: No. You were going to say 4 something to us. 5 6 MS. POWELL: Oh, I could do it after you were 7 I just -- finish. I just want done. everybody oriented in what I think the 8 9 papers will help them. But you finish 10 what you were saying. COMMISSIONER GUY: I was just going to say, 11 this is discussion in any -- what 12 1.3 I call -- about anything we have before It can be nominations. It can be 14 us. just discussions about issues, questions 15 of our staff, about properties. Things 16 that we could not discuss when we were 17 in our executive session, this is the 18 time to ask. 19 20 So, again, I'll recognize anybody if you either want to talk about an issue 2.1 or nominate something. 2.2

Mr. Horn.

- MR. HORN: Chuck, could you elaborate or tell
 us what you know about the Barbour
 WMA-Leak Creek Addition?
 - MS. POWELL: And for the board, your short list is, again, 4-A with maps and descriptions in 4-B.
 - MR. SYKES: My answer is going to be short.

 I've never seen it.
 - MR. HORN: Okay.
 - MR. SYKES: Drew, have you -- can you speak to it?
 - MR. NIX: Like Mr. Bach said when he was making his presentation, it's been under lease with MeadWestvaco, and everything except for the hardwood drains will be clearcut.

My understanding is there is a reforestation agreement in that lease that Mead has to plant that back with loblolly pine after doing chemical site prep. They are willing to do longleaf for a lesser amount of acres or they'll do the whole spot with longleaf if the

new owner was willing to pay the 1 difference in cost from longleaf to 3 loblolly. Do you know if the soil type is MR. HORN: 4 conducive to longleaf? 5 6 MR. NIX: Yes, sir, they are. They're mostly 7 Luverne-Springhill complex and Conecuh fine sandy loam in that area of the 8 9 management area. 10 MR. HORN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir, Dr. Strickland. 11 DR. STRICKLAND: Commissioner. 12 13 There are two properties that I 14 would like to see if you could give me a 15 little information on. You may not. Lake Lurleen State Park-Roebuck 16 Addition. Do you know --17 18 MR. SYKES: No, sir. 19 DR. STRICKLAND: Okay. 20 MR. SYKES: No, sir. DR. STRICKLAND: And White Oak Plantation. 2.1 MR. SYKES: The White Oak property, I think, 2.2 has been scored. It scored well in a 23

state park. It did not score well WMA.

And I think when it was scored, the reason it did not score well is because of limited acreage. Also, I think it's got some structures on it that I would like to find out a little bit more about what the maintenance and upkeep is going to be on that.

2.1

2.2

I think it does make it a lot more appealing if that 700 acres that was spoken about today short-lists, and then that gives about 2,000 acres that you could do some limited-opportunity hunts on and not have it turned in to just a typical WMA.

DR. STRICKLAND: I see. Okay.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir, Dr. Woods.

DR. WOODS: I have two motions I would like to make.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay.

DR. WOODS: The first for WBR-Bay Road West Addition, second appraisal and move to purchase.

1	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. We have a
2	motion for second appraisal and
3	purchase.
4	MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, we can't hear
5	down here on the end.
6	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. So will you speak in
7	the microphone, Dr. Woods.
8	DR. WOODS: The WBR-Bay Road West Addition. I
9	move for second appraisal and move to
10	purchase.
11	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. We have a motion for
12	second appraisal and purchase on WBR-Bay
13	Road West Addition.
14	You'll find that on this sheet,
15	Bill.
16	DR. HEPP: Second.
17	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. We have a second,
18	Dr. Hepp.
19	Any discussion on the motion?
20	(No response.)
21	COMMISSIONER GUY: Again, that's the one
22	just to remind everybody, that's the one
23	that's got the match by NOAA.

1	All right. All in favor of the
2	motion say "aye."
3	(All board members present respond
4	"aye.")
5	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
6	(No response.)
7	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
8	Back to you, Dr. Woods.
9	DR. WOODS: Make a motion for a second
10	appraisal and move to purchase
11	WBR-Sunset Shores Addition.
12	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. We have a motion.
13	Do we have a second?
14	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Second.
15	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. We have a
16	second, Dr. Tolley-Jordan.
17	All right. Any discussion on the
18	motion?
19	(No response.)
20	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. All in favor of
21	the motion say "aye."
22	(All board members present respond
23	"aye.")

1	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
2	(No response.)
3	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
4	One thing before I know Dr. Sims
5	said she had to leave, and I wanted
6	to she left already. Okay.
7	All right. Well, we yeah. We
8	still have a so let me just say on
9	the record I think that's why Patti
10	was making sure.
11	Dr. Sims had to leave. If you will
12	note that on the record, please. But I
13	need to state for the record that we
14	still have a quorum. So we can continue
15	to conduct business.
16	Thank you, Ms. Powell, for noting
17	that.
18	All right. I'm sorry. Who was
19	next?
20	Yes, sir, Mr. Wright.
21	MR. WRIGHT: I would like to ask for a second
22	appraisal and move to close on the Old
23	Cahawba Prairie-Childers Creek Addition.

1	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay.
2	MR. HORN: Second.
3	COMMISSIONER GUY: Have a motion and a second.
4	Any discussion on the motion?
5	(No response.)
6	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
7	say "aye."
8	(All board members present respond
9	"aye.")
10	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
11	(No response.)
12	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
13	Dr. Strickland.
14	DR. STRICKLAND: I would like to make a motion
15	for purchase and I think that we already
16	have a second appraisal of Lake Lurleen
17	State Park-Roebuck Addition.
18	MR. BALL: Second.
19	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. We have a
20	motion and a second, Lake Lurleen State
21	Park-Roebuck Addition.
22	Any discussion on the motion?
23	MS. POWELL: Commissioner, I may have heard it

wrong, but we only have the first 1 It would be a motion to -appraisal. 3 for a second appraisal --DR. STRICKLAND: For a second appraisal. 4 5 Okay. 6 MS. POWELL: -- and to proceed with purchase. 7 DR. STRICKLAND: Okay. But it's on the short list; correct? 8 9 MS. POWELL: It's available for purchase. Ι 10 just -- Dr. Strickland, I think, accidentally referenced we already have 11 a second and we don't, so ... 12 DR. STRICKLAND: We don't. But it is 13 14 available for purchase? MS. POWELL: It is available. So it would 15 16 just be our usual motion to proceed with second appraisal and --17 18 DR. STRICKLAND: So can I restate the motion, then? 19 20 MS. POWELL: Right. COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes. Just withdraw that 2.1 first motion and then state the motion. 2.2 DR. STRICKLAND: So, for the record, I will 23

1	withdraw the first motion and restate
2	the motion as following: A motion for
3	purchase of the Lake Lurleen State
4	Park-Roebuck Addition.
5	COMMISSIONER GUY: Actually, I think they want
6	it to say, according to Legal, a motion
7	for second appraisal and purchase,
8	Dr. Strickland.
9	That's all right. I get it wrong
10	every time too.
11	DR. STRICKLAND: All right. A motion for
12	second appraisal and purchase of Lake
13	Lurleen State Park-Roebuck Addition.
14	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. So we have a
15	motion. We had a second by someone.
16	Who was it?
17	Mr. Ball, I believe.
18	All right. Any discussion on the
19	motion?
20	(No response.)
21	COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, Dr. Strickland,
22	for being patient on that.
23	All right. All in favor of the

1	motion say "aye."
2	(All board members present respond
3	"aye.")
4	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
5	(No response.)
6	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
7	Mr. Satterfield.
8	MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, is it
9	appropriate to make a motion to proceed
10	with the purchase of the Grand Bay
11	Savanna-Solet Tract?
12	COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir. And I believe,
13	as you remember, the staff has actually
14	got a motion in there if you're ready.
15	MR. SATTERFIELD: Right.
16	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. So I need a
17	second on that motion.
18	MR. SATTERFIELD: So move.
19	MR. ELLIS: Second.
20	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Second.
21	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. We have a
22	second.
23	And, if you would, Bill, would you

read that motion from the text, and I 1 think that will help our court reporter. MR. SATTERFIELD: The motion is the board 3 approves acceptance of the Grand Bay 4 Savanna-Solet Addition -- that's 5 6 S-O-L-E-T -- Tract Donation and approves 7 staff proceeding with closing the acquisition with the understanding that, 8 9 as required, 15 percent of the appraised 10 value will be transferred to the stewardship fund. In addition, this 11 motion is conditioned on payment through 12 13 third-party funds of all costs and/or fees associated with appraisals, 14 environmental reports, title insurance, 15 16 closing, and recording. COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. We have a 17 motion and a second by Mr. Ellis and 18 others. 19 20 Any discussion on the motion? (No response.) 2.1 COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor say "aye." 2.2 (All board members present respond 23

1	"aye.")
2	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
3	(No response.)
4	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
5	Thank you, Mr. Satterfield.
6	Mr. Horn.
7	MR. HORN: Mr. Chairman, I would like to move
8	for a first appraisal on the Barbour
9	WMA-Leak Creek Addition.
10	COMMISSIONER GUY: We have a motion. Do we
11	have a second?
12	DR. WOODS: Second.
13	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Any discussion
14	on the motion?
15	(No response.)
16	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
17	say "aye."
18	(All board members present respond
19	"aye.")
20	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
21	(No response.)
22	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
23	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Mr. Chairman?

1	COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Tolley-Jordan.
2	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I would like to make a
3	nomination for a first appraisal of the
4	Coldwater Mountain-Henson Addition in
5	Calhoun County.
6	COMMISSIONER GUY: First appraisal. Which
7	one?
8	Henson. Oh, I'm sorry. Yes.
9	Coldwater Mountain-Henson Addition.
10	We have a motion. Do I have a
11	second?
12	DR. STRICKLAND: Second.
13	COMMISSIONER GUY: Second, Dr. Strickland.
14	Any discussion on the motion?
15	(No response.)
16	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
17	say "aye."
18	(All board members present respond
19	"aye.")
20	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
21	(No response.)
22	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
23	All right. Dr. Strickland.

1	DR. STRICKLAND: Mr. Chairman, I would like to
2	make a motion for first appraisal of
3	Skyline WMA-Bean Addition, 120 acres.
4	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Did you say
5	Bean Addition?
6	DR. STRICKLAND: Bean Addition.
7	COMMISSIONER GUY: Bean Addition. All right.
8	All right. We have a motion. Do I
9	have a second?
10	DR. WOODS: Second.
11	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. We have a
12	second by Dr. Woods, I believe.
13	All right. Any discussion on the
14	motion?
15	Just so I'm clear, that's the one
16	is that the
17	DR. STRICKLAND: In Jackson County.
18	COMMISSIONER GUY: Jackson County. All right.
19	Is it 120 acres? Isn't that what I
20	see there?
21	DR. STRICKLAND: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Thank you. I'm just
23	trying to get clarification.

1	All right. We have a motion and a
2	second.
3	Any discussion?
4	(No response.)
5	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
6	say "aye."
7	(All board members present respond
8	"aye.")
9	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
10	(No response.)
11	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
12	MR. BALL: Mr. Chairman?
13	COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir, Mr. Ball.
14	MR. BALL: I would like to ask for a first
15	appraisal on Sipsey River Swamp-Taylor
16	Creek Addition, Greene County.
17	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. We have a
18	motion on the Sipsey River Swamp-Taylor
19	Creek Addition.
20	MR. RUNYAN: Is that the one that we had the
21	discrepancy on the acreage?
22	COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir.
23	Mr. Ball, you might remember that's

1	the one that
2	MR. BALL: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I already
3	forgot.
4	COMMISSIONER GUY: That's okay.
5	MR. BALL: I withdraw the motion.
6	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay.
7	MR. BALL: But I have a substitute motion.
8	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. All right.
9	MR. BALL: And I just hope that someone else
10	hasn't already nominated these.
11	I would like to ask for first
12	appraisal on Weeks Bay Reserve-Harrod's
13	Farm, 235 acres.
14	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. So it's a motion for
15	a first appraisal?
16	MR. BALL: Yes, sir.
17	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. So we have a motion
18	for first appraisal on WBR-Harrod's Farm
19	in Baldwin County. Do we have a second?
20	DR. STRICKLAND: Second.
21	COMMISSIONER GUY: Second by Dr. Strickland.
22	All in favor any discussion on
23	the motion?

1	(No response.)
2	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
3	say "aye."
4	(All board members present respond
5	"aye.")
6	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
7	(No response.)
8	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
9	MR. BALL: Okay. Next I would like to ask for
10	a first appraisal on Weeks Bay
11	Reserve-Meadows Phase III Addition.
12	COMMISSIONER GUY: 124 acres in Baldwin
13	County.
14	MR. BALL: Yes, sir.
15	COMMISSIONER GUY: We've got a motion. Do we
16	have a second?
17	DR. WOODS: Second.
18	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. We have a second. I
19	think it was Dr. Woods, it sounded like.
20	All right. Any discussion on that
21	motion?
22	(No response.)
23	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor say "aye."

1	(All board members present respond
2	"aye.")
3	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
4	(No response.)
5	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
6	Any other discussions, questions?
7	(No response.)
8	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. If none, we
9	will move on in the agenda.
LO	Our next report will be I want to
L1	make sure everybody we're moving on.
L2	So if anybody has I don't see any
L3	other hands.
L 4	All right. Go to Tab 5. And
L5	Ms. Powell will be giving us an annual
L6	report.
L7	MS. POWELL: Okay. For the board members,
L8	what you will see in Tab 5-B is an
L 9	annual report that, by law, the program
20	submits to the legislature and the
21	governor and lieutenant governor and
22	speaker of the house.
23	What this report does is gives a

summary of activities, primarily things such as providing detail on who the board members were during the past year. Sometimes that is more than 15 because of when members roll on or roll off in a calendar year versus a fiscal year. So the report details the membership of the board.

The report also details the tracts that were acquired -- acreage that was acquired by the program during -- again, our state's fiscal year is October 1 to September 30. It runs through the dates of the board meetings. The board is required to have at least four meetings a year. We do our best to move those around the state. This report documents where those meetings are.

The only meeting that has any requirements is the meeting we're at today which must occur between February 1st and February 10th. But we do -- we have tried to go on a quarter basis

working off of that date every year.

_

We do note in the report that public comment is accepted at every meeting.

3

We run through various budget and

5

financial information. There are some

6

exhibits to the report.

7

I'm not going to -- you have a copy.

8

I'm not going to run through the entire

9

report but just to highlight some

10

things.

11

12

acquisitions for that fiscal year --

1.3

this would be the 2015-16 fiscal year --

In addition to running through the

14

we talk about various activities that

15

have occurred on these tracts. And we

16

talk about not only the recreational

17

uses of the tracts but the various land

18

management actions that our personnel

19

undertook.

2021

administrative duties that we do, the

And it also runs through some

22

number of nominations we processed, as $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{$

23

an example.

It talks about the maintenance of the online map where any member of the public can go in and search for tracts in their area or search by certain activities that will take them to publicly owned land, including Forever Wild, that they can utilize.

In the 2015 fiscal year, the board acquired 11 tracts comprising just over 2200 acres and also had a land swap of 425 acres. We sometimes do land swaps. In that case, that was to consolidate acreage and provide better public access and better usage by the public of the acreage. Sometimes there will be inholdings that we might correct through a swap.

So I would encourage the board to go through the list of activities. Again, I'm not going to go through them all, but just noting over just the first one, it gives an update on the activities that occurred on the M. Barnett Lawley

Field Trial Area in the Greensboro Area.

2.2

23

Just on that tract alone, we managed public physically disabled and youth hunting programs, 17 days of hosting -of those programs, hosted the fifth annual "Becoming an Outdoor Woman" deer hunt. This is an annual event where staff of State Lands Division and, also, Chuck's Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division actually mentor women on the hunt and try to help them get a deer. Had 18 sporting dog field trials or hunt tests, including the Hunter --Hunting Retriever Club Grande Event and the U.S. Open Championship for pointing dogs.

These events brought over 3,000 visitors to the field trial area tract and surrounding area there, Hale County and it also benefits the Demopolis area. And that's just one example in the report of the types of recreational usages and the type of tourism in

3

dollars that result. So, again, I'm not going to run through all those.

The report also notes the tract

4 5

required within a year after closing on a tract to prepare a tract management plan. That's why we come to you at

management plans. We are, by law,

8

6

7

various intervals -- we didn't have any

9

approval of a management plan for a

today -- where we have to ask for

11

10

tract. If a tract is an addition or

12

adjacent acreage, as Jo discussed, a lot

13

of times we might incorporate that acreage into an existing plan. So

1415

sometimes it's amending an existing

16

plan.

17

the board to read through the report,

But, again, I would just encourage

1819

and the public will have it posted on

20

our website. And if there are any

21

questions, I will answer them, but

22

because we've run long today, I wasn't

23

going to run through -- but I do want to

take the opportunity to thank my staff.

The reason you see all of the bullets of activities that have occurred -- where you see attendance numbers, as you do in this report, that's because of my staff, also the staffs of the other divisions. Chuck's Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries
Division and State Parks helps out with that. But it only happens because of them. So I want to take this opportunity to thank them.

But I will take any questions that anyone has regarding the report or anything additional, Commissioner, you want to add. I was just hitting the highlights. If there's anything -
COMMISSIONER GUY: No. I appreciate it. I mean, you know, I say it every time.

Our staff is -- you can see there's a lot going on. So I just always -- I know the board members appreciate what y'all do, Patti, and everybody trying to

pull all this together. It's a lot of work, and we appreciate it very much.

And, Mr. Ellis, I see you had your hand up.

MR. ELLIS: Yes. Mr. Chairman and members of the board, if I may -- and maybe this is under a point of personal privilege, but I think it's relevant to what Patti's talking about about the functions.

The Shelby County Commission has specifically asked me to thank this board and thank this staff for those purchases on the Cahaba River in Shelby County and wanted me to report to you -- and I think Doug can confirm this -- that Shelby County is going full bore developing that park system.

Shelby County also owns a tract there. We -- Shelby County has acquired two-and-a-half miles of right of way in off the paved road and into the Forever Wild tract, and they have constructed a paved road on that in the last few

2.2

months and has now acquired a three-acre tract joining the Forever Wild property and is designing a residence for a caretaker that will serve both the county property and the Forever Wild property and are in the process of developing plans to build parks — to build hiking trails and biking trails and that sort of thing, with Forever Wild's approval, on both the county property and the Forever Wild property.

It's going to be a wonderful park with six miles of canoeable river on the Cahaba River. And Shelby County is committed to building that and is going full bore in the process. And we thank you and thank you for what this board has done for the county and for the people of the whole state, really, that use that park. Thank you.

MS. POWELL: And, Mr. Ellis, I'd like to thank

you -- if I could take a moment and

really -- because it is such an

2.1

2.2

23

example -- and we've seen it in other areas, and we have such a great relationship with our -- in Dothan with that local government. But the importance of local government support for things like -- some things -- we just don't have the money to do everything. And so whether it runs from security to helping with access, improving the roads, just doing those types of things, that's critical for the ultimate maximum use and success of our acreage. And so we very much appreciate them working so well with us. Thank you.

And, in general, I would say to the board, especially the new members, don't get spoiled. A lot of times in this section the miscellaneous reports means some additional work. I'm letting y'all -- you're getting off light today. But just don't -- new members, a lot of times I'm asking -- we come to you at

this point to ask for things and actions that we need that don't fit neatly anywhere else. So, anyway, I'm letting you off easy.

That's all I've got, Commissioner, unless there are any questions or you have something else.

MR. BALL: Mr. Chairman?

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir, Mr. Ball.

MR. BALL: I just had one supplement just to think about for maybe next year for the annual report.

It would be nice to know, I guess, where we stand compared to some of our southeastern neighbors as far as, you know, percentage of overall land that's being protected, sensitive lands. I know it's not a competition, but we need to have some idea if we're gaining momentum or not.

MS. POWELL: Sure. We can gather the information. You know -- yeah.

Frankly, the percentage hasn't swung,

you know, that much. Although 15
million a year sounds like a lot of
money, as you see, a lot of work goes
into it, and it's not always willing
sellers and -- but we can certainly do
that. We can provide that information.
And we can do that before the next
annual report.

add to that is, again, unique
opportunity possibly -- we saw one
today -- with some of our BP money. It
doesn't fit into every category, but
we're able to leverage some of that
money for something that adds to the
program. And so I know Patti and her
staff and, you know, all of us look -at the department look at those issues
and try to help out the program where we
can in that regard, so ...

All right. The next -- so if you are done, Ms. Powell --

MS. POWELL: I am.

2.1

2.2

1	COMMISSIONER GUY: then the next matter is
2	to approve the minutes of November 10,
3	2016. Are there any additions,
4	corrections to the minutes before we
5	move to vote on them? Any changes?
6	(No response.)
7	COMMISSIONER GUY: If not, do I have a motion
8	to approve the minutes of November 10,
9	2016?
10	DR. STRICKLAND: So move.
11	MR. HORN: Second.
12	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
13	say "aye."
14	(All board members present respond
15	"aye.")
16	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
17	(No response.)
18	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
19	The next item on the agenda you'll
20	see, the next meeting date if y'all
21	will put that on your calendar is
22	May 11, 2017.
23	As you will note there, in this

2.1

2.2

23

particular case it says suggestion, Mobile/Spanish Fort. Usually we ask the board members. And we still are open for nominations on that. But I will say that I have actually suggested myself and called on Dr. Valentine, along with Patti's staff -- which I think would be a great opportunity for us -- to use that date and -- for our meeting down there. And we're trying to arrange a trip up in the Delta, which I think would be a really good trip for all of us considering the unique aspects of that particular area. And I, myself, even have not had a chance.

So I'm hoping we can pull that together and thought that the board members might like that. And we will give you more details. If that turns out to be a bust or some problem, we will let you know. But we thought May, too, might be cool enough that we could make that a really good trip.

So I hope y'all put that on your 1 calendars. But if anybody else has 3 suggestions going forward for the rest of the year, please let Patti or any one 4 of her staff know. 5 And before we adjourn, I just want 6 7 to say thanks again to -- well, all the 8 board members but particularly to the new board members. Welcome aboard and 9 10 hope we didn't scare you off today. With that, meeting adjourned. Thank 11 12 you. 13 14 15 (Meeting adjourned at 16 approximately 1:13 p.m.) 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23

1	* * * * * * * *
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
3	* * * * * * * *
4	STATE OF ALABAMA:
5	MONTGOMERY COUNTY:
6	I, Tracye Sadler Blackwell, Certified
7	Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State of
8	Alabama at Large, do hereby certify that I reported
9	the foregoing proceedings of the Forever Wild Board
10	Meeting on February 9, 2017.
11	The foregoing 178 computer-printed pages
12	contain a true and correct transcript of the
13	proceedings held.
14	I further certify that I am neither of
15	kin nor of counsel to the parties to said cause nor
16	in any manner interested in the results thereof.
17	This 31st day of March 2017.
18	
19	
20	Tracye Sadler Blackwell
21	ACCR No. 294 Expiration date: 9-30-2017
22	Certified Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State
23	of Alabama at Large