MINUTES OF THE
FOREVER WILD BOARD MEETING
ANDALUSIA CITY HALL
Andalusia, Alabama
November 8, 2018
* * * * * * * * * *
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
* * * * * * * * * *
Proceedings taken before Tracye
Sadler Blackwell, Certified Court Reporter, ACCR
No. 294, and Commissioner for the State of Alabama
at Large, at Andalusia City Hall, 505 East Three
Notch Street, Andalusia, Alabama, on Thursday,
November 8, 2018, commencing at approximately
10:04 a.m.
* * * * * * * * * *

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: 1 Commissioner Christopher M. Blankenship, Chairman Mr. Rick Oates 3 Mr. Horace H. Horn, Jr. Dr. Michael Woods Mr. David Wright Mr. Raymond B. Jones, Jr. 5 Mr. William "Bill" Satterfield Mr. Frank "Butch" Ellis, Jr. 6 Dr. John Valentine 7 8 9 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Good morning. Welcome 10 11 to the Forever Wild Board Meeting. I'm 12 sorry. We're a couple of minutes after 13 ten getting started. We were -everyone was rounding up from the coffee 14 pot to get them in here on such a rainy 1.5 16 morning. Let me call the role, and please 17 indicate your attendance when I call 18 19 your name. 20 Chris Blankenship, here. Mr. Butch Ellis? 21 MR. ELLIS: Here. 2.2 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Sonny Cauthen? 23

1	(No response.)
2	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Horace Horn?
3	MR. HORN: Here.
4	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Raymond Jones?
5	MR. JONES: Here.
6	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Rick Oates?
7	MR. OATES: Here.
8	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Satterfield?
9	MR. SATTERFIELD: Here.
10	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Valentine?
11	DR. VALENTINE: Here.
12	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Woods?
13	DR. WOODS: Here.
14	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: David Wright?
15	MR. WRIGHT: Here.
16	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Charles Ball?
17	(No response.)
18	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Russ Runyan?
19	(No response.)
20	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Sims?
21	(No response.)
22	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Tolley-Jordan?
23	(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Watson? (No response.)

2.2

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I have nine members present. We have a quorum, barely.

I do appreciate the City of

Andalusia for hosting us this morning.

And at this time I'd like to ask the

city clerk and treasurer, Mr. John

Thompson, if you wanted to say a word or

two and welcome us to your town.

MR. THOMPSON: Good morning, Mr. Blankenship and members of the Forever Wild Board.

My name is John Thompson. And on behalf of Mayor Earl Johnson and all of the members of the Andalusia City Council, it is our pleasure to have you with us this morning and all the guests that are attending this meeting. It's not every day that we get a group as distinguished and esteemed as Forever Wild to come to town. Some of you spent the night last night. And we're certainly honored to have you with us and hope you have a

great meeting today. If I or any member of our team can be of assistance to you while you're here, please don't hesitate to let us know.

Again, welcome to town and we hope you enjoy your stay.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir.

And thank you, Mr. Horace Horn, for suggesting that we meet in Andalusia.

Appreciate the use of the facility here.

I know this used to be an old elementary school, and to see what y'all have done with it to make it into the City Complex -- it's a very beautiful facility. Thank you so much for letting us meet here.

I also would like to recognize our state treasurer. Mr. Young Boozer is here today. And I think this will be Mr. Boozer's last meeting as state treasurer. And so would you like to say a word?

MR. BOOZER: I'd love to.

I appreciate being here and thanks for the invitation.

As everybody knows — at least I hope you do — is that I'm a huge fan of Forever Wild. And as the treasurer of the State of Alabama, I am on the Alabama Trust Fund board and also responsible for the operations of the Alabama Trust Fund. And I am happy to say over the last eight years we have improved and restructured the Alabama Trust Fund. It has been to the benefit — I don't think there's any question — of the Forever Wild organization.

In 2012 we passed an amendment to the constitution that restructured it and basically locked down how it works. And over these last eight years the corpus has grown from \$2.4 billion -- I just looked at preliminary numbers for the end of this fiscal year. It's a little over 3.2 billion. So it's grown

from 2.4 to 3.2.

During that time period we've paid out about a billion dollars collectively to Forever Wild, the cities and counties, and the general fund. And the key number for you folks is 3 billion.

Because of the way that we restructured it, it is 5 percent of the rolling three-year average of the total assets under management. And as long as that 3 billion is there or bigger, your 10 percent, maximum \$15 million on an annual basis, you'll be able to count on it.

And so this year we paid out -- we paid out \$150 million. The calculation for next year is \$160 million. And with the increase in the corpus, it will increase and you will have some protection.

It has been fun to be associated with you. And let me say this. There's an old saying in college football that

defense wins championships. Ladies and gentlemen, if you are going to be a champion of the Forever Wild organization, you need to play defense and make sure that people don't use this corpus, this balance, as a piggy bank for other issues.

So with that, let me say I have enjoyed being treasurer. I've enjoyed working with you guys. Chris has done a great job. And thanks for letting me speak. I appreciate it.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir. Thank
you for joining us. And thank you for
your service the last eight years as
treasurer for our state. It's been
great having you there.

MR. BOOZER: Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: At this time we'll open up the floor for our public comments. I have comment cards here -- or requests.

If you have not filled out one of these and you would like to, please see our

staff at the back, and they'll bring that down.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, a point of personal privilege before you do that.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir.

MR. SATTERFIELD: I'd like to call attention not only to the board but to the audience that you as chairman of our Forever Wild Commission received a significant honor recently. Back on October the 17th, the Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission awarded you the 2018 Lyles-Simpson award for your work to improve fisheries in the Gulf of Mexico.

Now, the GSMFC, as you know, is an interstate compact among the five

U.S. states in the Gulf of Mexico:

Alabama, Florida, Louisiana,

Mississippi, and Texas. And its purpose is to promote the conservation,

development, and utilization of the

Gulf's fishery resources.

/

Well, you have -- I did not know, as probably most of us don't know, that you have served as a commissioner on that for many years and you actually served two terms as the chairman of that organization.

And this Lyles-Simpson award is presented annually to an individual, agency, or organization that has contributed to the betterment of fisheries in the Gulf of Mexico through significant biological, industrial, legislative, enforcement, or administrative activities.

And the executive director, Dave

Donaldson, had this to say about our

chairman, quote: In addition to his

honesty and integrity, Chris' innate

ability to bring people together and

guide them to reasonable and effective

solutions made him an ideal recipient

for this year's Lyles-Simpson award, end

quote.

So, Mr. Chairman, congratulations on 1 this significant award for your stewardship. 3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, 4 Mr. Satterfield. I appreciate you 5 6 mentioning that. When I found out I was going to receive the award, I was talking to my 8 9 wife and said everybody that's gotten that award in the past has been doing 10 11 this for decades, and I was just very surprised and honored to be awarded the 12 13 award. And she looked at me and she said, I don't know how old you think you 14 are, but you've been doing this for 15 16 decades. Made me feel really good about 17 myself. Our first speaker is Mr. Doug 18 Morrison on the Big Canoe Creek-Epps 19 property. 2.0 And just a reminder that we're 21 trying to keep the comments to three 2.2

minutes so that everybody has an

opportunity to speak.

And that is Tab 3, page 4. Tab 3, page 4.

Yes, sir.

MR. MORRISON: Yes. I'm Doug Morrison, and

I'm the current president of The Friends

of Big Canoe Creek. I also serve on the

Parks & Rec Board of the City of

Springville. I'm here on behalf of the

Big Canoe Creek Nature Preserve.

And thank you, Forever Wild Board, for making that recent addition and acquisition in St. Clair County. We have 382 acres that is the Big Canoe Creek Nature Preserve now, and we have for consideration an additional 40-acre tract that will give us about 800 additional feet of creek frontage on Big Canoe Creek.

So I know the first appraisal has been -- is going to be before you, and I'm just here to talk on behalf of that.

And hopefully that will be considered

and we can add that to the Big Canoe 1 Creek Nature Preserve bringing it up to 422 acres. 3 Any questions? 5 MR. WRIGHT: Yes, please. 6 MR. MORRISON: Yes, sir. MR. WRIGHT: Is there existing access to this 40 acres from the existing Forever Wild 8 9 property? MR. MORRISON: No, sir. 10 11 MR. WRIGHT: Can there be access put there? 12 MR. MORRISON: I'm sorry? 13 MR. WRIGHT: Will there be access put there? 14 MR. MORRISON: Yes. Through the entrance of 15 the nature preserve. It joins the 16 nature preserve. It already is 17 embedded -- adjoins the existing tract. MR. WRIGHT: There's plans to connect it by 18 road access? 19 MR. MORRISON: Oh, it won't be -- it'll come 20 through the existing entrance. You 21 know, there's already an entrance we're 2.2

working on, but it will -- it's all

joined together. 1 MR. WRIGHT: It's all going to be together? MR. MORRISON: Yes. Yes. 3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir. 5 MR. MORRISON: Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The next speaker on the same tract is Vickey Wheeler. MS. WHEELER: First of all, I just -- I want 8 9 to thank you. I'm Vickey Wheeler, and I'm the nature -- the chairman of the 10 11 planning committee for the nature 12 preserve for The Friends of Big Canoe 13 Creek. And I really want to thank you 14 for the opportunity that a small grassroots group like ours can have a 15 16 platform and can be a part of this wonderful program of Forever Wild. 17 And I'm going to leave a copy of 18 this. We had an article in one of our 19 local magazines about Forever Wild and 20 the Big Canoe Creek Nature Preserve. So 21 I thought you might like to know that. 2.2

And the Epps property -- you can

walk from one property to the other. So thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you.

The next speaker is Mr. Andrew Schock on the Red Hills-Flat Creek Addition, Tab 3, page 16. Tab 3, page 16.

Thank you, Andrew.

MR. SCHOCK: Good morning. I'm Andrew Schock with The Conservation Fund. I'm here to speak this morning to the Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase I Addition. As the Commissioner said, it's on page 16, Tab 3. And I'm specifically speaking to the red area outlined on that map on the southern end of the map.

The Red Hills-Flat Creek Addition is really the habitat for the endangered Red Hills Salamander. It will provide great opportunities for both turkey and deer and, of course, small game hunting, and it fits nicely in with the other parcels that Forever Wild owns in that

1 area.

The parcel in question -- or that we're talking about specifically is a little over -- it's almost 1200 acres in size. And it's been appraised. You should have -- or it's in the works to get you-all the first appraisal as per your last action.

The state now has received \$2 million in a Habitat Conservation Program land-acquisition grant from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. And so that match -- or that grant requires a 25 percent match or \$668,000. And that's the request, in essence, before Forever Wild in that amount.

So I am going to request that the board make a motion today to proceed with the second appraisal and purchase of the Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase I Addition. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir.

Any questions?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Next is Steve Northcutt to talk about the Grand Bay-Little River Addition, Tab 4, page 13. Tab 4, page 13.

MR. NORTHCUTT: Good morning. My name is

Steve Northcutt. I'm the Director of

Protection for The Nature Conservancy.

And the property that I want to discuss

with you today is located in Grand Bay.

As you know, Grand Bay Savanna recently added another 2500 acres to the, I think, approximately 5-, 6,000 acres that you have. You know, Grand Bay is the body of water above Dauphin Island. It runs from Mississippi over to Alabama Point.

The property that you see outlined in red, that has Little River running through it. So you have direct access to Portersville Bay from this property. The property has beautiful old live oak hammocks, upland mixed pine, and

transitional marsh to the south. 1 This property would be purchased by The Nature Conservancy using funds from 3 the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, Gulf Environmental Benefit 5 6 Fund. So the property would be a donation to Forever Wild with the request that Forever Wild provide the 8 9 15 percent stewardship endowment that's required for any property that you 10 11 purchase or have a donation. So today this would be my request 12 13 that the board consider a motion to 14 accept this property, provide the 15 percent. And any questions that you 15 16 have about this property I'd be happy to 17 answer. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any questions? 18 19 (No response.) CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir. 20 Next is Beth Maynor Finch on the 21 Cahaba-Mohon Tract, Tab 3, page 6. 2.2 23 Tab 3, page 6.

MS. FINCH: Did you all get your books?

Thank you. I'm Beth Maynor Finch.

I'm a conservation advisor, and I sell
land through Cypress Partners. And I
want to introduce Blair Mohon, who is
the owner in case you have questions,
and Bill Finch, who's a writer and
naturalist in case you have any
questions about the property.

So we have got 362 acres that's on Cahaba. It's got about a mile of tree forest frontage right on the river.

It's got two islands that are a deeded part of this property. There are almost 200 acres of very mature hardwoods that is a seed bank that tells the story of Bibb County.

You're going to find natural stands of longleaf and shortleaf on this property that could be encouraged with more fire management. Black Creek is on the north 40 part of this property, and it is a deep-cove hardwood floor forest.

Δ

There are roads that are all through this property. It's been enjoyed as a hunting property for the past 20 years, and there's access throughout.

Now, in the back of those books you're going to find a map that's stuffed inside there, and it's going to look like this.

And these are the Forever Wild -this is the William R. Ireland Wildlife
Management Area that surrounds this
property. And you're going to notice
that this particular property is the
only place where these two properties
could be connected. And I think
Mr. Bill would be quite proud.

There's also 167 acres on the north boundary that runs along the river that's owned by the Freshwater Land Trust, and it's also -- the south border -- there are some leased lands that are part of this. There's 146 acres of leased lands, which would bring

1.5

2.2

it up to a total of about 500. The leased lands have a willing seller. But it also extends -- it extends the river frontage to about 2-and-a-half miles.

It's got a deeded easement that goes through the property off of Marvel Road. There is a little three-room camp house that's on the property with power and water and a small kitchen and equipment storage.

But this property -- it lends itself to -- it lends itself to year-around hiking, canoeing, camping, birdwatching, dog walking, wild flower walks, as well as hunting. But this place also symbolizes the most biologically diverse part of the Cahaba.

I was working on a book called

Headwaters about the rivers of Alabama
several years ago, and the biologists
took me to this stretch of the river.

And I recognized it because of the
islands. And I knew it because I had

also been there a few years before

photographing the lilies that also grow

in this stand.

So this is rich. It's beautiful.

And Blair has done an incredible job of managing this property. And he does it by himself. He's very fit, but he's also getting to the age where it's time to release this land. But he'd very much like to see it go into public ownership so people can enjoy it as much as he has. But there's a time limit on this. And so we have to -- we have to move things along.

And I'd very much like to request -we had the first appraisal done on this
property, and we would very much like to
request the second appraisal and
approval of the property.

And if you have questions, Blair and Bill are both here to answer anything that might be helpful.

DR. WOODS: Would you please explain what you

NOVEMBER 8, 2018

2.2

said about the leased land again. I was a little confused.

- MS. FINCH: So there's two parcels. The part that looks like a lazy anchor that's the big part, that is the 362 acres.
- MS. McCURDY: If you could, to help me and help them -- I know you've got your map.

 But I want to be sure we are talking about -- help them --
- MS. FINCH: That's the part that's for sale.

 The leased land goes like this.
- MS. McCURDY: Okay. So there's not leased -the owner does not currently have
 acreage leased out to others. You are
 saying that it is --
- MS. FINCH: He has leased it from somebody else, and it's for his own personal use.
- MS. McCURDY: But for the help of my board,

 for the Mohon Tract itself and their

 consideration of that as a potential

 acquisition, is any of the Mohon acreage

 currently under a lease to a third

 party?

2.2

1	MS. FINCH: No.
2	MS. McCURDY: That's what we needed to know.
3	So when you talked about the
4	leases the added value, if there is
5	any, of the leases, it wasn't clear.
6	There are obviously, as the board can
7	see on their map we have some Forever
8	Wild acreage that is under lease but
9	MS. FINCH: No. It would be this part that
10	MS. McCURDY: But that is not part of the
11	offering of the Mohon Tract today?
12	MS. FINCH: The leased land is. The lease
13	goes with the land.
14	MS. McCURDY: Okay. Hold on.
15	So the board, if they all we have
16	delineated and evaluated
17	MS. FINCH: Is the 262 acres.
18	MR. MOHON: 362.
19	MS. FINCH: I'm sorry. 362.
20	Blair, would you like to explain
21	(Multiple speakers.)
22	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: In the map that you
23	gave us, ma'am maybe this will help.

In the map that you gave us, is the 1 yellow area the part you're talking about that is the leased property? 3 MS. FINCH: It is. And the lease goes with the 362 acres. 5 6 MR. MOHON: It is an annually renewable lease on it. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I just wanted to make 8 9 sure we --MS. McCURDY: Would you identify yourself for 10 11 the court reporter. MR. MOHON: Pardon me. I'm Blair Mohon. 12 I'm 13 the owner of the land. 14 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. MS. McCURDY: But it would be an annually 15 16 renewable lease on adjoining --MR. MOHON: Yes. Yes. It's collocated, but 17 it is totally separate from the existing 18 19 property. It just adjoins it. And it has significant additional river 20 frontage associated with it. And so it 21 would -- and they are -- they have 2.2 23 approached me about the purchase of that

land.

2.2

So I am aware of the fact that it is available. I couldn't swallow it all, so I did not choose to --

- MS. McCURDY: But the fact that acreage is under a lease that you have -- I assume it has termination provisions by either party.
- MR. MOHON: It does.
- MS. McCURDY: Okay. So that has not been evaluated and is not actually part of the nomination acreage. And due to the termination provision, while it might be an option available to this board, it would not automatically flow with the acquisition because one or the other party could terminate that.
- MR. MOHON: Exactly.
 - MS. McCURDY: And I'm not saying that to

 degrade it. I just have to keep for my

 board -- just as you've heard of those

 considered donations, any acreage that

 comes with this has some requirements

for evaluation and --1 MS. FINCH: I do. MS. McCURDY: So that's all I needed to 3 clarify. Thank y'all so much. appreciate that. 5 6 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. Any other 7 questions? Yes, sir, Mr. Satterfield. 8 9 MR. SATTERFIELD: I notice there's a -- on the map there's a little triangular piece 10 11 across the river. And my question really is to staff. How do you access 12 13 and how do you manage that little triangular piece if Forever Wild moves 14 forward with this? That's my first 15 16 question. My second question -- this folder 17 indicates there's some structures on the 18 19 property. So my second question is also 20 directed to staff. How do we deal with that? 21 And then my third question is -- I 2.2 23 missed the last meeting, but it seemed

to me like I remembered from the meeting
before that Dennis Lathem was here to
talk about the well site, and I'm not
sure how that turned out. So could
staff address that as well, those three

questions?

MS. McCURDY: Yeah. And let's take it one at a time.

First of all, let's be sure we have a complete understanding of what structures are on the nominated area.

So could you speak to that?

MR. MOHON: Yes. I have a -- I put on the property a 14-foot-by-50-foot house trailer. I built a shed over it to protect it from weather. I had water installed. I had power installed, septic tank and so forth. I have used the property as hunting property. There is also a small metal-roof shed that I have parked my tractor underneath. It is strictly a metal pole barn. There are no sides or anything to it. It's

2.2

very minimal. 1 There are hunting blinds located in various places on the property, but the 3 only real structure is the mobile home. 5 Obviously, it could be easily removed. 6 MR. SATTERFIELD: So this picture on page 19 is a mobile home? MR. MOHON: It is. 8 9 MR. SATTERFIELD: Because it looks like it's more permanent than that. 10 11 MR. MOHON: Well, I put a nice shed and a nice porch on the front of it. I like 12 13 roughing it easily. 14 MR. SATTERFIELD: Thanks. MR. MOHON: Yes, sir. 15 16 MR. SATTERFIELD: But what Forever Wild -- the bottom line is Forever Wild -- the 17 structure would have to be removed? 18 19 That's a question. MS. McCURDY: In general, the board, unless 20 it's a structure that we would utilize 21 and would add some value, would have any 2.2

structures reduced from the appraised --

2.2

from the appraisal and would not be -the owner would not be paid for those
structures for any value. If the
appraiser determined there was an added
value, we normally would not pay that.

For each structure that we have on a piece of property, we also look at -you know, sometimes -- although very
much an asset for a private owner, it's
not necessarily an asset for a publicly
available property. A lot of times,
therefore, honestly, they may be
demolished. You know, if you have a
need for coverage for some equipment,
you know, you might leave the shed -- I
mean, the pole barn. I don't -- I don't
fully know.

But we generally have the capability with our own crews to perform demolition as we need to. There's usually not a significant expense as long as it's not a uniquely large structure.

I will tell the board and I will go

ahead and let you know -- because I may have to say this more than once today -- you will notice one of my great aides at the meeting, Doug Deaton, is not here today. I gave Doug the day off. He and his wife are at the hospital preparing for the birth of their third child. So being the good person that I am, I told him he could skip today.

So Doug is probably the one who generally has the most tract-specific knowledge of the conditions of the structure. So I may be a little hampered today.

But the overall answer is we would not pay for that part if they were valued as part of the appraisal. And whether we would retain them or go into demolition is site-specific. But here we would probably not maintain the -- any type of living quarters.

MR. SATTERFIELD: So the value of the appraisal assumes that will not be left

on the property?

MS. McCURDY: We normally have that -- what it assumes is, if there's any value, it is denoted separately so that we can easily subtract it from the value. I do not recall if a value was assigned to that structure. But we ask appraisers to appraise the structures separately if they have any value, and then we deduct that from what would be considered our appraised value for the purposes of acquisition.

So the third question as to the well site, I believe that the questions that Mr. Lathem had or any concerns were resolved from his perspective. The questions that remain a little bit from the staff is simply that the site itself does not have any type of fencing or security. It's not a dangerous operation, but it's simply something you have to keep in mind, public access, public — sometimes people don't —

aren't smart and engage in activities that they shouldn't.

2.2

So we did want to address with the board if there would need to be any conditions of securing fencing or something related to the well site itself. But it's more of those routine land management questions. Mr. Lathem did not have any concern.

There are other well sites on the adjoining acreage that are managed as WMA acreage that have presented no issues in the past other than some safety concerns, but no -- and, also, being on the property line, it would not interfere with the public's usage. It's right on the edge, so -- but, anyway, that's all you missed on the well site.

- MR. SATTERFIELD: Is it a producing well?
- MS. McCURDY: It is.
 - MR. SATTERFIELD: And what happens with the income from that production if Forever Wild acquires the property?

MS. McCURDY: Well, there is a separate lease on that. We would not benefit from the income.

2.2

- MR. SATTERFIELD: Say that -- I'm sorry.
 - MS. McCURDY: There is a separate -- as I understand it, there is a separate lease agreement related to the mineral interests of that spot. So we would not benefit from a revenue standpoint from --
 - MR. SATTERFIELD: Then who would keep that revenue stream?
 - MS. McCURDY: The owner of the lease interest -- or the mineral interests.
 - MR. MOHON: I own zero mineral rights on it.

 It's about three-tenths of an acre.

 Right literally through the parking lot of the well site is where the property line is. It is a producing well, but I have no -- all of that was retained by previous owners, and there's no income or anything from that.
 - MR. SATTERFIELD: So is there a road access

easement, then, or some easement --1 MS. McCURDY: It's right on the edge, so it is very accessible from the road. So, no, 3 there's no easement going with that. It's literally on the boundary and on 5 6 the road. And so there's no need for an easement. It's right there. MR. SATTERFIELD: And my third question was 8 9 that little triangular piece of 10 property. 11 MS. McCURDY: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. On that 12 piece, if memory serves, the only access 13 to that little triangle would be by 14 water, if memory serves on that. Is there a public road to that 15 16 triangle? There are old logging roads and 17 MR. MOHON: mining roads and access to pipeline 18 roads and so forth on that side of the 19 river, but you're talking about probably 20 a 6 or 8-mile run through the woods to 21 get to it. 2.2 23 So for all practical purposes,

access is by water, but there is a road 1 that gets there that comes within, I would say, 10 or 15 yards of the corner 3 of the property. Quite frankly, I've never been over there and looked at it. 5 6 MR. SATTERFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you. MS. McCURDY: Thank you. Thank y'all so much. 8 9 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, ma'am. The next speaker is Ray Herndon on 10 11 the Blackwater South Tract, Tab 4, 12 page 5. Tab 4, page 5. 13 MR. HERNDON: Good morning, Commissioner. Good morning, board members. My name is 14 Ray Herndon. I work for The 15 16 Conservation Fund. I am here to speak to you about the Blackwater River South 17 Tract. 18 19 I'm here joined by a couple of partners, Yael Girard from the Weeks Bay 20 Foundation and Andrew Chason with the 21 Coastal Land Trust. We're working in 2.2

collaboration on this project along the

Blackwater River.

_

We were here at the August meeting, made a presentation, and a couple of questions arose. And, in particular, I want to try to address those questions as best I can.

There was some question about road maintenance as related to the tract.

And if you're looking at that 11-by-17 map, it's the red parcel that we're targeting here.

There's about — a little more than 3 miles' worth of road going from the blacktop to what's known as Reeder Lake, about a 90-acre lake which feeds into the Blackwater River and then the Perdido. Since the August meeting, The Conservation Fund and the partners of the Weeks Bay Foundation and the Coastal Land Trust have met with Baldwin County to assess whether or not the county might be willing to participate in that road maintenance. They have offered an

_

Δ

./

intergovernmental service agreement with the state, if that's desirable, to conduct that regular road maintenance.

They have a similar agreement with the City of Elberta, and I think they — they suggested they — and what that intergovernmental service agreement would do would be a performance of roadwork at cost. So they maintain a road for the City of Elberta for about 200 — \$600 a quarter in a similar nature. So that's what that might entail. So we do have a template that we've been offered by the commissioners in Baldwin County for consideration.

And I also want to mention that the Coastal Land Trust, Weeks Bay
Foundation, and The Conservation Fund
hosted the National Fish and Wildlife
Foundation staff at this site in
September. We toured the property both
from the water and on the land side.
They seemed to be very intrigued by this

project and potentially a partner on the wetland portions of this tract, which is

about 55 percent of the property.

And I also want to mention —
particularly maybe note on this map that
I've provided you — it's kind of a
landscape scale map which shows the
protected land base. Obviously, Forever
Wild has made investments in this
location previously, the Lillian Swamp
shown there kind of on the maybe south
side of the property.

In between the Lillian Swamp acquisition and this tract is a mitigation bank. It's permanently protected under easement. So it's privately held. There are a couple of small tracts in between those two.

And I would also point out that just directly -- I've just learned this last night. I received files indicating that just directly to the west side of this tract along the Blackwater River for

about 5 miles is all privately protected lands. It's not shown on this map, and I apologize. But that's about a 9- or 10-mile stretch of the Blackwater River on the south side with the addition of this tract that would be protected.

Lastly, I want to mention -- and I know I'm out of time. But I have -- The Conservation Fund has provided an appraisal of this tract to State Lands staff for consideration as a potential first appraisal under the process. It was done to standards that are required by Forever Wild and is available should the board decide to utilize that appraisal.

I'll entertain any questions.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I will say just for the board's information that staff has reviewed the appraisal that was provided and it did meet our requirements. And it has been included in Tab 3 of your green folder information as an appraisal

that was received and accepted by the
Department.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

Also, as Mr. Herndon mentioned, we have had conversations with another entity that may be interested in leveraging some funds with Forever Wild on this property. They were not in a position by this meeting where they could provide full information on what they would be willing to do. But I just wanted to let you know that you do have -- it looks like we will have the opportunity for another entity to partner with Forever Wild on this property and, you know, definitely should have more information before the February meeting on that.

Any questions?

Yes, sir, Mr. Satterfield.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Just for my learning curve,

I think this -- is this the property
that was talked about last time, also,
about potential as a state park?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: It was.

2.2

MR. SATTERFIELD: And the state -- as I remember in reading the transcript,

State Parks Division said they didn't have any money to develop this as a state park if Forever Wild went forward with this purchase. Is that still the situation with the Parks Division?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: State park, yes, sir.

Yes, sir. There is -- with the National Fish and Wildlife donation, there is some interest in bird habitat and other nature-preserve-type benefits for this property that they're interested in partnering with us on.

MS. McCURDY: Yes. And this tract, also, as you will see noted, short-listed as -- a lot of times we'll have properties due to their attributes that actually short-list under more than one of our four categories. This short-listed both as a nature -- short-listed as a nature preserve in addition to short-listing as

2

3

4

6

5

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

a potential state park. So it does carry both of those short-list designations.

I will while I'm at the microphone qo ahead -- I had questions from a couple of you prior to the meeting, and Ray did cover it. We are -- we did receive information that Baldwin County would be willing to partner with us and enable us to use their crews and expertise, for lack of a better word, in road maintenance. But, again, that would be -- the program would pay for that. It's still a Forever Wild cost, but it is helpful to be able to utilize the county's services. That is an easier procurement mechanism and, frankly, an easier way to get it done.

But, again, a couple of you asked.

Yes, the cost of that we would need to
pay Baldwin County, but we are
appreciative of Ray and you doing that
and the county's offer of their physical

assistance. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you.

I think Yael Girard would like to speak on the same property.

MS. GIRARD: Hi there, Forever Wild Board.

Thank y'all for having us again today.

Some of the things I wanted to address were just spoken about sort of in passing, the idea of the state park versus the nature preserve. This property actually did score higher as a nature preserve. So it would be our hope that that would be the future use. Obviously, that decision is up to State Lands.

But nature preserves inherently have less infrastructure requirements than a state park usually, if you look at things like Big Canoe Creek and you look at Turkey Creek. You've got less infrastructure, less cost in the creation of a nature-preserve space versus a state park.

And given this piece of property, I
think it merits a look at for a
nature-preserve space. It is in the
range of numerous threatened and
endangered species, several of them
aquatic species. As Ray mentioned,
there is miles of river frontage and
wetland frontage habitat here. So
that's really important.

This property also has -- over

50 percent of the bird species that were affected by the oil spill exist or migrate through this range. So this could be -- with the 90-acre lake, plus an area called Alligator Bayou, plus the river frontage -- a lot of area for bird migration, bird habitat, nesting, breeding, and feeding areas.

So I wanted to clarify the state park/nature preserve question and then also just denote a few of the biological -- significant biological features of the property. Thank y'all.

1	Any questions on that?
2	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Do you have a question?
3	Yes, sir, Mr. Satterfield.
4	MR. SATTERFIELD: I'm sorry to become a
5	nuisance.
6	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: That's okay.
7	MR. SATTERFIELD: But since you mentioned the
8	BP funds and I know there are lots of
9	negotiations on properties across the
10	coast, and this is down in that area
11	where those funds are available. Are
12	there any funds any available
13	resources from the BP funds for this
14	property?
15	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: And the National Fish
16	and Wildlife Foundation would be using
17	some of the funds from the BP
18	settlement.
19	MR. SATTERFIELD: That would be their
20	contribution from the BP fund?
21	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir.
22	MR. SATTERFIELD: Okay.
23	MS. GIRARD: Anything else, Mr. Satterfield or
	1

anyone else?

2.0

2.2

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, ma'am.

MS. GIRARD: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Next is Ben Whitaker on the Sipsey River-Mill Creek Addition -- or Sipsey River-Mill Creek. That's Tab 4, page 29. Tab 4, page 29.

MR. WHITAKER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and board members. Thank you for having me.

My name is Ben Whitaker. I work with Regions Bank, and I'm here this morning to present for nomination a property that is located in Tuscaloosa County on the Sipsey River approximately 10 miles west of the city of Tuscaloosa and the University of Alabama.

This property is approximately
293 acres. It's on the east side of the
river north of Highway 82. It is a
mature forest. The interesting thing of
this property, it fits very nicely into
the existing Sipsey River Complex north

zone.

In section 23 and 26 of the land sections, the Forever Wild owns property that contains approximately 1-and-a-half miles of Sipsey River frontage. This acquisition would increase that Sipsey River frontage approximately by 1 mile, making it approximately 2-and-a-half miles of contiguous Sipsey River frontage with this acquisition.

This acquisition would provide
excellent recreational opportunities.
It's very aesthetically pleasing. It's
a beautiful property. It would be
excellent for wildlife, hunting and
fishing, canoeing, hiking, camping,
wildlife observation, bird observation.
And my request this morning to the
committee is to present this property to
be nominated and to start the process.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir.

NOVEMBER 8, 2018

Any questions?

1 (No response.) CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you. Next is Chandlar Graham -- go ahead, 3 Mr. Wright. MR. WRIGHT: I don't have a question for him. 5 6 I have a question, I think, for maybe Patti. MS. McCURDY: Just speak up a little bit or in 8 9 the microphone, if you could. MR. WRIGHT: What is a DOT mitigation bank? 10 11 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The question is what is a DOT mitigation bank. 12 13 MR. WRIGHT: I just don't know. 14 MS. McCURDY: The Department of Transportation has certain properties that they hold --15 16 obtain and hold as a mitigation bank, 17 usually wetlands. That means they have possibly done work somewhere on roads 18 19 that has resulted in an impact to the wetlands. And so to compensate for 20 that, they will provide mitigation areas 21 to ensure overall that the health and 2.2

strength of the wetlands are preserved.

I don't have specific knowledge on 1 this one, but that is a regular program, meaning DOT holds the property and --3 Jo, do we know any more? 5 MS. LEWIS: (Inaudible to court reporter.) 6 MS. McCURDY: So it's just sort of protected adjacent acreage for us. It generally enhances the buffer area for pressure on 8 9 the tract. 10 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Next is Chandlar Graham 12 on the Thigpen Hill property. That's 13 Tab 3, page 18. Tab 3, page 18. 14 I think he may speak also on the Hollins WMA Addition. 15 MR. GRAHAM: Yes, sir. I think I can get them 16 both done here for you. Thanks for 17 having me. 18 19 On the Thigpen property -- Thigpen Hill in Butler County, y'all have 20 received the first appraisal on that. 21 I'm here today to ask if y'all would 2.2 23 motion to move forward with purchasing

and second appraisal on that property. 1 That property is located in a part of the state that is lacking public 3 lands and fits nicely in that aspect. It's also close to plenty of hotels and 5 6 restaurants to provide facilities for people utilizing that. So any questions on that property? 8 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any questions on the 9 Thigpen Hill? 10 11 (No response.) CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The Hollins WMA 12 13 Addition is on Tab 4, page 18. Both of 14 them are on page 18, one on Tab 3 and one on Tab 4, page 18. 15 16 Go ahead, sir. The Hollins WMA Addition is in 17 MR. GRAHAM: Clay County. It's a little over 18 19 1100 acres. It is currently part of the WMA -- Hollins WMA. The current owners 20 have decided to sell this property, and 21 therefore they have cancelled the WMA 2.2 23 lease. So effectively it's not part of

1	the WMA for this hunting season.
2	But it fits right in the middle of
3	the Hollins Complex there. So they
4	wanted to give y'all the opportunity if
5	y'all desire to pursue that. If y'all
6	chose to do that, you know, we would
7	take it off the market and let the
8	process take its course.
9	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any questions?
10	(No response.)
11	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir.
12	MR. GRAHAM: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Next is Chad Scroggins
14	on the Cahaba River-Savage Creek Tract,
15	Tab 3, page 7. Tab 3, page 7.
16	MR. SCROGGINS: I want to thank you for the
17	opportunity. I'm Chad Scroggins with
18	Shelby County.
19	And this Savage Creek Tract fits in
20	directly with the Forever Wild Land
21	Trust property that's on the north side
22	of the Cahaba River. Currently there's
23	about 1340 acres a little less

1339 on the north side of the Cahaba
River. This parcel is about 300
acres -- just a little more than
300 acres on the south side of the
Cahaba River, and it's directly adjacent
to land that's owned by Shelby County.

We are excited about this property and certainly support it because it would expand the current holdings of Forever Wild and Shelby County at the Cahaba River Park location.

What's been handed out to you in a few pages are some of the improvements that we have done on the north side of the Cahaba River, some of the trails that have been built with ADECA RTP grant funds and then also a caretaker's house that a sheriff's deputy lives in, a pavilion, and some overall pictures of other trails and the current sign that is in production for this particular property.

We would do the same exact amount of

investment on the south side. Should you choose to go to second appraisal and purchase of this property, we would construct a caretaker's house that would house another deputy or another law enforcement officer on the south sector of this property. It would be built on Shelby County land. It would be funded by Shelby County.

The road improvements, unlike what some of the others we've heard today, would be actually funded and constructed by Shelby County on that property to provide access. Parking and trailheads would be done by Shelby County and would be at no cost to the Forever Wild Board for maintenance or improvements on the property.

This property would be -- we would do the same thing as far as signage that we're doing on the north sector on this south sector, parking lots and the additional trails that we would really

_ _

like to construct with the approval of the Forever Wild staff -- the Department of Conservation State Lands staff.

This is a top priority for Shelby

County. We've got over a million

dollars in investment in the north-side

sector. We certainly are looking

forward to it being open here in the

next 60 days. And we welcome the

Forever Wild Board to come and visit

this.

The Department of Conservation knows our work through other sites, Oak

Mountain State Park, Beeswax Creek Park, and also the Cahaba Wildlife Management

Area shooting range. So we would do a quality product that will be at no cost to you guys.

We're excited about this. This is a number-one priority for Shelby County for expansion of this particular park area. And it would add a couple of river miles -- two more river miles to

the existing river miles that are 1 protected on the Cahaba River. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Are there any comments 3 or questions? MR. WRIGHT: Yes, Commissioner. 5 6 MR. SCROGGINS: Yes, sir. MR. WRIGHT: On the caretaker's house, would that be constructed on Forever Wild's 8 9 property or --MR. SCROGGINS: No, sir. It would be 10 11 constructed on Shelby County property. We have adjacent property on the south 12 13 side of the river just like we do on the north side of the river, and it would be 14 constructed on Shelby County property 15 but directly adjacent to your property. 16 17 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. Yes, sir MR. SCROGGINS: 18 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Chad, thank you so much 19 for Shelby County's partnership with the 20 Department of Conservation on many other 21 projects. We do appreciate the ability 2.2 23 to work together. Thank you.

MR. SCROGGINS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Next is Chris

Oberholster on the Saginaw Swamp

property, Tab 4, page 25.

MR. OBERHOLSTER: Good morning. My name is

Chris Oberholster. I work for

Birmingham Audubon Society, a bird

conservation nonprofit that is dedicated

to connecting people to the outdoors and

experiences in nature. Thank you for

the opportunity to offer some comments.

I'd like to just share a couple of highlights about a tract known as Saginaw Swamp. This is a 160-acre property in unincorporated Shelby County right on the Alabaster-Calera city limits/boundaries basically, close to the greater Birmingham population center, obviously, five minutes off I-65 at that Shelby County Airport exit. So very easy to access with paved road frontage right off the interstate.

It complements and is adjoining an

2.2

3

4

6

5

7

8

10

9

11

1213

14

1516

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

existing 100-acre city park. The City of Alabaster has Limestone Park. And basically Limestone Park protects about 20 percent of the Saginaw Swamp, and the remaining 75, 80 percent, the vast majority of the balance, is on this 160 acres.

And basically tremendous wetland bird life. It's become -- the adjoining existing city park has a nature preserve sort of element to most of the acreage. Audubon has worked the last several years on improving the public access and the wildlife enhancement, planting native warm season grasses, building a birding and wildlife observation platform there, so basically enhancing -- and it's become a very popular destination on the Alabama Birding Trail network, which is an initiative from the Conservation Department and Tourism and Travel.

So basically these are some key

1	attributes that I think have my
2	understanding is that it has scored very
3	highly because of those positive
4	attributes, both biological but also the
5	strategic or recreational sort of
6	possibilities. And so our hope is that
7	the board would consider moving this
8	forward with a request for a first
9	appraisal.
10	So I'm happy to answer any questions
11	about the place, but thank you very
12	much.
13	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir.
14	Any questions?
15	(No response.)
16	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you.
17	Our last speaker is Matt Sams on the
18	Shelby Crossroads Tract, Tab 4, page 27.
19	Tab 4, page 27.
20	Mr. Sams.
21	MR. SAMS: Good morning. Thanks for letting
22	me come and talk about our tract.
23	I am speaking about the Shelby

Crossroads Tract. I'm requesting a first appraisal. It's an 884-acre tract in Shelby County near the Shelby community, about 5 -- 4 or 5 miles south of Columbiana, 20 miles northwest of Clanton, and about 13 miles east of Calera.

It's 884 acres. It's a great recreational tract. It's got ponds, hunting, canoeing, fishing. But I guess more importantly it's a high historical site. It's the site that the Shelby Iron Company -- it was a charcoal blast furnace.

In the pamphlet I gave you, there's some pictures of some of the ruins of the foundry. And now it's the site of the historic Shelby Iron Works Park. We actually donated some of the acreage there to the park. And they have a festival each year and do a lot of cool stuff, and the last page is their flier from this past year.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

So we donated some of the land that was part of our tract to their -- you know, that they own now, and they are doing tons of improvements. And so it's got a huge significance as far as historical. I think I can imagine, you know, people coming to the park and then visiting the park and the ruins and then, you know, creating trails on this particular tract and they could fish and hike. And it's got hunting opportunities, too, you know, turkey, dove, deer; horseback riding, you know; has road frontage on County Road 47 and 42 in multiple locations.

Shelby County has agreed to help with the maintenance, and that's a big deal. It's just a great tract. There's not many like it.

I know the second page has the tract -- the location of the tract in relationship to some of the other properties that y'all manage. And as

you can see -- I know you have spots in 1 Shelby County. But this is in southeast Shelby County, and y'all don't have many 3 there. So I just think it would be a 5 great addition to the Forever Wild land 6 holdings. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any -- Dr. Woods. DR. WOODS: Yes. On the map you provided, 8 9 where is the Shelby Iron Works Park? MR. SAMS: On the bottom, the yellow. 10 The yellow. Okay. 11 DR. WOODS: 12 MR. SAMS: Yes, sir. It's the yellow. 13 And north of that paved road is what 14 we donated to the Shelby Iron Works Park. And they have done a lot of 15 16 clearing. And as you can see from the 17 pictures, there's even more in the woods in addition to what they've cleared, 18 19 so ... But that site -- that foundry was 20 built in 1842 and provided a lot of the 21 steel for the Civil War. And they 2.2 23 actually started doing some stuff for

World War I until -- I'm not sure why
they quit doing it. But they were
gearing up to do stuff for the Civil
War -- I mean, for World War I, and they
ended up not doing it. They quit the
construction of it. But it has a huge
significance. But it's that yellow
piece on the map.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir.

2.2

MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Commissioner, I just want to say that I visited the property this past Monday with Matt, and that Iron
Works place is impressive. Somebody's been doing a lot of work there. Roundup would be proud of them over there on that new part because they've really cleaned that area up. But I just wanted to say that that Iron Works is really impressive.

MR. SAMS: And I think that -- I don't know for sure, but -- I didn't call them.

But I would imagine they would appreciate, you know, if that tract --

1	this tract stayed, you know,
2	nondeveloped and in the Forever Wild
3	land holdings. I would imagine they
4	would work with y'all and expand that
5	park. And it would just be an awesome
6	destination to come to along with the
7	park and you could trails and you
8	know, there's 76 acres of lakes,
9	beautiful lakes. So I can see it being
10	a great location to come and visit.
11	Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir,
13	Mr. Satterfield.
14	MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, a couple of
15	questions.
16	How much is the acreage again?
17	MS. McCURDY: Just one second. If y'all would
18	give me just one second. I've got a
19	question for Matt while I've got him up
20	here.
21	(Brief pause.)
22	MS. McCURDY: Mr. Satterfield, I'll get to
23	your question. You may end up going

here.

But to clarify to the board, the map that you have in your packet, on Tab 4, page 28, if you will look at the southernmost line of that, you will see one area that juts up, and that matches with the map that Matt gave you. Our map is missing another jutting-up where that acreage was deeded to the Iron Works. So your map is missing a little cut-up that you see on Matt's map.

So the acreage question, although there would still be a few acres difference, primarily is going to relate to the fact that our boundary line did not have that Iron Works Park area excluded that has been donated and deeded.

So that gets a little closer to getting to us agreeing on the acreage.

Matt thinks that's roughly 15 acres. So if you -- I think that would -- well, that still doesn't get us -- anyway,

we'll clarify with Matt.

2.2

But visually I wanted to tell you that on your map, first of all, and, second of all, that that acreage was donated and deeded to that group. So it would not be part of our acquisition.

- MR. SATTERFIELD: So it's not the 861 on our map? It's 884?
- MS. McCURDY: That's what Matt's total has.

 So, yes, that's what I'm saying. We still have a discrepancy, but I first wanted you to visually -- and know the park is not on our acreage. That map is wrong. We're going to have to compare -- I haven't seen this map until now, and so I don't -- it's going to take a little while working with Matt to figure out where the acreage difference is. I'm sure Matt knows. But, anyway, we'll need to work that out.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you.

MR. SATTERFIELD: And, secondly -- my second question is -- you know, I see you have

a lot of open space in this property.

Is any of that currently used for any agricultural purposes?

2.2

MR. SAMS: No, sir. When we bought that property, it had those grass hayfields, the -- pretty much Johnsongrass now.

And we have -- we don't receive any money from it. Somebody cuts it once a year just so it doesn't grow up into trees.

But it is not a -- it is not a commercial -- we don't lease the hay rights. It's -- we bought the tract with -- they were opening -- they were opening, and we didn't want them to grow up to trees. So we kind of just cut them once a year.

- MR. SATTERFIELD: You know why I'm asking the question.
- MS. McCURDY: Yes, absolutely. And so the answer to it -- and Doug has been on the property -- is that the hay cutting that has occurred, as Matt said, does appear

to be simply from, I would say, a land 1 maintenance standpoint to manage the land, as Matt said, to keep it from 3 being overgrown. It is not a 5 revenue-generation activity to our 6 knowledge for the owners from the hay. It is a land-maintenance activity on the 8 property. 9 MR. SATTERFIELD: So you would consider that not active agricultural use? 10 11 MS. McCURDY: For us that would be -- of this nature, it would be a land-maintenance 12 13 activity. 14 MR. SATTERFIELD: Right. MS. McCURDY: And, actually, they do that, but 15 16 then you don't produce any revenue from So for us that is more land 17 it. maintenance than active agriculture. 18 19 Obviously, someone could differ. But that's how to date we've made that 20 distinction for our purposes. 21 MR. SAMS: It would just grow up wild, and so 2.2

we cut it. Wanted to maintain it.

1	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir.
2	That's the last speaker, the last
3	person I have a card for. Did we miss
4	anybody?
5	(No response.)
6	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seeing none, we will
7	now go into recess for executive
8	session.
9	By regulation, appraised values are
10	confidential during periods of
11	negotiation. Accordingly, in order to
12	discuss tract appraisal values, the
13	board will need to go into a recess for
14	an executive session.
15	Is there a motion for the board to
16	now recess to attend the executive
17	session?
18	DR. WOODS: So move.
19	MR. RAYMOND JONES: So move.
20	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Moved by Dr. Woods,
21	seconded by Mr. Jones.
22	As I call your name, please state
23	your position on the motion. Those in

1	flavor indicate by saying "aye" or "yes"
2	and those opposed by saying "nay."
3	Chris Blankenship, yes.
4	Butch Ellis?
5	MR. ELLIS: Aye.
6	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Horace Horn?
7	MR. HORN: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Raymond Jones?
9	MR. JONES: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Rick Oates?
11	MR. OATES: Aye.
12	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: William Satterfield?
13	MR. SATTERFIELD: Aye.
14	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Valentine?
15	DR. VALENTINE: Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Wood?
17	DR. WOODS: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright?
19	MR. WRIGHT: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The "ayes" have it. We
21	will now be in recess. It is 11:07. We
22	hope to return by 11:37.
23	(Recess for executive session was

taken at approximately 11:07 a.m.

and the meeting was called back to

order at approximately 12:13 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: We are back from executive session. It is 12:13 p.m. Let me otherwise figure out where we are.

Now we're at number 5, the program status report, the financial data.

Patti.

MS. McCURDY: Thank you, Chairman. Give me one second to get my papers in order here.

Okay. This is the portion of the meeting each time where I review both for the clarification of the board and for the benefit of the public various pieces of financial information that assist the board in understanding the funding they have available, if they choose, to act on as to potential future acquisitions and, also, to set forth the staff's usage of stewardship funds for

long-term maintenance of the acquired tracts.

So I will start with the overview of financial information. As usual, as I describe this, the staff keeps up with the commitments the board has made, almost like your checking account. So you've written a check. We need to be sure we can cash it when we get the tracts closed. So we keep a running total.

So that cash balance that would show in the account is approximately \$26.4 million. However, taking into account the following tracts that are in various stages of closing, that total available to the board is reduced. Let me remind everyone of the current tracts that are actively either under final negotiation or in closing.

Barbour WMA-Leak Creek Addition,
Barbour County. Red Hills-Parris Trust
Addition, Monroe County. Skyline

WMA-Crow Mountain Addition, Jackson

County. Slaughter Swap, Baldwin County.

Tannehill-Ayers Addition, Jefferson

County. Caldwell Swamp, Baldwin County.

Coldwater Mountain-Sarrell Addition,

Calhoun County. Weeks Bay

Reserve-Meadows Phase III Additions in

Baldwin County.

Taking all of that into account, the unencumbered cash balance is just over \$20 million. However, as we talk about in various meetings, you also have to keep an eye on what is your capital spending authority. That is derived pursuant to certain state budgeting procedures. So the land cost of an acquisition is considered a capital expense. So we have to keep in mind as you make various motions whether you have enough capital spending authority available.

The 15 percent stewardship amount that is transferred to the stewardship

account, by law, with each acquisition of acreage comes out of your cash. So staff's trying to watch that too. But it does not come out of your capital spending authority.

So as we move forward today, as always -- I don't always say this -- but as you're making motions, staff is keeping up with the math. So if we tell you to hang on, just hang on. And as I've told some of y'all, the staff does not let me do math, so I do have to rely on them. It has never gone well in the past. I went to law school for a reason.

So looking at, again, your available cash balance, just over \$20 million.

Your available capital spending authority is about \$18.3 million. So, again, we'll help you keep an eye on that.

As we've crossed into a new fiscal year -- our state fiscal year runs

October 1 to September 30. I'm not 1 going to go through a list of all the closings from the prior fiscal year, but 3 we ended up -- out of 19 tracts that the board instructed staff to try to close, 5 6 we were able to acquire 16 tracts -three offers were declined. But we ended up acquiring 16 tracts for over 8 9 8,000 -- totaling over 8,000 acres. So that was the fiscal year-end total for 10 11 acquisitions. 12 Before I move on to stewardship 13 fund, any questions from the board on the land trust numbers? 14 (No response.) 15 MS. McCURDY: I will then move into the 16 stewardship fund report. That's 17 Tab 2-B. 18 19 I'm sorry. I forgot to say the other was 2-A. 20 This is Tab 2-B. You're actually 21 going to see two pieces of paper. One 2.2 23 gives you, because we have completed a

fiscal year, what we believe will be the
final accounting of the stewardship

funds that staff has spent on the tracts
for maintenance and improvements. There

could be slight adjustments. We're not
totally done with the fiscal year-end

accounting procedures.

But we believe of the \$1.5 million spending authority that the board allowed from the stewardship fund, we've spent just over \$1.2 million. So we were able to stay within that budget authority.

The next page would be the current fiscal year, now FY18 -- 2018-2019 or we will call it FY19. The stewardship fund cash balance is roughly \$33.6 million.

Again, that balance is always high because the goal is to utilize interest proceeds to perform the work that we need to do or at least have those proceeds offset that work.

We again for this year, pursuant to

2.2

\$1.5 million as the spending authority for stewardship funds for maintenance on the tracts for this fiscal year.

Obviously it's early in the fiscal year.

So you only see 33,000 having been spent so far, but we will be utilizing the rest of those funds as we proceed.

We're currently not aware of any special expenses that would alter what we have previously presented to the board as our expectation of the needs.

Any questions on stewardship fund?

(No response.)

MS. McCURDY: So the next item we will change up a little bit. Under Tab 3 -Tab 3-A, this listing is our appraised nominations listing. This provides information to the board of the appraisals that have been actually received following board motion. Once a board member motions for first appraisal, there is additional work that

the staff has to do in talking with the 1 landowner to be sure we have all the deed information, any encumbrance 3 information so that we can be sure when 5 we engage the appraisal we are 6 appraising correct acreage, correct type of interest. And so there's sometimes a lag between that motion and when we 8 9 receive the appraisal. 10

But I'm going to run through the appraisals that were received and currently are available for further board action.

We have Beaverdam Swamp, Limestone
County. Big Canoe Creek-Epps Addition,
St. Clair County. Cahaba River-Mohon
Tract, Bibb County. Cahaba River-Savage
Creek, Shelby. Coldwater
Mountain-Martin Addition, Calhoun.
D'Olive Bay, Baldwin County. Freedom
Hills WMA-Buzzard Roost Creek Addition,
Colbert. Freedom Hills WMA-Robbins
Addition. Guntersville State Park-Smith

22

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

Cemetery Addition, Marshall County. Red
Hills-Brown Schutt Trust Additions,
Monroe County. Red Hills-Flat Creek
Phase I. Red Hills-Section 2. Thigpen
Hill, Butler County.

So roughly that's about \$37 million in appraised properties. So we have a little difference between what you've got appraised and what you've got available. But you have a lot before you and a lot of opportunities -- good opportunities on that list.

We mentioned -- had a couple of speakers earlier. I did want to confirm that both -- as to the Grand Bay Savanna-Little River Addition, we were provided -- Mr. Northcutt through The Nature Conservancy did provide us an appraisal on that property which has been reviewed and accepted by the board as meeting standards for that -- although a donation, we still needed an appraisal to be able to factor the

15 percent transfer that would be the 1 cost associated on that. We also from Mr. Herndon did receive 3 an appraisal, as he indicated, on the Blackwater River South Tract that was 5 reviewed and found consistent with 6 standards by staff. So were not ordered by the board but were provided for your 8 use at no cost on those tracts. And they were performed by our usual 10 11 contractors that -- or our pool of 12 appraisers. 13 So that is the report on the appraised nominations that -- the 14 returned appraisals. Any questions on 15 16 any of that? If not, I will move into grant 17 status. 18 19 (No response.) MS. McCURDY: And normally Doug does this, but 20 you're stuck with me today. He's a 21 little busy. 2.2 Because of the number of 23

1.3

opportunities we currently have, I did put just an outline of information under Tab 5 that you will see as 5-G that I thought might help keep the various opportunities straight.

As the board knows, the staff continues to try to find either grant opportunities or various leveraging opportunities that we can bring back to the board in association with any of the tracts that have short-listed. The board does not have to — the board can choose to move forward without regard to grant funds. You don't have to wait for those. But it is to let you know of potential opportunities that might be along the way. Today I have news as to both awards we received and some other grant applications in process.

So we have two grant opportunities connected with two of the Red Hills tracts, the Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase I and Red Hills-Section 2 Tract. These

are through programs sponsored by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. So this is federal grant money. As we reported at the last meeting, we have actually received awards that would be available for use.

On the Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase I, in effect, \$2 million could be applied toward purchase. Pursuant to that application, the acreage acquired with the \$2 million in grant funds would be deeded to DCNR's Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division that Chuck heads up, and the remaining portion, considered a match in that situation, would be deeded, as usual, you know, to the Forever Wild program.

The Red Hills-Section 2 -- and I'm sorry. The Red Hills-Flat Creek is
Tab 3, page 16, if anybody wants to look at a map. So, again, Tab 3-B, page 16.

Red Hills-Section 2 Tract is right after that, Tab 3-B, page 17. That is a

330-acre tract, and we were able to obtain \$250,000 to be applied toward the purchase. Pursuant to that application award, that entire 330 acres would be Forever Wild's ownership there.

Those two opportunities -- if the board chose to want to take advantage of those opportunities, we would need to have board action well enough in advance of the close of 2021 to ensure that we could fully close the transaction -- fully close it prior to the end of 2021. So there is time, but I mention it to the board because, obviously, you can't wait till the last minute. We'd have to be sure we could get it closed. But you have until 2021 on that.

And let me say as to all of these tracts -- I should have mentioned this -- any motion you may want to make would come later in the meeting in either general discussion or the miscellaneous section. This is really

just to alert you to these opportunities

at this point. If you have any desire

to make a motion connected with either

of those two Red Hills tracts, that

6 portion of the agenda.

Any questions on the Red Hills? If not, I'll move to the Grand Bay
Savanna-Little River Addition
nomination.

would be done in the general discussion

(No response.)

MS. McCURDY: You heard Mr. Northcutt speak on this earlier, heard information at prior meetings. This is an opportunity through the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, or NFWF as we call them, through the Deepwater Horizon oil spill funds. The Nature Conservancy, using NFWF funds, would be acquiring the property. Also pursuant to those funds, they've been able to pay for the necessary appraisals, surveys, environmental reports.

If you look at Tab 3 -- excuse me -Tab 5-C, there's a memo detailing that
transaction. We'll be covering that in
the miscellaneous reports section. But
it has maps attached and provides
additional information. But the cost to
the program would just be the 15 percent
of appraised value which would need to
be transferred to the stewardship fund.

Any motion, if you wanted to make one on this, could be made either in general discussion or when I provide the update, as usual, in the miscellaneous reports section, either one.

Another opportunity we have is related to the D'Olive Bay Tract. If you look on Tab 3-B, page 9, you will see -- of appraised nominations, you will see a map for, again, D'Olive Bay. Our staff in State Lands work in partnership with the City of Daphne. And I do want to thank the City of Daphne. They did a lot of legwork on

Л

the grant application and providing information to us to help us get that in.

But that was another -- another grant application submitted through the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. We do not have any news back. They are set to make award announcements in January. So I expect to have more information by the February meeting on that.

But to preview that a little bit, if
the grant is awarded, we would expect
the amount of funds available when
combined with -- if you'll remember on
this tract there are three owners, two
of which are donating acreage. Between
the donated acreage from the sellers,
the landowners, and these grant funds,
the end result would result in the
potential for a no-cost acquisition by
the board, again, the standard
15 percent transfer like we just
discussed on Little River. But it is an

opportunity to have a no-cost acquisition.

But we'll know more about that, I hope, in January and can report back more in February. Again, the board could proceed without waiting on that, but I did want to alert the board to the timing of that news or at least what we hope to be the timing of that news.

The final opportunity -- and we will -- you've got a memo, Tab 5-D, that we've prepared and we can discuss further in the miscellaneous reports section. This is revisiting the Weeks Bay Reserve-Meadows Phase III Tract.

As you may recall, this tract has

NOAA -- federal NOAA funds available for

50 percent of the acreage to be

acquired. However, the reason we added

a memo in Tab 5-D is we have had another

reduction in available acreage due to

landowners partially withdrawing some

previously offered as willing seller

acreage.

So Tab 5-D has a map showing you what that nomination would look like That is a tract that had been previously appraised. The way it was appraised, we can simply back out the acreage that we've lost. That does not present a problem. But we will cover that again in miscellaneous reports. Because of the reduction in acreage, I want to come back and have discussion from the board to confirm if you want the staff to continue moving forward toward closing. That tract had already received a motion to proceed to close. So we will revisit that in miscellaneous reports.

Oh, and I'm sorry. The NOAA grant for that tract, we would need to be able to close that tract -- action time to close that tract prior to the end of 2019.

So that's the deadlines and

21

2.2

information on those. Any questions on 1 that information? 3 (No response.) CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Go ahead. 4 MS. McCURDY: Okay. And I will also note that 5 6 Chuck didn't really have anything. Chuck, if you have something to add since we last talked -- okay. 8 9 Yeah. Sometimes Chuck will get up and have some news for you. Didn't have 10 11 any news today. So that's all I've got 12 for grant status. 13 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. MS. McCURDY: And then we will move into the 14 short-list presentation from Jo. 15 16 Thank you very much. MS. LEWIS: Good afternoon. I'm Jo Lewis. 17 I'm on the staff of the State Lands 18 19 Division, and I'm going to present the 20 short list this afternoon. We're covering Tab 4. There's four subtabs, 21 4-A, B, and C. 2.2 23 Tab 4-A is the short list presented

in two formats. One is alphabetical, and the other is a table form so that one can see the nominations in the different categories of use or geographic areas.

Tab 4-B is a narrative and map of each of the short-listed tracts.

And Tab 4-C is a list of all currently available nominations -- and by available, the landowners are willing participants and would consider an offer should one be made. This includes the short list and the tracts that didn't score well enough to be on the short list.

So back to Tab 4-A. The short list is composed of nominations that scored in the top-three slots in each category of use in each geographic region of the state. Hypothetically there could be 36. As is often the case, there are nominations that fit the criteria for some of the categories of use and will

not have all three -- or nine slots 1 filled, or some of the nominations are very attractive and they short-list in 3 more than one category of use. We have 17 nominations today. 5 6 fall in 12 different counties, and they vary in size from 55 acres to 11,400 They are distributed throughout 8 acres. 9 the state from Calhoun County to Clarke. I'll read their names. 10 11 I'll start with Bains Gap in Calhoun --12 13 MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman? 14 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes. MR. SATTERFIELD: I have a question of staff. 15 This was nominated in 2011. 16 17 the new process we've adopted, why is that still on the list as opposed to 18 19 being removed? MS. LEWIS: It's never been on the short list 20 before. So you've never had the 21 opportunity to make a decision based --2.2 23 because it doesn't score well enough --

it has never scored well enough to be on the short list before. It had very little competition. Therefore, it is now on the short list because other tracts have either been purchased or removed --

- MR. SATTERFIELD: Okay. So that policy only applies to things that have actually made the short list?
- MS. McCURDY: The short list, correct.

The short list is a living list. As scores change and properties are acquired -- when you motion for a first appraisal, that tract on the short list comes off the short list, moves onto appraised nominations -- even if we have to hold the appraisal for some reason -- to open up the space to allow something else to elevate on the short list to give you fresh opportunities.

This one has just now scored well enough in a category to roll into a short-list position. The procedures

2.2

that we implemented related only to removal of items that would be seen as, for lack of a better word, clogging up the short list because they had never

been motioned for appraisal.

2.0

So our process applies to that and applies to cleaning off nominations that have sat on the appraised nominations list with no action. We have never had a process related to saying that a nomination — as long as — we confirm willing seller status to be sure it's still available and they're still okay with their nomination being considered by the program. We take that action. But it stays in the pool as long as it's an active nomination available for rolling onto the short list. So that's the difference.

MR. SATTERFIELD: So in order to get here,
you've already checked to see if the
landowner is still willing to sell
that --

MS. McCURDY: Correct. 1 MR. SATTERFIELD: -- to keep it an active nomination? 3 MS. McCURDY: Correct. MR. SATTERFIELD: Okay. Because that's a lot 5 6 of years in between. MS. McCURDY: Correct. No. We would make a check each 8 9 year. You know, from one month to another somebody may have gotten a 10 11 property -- you know, something may have 12 happened. 13 But, yes, we make that check and -but you will see that a lot of times 14 when -- there are certain individuals 15 16 who, frankly, want this program to acquire their acreage and are not even 17 actively, you know, placing it on the 18 market, so it does stay available. 19 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. Thank you. 20 Ms. Lewis. 21 MS. LEWIS: So that was Bains Gap. 2.2 We have Blackwater River South 23

1	Tract, 2300 acres in Baldwin County.
2	I have Cahaba River-High Pines
3	Tract, 325 acres in Bibb County.
4	We have Coldwater Mountain-Oxanna
5	Addition, which is 62 acres in Calhoun
6	County. Coldwater Mountain-Young
7	Addition, which is 59 acres in Calhoun
8	County.
9	We have Grand Bay Savanna, or GBS,
10	Little River Addition at 377 acres in
11	Mobile County.
12	We have Hobbs Island, which is 334
13	acres in Madison County.
14	We have Hollins Wildlife Management
15	Area Addition, 1,161 acres in Clay
16	County.
17	Magnolia South Tract, 11,434 acres
18	in Baldwin County.
19	We have MTD or Mobile-Tensaw
20	Delta-Three Lakes Tract, which is 8,200
21	acres in Clarke County.
22	We have Old Cahawba Prairie-S&P
23	Addition, 590 acres in Dallas County.

1	We have Saginaw Swamp, 160 acres in
2	Shelby County.
3	We have Shelby Crossroads, 861
4	acres, according to tax records, in
5	Shelby County.
6	We have Sipsey River Swamp-Mill
7	Creek Addition, 274 acres in Tuscaloosa
8	County.
9	We have Skyline Wildlife Management
10	Area-Bishop's Cove, 391 acres in Jackson
11	County.
12	We have Tannehill-South Addition,
13	422 acres in Bibb and Shelby Counties.
14	And we have Terrapin Hill, the
15	amended version, 471 acres in Coosa
16	County.
17	I'll be happy to address any
18	questions you have about these
19	nominations.
20	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any questions?
21	(No response.)
22	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Jo.
23	MS. LEWIS: Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Now, agenda item 6,
2	general discussion from board members.
3	MR. ELLIS: Mr. Chairman?
4	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir.
5	MR. ELLIS: I'd like to move for second
6	appraisal and purchase of the Cahaba
7	River-Savage Creek Tract.
8	MR. HORN: I second that.
9	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. So
10	Mr. Ellis has motioned for a second
11	appraisal and move to purchase on the
12	Cahaba River-Savage Creek Tract.
13	Motioned by Mr. Ellis. Seconded by
14	Mr. Horn.
15	Is there any discussion?
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor say
18	"aye."
19	(All board members present respond
20	"aye.")
21	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
22	(No response.)
23	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: No opposed. It was

1	unanimous.
2	Anyone else?
3	MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman?
4	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir, Mr. Wright.
5	MR. WRIGHT: I would like to make a motion for
6	second appraisal and move to purchase on
7	the Big Canoe Creek-Epps Addition, 40
8	acres in St. Clair County.
9	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. Is there a
10	second?
11	MR. OATES: I'll second that.
12	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: And that's Rick Oates.
13	So the motion was made by
14	Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Oates for a
15	second appraisal and move to purchase on
16	the Big Canoe Creek-Epps Addition.
17	Any discussion?
18	(No response.)
19	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: No discussion.
20	All those in favor say "aye."
21	(All board members present respond
22	"aye.")
23	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?

1 (No response.) CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: No opposed. Passes unanimously. 3 MR. JONES: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Jones. 5 MR. JONES: I would like to make a motion for 6 a second appraisal on the Beaverdam Swamp and proceed to purchase with the 8 condition that Forever Wild's 9 contribution toward acquisition not 10 11 exceed 75 percent of the confirmed 12 appraisal value. 13 MR. HORN: I second that. 14 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. So Mr. Jones has motioned and Mr. Horn has 15 16 seconded a motion for a second appraisal and move to purchase on the Beaverdam 17 Swamp property of 75 percent of the 18 confirmed -- with no more than 19 20 75 percent of the confirmed appraisal value. Is that correct? 21 MR. JONES: Not to exceed it, yes. 2.2 23 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Not to exceed it.

1	MR. JONES: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Not to exceed
3	75 percent of the confirmed appraisal
4	value.
5	That is the motion and second?
6	MR. HORN: Yes, sir.
7	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any other discussion?
8	(No response.)
9	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor say
10	"aye."
11	(All board members present respond
12	"aye.")
13	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
14	(No response.)
15	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: No opposed. Motion
16	carries. Unanimous.
17	Any other motions?
18	(No response.)
19	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seeing none, we'll move
20	into the miscellaneous reports, Tab 5.
21	Are you handling that, Patti?
22	MS. McCURDY: I am.
23	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Since Doug is not here,

you've got that.

MS. McCURDY: I'll let Doug do the next time.

Okay. If you will just turn to

Tab 5 in your packet. I'm just going to

roughly go through -- some of those are

just updates we don't have to go

through, but I will -- but if you'll go

ahead and turn to 5.

5-A relates back to our prior discussion of the process adopted by the board for staff to automatically remove stale either short-list nominations or appraised nominations -- and by stale, those that have not had certain board -- or any board actions defined by the process set by the board.

So at this point in each meeting I'm alerting the board to what will be removed between now and the next meeting automatically by staff unless the board votes to retain it, which is no problem. But it will be removed if you don't take action.

2.2

This time the only impacted nomination would be an appraised nomination shown on Tab 3-A and the map on 3-B for the Skyline WMA-Bean Addition. Unless there is a motion for an action by this board to retain that, that will be removed from your appraised -- your 3-A listing for the next meeting.

And so hearing no objection, staff will proceed with that removal.

If you'll look at Tab 5-B. We often have at meetings the need for action for the board to adopt management plans for the various tracts we've acquired. By law we are required to implement a management plan approved by the board within a year of acquisition.

What you'll see on memo 5-B is a listing of tracts that we would like to go ahead and put forth to the board for management plan approval. All three of these tracts happen to be either

contiguous or very close to existing tracts that the staff considered made sense to include them into existing management plans. So I'm going to run through that. You have all those management plans attached to 5-B.

The three tracts would be the Coon Gulf-Heard Addition, Jackson County, which would be added to the Coon Gulf Complex Management Plan.

Second, Grand Bay Savanna-Solet

Addition, Baldwin County, to be added to
the Grand Bay Savanna Complex Management
Plan.

Third, Old Cahawba Prairie-Childers
Creek Addition, Dallas County. This
would be added to the Old Cahawba
Prairie Complex Management Plan.

I'm happy to address any questions by the board as to any individual management plans. If there are none, we will need a motion to accept that -- acceptance of those management plans.

1	But, first, any questions?
2	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any questions?
3	MR. HORN: Mr. Chairman, I would move that the
4	board approve the State Lands Division
5	proceeding with the inclusion of the
6	tracts as set forth in the memorandum
7	dated November 8th, 2018, into existing
8	management plans attached to this
9	memorandum.
10	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So a motion has been
11	made by Mr. Horn.
12	Is there a second?
13	MR. JONES: Second.
14	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Second by Mr. Jones.
15	Any discussion? Any questions?
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor say
18	"aye."
19	(All board members present respond
20	"aye.")
21	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
22	(No response.)
23	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. Motion

carries. Thank you, sir.

MS. McCURDY: All right. We'll now look at

Tab 5-C. This is an update which you

did hear me reference in the grants

section, but I wanted to provide a full

update to the board on the Grand Bay

Savanna-Little River Addition tract

donation opportunity.

Again, this is a tract encompassing 363 approximate acres, and it provides — as you will see by the map attached to 5-C, provides acreage that would be an inholding that would be part of the Forever Wild Grand Bay Savanna Complex.

Again, this is being offered as

100 percent donation and would require a

15 percent stewardship transfer. The

cost of this -- appraisal, survey,

closing costs, title insurance,

recording fees, a lot of the usual costs

to this board -- are available to be

paid for through NFWF funds.

So the board is not required to take 1 any action today, but if you would like to, we did include a very specific 3 motion to account for the fact that those costs do -- will be borne by The 5 6 Nature Conservancy through NFWF, but basically third-party funds. Any questions? 8 9 MR. JONES: One question. Will this -- the management area land that's surrounding 10 11 this, will this be incorporated -- would this be incorporated into the management 12 13 area? 14 my indication was that --15

MS. McCURDY: I will ask Chuck to be sure, but

MR. SYKES: I'm sorry?

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

MS. McCURDY: For the Grand Bay Savanna-Little River Addition. It is surrounded by wildlife management area. And I know we have talked, but for the benefit of the board, you-all are agreeing to manage that --

MR. JONES: Okay. Thank you.

MS. McCURDY: Any questions? 1 (No response.) CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: No other questions. 3 I think we talked about this tract. Mr. Northcutt spoke to it as a donation. 5 6 MS. McCURDY: And the reason for the specific update today, at the last meeting we had not had time to review all the due 8 diligence information such as the --9 we've now reviewed the surveys, the 10 11 appraisal, the Phase I Environmental 12 reports. And this is really the first 13 meeting that that's been clear where if 14 you want to accept the donation that you could. And that's the reason for this 15 16 specific information today. MR. HORN: Mr. Chairman? 17 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Horn. 18 19 MR. HORN: I move that the board approve the acceptance of the Grand Bay 20 Savanna-Little River Addition tract 21 donation and approve the staff 2.2 23 proceeding with closing the acquisition

1	with the understanding that, as
2	required, 15 percent of the appraised
3	value will be transferred to the
4	stewardship fund.
5	In addition, this motion is
6	conditioned on payment through
7	third-party funds of all costs and/or
8	fees associated with appraisals,
9	environmental reports, title insurance,
10	closing, and recording.
11	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Horn has made a
12	motion.
13	MR. WRIGHT: I second it.
14	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Mr. Wright.
15	Is that correct?
16	MR. WRIGHT: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, Mr. Wright.
18	Any discussion?
19	(No response.)
20	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: No discussion.
21	All those in favor say "aye."
22	(All board members present respond
23	"aye.")

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? 1 (No response.) CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. Motion 3 carries unanimously. 5 MS. McCURDY: Thank you. Now if you'll look at Tab 5-D. 6 This is another item that I referenced in the grant status update because of the 8 9 availability of federal NOAA, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 10 11 funds to provide 15 percent of the purchase for the Weeks Bay 12 13 Reserve-Meadows III Addition. The 14 reason you again see this specific memo in the packet today is that since our 15 16 last meeting we've had another reduction of acreage due to a landowner 17 withdrawing part of the originally 18 offered as willing seller acreage that 19 would take this nomination from 47 acres 20 down to 29 acres. 21 You will see on the attached map in 2.2 23 blue -- the bordered by blue nomination

2.2

is how it's designated in the legend.

It is a separate, contained parcel that has been pulled out.

As usual, regardless of the grant opportunity, simply, you know, reduction after the board -- well, this is one the board had motioned for staff to take to purchase. Since we've had a change in acreage after that motion, we normally bring this back to you for review.

Before we do so, staff always
reviews this change. The staff has
determined that the reduction with this
particular tract nomination would not
negatively impact the score either
because there's not a negative access
impact and that the attributes of
habitat protection and conservation in
the Weeks Bay area still contributes to
the efforts of the Weeks Bay Natural
Estuarine Research Reserve in the area.

So the tract would still score fine, but I do want to bring back to the board

for direction one way or the other

whether to proceed -- continue to

proceed with the purchase with the

reduced 29 acres, of which 14.5 would be

covered by NOAA money.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir,
Mr. Satterfield.

2.2

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I've lost track of the history of this tract.

As I understood it, originally we started out several meetings ago with 124 acres. Then it went down to 79. Then it went down to 47. And now it's down to 29. And I, for one, have lost track of even the appraisal numbers on that. So I would suggest we hold -- I would suggest we just hold this one in abeyance until the next meeting so we can get a better definition on what that appraised number is now for this reduced acreage. Because I don't even have it in my records anymore.

MS. McCURDY: Well, because it actually moved

to the closing process, Tab 2-A would 1 reflect, under adjustments under negotiation on 2-A, the cost to the 3 program. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So is --5 6 MS. McCURDY: We corrected it. Tab 2-A, Weeks Bay Reserve-Meadows III Addition, 29 acres. It is reflected on the tab --8 9 we're still -- in other words, that's still an amount, although reduced now --10 11 because we had a prior motion, we were still reserving funds in case it went 12 13 forward. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I think Mr. Satterfield 14 may have a question -- and I'm not 15 16 trying to put words in your mouth. in removing those other acres that touch 17 Weeks Bay, the 29 acres that are left --18 has that been --19 MS. McCURDY: Yes. 20 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: -- taken into account 21 the different category of those acres 2.2 23 versus the other acreage to come up with the correct value?

- MS. Mccurdy: In this particular scenario, the appraisal actually had to separate out the parcels anyway. So we were very easily able to correct the values. Sometimes we do not do that based on how an appraisal was done, but due to the separate tracts here, we were able more easily than usual to make that adjustment. It doesn't mean you have to move forward. We can wait until the next meeting and provide any additional information, but it was an unusual circumstance of being able to simply take out that parcel.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: Well, I guess I'm also kind of flummoxed by the scoring system. We started out with a larger piece and you keep shrinking it down to smaller and smaller and smaller numbers. I don't understand how the scoring system can end up being the same on a tiny little tract when we had something that was

2.2

four times bigger.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

MS. McCURDY: Absolutely. And that you normally -- rarely would see the scoring remaining good enough to stay on the short list with that kind of reduction other than oftentimes in the nature preserve category. Because if it's still providing protection in an area here bounded by other acreage in the Research Reserve and the importance of the Weeks Bay area, it actually does maintain its scoring.

But you are correct. Just like with the appraisal, this is an odd scenario where normally such a proportional reduction in acreage normally would alter the score.

But, again, it does not mean the board has to move on it. It doesn't mean you have to do anything. But that is why this looks odd is due to the area that it's in.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So just for my

FOREVER WILD NOVEMBER 8, 2018

clarification and the board's 1 clarification, if they do not make a motion to continue to proceed with this 3 new acreage, would the original motion 5 to purchase it -- would the agency just 6 not do anything on the tract? MS. McCURDY: I would not feel comfortable technically because it is a lesser 8 9 value, one could argue. It is not an action I would feel comfortable taking 10 11 as staff without further direction. 12 So if you take no action, it will 13 simply -- we can bring it up later or not at all. But I don't feel 14 comfortable -- this is where I come back 15 16 to you and tell you that I don't feel comfortable without further action --17 further direction from the board. 18 19 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. Is there any desire to take any further action on 20 this property? 21 (No response.) 2.2 23 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seeing none ...

MS. McCURDY: The next tab, 5-E, I'm not going to spend too much time on that. It requires no board action whatsoever today. I just wanted to mention that we have -- Forever Wild holds some acreage down in Baldwin County that is part of the Historic Blakeley State Park.

And let me also clarify, it's not a state park within our DCNR system. It's a standalone historic state park.

It was purchased many years ago to help Blakeley provide additional acreage for hiking, nature trails, wildlife viewing, and also, obviously, it enhanced protection of historical sites at the park. As part of that acquisition, Blakeley staff remains responsible for the day-to-day maintenance of the property. They maintain the trails, the signage. They deal with public access as part of the trails system. The boundaries are not, similar to other properties, overly

marked in order to try to avoid confusion of the public of where they can and can't go on the tract.

Inadvertently, Blakeley has just across the line constructed a couple of cabins and a horse stable that we're going to need to address in some manner getting them back on Blakeley property as opposed to Forever Wild property. We are looking at that and working with their staff.

The reason these were conducted and future planning is being done by Blakeley is because they are -- it's part of their master planning in order to try to take advantage of a tax that was passed in Baldwin County that would actually provide Blakeley funds to do capital improvements. Obviously such improvements would benefit the overall usage of the tract and our value on our acreage to the public.

But they are evaluating where they

may want to go in the future. We're probably going to be coming back to the board discussing a swap that would account for where some of those improvements would occur. I don't have a lot more information right now. I just want to alert the board, and you'll hear us come back with that additional information once Blakeley and their foundation and our staff has had more time to suggest a path forward. But that will be additional action at a future meeting.

Tab 5-F. I just wanted to report to the board good news. Following
Hurricane Michael, we did an assessment of all of our Forever Wild tracts in the storm's path, the highly impacted areas, and I, very fortunately, can report to you that the Forever Wild acreage, as opposed to a lot of the other acreage, did not sustain really what I would call significant damage. We did at the

-

/

Dothan Trails Park, as so many areas in Dothan, had a lot of trees down. Those were not harvestable trees. And although downed and blocking — other than blocking some of the trails didn't really present a safety — immediate safety concern, but the trails were closed due to that.

State Lands staff has been assisting the City of Dothan crews in cleaning that up, getting the trails opened back up as soon as possible. I really want to publicly commend the efforts of both the City of Dothan and the local club — bike clubs there. I mean, it is a great partnership, just like we've seen with Shelby County, that really assists this program and saves us a lot of time and money when something like this does happen.

But, anyway, so basically good news.

But there have been some closures on

trails at the Dothan tract. So I did

want you to be aware if you've heard 1 that why (inaudible to the court reporter). 3 Any questions on that? 5 (No response.) 6 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. Thank you, ma'am. MS. McCURDY: Actually, the other items we've 8 9 covered elsewhere in the meeting. So that really -- from staff's side, I 10 11 think, unless Jo has anything -- I think that takes us through our miscellaneous 12 13 reports. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. So the next 14 order of business is the approval of the 15 16 minutes from the August the 9th meeting. I think those are in your packet. 17 Is there any motion to approve the 18 minutes or any corrections from the 19 meetings? 20 MR. HORN: I move that we approve the minutes 21 as presented. 2.2 23 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Horn.

1	MR. OATES: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. Mr. Oates
3	has seconded. Mr. Horn motioned.
4	Any other corrections?
5	(No response.)
6	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All of those in favor
7	of approving the minutes, say "aye."
8	(All board members present respond
9	"aye.")
10	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
11	(No response.)
12	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed.
13	Our next meeting will be February
14	the 7th tentatively set for February
15	the 7th tentatively in pen set for
16	February the 7th in Montgomery. So if
17	you'll put that on your calendars.
18	And we do have at this time we
19	would like to make a presentation to
20	Mr. Jones and Mr. Ellis. And then
21	Mr. Sonny Cauthen, who was not able to
22	be with us today, we'll present
23	Mr. Sonny recognition at a point in the

1 future.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

So if I can get Mr. Jones and Mr. Ellis to join me.

These are certificates. It says: Known to all these present that Mr. Butch Ellis and Mr. Raymond Jones have shown sincere commitment to conservation in the state of Alabama and have demonstrated their dedication to the protection of Alabama's unique natural heritage through participation in a program to acquire public land in order that current and future generations of Alabamians may continue to appreciate and enjoy the state's diverse natural resources. In our sincere gratitude, we recognize and commend your outstanding efforts to ensure that part of Alabama remains Forever Wild. Done this 8th day of November by Governor Kay Ivey and Christopher M. Blankenship.

Thank you both for your service to

1	the board and to the people of Alabama.
2	(Applause.)
3	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I don't think there's
4	any other business. I think I just need
5	a motion to adjourn.
6	MR. SATTERFIELD: So move.
7	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So Mr. Satterfield
8	moved to adjourn, seconded by Dr. Woods.
9	All those in favor say "aye."
10	(All board members present respond
11	"aye.")
12	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: We are adjourned.
13	
14	
15	(Meeting adjourned at
16	approximately 1:01 p.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

- 1	
1	* * * * * * * *
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
3	* * * * * * * *
4	STATE OF ALABAMA:
5	MONTGOMERY COUNTY:
6	I, Tracye Sadler Blackwell, Certified
7	Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State of
8	Alabama at Large, do hereby certify that I reported
9	the foregoing proceedings of the Forever Wild Board
LO	Meeting on November 8, 2018.
L1	The foregoing 123 computer-printed pages
L2	contain a true and correct transcript of the
L3	proceedings held.
L4	I further certify that I am neither of
L5	kin nor of counsel to the parties to said cause nor
L6	in any manner interested in the results thereof.
L7	This 2nd day of January 2019.
L8	
L9	
20	/s/ TRACYE SADLER BLACKWELL
21	ACCR No. 294 Expiration date: 9-30-2019
22	Certified Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State
23	of Alabama at Large