1	MINUTES OF THE
2	FOREVER WILD BOARD MEETING
3	5 Rivers Delta Resource Center
4	Spanish Fort, Alabama
5	December 10, 2015
6	
7	
8	
9	* * * * * * * * * *
10	
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
12	
13	* * * * * * * * * *
14	
15	
16	Proceedings taken before Tracye
17	Sadler Blackwell, Certified Court Reporter, ACCR
18	No. 294, and Commissioner for the State of Alabama
19	at Large, at the 5 Rivers Delta Resource Center,
20	Spanish Fort, Alabama, on Thursday, December 10,
21	2015, commencing at approximately 10:08 a.m.
22	
23	* * * * * * * * * * *

## **BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:** 1 Mr. N. Gunter Guy, Jr. Commissioner Dr. John Valentine 3 Dr. Gary Hepp Mr. Frank "Butch" Ellis 4 Mr. Leo Allen Mr. Charles E. Ball Mr. Horace H.Horn, Jr. 6 Mr. Greg Pate Dr. Michael Woods 7 8 9 10 Welcome, everyone, to our 11 COMMISSIONER GUY: December 10, 2015, Forever Wild Board 12 Meeting. At this time I'll call the 13 meeting to order and see if we have a 14 quorum by the call of the roll. 15 Mr. Pate? 16 17 MR. PATE: Here. 18 COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Hepp? DR. HEPP: Here. 19 20 COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Woods? DR. WOODS: Here. 2.1 COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Ellis? 2.2 MR. ELLIS: Here. 23

1	COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Ball?
2	MR. BALL: Here.
3	COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Strickland?
4	(No response.)
5	COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Valentine?
6	DR. VALENTINE: Here.
7	COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Allen?
8	MR. ALLEN: Here.
9	COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Horn?
10	MR. HORN: Here.
11	COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Cauthen?
12	(No response.)
13	COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Runyan?
14	(No response.)
15	COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Porter?
16	(No response.)
17	COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Sims?
18	(No response.)
19	COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Jordan?
20	(No response).
21	COMMISSIONER GUY: So with myself, we have
22	nine members, and that does constitute a
23	quorum. So we can conduct business

today. So barely made it. Thanks, 1 everybody, for showing up. I know it's 3 a tough time of the year, and I appreciate y'all being here so we 4 could conduct business today. 5 6 I know we have one special quest 7 here, Representative Randy Davis. Randy, where are you? 8 9 MR. DAVIS: Right here. 10 COMMISSIONER GUY: Glad to have you with us. MR. DAVIS: Good morning. 11 Thanks for coming today, 12 COMMISSIONER GUY: 13 Randy. We always appreciate you 14 attending these, and we appreciate your support. You're a valuable supporter of 15 16 our program. Next I would like to, as always, 17 thank Hank Burch and all the staff that 18 manages the Forever Wild facility here. 19 20 Hank, where are you? Is he here? Is he in here? 2.1 MS. POWELL: He's at an oil-spill meeting. 2.2 COMMISSIONER GUY: Oh, he's at an oil-spill 23

meeting. He's at an oil-spill meeting.

2.1

2.2

So we want everybody to know, if you don't, that this is a State Lands
Division facility, one of the great
assets that we provide to the public,
you know, and is used quite a bit. And
so it has great value to the public.

I don't know -- don't want to spend too much time on it, but I know thousands of children come through here and the public uses it for various and sundry things. So sometimes as we go into legislative sessions think about what we do provide when it comes to budgets and that kind of thing.

MS. POWELL: And, Commissioner, I would note and brag for just a second that we have -- our numbers aren't totally compiled, but we think we're going to exceed 70,000 visitors for this past -- this past year.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, Patti.

Okay. So with that -- and, please,

(334) 263-4455

for those who haven't been here before, you know, at this facility, if you want to see it, just let Patti know. I'm sure we can get somebody to take you around and show you the rest of the facility. You might have some need for it.

And the other thing is, I'm going to put a plug in because I've been there and it's pretty nice over there.

There's a gift shop on the way out, that building as you go out. So it is

Christmastime. So if you happen to need a little gift for a family member -
MS. POWELL: And I will say the exhibit hall is just that direction. So if you have

And do go by the gift shop. There's a requirement to buy something.

COMMISSIONER GUY: I wear a large.

any questions, let us know.

Okay. So it's time for our public comments. And I don't know if these -- they're not necessarily in any

2.1

2.2

particular order, but when I call your name, if you would, just my standard speech is come down to the microphone and then, you know, provide your comments.

We do have a court reporter here,

Tracye, who does such a wonderful job

for us. We'll -- it's required to take

down what you say for the minutes that

we provide to the public. So remember

to try to speak slowly. Don't get in

too big of a rush. We're okay with

that. And make sure -- that way she

gets exactly what you say.

So the first person that I have here is -- is it Marie Bestick or Bostick?

MS. BOSTICK: Bostick.

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, Ms. Bostick.

MS. BOSTICK: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Good morning.

MS. BOSTICK: I am Marie Bostick, the
executive director of the Land Trust of
North Alabama. I'm here today to speak

HAISLIP, RAGAN, GREEN, STARKIE & WATSON, P.C. (334) 263-4455

particularly on a property known as the MSP-Dug Hill addition. It is in Huntsville, Alabama. It is on Monte Sano Mountain, and it is adjacent to the Monte Sano State Park.

The property, you can see, on the map is actually in two parcels. It's 134 acres total. The blue area is actually the state park, and the yellow areas on either side are the nominated parcels. So the largest parcel is approximately 130 acres, and it's on the southeast side of the park. And then the smaller approximately 4-acre piece is on the western side of the park.

Both of the parcels are forested, typical hardwood upland properties.

There are two caves that are known on the property, and there are two very heavily used trails that cross the property already, particularly by our mountain-biking group who -- this property was before you in June. We

presented it. Y'all were kind enough to approve first appraisal. At that time we had several folks who were able to join us in support of this, and a lot of them were with our mountain-biking community.

I understand that the process has moved forward, that the appraisals have been completed, and that it is on your list for an overview of appraised nominations today. And I'm here to ask you to please consider a second appraisal and purchase of this property.

Be happy to answer any questions if you have any.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, Ms. Bostick.

Any questions?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, ma'am.

Steve Northcutt. Steve, good morning.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Chairman, could you get the microphone on, please?

2.1

2.2

1 COMMISSIONER GUY: Oh, sorry.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yours is fine.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yeah, I usually don't need a microphone.

MR. NORTHCUTT: Good morning. I'm Steve

Northcutt with The Nature Conservancy.

And I've come here today to talk about a property in Jackson County. It's the northeast county in the state.

The map I've given you shows
properties that have been acquired by
Forever Wild. And some of the color
codes, you'll see, are because some of
the properties were bought with federal
grants. The federal grant that bought
the light-yellow properties was through
a Forest Legacy grant. So the -- as you
know, the Lands Division works very hard
to try to leverage Forever Wild dollars.
So many of these properties were done
with federal dollars with Forever Wild
matching.

So today the small 40-acre tract

1.3

2.1

2.2

2.2

that is shown in blue is a property that
The Nature Conservancy acquired from the
same organization that bought all of the
property back in 2003 that was part of
an acquisition -- about 82,000 acres in
Alabama and Tennessee, and it's the same
company we bought the Walls of Jericho
from. So the Walls of Jericho in
Tennessee and Alabama is about 21,500
acres, 12,500 in Alabama and about nine
in Tennessee.

So these -- there were several small tracts that were being auctioned, and they came to us, since we had done business with them, and asked if we wanted to acquire some of these small properties before it went to auction.

So this 40-acre tract we're looking at is a nice addition because it provides connectivity to the larger block that you already own.

Interestingly, it was a timber company that bought this property. Because it's

difficult to get to, they never cut the 1 timber. So you have a 40-acre tract 3 that is natural intact hardwood, a lot of red oak, white oak. 4 And so this property would add 5 connectivity to your larger ownership 6 7 there. It is a wonderful area for deer-hunting, turkey-hunting. And so 8 this property we're offering and hope 9 10 you would consider doing a first appraisal. 11 Any questions on this particular 12 13 property? COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions? 14 15 (No response.) COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Got kind of a 16 quiet group today. 17 MR. NORTHCUTT: Before I leave, if you don't 18 mind, I want to bring up just one other 19 tract. A landowner had contacted me and 20

It's a property in Baldwin County.

will be extremely brief.

2.1

2.2

23

asked if I would just bring it up.

It is considered the Splinter Hill
Bog-North addition. That property has
had a first appraisal. The landowner
would consider an offer if you decided
to acquire that with the second
appraisal.

2.1

2.2

And I'll mention that The Nature

Conservancy with the state has

acquired -- I think the state may have

about 1500 acres. We have about 1600

acres there. Fantastic pitcher plant,

longleaf pine, slash pine community. If

anybody has ever been to that area, it's

some of the most spectacular pitcher

plant bogs you'll see. And so I just

mention that today as a courtesy to the

landowner.

COMMISSIONER GUY: And say it again. Splinter
Hill --

MR. NORTHCUTT: Splinter Hill Bog-North addition. It's right on the state's northern boundary of your ownership.

Okay.

HATCITA DACAM CORRN CHARVER C'E

COMMISSIONER GUY:

1	MR. NORTHCUTT: Thanks for letting me
2	COMMISSIONER GUY: And, Steve, did you on
3	this 40-acre tract here, what's the
4	asking on that?
5	MR. NORTHCUTT: Just whatever the appraised
6	value is.
7	COMMISSIONER GUY: Appraised value is.
8	MR. NORTHCUTT: Yeah. It doesn't matter.
9	COMMISSIONER GUY: Do you have an idea what
10	that is?
11	MR. NORTHCUTT: Well, our appraisal came in
12	with a timber cruise of \$101,000.
13	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Thank you.
14	Dan Dumont. Dan.
15	And are y'all hearing all right? Is
16	it still is it working?
17	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good.
18	COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you.
19	MR. DUMONT: Thank you, Commissioner, Board
20	Members. I'm Dan Dumont with the
21	Alabama Forest Resources Center, and I'm
22	here today to talk about the Wolf Bay
23	tract. It's under your pile there

somewhere. You've got a -- I'll give
you a minute to get that out.

MS. POWELL: It looks like this.

MR. DUMONT:

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Dan, lift up the microphone, please.

It's in a little brochure.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yeah, there you go.

MR. DUMONT: This is 569-acre tract of natural timber. It's got 2.6 miles of frontage on Wolf Bay. And Wolf Bay was the first water body in Alabama that was designated as an "Outstanding Alabama Water" because of the pristine nature of the water there. We think a lot of that is probably attributable to the fact that this tract buffers Wolf Bay from the farmland to the north.

There's a big stand of mature slash pine on this. It has some really valuable, you know, developable uplands and a good deal of forested wetlands.

It's a magnificent tract for neotropical migrant birds. It's loaded with deer.

And it's just one of the last large remaining natural tracts down on the coast.

And it hasn't been scored by the Department yet, but since you are here today, I thought I would come put it in front of you so you could have it in mind when the scoring comes back.

It belongs to the J.L. Bedsole

Foundation. They bought it at my

request so that it wouldn't be sold for

development, and they're holding it with

hopes that you or one of the other

agencies will take it out.

So it's a fantastic tract, really one of the last really fine tracts like that down in that part of the world. As you can see from the maps, it's kind of right opposite -- right north of Gulf State Park across the water body. And I think it's, you know, an obvious tract that needs to be acquired.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions for Dan?

1.3

2.1

2.2

Dan, I just -- you know, I'm 1 familiar a little bit with where that is 3 right there. MR. DUMONT: Yeah. 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: There's no other holdings 5 that we have around there, is there? 6 7 MR. DUMONT: No. Just the Gulf State Park across the water. 8 9 COMMISSIONER GUY: The park. But I'm just 10 saying, right there we don't have any? 11 MR. DUMONT: No, sir. No, sir. COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. What is this -- who 12 owns this to the south and west there? 13 14 MR. DUMONT: George Barber. COMMISSIONER GUY: Is that Barber's place? 15 16 Okay. That's what I thought. MR. DUMONT: Well, the one to the south and 17 east -- I'm not looking at the map, but 18 that's where his marina is. You can 19 20 see --COMMISSIONER GUY: This one? 2.1 MR. DUMONT: Yeah, that's George's. 2.2 I can't -- and that may be his too. 23

1	not sure.
2	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay.
3	MR. DUMONT: But and that 40-acre
4	inholding, you know, that's in the north
5	part of this tract, that's George Barber
6	as well. And he says he's not a seller
7	of land down there, but if we needed it
8	to make something happen, he would talk
9	to us.
10	COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes. I understand. That's
11	why I was asking.
12	MR. DUMONT: Yeah.
13	COMMISSIONER GUY: Any other questions?
14	(No response.)
15	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Thanks, Dan.
16	MR. DUMONT: Thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER GUY: Appreciate it very much.
18	So I have two people, I guess, that
19	are going to speak on this, Selena
20	Vaughn and Ashley Campbell.
21	MS. VAUGHN: Good morning. I'm Selena Vaughn
22	with Village Point Foundation, and this
23	is Ashley Campbell with the City of

Daphne, our environmental programs manager.

And I have to say, first of all, I'm just glad that Forever Wild is still here. Y'all gave us quite a scare a while back. I have to say that the other -- the other group that the foundation works with, the Alabama Historical Commission, I'm afraid they're not faring as well as Forever Wild.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thanks for your help.

MS. VAUGHN: We are here today to talk about something that we -- this application for the Friday Construction property in the City of Daphne right down the street, if you look at the front page here.

This application, I think, was the --

COMMISSIONER GUY: Can you wait just a second?

MS. VAUGHN: Sure.

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: Because I think they're

still passing it out. So that way we won't be behind.

Okay. Take your time. We're all right.

MS. VAUGHN: So this is actually something that was applied for last year, and we're hoping to bring it back to your attention and hope to get -- move a little closer to the top of the list.

The Village Point Foundation is 20 years old this year, and we first started because we realized really quickly that in the city of Daphne there was very little public access and waterfront being preserved. And we were able to work with the city and get Bayfront Park and Village Point Preserve. If you will flip over to page 2 and look at the bottom there, I mean, just in the mid '90s, May Day Park, a very small waterfront park, was all the waterfront holdings that the public had access to here.

2.1

2.2

And we are, you know, one of the fastest metropolitan growing areas, not only in the county, in the state and in the country. And we just feel the clock is ticking on preserving some public access and keeping some things from being developed on our waterfront so that you don't have to helicopter in or — in order to see the bay from here. And, also, we have a real issue, of course, with rainfall and our watershed and runoff, and we'd just really like to see some of this preserved.

The Foundation has identified several properties, and I wanted to show you an overall -- here on page 2 -- an overall project of how this property we're talking about today fits in.

First of all, we have the Gator

Alley Park here at the very north end of
the park. And that's actually ALDOT
property that we have gone in and made
into a park. And we have just gotten

some grant money and are spending some money and fixing to really expand that because it is so popular. And Ashley is going to talk about that in a minute.

This property that we're applying for -- hoping that you will consider is on the north -- is north of I-10 and would just be a natural extension of Gator Alley that is already there and already very popular. If you -- the overall vision of the Foundation is to connect Gator Alley eventually all the way down Daphne's coast to Village Point Preserve with a series of scenic overlooks, boardwalks, and sidewalks.

We're currently looking at right now, kind of in the middle of the page, the yellow square there, 12 acres on the bay to begin our scenic overlook, kind of a center spot where we would begin going both north and south connecting the boardwalks over time as we could do it to make that connection happen.

And ultimately what would really be nice, if Forever Wild was to help us with this extension of Gator Alley, is to be part of the ultimate connection all the way up here to 5 Rivers so that all the way from 5 Rivers all the way down to Point Clear would have some sort of access, pedestrian and bicycling and ...

So let's look on over to page 3.

This is a close-up of the property we're talking about today. The property in kind of the lavender color and orange, that is the Friday Construction property. And we're talking about approximately a hundred acres. And further back there is the county tax appraisal. I think they have it at about 175,000 for all of that. That's the county tax appraisal.

The Steiner property in the orange here to the left, the owner wants to donate that. And that's about 126

2.2

acres. So we would actually have a donation larger than what we're looking at purchasing.

And one thing that, I guess, I need to consult with Forever Wild about at some point is there are actually other Friday Construction properties on the other side of 98 on the west side of our Gator Alley Park. There's about 12 acres that goes to the foot of the Lake Forest lake and the dam, which would -- and I don't know if that would be -- if you want to put all that in one application or if that would be separate.

And the same thing with the previous page. The 12 acres we're looking at purchasing we're actually getting an appraisal on now for that scenic overlook. I don't know if that would be a separate application or if that would be rolled into just one -- as one project.

And if you'll turn to page 4, I have 1 some really bad photos I took from a 3 phone in a canoe looking back at this property we're looking at today. But it 4 had shell middens, you know, both high 5 6 and -- some great highlands with all 7 kinds of pottery and poison ivy, I warn There's a picture here -- there is 8 you. 9 an eagle's nest on the most northern 10 part of this property that's as big as a 11 Volkswagen up in a tree. 12 COMMISSIONER GUY: Could I ask you a question? 13 MS. VAUGHN: Yeah, sure. 14 COMMISSIONER GUY: I hate to interrupt you. MS. VAUGHN: 15 Sure. 16 COMMISSIONER GUY: But I'm slow today and I'm trying to keep up. 17 Is this four parcels that you're --18 I mean, there's basically four parcels 19 20 you're talking about here? MS. VAUGHN: 2.1 Right. COMMISSIONER GUY: Is that -- okay. 2.2 23 they're all these on page 3?

Well, the purple and the orange. 1 MS. VAUGHN: COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. The purple and the 3 orange. So you've got -- I'm just trying to make sure I get --4 5 MS. VAUGHN: Right. 6 COMMISSIONER GUY: But Gator Alley Park is already one we -- I know has been 7 submitted to us; right? 8 9 MS. VAUGHN: Yeah, right. This is that 10 submission. Okay. And then you've got 11 COMMISSIONER GUY: 12 the Steiner property would be donated. 13 MS. VAUGHN: Right. COMMISSIONER GUY: And is that contingent upon 14 us purchasing something, or how does --15 16 I mean, I'm not trying -- if I'm messing up your presentation, I won't do that, 17 but --18 MS. CAMPBELL: As the city -- Spanish Fort and 19 20 Daphne have been pursuing the donation of the property to complement this 2.1 package. We knew we kind of were close 2.2 last year, but we didn't make it in. 23

And we've been working really hard to make the application a little more appealing, and we know larger tracts are more appealing.

2.1

2.2

The Steiner property is 126 acres. We will be owners of it -- of 67 of it by January, and the additional we're working on. So that would be turned over to Forever Wild as part of this package deal. We've worked really hard to do that.

And then we're looking at another parcel that could be considered. And we will get with Jo and talk to her and make our package or presentation better. But we are just here to tell you we're still trying.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. That's good. I just wanted to make sure I was following you. I felt like that maybe I might not be the only one that was -- maybe I am the only one, looking down the row here.

MS. POWELL: And, Commissioner, if you don't

mind, I'd like to -- so to help y'all 1 and maybe help us -- Ashley, I 3 appreciate the information. Would this -- do we need to sit back down, 4 though, because there may be some 5 additional information, opportunities --6 7 MS. CAMPBELL: To add -- absolutely. MS. POWELL: -- you're talking about now? 8 9 We really need to redo the original 10 nomination to get it back closer to what you've been able to add in the interim. 11 So I think what we need --12 COMMISSIONER GUY: This is more informational 1.3 14 today. MS. POWELL: I think it's more information. 15 think what we'll do is just sit down and 16 be sure that with the new information we 17 sort of get all of that back 18 reincorporated in the original 19 20 nomination, which will be to the -- you know, rescoring or whatever we need to 2.1 do, and see what happens. 2.2

MS. CAMPBELL: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Sure. And that's fine. I

just --

2.1

2.2

- MS. POWELL: Okay. I just wanted to be sure I was on the same page too.
- MS. CAMPBELL: And we do have council resolution -- a resolution stating support for long-term maintenance, adding access to it.

We're just really excited. We do have the Gator Alley project fixing to start. The city is fixing to invest about \$600,000 to improve that -- that park. And it will have a total low-impact development design. So there's going to be an education-outreach component to it.

We're real excited about it. It'll be done within six months, so that could complement the package. We're very excited.

COMMISSIONER GUY: And I appreciate that.

Thank you for clarifying.

MS. CAMPBELL: Yes, sir.

MR. PATE: You mentioned connecting Gator 1 Alley with the Village Point at some 3 point all the way down. MS. CAMPBELL: Right. 4 MR. PATE: What dis -- there's nothing on this map. What kind of distance are you 6 7 talking about? MS. VAUGHN: My mind just went completely 8 9 blank on how many miles that is. It's 10 really a lot shorter than it's ... Yeah. I want to say it's under five 11 12 miles. I mean, I just can't remember 13 exactly. 14 MS. CAMPBELL: And I will tell you, Spanish 15 Fort --16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Just two. MS. CAMPBELL: 17 Two. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Two miles. 18 MS. CAMPBELL: Spanish Fort, we've been 19 20 working with them. They're doing a master plan for the Causeway. 2.1 actually met Monday to talk about how we 2.2 can get to Mobile with trails and

boardwalks and bicycle paths. And they're working on it, and they're a part of this application. They actually -- the land to the north the Steiners are donating is in Spanish Fort.

- COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Thank you. And I'm sorry to interrupt you on that. I just felt like we could all follow a little better if we cleared that up.
- MS. VAUGHN: Ashley, could you just tell them real quickly about what we're doing -- the upgrades we're doing?
- MS. CAMPBELL: Well, Gator Alley is going
  to -- we've purchased some land, and
  we're going to be installing a porous
  paver parking lot that will -- where
  currently we can only have about 12
  cars, we'll be able to do closer to 30.
  And we're also putting in a step pool
  and restoring wetlands and planting all
  native species. It's just going to be a
  very attractive project when we complete

bioretention also.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you. I know there's been a lot of work done there.  MS. CAMPBELL: Yes, sir. Thank you.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Appreciate all y'all's work trying to make that ecologically sound.  MS. VAUGHN: Thank you.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank y'all.  Were there any other questions, by the way, before  (No response.)  COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Thank you.  Chuck Yeager.  MS. POWELL: Commissioner, could  COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes.  MS. POWELL: I hate to interrupt.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Just for benefit of the court reporter, if y'all could, especially right behind us, just kind of keep the talking down a little bit.  It's hard for her to hear that and hear	1	it. So we're excited about it. And has
been a lot of work done there.  MS. CAMPBELL: Yes, sir. Thank you.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Appreciate all y'all's work trying to make that ecologically sound.  MS. VAUGHN: Thank you.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank y'all.  Were there any other questions, by the way, before  (No response.)  COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Thank you.  Chuck Yeager.  MS. POWELL: Commissioner, could  COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes.  MS. POWELL: I hate to interrupt.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Just for benefit of the court reporter, if y'all could, especially right behind us, just kind of keep the talking down a little bit.  It's hard for her to hear that and hear	2	
been a lot of work done there.  MS. CAMPBELL: Yes, sir. Thank you.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Appreciate all y'all's work trying to make that ecologically sound.  MS. VAUGHN: Thank you.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank y'all.  Were there any other questions, by the way, before  (No response.)  COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Thank you.  Chuck Yeager.  MS. POWELL: Commissioner, could  COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes.  MS. POWELL: I hate to interrupt.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Just for benefit of the court reporter, if y'all could, especially right behind us, just kind of keep the talking down a little bit.  It's hard for her to hear that and hear		
MS. CAMPBELL: Yes, sir. Thank you.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Appreciate all y'all's work trying to make that ecologically sound.  MS. VAUGHN: Thank you.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank y'all.  Were there any other questions, by the way, before (No response.)  COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Thank you.  Chuck Yeager.  MS. POWELL: Commissioner, could COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes.  MS. POWELL: I hate to interrupt.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Just for benefit of the court reporter, if y'all could, especially right behind us, just kind of keep the talking down a little bit.  It's hard for her to hear that and hear		
COMMISSIONER GUY: Appreciate all y'all's work trying to make that ecologically sound.  MS. VAUGHN: Thank you.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank y'all.  Were there any other questions, by the way, before  (No response.)  COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Thank you.  Chuck Yeager.  MS. POWELL: Commissioner, could COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes.  MS. POWELL: I hate to interrupt.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Just for benefit of the court reporter, if y'all could, especially right behind us, just kind of keep the talking down a little bit.  It's hard for her to hear that and hear		
trying to make that ecologically sound.  MS. VAUGHN: Thank you.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank y'all.  Were there any other questions, by the way, before  (No response.)  COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Thank you.  Chuck Yeager.  MS. POWELL: Commissioner, could  COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes.  MS. POWELL: I hate to interrupt.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Just for benefit of the court reporter, if y'all could, especially right behind us, just kind of keep the talking down a little bit.  It's hard for her to hear that and hear		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
MS. VAUGHN: Thank you.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank y'all.  Were there any other questions, by the way, before  (No response.)  COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Thank you.  Chuck Yeager.  MS. POWELL: Commissioner, could  COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes.  MS. POWELL: I hate to interrupt.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Just for benefit of the court reporter, if y'all could, especially right behind us, just kind of keep the talking down a little bit.  It's hard for her to hear that and hear	6	COMMISSIONER GUY: Appreciate all y'all's work
9 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank y'all.  10 Were there any other questions, by 11 the way, before  (No response.)  13 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Thank you.  14 Chuck Yeager.  15 MS. POWELL: Commissioner, could  16 COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes.  17 MS. POWELL: I hate to interrupt.  18 COMMISSIONER GUY: Just for benefit of the  19 court reporter, if y'all could,  20 especially right behind us, just kind of 21 keep the talking down a little bit.  22 It's hard for her to hear that and hear	7	trying to make that ecologically sound.
Were there any other questions, by the way, before  (No response.)  COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Thank you.  Chuck Yeager.  MS. POWELL: Commissioner, could  COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes.  MS. POWELL: I hate to interrupt.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Just for benefit of the  court reporter, if y'all could,  especially right behind us, just kind of  keep the talking down a little bit.  It's hard for her to hear that and hear	8	MS. VAUGHN: Thank you.
the way, before  (No response.)  COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Thank you.  Chuck Yeager.  MS. POWELL: Commissioner, could  COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes.  MS. POWELL: I hate to interrupt.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Just for benefit of the  court reporter, if y'all could,  especially right behind us, just kind of  keep the talking down a little bit.  It's hard for her to hear that and hear	9	COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank y'all.
(No response.)  COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Thank you.  Chuck Yeager.  MS. POWELL: Commissioner, could  COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes.  MS. POWELL: I hate to interrupt.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Just for benefit of the  court reporter, if y'all could,  especially right behind us, just kind of  keep the talking down a little bit.  It's hard for her to hear that and hear	10	Were there any other questions, by
COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Thank you.  Chuck Yeager.  MS. POWELL: Commissioner, could  COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes.  MS. POWELL: I hate to interrupt.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Just for benefit of the  court reporter, if y'all could,  especially right behind us, just kind of  keep the talking down a little bit.  It's hard for her to hear that and hear	11	the way, before
Chuck Yeager.  MS. POWELL: Commissioner, could  COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes.  MS. POWELL: I hate to interrupt.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Just for benefit of the  court reporter, if y'all could,  especially right behind us, just kind of  keep the talking down a little bit.  It's hard for her to hear that and hear	12	(No response.)
MS. POWELL: Commissioner, could  COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes.  MS. POWELL: I hate to interrupt.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Just for benefit of the  court reporter, if y'all could,  especially right behind us, just kind of  keep the talking down a little bit.  It's hard for her to hear that and hear	13	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes.  MS. POWELL: I hate to interrupt.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Just for benefit of the court reporter, if y'all could, especially right behind us, just kind of keep the talking down a little bit.  It's hard for her to hear that and hear	14	Chuck Yeager.
MS. POWELL: I hate to interrupt.  COMMISSIONER GUY: Just for benefit of the  court reporter, if y'all could,  especially right behind us, just kind of  keep the talking down a little bit.  It's hard for her to hear that and hear	15	MS. POWELL: Commissioner, could
COMMISSIONER GUY: Just for benefit of the court reporter, if y'all could, especially right behind us, just kind of keep the talking down a little bit. It's hard for her to hear that and hear	16	COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes.
court reporter, if y'all could, especially right behind us, just kind of keep the talking down a little bit. It's hard for her to hear that and hear	17	MS. POWELL: I hate to interrupt.
especially right behind us, just kind of keep the talking down a little bit.  It's hard for her to hear that and hear	18	COMMISSIONER GUY: Just for benefit of the
keep the talking down a little bit.  It's hard for her to hear that and hear	19	court reporter, if y'all could,
It's hard for her to hear that and hear	20	especially right behind us, just kind of
	21	keep the talking down a little bit.
	22	It's hard for her to hear that and hear
them, so thanks.	23	them, so thanks.

(Brief interruption.)

MR. YEAGER: Thank you, Commissioner Guy and
Members of the Board, for giving me the
opportunity to speak today. As many of
you know, I manage Forever Wild's Turkey
Creek Nature Preserve through a
wonderful partnership between the
Southern Environmental Center at
Birmingham-Southern College, the
Freshwater Land Trust, and Forever Wild.

Since I took on this position four years ago, I have had the pleasure of working with countless volunteers and partner organizations in an effort to expand and enhance the opportunities we provide to the public through recreation and education. This year alone we've had over 97,000 visitors who have traveled through our gates. Our education programs have seen 5800 participants, and we have hosted or participated in 22 events and have reached approximately 13,000 people.

1.3

These numbers are something that I

take great pride in for our little

preserve for which I am the sole staff.

However, I believe that we have just

started to get our feet up under this

and there's a still a lot more to

accomplish.

As I speak today, a trail builder is working on the final touches of a new 3.2-mile trail at Turkey Creek, a project which has been made possible through a Recreational Trails grant from ADECA. I am particularly enthusiastic about this project because it will open up Turkey Creek to a whole new user base, mountain-bikers. It is also a first step towards what we hope to be a 15-mile trail system within the preserve.

With all of the growth we have experienced, it has been increasingly pressing for us to develop new methods of financial stability for our operation

to succeed moving forward. With this mind-set we have worked to develop new sustainable initiatives for fundraising. One of these is the development of a new community-led organization called "The Friends of Turkey Creek" which received their 501(c)(3) this year. The "Friends" have worked tirelessly to develop new events, write grants, and enhance public-awareness programs for the preserve.

Another new development that I'm very excited to share is that we were recently awarded funding by the Community Foundation of Greater Birmingham for the first phase of a new -- first-phase construction on a new classroom pavilion on an adjacent tract to Forever Wild property. This pavilion will be located on the banks of Turkey Creek, and in addition to the opportunities it will provide us to expand our education programming, it

2.2

1.3

will also set up the perfect location for weddings and other gathering rentals which will in turn play a large role in our ability to remain financially sustainable.

With all of these great new developments, I know that what I do is just a small part of all of the amazing things that are happening in this state because of the work that this board is able to accomplish. I'm am equally proud and humbled to be a part of such an amazing asset for the state of Alabama, and I thank you for this responsibility and this opportunity.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions?

(No response.)

I've been doing this for five years.

And there's a lot of people that are very enthusiastic about Forever Wild, but I don't know of anybody who is more enthusiastic and more informative than

2.1

2.2

you, Chuck. So I appreciate everything 1 you do. And I really appreciate the 3 information you provide us about that one preserve that you're very passionate 4 about because I think it is important 5 6 that we understand the impact on others. 7 MR. YEAGER: Thank you very much. COMMISSIONER GUY: And the public needs to 8 9 know that that maybe is not so informed. 10 So thank you very much for what you do. 11 I need to come up with an award for 12 you. I'll give it to you. Thank you, 13 sir. Walter. 14 I don't have anything to say. 15 MR. ERNEST: 16 COMMISSIONER GUY: Oh, come on, Walter. Come on, Walter. You sure? 17 MR. ERNEST: Yeah, positive. 18 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Skipper Tonsmeire. 19 20 All right, Walter. That's the first 2.1 time, now. MR. TONSMEIRE: Good morning. I'm Skipper 2.2 Tonsmeire with the Coastal Land Trust. 23

And I think you may have a handout that has a map with some pink shading on the front. It looks like that. You have about a ten-page summary of a 50-something-page resource assessment that Mark Bailey did, and I have furnished a full copy to Doug Deaton if you want more information.

Briefly, the Coastal Land Trust is a private local conservation group that was formed in the '80s to focus exclusively on the Mobile Delta. And it's a 501(c)(3). We're an all-volunteer board. And you will see a lot of the land on this map, other than the green parcel that we're addressing today, was actually conveyed to the state and/or the federal government by the Coastal Land Trust. We've protected about 30,000 acres either on the edge or in the Delta since 1985.

This parcel that we're looking at here is 1540 acres. It adjoins, as you

2.2

can see, a wildlife management area that's currently managed by the State of Alabama. And, actually, that land that -- that it adjoins is land that we conveyed to the state probably about 12 or 14 years ago.

We've protected, like I said, about 30,000 acres. Our original purchase was one tract of 17,000 acres that went from Mobile all the way to Baldwin County.

We have about 2200 acres left. This property, the 1540 acres, it is good hunting land. It's currently leased to a hunting club. It has 39 species of concern, 16 of Priority 1 critical concern. And it would provide a great addition to the wildlife management area that's already there.

And the money that would come to the Coastal Land Trust would allow us to continue our work. We kind of work on behalf of the state really. We don't have any employees. We don't have any

paid board members. We don't have any 1 staff. We're what you call a working 3 board. COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir. 4 If you have any questions --5 MR. TONSMEIRE: 6 it's my understanding that the state has 7 done an appraisal and a timber cruise, and what we would like, as I understand 8 9 it, is to go to the second appraisal. 10 But we would certainly like to make this transaction with the state. 11 12 COMMISSIONER GUY: Skipper, thank you. Any 13 questions? 14 Yes, sir. MR. BALL: Forgive my ignorance. What's a 15 16 southeastern Myotis? MS. LEWIS: A bat. 17 18 MR. BALL: Okay. Thank you. COMMISSIONER GUY: Appreciate it. Thank you, 19 20 Mr. Ball. Any other questions? 2.1 (No response.) 2.2 COMMISSIONER GUY: So this is a property --23

and so it's already had a first 1 appraisal? 3 MR. TONSMEIRE: Yes, sir, that's our understanding. We have not seen it but 4 understand that there's been an 5 6 appraisal and a timber cruise. 7 COMMISSIONER GUY: If Patti can help. When was that, Patti? 8 9 MS. POWELL: Well, let me say, I think -- be 10 sure that -- you don't mind me asking a question? 11 COMMISSIONER GUY: No. Please. 12 MS. POWELL: I think this is -- this 13 information is consistent with what Ray 14 Herndon provided. 15 MR. TONSMEIRE: Correct. Correct. 16 MS. POWELL: And so I think what's been -- and 17 I'll explain to y'all in a second. 18 I think what's in green -- y'all's 19 20 green -- lime green is basically what we have outlined. 2.1 MR. TONSMEIRE: Correct. 2.2 MS. POWELL: Okay. One clarifying point: 23 The name in our world, in your packet, is the Byrnes Lake nomination.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Byrnes Lake.

MS. POWELL: There was a first appraisal that was motioned for and acquired, but -- and, Skipper, I may need to ask a couple of clarifying questions.

What we -- what was nominated and scored and then appraised was actually a two-parcel and larger area. It's really -- the original nomination is kind of like what you see in red. And those are tax parcel lines. But it's what's in red.

So this -- this is a little different than what was nominated and appraised. And so we would probably need to be sure -- are you sort of coming forward now and talking about maybe doing a lesser transaction than what was nominated and moving forward with this lesser -- and it's also a little less of your original parcel

here?

2.1

2.2

MR. TONSMEIRE: Yes, ma'am. This -- these two parcels got aggregated -- there is an important distinction. The Coastal Land Trust owns this parcel. This is a private ownership, Byrnes Lake, LLC.

And we certainly support the purchase of both parcels, but we don't have an ownership interest in the Byrnes Lake, LLC, tract.

MS. POWELL: Right. And so let me -- if the board would indulge me, let me just sort of pass by you to show you what I'm talking about, because I think what we're going to need to do is clarify your proposal in this nomination because it is different. The original nomination, even though two tracts, was one nomination, scored that way, appraised that way. So we may need to -- I don't think -- what I'm saying to the board, it's not really right right now.

COMMISSIONER GUY: The same -- not really the 1 same nomination. 3 MS. POWELL: If y'all would give me just one second. 4 To explain, the map I have is a map 5 6 we've prepared trying to be sure -- we 7 received some material from Ray Herndon last week, I believe, or maybe --8 Earlier this week. 9 MS. LEWIS: 10 MS. POWELL: In any event, it's just showing a little different configuration. 11 COMMISSIONER GUY: So why don't you do one 12 13 thing, too, when you -- give Tracye a 14 copy of that. So is this -- while she's doing 15 16 that, so it's the same tract, but there could be some differences in what 17 actually is being proposed this time 18 than from last time? 19 20 MR. TONSMEIRE: Apparently there was a little confusion and it got aggregated with 2.1 this Byrnes Lake tract that's about 1300 2.2

acres.

23

So you may see something that

looks like about ... 1 MS. POWELL: Yeah. I'm just saying, that's 3 the -- the nomination we received and scored was the totality. 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. And then what is it, 5 6 Skipper, just so I'm clear on the 7 record? MR. TONSMEIRE: 1540 is the acreage owned by 8 the Coastal Land Trust that we are 9 10 submitting forward today. COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. And so what I hear 11 Patti saying --12 13 And, Patti, I'm just saying this for the record. 14 -- is that the original one was 15 the -- the total 2900 acres. 16 Okay. So that's why we don't really 17 have anything in front of us on a first 18 appraisal at this time? 19 20 MS. POWELL: Correct. MR. TONSMEIRE: Both parcels are available and 2.1 both parcels can be conveyed, but it is 2.2 two separate ownerships and two 23

different deals, so to speak, to work
out the financial terms.

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: So just for clarification, then, let me ask it in a different way just so the board and I can be clear.

So since it was already part of a total package, my question to you would be simply this: You're talking about a lesser amount of that total package.

Would you want us to consider it, then, a nomination separately on what you're talking about and leave off the other, or would you rather Patti and the staff try to get us more information on the total package and then work from there?

MR. TONSMEIRE: Art Dyas is here, and he represents the Byrnes Lake, LLC. I'm not sure how to answer your question.

COMMISSIONER GUY: And I don't mean to put you on the spot. I just --

MR. TONSMEIRE: No, no. I can answer for the Coastal Land Trust. We'd like to get to the goal line the shortest and most

efficient way. 1 COMMISSIONER GUY: Sure. 3 fair -- that's what I'm saying. All That's fair. right. 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

But we certainly support the MR. TONSMEIRE: purchase of both properties. We do not have an interest in the other property.

Okay.

That's a

COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. I guess, you know, the issue for us would be simply this: We would need our staff to look at it again as a first appraisal because we can only act on what we've had nominated. And then, secondly, the board would want to look at that and see the differences. There's obviously a difference in dollars that we would be spending one versus both together and that type of thing. So I don't want to try to complicate it, but it is --

MR. TONSMEIRE: Yes, sir. It would be a difference in dollars. The properties are very comparable.

Skipper, let me be sure I MS. POWELL:

understand though. Going back to an 1 old -- the Byrnes Lake map we used for 3 the previous presentation, if the Coastal Land Trust ownership that was 4 presented -- I realize, you remember, it 6 was two tracts we were requested to 7 treat as one nomination. That's why we proceeded as we did. But as it is now, 8 9 you -- it is slightly different even 10 from your parcel from what was submitted 11 to us previously; right? 12 So even -- even for your parcel, 13 this proposed boundary line is a little 14 bit different than it was presented to us originally? 15 MR. TONSMEIRE: Minor difference. 16 MS. POWELL: So the bottom line is -- and it's 17 18 no problem other than a procedural administrative need to be sure --19 20 MR. DYAS: The number that Skipper -- my name 2.1 is Art Dyas. Thank you, sir. 2.2 COMMISSIONER GUY: MR. DYAS: Yes. I have -- I worked with Chris 23

Smith on both of these properties in terms of getting them appraised and the timber cruises done. I'm a consulting forester. I've been with the Coastal Land Trust since its inception. I'm also a part-owner in the Byrnes Lake tract.

1.3

2.1

2.2

What Skipper refers to as

1500-and-some-odd acres was not what
actually -- was not the total of what
was offered to the state -- to the
Forever Wild program. It's 1740 acres.
Mark Bailey -- Mark Bailey only did a
baseline documentation report on 1500,
but what was offered to the state was
the full 1740 acres, which is reflected,
I think, on your map.

Is this your map?

MS. POWELL: Yes. And so is the current -- I guess we need to just, so we'll know how to suggest the next step, is understand if what you're asking the board to do is to consider acting on one parcel.

Because it changes the nomination, 1 changes the scoring, has to reflect our 3 short --COMMISSIONER GUY: And Byrnes Lake, just so 4 you're clear, is about a 2900-acre 5 6 piece --7 Let me tell you what transpired. MR. DYAS: COMMISSIONER GUY: Sure. 8 9 MR. DYAS: I took the state's appraiser --10 took him to the Coastal Land Trust properties. Took the timber cruisers up 11 12 there. I put them on the properties. 1.3 I took them to Byrnes Lake, showed them 14 those properties. My understanding from your appraiser was that -- and he did 15 16 understand that they were two separate ownerships, two completely separate. 17 18 COMMISSIONER GUY: Right. MR. DYAS: Neither party had the right to 19 20 speak for the other. And that was the way I understood it was supposed to be 2.1 done. 2.2

23

Then what -- in talking to Chris

Smith, what I understood, he said it was all the Byrnes Lake tract. And I said, well -- and I didn't understand that.

But what they had done is they consolidated them.

I think you've got an appraisal maybe that has them separate. I know you've got two separate timber cruises already because I worked with your -- I worked with the timber cruisers. So -- COMMISSIONER GUY: Right. The only problem is, is that like I think Patti was trying to say, from an administrative standpoint, from an auditing standpoint, and from, you know, just a legal standpoint it was proposed as one.

MR. DYAS: By whom?

2.1

2.2

MS. POWELL: By the nominating party.

COMMISSIONER GUY: By the nominating party.

MR. DYAS: I nominated those properties, and,

no, sir, it wasn't.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Well ...

MS. POWELL: Okay. Let me -- let me -- let me

1 ju
2 th
3 nc
4 mi
5 nc
6 nc
7 ok
8 wi

2.1

2.2

just -- let me say this: If somehow there was any confusion as to the nomination, if my staff and we made a mistake and that we considered it as one nomination and scored it as one nomination, what that really means is -- obviously you're -- you have to be a willing seller. You would control -- each owner, each -- well, however many, if it was four landowners, would all control whether -- once the appraisal came back and if the board moved forward, if they were okay with that value. That's obviously an individual willing-seller decision.

MR. DYAS: Correct.

MS. POWELL: That doesn't mean we -- we sometimes, though, have multiple owners that come to us together presenting a nomination because the totality of that package enhances the scoring, you know, because you were either by amenities or by acreage and the greater recreational

opportunities possibly that provides -it may strengthen a nomination. So it
comes to us as one nomination and it is
scored that way.

If that is something we confused and did that we did incorrectly -- my understanding of where we are now is if the two of you combined are asking the board to proceed to purchase of what was appraised as appraised, then I think it's no different. If you are asking either -- to change the boundary of either parcels or move separately, then we would only be acting on a part of what was scored, and it may be an impact to the scoring.

- MR. DYAS: So the answer is, is that it was scored in its totality?
- MS. POWELL: That is my understanding from staff.
- MS. LEWIS: Yes.

2.1

2.2

MS. POWELL: So, now, on the appraisal, if they're both still moving forward, it's

fine. I mean, you could -- you have separate values because obviously each seller has to know what they're receiving.

2.1

2.2

So I guess if all -- and maybe we confused the information from Ray, but I thought what -- the parcel that you have in green -- that's in green you can see -- itself seems to be a lesser portion.

- MR. DYAS: Yes. This is -- this is the Coastal Land Trust property itself.
- MS. POWELL: And, Skipper, that is a lesser -a lesser footprint than what was
  originally presented. And that's fine.

  It just -- again, it goes to
  administratively trying to figure out
  what we're acting on. That's all.
- MR. TONSMEIRE: There are a couple of parcels that are not contiguous to this parcel that have no public access, and that's part of what we cut out because that would be very difficult for the state to

manage, I think. What we were going to try to do is if we make this transaction with the state is work out something with adjacent landowners with those parcels.

MS. POWELL: But that would have been -- on my map looks like something that was included in the nomination that was part of our appraisal.

MR. TONSMEIRE: Correct.

MR. DYAS: Yes.

MS. POWELL: So we would simply -- it just sounds like to me -- because those lines have changed from what we appraised.

It's not really a new appraisal. But we would certainly have to update that appraisal with an understanding of what acreage is now -- now available, now being offered, now being presented to the board.

And then if you're both saying that both tracts are still available and still an opportunity, really the first

2.1

2.2

step is to reconfigure the appraisal and the value for the board in accordance to an updated appraisal that reflects acreage.

This isn't unusual. We sometimes have owners that either come to us and had an error in the calculation of the acreage or for some reason a family member doesn't want to proceed with what -- we have done this before and updated appraisals to reflect it. I'm just saying that would need to be -- that would need to be done -- before you can proceed with the second appraisal we would have to have a corrected, updated first appraisal reflecting the opportunity available to the board.

After we had that -- after we had that, as with any other -- we also look to be sure that the change in acreage, whether an addition or a reduction, has any impact on the scoring. Sometimes it's because of acreage. Sometimes --

I'm not saying it is in this 1 situation -- you might have lost an area 3 with a critical habitat that maybe helped the score before. So that's why 4 you have to look back at the scoring to 6 be sure it remains on the short list to 7 be available for board action for purchase under the law. 8 So that's -- but it sounds like to 9 10 me, if y'all could, probably -- and your boundaries haven't changed, so it'd just 11 be a Coastal Land Trust boundary change 12 13 that we would need to look at, you know, 14 updating the appraisal to reflect. MR. DYAS: Would you leave all the acreage --15 16 would you just leave all the acreage in there, the 1740, without changing it? 17 MR. TONSMEIRE: We can do that if that's 18 simpler. 19 COMMISSIONER GUY: So can I make a suggestion, 20 Patti? 2.1 MS. POWELL: Yes, sir. 2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: So rather than take up a

lot of time right now, could we move on 1 and then at our break maybe you talk to 3 them a little bit more or see where we are and then you could update us before 4 we go into any discussion? Would that 5 6 be --7 MS. POWELL: So do you want to do that before the Executive Session or after or --8 COMMISSIONER GUY: Either one. 9 10

MS. POWELL: Okay. Just so we have a little extra time to do that.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- COMMISSIONER GUY: Yeah. Just so you have
  extra time to get it -- you know, for
  you and the staff to get it -- and I'm
  not -- Skipper, I'm not trying to cut
  you short, but it sounds like there is
  some need for --
- MR. TONSMEIRE: Oh, no. It's too late for you to cut it short.
- COMMISSIONER GUY: Yeah. I just -- you know, it sounds like there is some at least clarification or whatever on what we need to do here, and in order to get it

right -- that's all we're trying to do. 1 MR. TONSMEIRE: Yes, sir. 3 COMMISSIONER GUY: We don't want a mistake and have to come back on something because 4 we didn't get it right the first time. 5 And I think that's what Ms. Powell is 6 7 trying to do right now. MR. TONSMEIRE: Yes, sir. I understand. 8 9 COMMISSIONER GUY: And so at a break maybe 10 Patti -- and we'll take a little extra time, if the board doesn't mind, and let 11 y'all discuss that a little bit further. 12 And then we could make a -- if the board 13 14 is okay, we could let there be a supplemental report on this particular 15 tract before we go into any discussion. 16 Would that be fair? 17 MR. TONSMEIRE: Yes, sir. That's very fair 18 19 and thank you. COMMISSIONER GUY: And we appreciate your 20 patience, both of you. Appreciate your 2.1 patience on that very much. 2.2 MR. TONSMEIRE: Well, thank you for addressing 23

this property, and we enjoy working with Forever Wild.

COMMISSIONER GUY: No. And I think it's very -- it's of interest. We just want to make sure we get it right. You know, that's the thing.

MR. TONSMEIRE: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir. Thank you.

MR. TONSMEIRE: Thank you.

10 | COMMISSIONER GUY: No. Thank you.

Albert Nettles. Mr. Nettles.

MR. NETTLES: My name is Albert Nettles. I'm

a lifetime resident and landowner in

Monroe County. I consider myself a

conservationist. I've been a supporter

of Forever Wild ever since you got

started, and I salute you for what

you're doing. I have been particularly

interested -- this is the first meeting

I've attended -- to see that most of

what your business consists of is

exactly what I expected and, that is, to

acquire additional lands for the public

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

in Alabama.

Really the reason I decided to come down, I read the minutes from the last meeting about the Wiregrass conversation about the Rails-to-Trails. And I'll have to tell you, I've got some real severe concerns about Forever Wild getting involved in Rails-to-Trails.

We've had a little personal experience on a proposal for a very short Rails-to-Trails project in Monroe County that happens to intersect a lot of land that's been in my family for over a hundred years. So we've gotten into the minutia because of that, and I can tell you, it's like dealing with a banana republic. It's going to be complicated. There were several notes I wrote down so I wouldn't forget to mention this.

Well, first of all, in reading the minutes of this last meeting, if you refer over to page 146 and 147, I think

there probably was a mistake made. It says "diversionary right" when it should be "reversionary right," which is pretty important, I think, because what I have been told that means is that the railroad, in this case, has a right to say we're ready to use that property again and you've got 30 days to vacate and we pay you \$150,000 or whatever your purchase price for it and we get the improvements and we're gone.

And there are a lot of really peculiar legal implications into the way this thing is constructed. In my opinion whoever was responsible for the federal legislation for Rails-to-Trails made a sweet deal with the railroad. They can get a high appraisal, sell it to you for a fairly small amount, claim the difference as a gift, get the property back in 30 days. They've got no responsibility for cleaning up the ecological problems on that railroad.

2.1

In our case it's been there for over a hundred years and it's got all kind of creosote ties that have never been moved off that track. They just throw them to

the side when they replace them.

You've got problems with pollution in the streams that they cross. Just in this eight-mile track there's five different trestles. Unfortunately one of them burned. So it's not unlike what you were talking about over there where you've got to cross the Pea River on a bridge that may take you a million dollars to replace.

I'm not just a grumpy old man. I've been a Boy Scout for about 65 years and was in the infantry and special forces for 30. So I've done my share of hiking. And I'm not against hiking.

I'm not against bicycling. I love the outdoors. But this is not the -- not the way to do it.

We've carried our Scout troop many

times on back roads, logging roads up in Monroe County on mountain bikes with the fatter tires, not the skinny Lycra setup. And these kids do fine. And if you're going on a weekend, usually the logging crews are not in there. All you need is a few hundred bucks for some directional signs and a map and you're in business.

They're proposing to spend a half-million dollars for two miles. And that may be free money from the federal government, but you know that our grandchildren are going to have to pay it back. So I think we've all got a responsibility for wise use of resources. That's why you're here. And I think getting involved with Rails-to-Trails is a pretty bad idea.

And in reading through carefully what was said at that meeting, there were several other things that caught my eye. One is there's a loss of value --

in our case -- I don't -- I'm not that

familiar other than looking at it on a

state map of what is involved with that

particular trail.

But you've got a lot of private
landowners on both sides of that
corridor. And in our case hunting
rights are a big deal. As far as we're
concerned, it would almost constitute a
taking. A hundred years ago when the
railroad was put in, you know, the
steamboats were going out of business
and we had to have that to make industry
go. And so everybody was in favor of
the railroads.

The use now that is being proposed for the trail is entirely different.

You've got 24/7-365 access for whoever wants to come. Now, granted, I -- most of the people I know that are bicyclists are sharp people, but they're not the only ones that are going to be using that trail. And there's no protection

right now for access in and out of there.

I've talked with the county sheriff up there, and he tells me we've got no budget to provide for policing. Well, in that particular case the road -- the highway is probably as much as a half a mile away from the trail. If somebody falls and gets hurt, they're going to have to crawl a half-mile, I suppose, because they say they're not going to be able to police that trail. There are just a lot of problems that I think -- I would like to see you carefully study.

And the other thing I noticed -because this is true in our case -- the
question was asked have you talked to
the landowners. Well, we've talked to a
few of them, you know. Well, what did
they say? Well, you didn't really get
an answer.

The first thing that needs to happen in my opinion is that you need to get

the landowners on board with this thing and sell them on the idea and resolve those problems and not say, yeah, we know we need a plan and we're going to get a plan once we get the money. Kind of like Nancy Pelosi told us, we need to pass a bill and then we'll see what's in it.

2.1

2.2

And I think -- I think you would all be creating headaches for yourself and bad publicity for Forever Wild to get involved in that. What you've done up until now, today, in acquiring new tracts of land is great, and that's what it's about in my opinion.

Thank you for the opportunity to come --

COMMISSIONER GUY: Would you answer a few questions in case there are any?

MR. NETTLES: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Are there any questions of Mr. Nettles?

I have -- can I ask you a couple of

questions? 1 MR. NETTLES: Yes, sir. 3 COMMISSIONER GUY: So how much of that rail goes through your property? What is 4 your particular piece, if you don't mind 5 6 saying? 7 MR. NETTLES: Of the eight miles? About two, two-and-a-half miles. 8 9 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Have you -- two 10 questions. And I was trying to remember what -- there may have been a question 11 to this. Does the railroad offer to the 12 13 landowners the ability to acquire that property? 14 MR. NETTLES: The short answer is no. 15 16 COMMISSIONER GUY: So it's only available --MR. NETTLES: We've had a -- we've had a lease 17 on a part of it -- it's a long story 18 that I won't bore you with, but it had 19 20 to do with the railroad running over a bunch of cows. 2.1 This place crosses a wide flood zone 2.2

of a big creek in there. And the cows

in flood stage were getting up on the track and the railroad was wiping them out. So my dad years ago negotiated a contract with them which is still in place. Because what you're getting with this reversionary interest is a quitclaim deed. You're not getting a warranty deed. So there's several different legal implications with all that, but ...

COMMISSIONER GUY: But no opportunity for the private landowners to either lease or acquire that like they are offering to --

MR. NETTLES: For all practical purposes, that turned out to be the case. I tried to get an attorney to purchase it on our behalf because every time I'd pay the lease annually I would say if you ever decide to abandon this railroad, which they obviously had already done years before, please give us a chance to buy it.

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: So what -- and do you have any personal knowledge about the positions of the other landowners? Have you spoken with them or --

MR. NETTLES: Yes, sir. I've spoken to all of them on this eight-mile -- I think every one of them -- and they're all against it.

And a big part of it -- and one other point that I noticed. I was trying to read up and do my homework. And there was a seven-page printout on Amendment 543, which is, I understand, the enabling legislation for Forever There's a short paragraph on my Wild. page 6 here: The right of the public to hunt and fish on the lands and easements acquired under the provisions of this act shall not be abridged or restricted subject to such rules, regulations, seasons and limits as are established by the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources.

23

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

Now, I can't imagine that anybody 1 that's going to do the bike trail 3 intends for people to hunt off the trail. But this says they can. 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: You know, you could 5 6 interpret it that way. 7 A couple of other questions if you don't mind. 8 MR. NETTLES: All right, sir. 9 COMMISSIONER GUY: So on the tract that you're 10 familiar with, what I wanted to get 11 straight, you're saying there is some 12 13 that -- where you could not cross a river or a stream because it is either 14 in bad repair or we'd have to put 15 something up there? 16 MR. NETTLES: About 300 feet of trestle that 17 was, I guess, 60-feet high at least was 18 burned and is totally gone. 19 20 COMMISSIONER GUY: And so there would be no --I mean, and I'm asking you just because 2.1 you're familiar with it and I'm not. 2.2 Yes, sir. 23 MR. NETTLES:

COMMISSIONER GUY: We kind of were -- I think 1 these were some of the questions we were 3 wanting to get more clarification on. So could you even cross there, then, 4 at that creek or whatever is there 5 without building something --6 7 MR. NETTLES: No, sir. COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. 8 9 MR. NETTLES: You could, of course, wade 10 across it, but you've got a terrific drop-off in there. It's unsafe really. 11 12 COMMISSIONER GUY: So there was a larger part, 13 I think, to this more than the eight 14 miles that you're talking about. Am I mistaken on that? 15 16 MR. NETTLES: Not yet, but I think that's what they've got in mind. I think the speed 17 limit for the railroad now is ten miles 18 an hour, and they'll need to pay 19 20 hazardous-duty pay to the guy that's operating it. It's really in tough 2.1 2.2 shape. That's all the questions I

COMMISSIONER GUY:

Does anybody else have questions? 1 Just to clarify, MR. PATE: I do. 3 Mr. Nettles, the part -- and I was not at this last meeting, although I've read 4 through the minutes. But that was --5 6 the tract that was talked about at the 7 last meeting was in Covington and Geneva Counties. 8 9 MR. NETTLES: Yes, sir. It's an entirely 10 different deal. The one you're talking about is in 11 MR. PATE: Monroe? 12 13 MR. NETTLES: Yes, sir. And I don't have any personal knowledge. I haven't been on 14 the ground over there, but I've walked 15 16 this old place where we are. MR. PATE: Right. Okay. 17 MR. NETTLES: And, of course, another 18 complicating factor, regardless of where 19 20 it is, you're going to have people, in our part of the woods especially, doing 2.1 a lot of logging that are going to have 2.2

23

to cross that track back and forth. And

we asked the county about that. Well, if you damage our trail, then, you know, you fix it back. Well, it doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand that a log truck full of logs is going to make an impression on that asphalt when it goes across. There needs to be some common sense applied to this.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Mr. Ellis.

2.1

2.2

MR. ELLIS: And I know you can't generalize

about all of the right of way, but in

your particular case does the railroad

actually own fee to that or do they have

an easement for the track?

MR. NETTLES: I think -- most of this was acquired back around 1898 to 1900, and I think it was fee simple. But there have been a number of easements that have been granted since then or some easements that I'm aware of.

MR. ELLIS: Expansion of width possibly or -MR. NETTLES: Well, for crossings primarily, I
think. But in the case that we're

talking about it's -- there's a strip of at least a quarter of a mile, if not a half-a-mile long, of everything except about 20 feet right down the center line of the track so that cows can get up on it and it can be fenced. Not that that would affect the trail necessarily.

But to answer your question, yes, there are some easements in it. Like any -- any long tract of land like that, it's going to require a lot of legal work to get it straight.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Any other questions, follow-ups?

2.1

2.2

Yes, sir, Mr. Horn.

MR. HORN: This eight miles that you're talking about in Monroe County, has it been developed for a bike trail?

MR. NETTLES: No, sir. That's the intent as I understand it. But, now, no -- no construction has started yet. What is currently proposed, as I understand it -- and that's another matter. We had

HAISLIP, RAGAN, GREEN, STARKIE & WATSON, P.C. (334) 263-4455

to use Freedom of Information Act to find out what was going on.

But from Tunnel Springs to -- north almost two miles, not quite two miles, to about 185 paces south of the entrance to a tunnel that is in a big ridge line up there is the first step of the proposed sequenced plan. And my understanding is it's going to be about 500,000 bucks proposed to just do that two miles. And what they're proposing to do is a slow-paved asphalt strip in there.

Now, the next step would be to go through that tunnel, which is about -- between eight and 900-feet long, and my understanding is there's five different species of bats in it and about six inches of bat guano on the inside. You can smell it about, you know, 200 yards away. And it's about a quarter of a mile west of an area that the highway was supposed to put a truck-passing lane

in, but they couldn't do it because 1 3 4 problem with endangered species. 6 7 8 9 10 is in fact the case. 11 proposed trail --12 13 MR. NETTLES: 14 15

there were Red Hill salamanders there. That's still not been done. But yet in the application they say there's no

Now, I would caution you all to read every application that comes forward on that kind of a project and get somebody to inspect it on the ground to see if it

- MR. HORN: And then this eight miles, this
- That's beyond -- that's further beyond. The second phase goes, I think, to the south end of where this trestle has been destroyed by fire 15 years ago.
- MR. HORN: And that would be interrupted by that absence of that crossing?
- MR. NETTLES: Yes, sir. And then you have to go from there to Beatrice, which is about, I guess, another four miles or SO.

But, you know, the original intent,

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

as I understand it, of Rails-to-Trails
was to preserve corridors that could be
used for rapid transit into municipal
centers. And I can't envision in my
wildest dreams a need for rapid transit
from Beatrice to Tunnel Springs or to
Monroeville. So it's a boondoggle in my
opinion, and I wanted to warn you to
look very carefully.

Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you. And then I see there's another person here from Monroeville, Dickey -- and I'm sorry. I can't pronounce your last name.

MR. ANDERS: Anders.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Anders?

MR. ANDERS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Thank you, sir.

MR. ANDERS: Yes. My name is Dickey Anders.

I'm from Monroe County. Al is a friend of mine. We own -- first of all, I'd like to thank the Forever Wild group.

Y'all have done a wonderful job,

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

acquired some fantastic properties. I'm a big supporter. Voted for you last time it came up. I think you've done a wonderful job.

I would like to kind of mirror what Al said. I read the minutes, and I got concerned when I saw this Rails-to-Trails proposal based on what I'm seeing in Monroe County. We've got property about a mile and a half down below Al which we feel will eventually be abandoned and Rails-to-Trails will, you know, go after that as well. just there's a lot of pitfalls there. Just we would hope that Forever Wild would stay concentrated on buying large natural contiguous tracts like you've been doing and done such a wonderful job with. And I just want to thank you for your time.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, sir. Any questions?

(No response.)

23

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

COMMISSIONER GUY: Appreciate you. Thank you.

We've got three remaining speakers. They're all about either oyster farming or oyster leasing. I don't know if they're connected, but I'll start out with Mr. Bill Walton.

DR. WALTON: Good morning. My name is Bill
Walton. I'm a professor with Auburn
University, Alabama Cooperative
Extension, and also down at the Dauphin
Island Sea Lab.

I just wanted to get up and speak.

I was aware that Forever Wild had been approached about oyster farming, and I just wanted to have a chance to speak in favor of Forever Wild considering these oyster leases.

I have to say that working with
Marine Resources and State Lands, that
they have certainly helped the process
of getting approval for off-bottom
oyster farming. With the support of
those agencies, in terms of helping move

the permitting forward, we now have 13 commercial operations in the state and one commercial nursery.

If people are not familiar with off-bottom, that is putting the oysters in some type of container out in the water. But they are feeding on the food in the water. They're not being medicated. And then there's on-bottom. And there's been more and more interest in that, in thinking about how we expand the on-bottom farming in the state.

So basically I just wanted to stand up here for two purposes, and one was to really broadly endorse your consideration of oyster lease applications. They certainly create habitat. We have some numbers on that for the value of commercial fishery -- commercial and recreational fisheries habitat that they create, provide local water-based jobs for the folks in the communities on the coast and preserve

that oyster tradition.

Specifically, you know, my interest here is, also, I wanted to make sure that you're aware that Auburn has an oyster-farming park where we have 12 tenants that we have trained sort of as a business park model, and the lease with that family -- a private family in Portersville Bay is ending in June of the coming year, which has come up sooner than we had hoped. And so we are certainly looking at other areas, and some of those areas are waters off of Forever Wild properties. And so those are areas that we are looking at.

So basically I just wanted to put in those two bits and say that I'm glad to be a resource for the Forever Wild Board if you have questions about oyster farming.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you.

Any questions for Mr. Walton? (No response.)

2.1

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, sir.

2.1

2.2

So we've got Steve Crockett and Chris Nelson. Do y'all care who goes first?

Mr. Crockett.

MR CROCKETT: My name is Steve Crockett. I'm representing Point aux Pins Oyster Farm.

I made a presentation to the board at the September meeting, and basically that was just to request that you consider leasing me the rights to -- your riparian rights that are adjacent to my present oyster farm.

And the next step that I was told I needed to pursue this was to submit an aquaculture application to the State

Lands. I have done that. I have given you a copy in your pile there. You don't need to look at it now because the folks from State Lands will make a presentation to you regarding this request. So thank you.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, sir.

Any questions?

2.1

2.2

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, sir.

Mr. Chris Nelson.

MR. NELSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thanks for the opportunity to comment.

I just wanted to add that we had approached -- Travis Stringfellow and I approached this board over a year ago, I think, at a meeting in Montgomery about this idea that we might be able to lease from you all or from the state, however it works out, the tract of bottom that would be off the tract that borders Portersville Bay that, I think, Bill Walton was referring to as well. We're still interested in that. And our primary interest is in the on-bottom culture of oysters there by placing shell on the bottom.

And I just -- I would like to mention that there was also some interest in that regard in how it would

actually benefit the uplands there in preventing erosion, and I think that is a real tangible benefit that you would realize from allowing us to do that.

The -- it could also be in conjunction

with some off-bottom.

And this concept is being pursued really throughout North America in that the real benefit to the uplands from particularly storm surges, but even just the natural processes of erosion, are -- that is lessened by -- those erosion processes are lessened by making the bottom rough by putting shell and growing oysters on it. And then, particularly, if you have some off-bottom structure there, it will further dampen the wave amplitudes and keep your erosion down.

So I think that's something for you all to consider for your tract there that we would -- by allowing us to grow some oysters there, you would reap that

benefit as well. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, Chris.

So I -- that was all the speaker cards I had unless I missed somebody.

So I thank everybody that came to speak, and we certainly appreciate all the participation. It certainly helps inform the board.

And, also, thanks for your patience when we have to work through little problems like that. But we want to get it right. That's the main thing. We don't want to hurry through something and get it wrong.

So at this time, by regulation, appraisal values are confidential during periods of negotiation. Accordingly, in order to discuss tract appraisal values, the board will need to go into recess for an Executive Session. I'll need a motion for the board to now recess to attend an Executive Session. Do I have a motion?

1	MR. BALL: So move.
2	COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Ball.
3	MR. HORN: Second.
4	COMMISSIONER GUY: And Mr. Horn seconded it;
5	right?
6	I think I heard did you second,
7	Horace?
8	MR. HORN: Yes, sir, I did.
9	COMMISSIONER GUY: So the time is
10	approximately 11:25. I think we will
11	try to be back here in about 20 minutes
12	for those of the public that wish to
13	stay for continued business. We'll do
14	our dead-level best to keep on time.
15	And then we'll just have the rest of our
16	program at that time.
17	So I see some hands raised oh,
18	yeah, I've got to vote. Thank you.
19	So I've got to have a vote to go
20	into Executive Session. Just indicate
21	your being in favor by saying "aye" or,
22	if not, "no."
23	Mr. Pate?

1	MR. PATE: Aye.
2	COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Hepp?
3	DR. HEPP: Aye.
4	COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Woods?
5	DR. WOODS: Aye.
6	COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Ellis?
7	MR. ELLIS: Aye.
8	COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Ball?
9	MR. BALL: Aye.
10	COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Valentine?
11	DR. VALENTINE: Aye.
12	COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Allen?
13	MR. ALLEN: Aye.
14	COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Horn?
15	MR. HORN: Aye.
16	COMMISSIONER GUY: And myself, aye.
17	So that's unanimous. We'll now go
18	into Executive Session.
19	(Recess for Executive Session was
20	taken at approximately 11:20 a.m.
21	and the meeting was called back to
22	order at approximately 12:15 p.m.)
23	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. At this time we will

resume our meeting. And if the court reporter would note the time for the record, I would appreciate that.

And we'll get right into our program status report and a report on financial data by Ms. Powell.

MS. POWELL: Thank you, Commissioner.

And I just wanted to take an opportunity to explain to some who may not know why you're seeing me up here. I'm, of course, saddened but very excited. Chris Smith, who you're used to going through this information, has moved on -- upward and onward to our Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division. Chuck Sykes stole him from me, and I will deal with Chuck on that separately. But I do want to take a minute just to -- and have been, I assure you, dealing with that with Chuck.

But I did want to take a minute to thank Chris not just as to Forever Wild,

2.1

but everything he did with our division.

And he is a special, special person as a person, in addition to being just a great staff member and quite an asset to the state and this program. He's still going to help us some on Forever Wild, and I appreciate that. But I just wanted to thank him. And I will do my best to bungle through today.

But you will also see Doug Deaton, who some of you have worked with before with my staff, who will be taking Chris' place and stepping up to the microphone in the future. We're going to tag-team a little bit today.

But, anyway, so happy to have

Chris -- I mean, for Doug to have this

opportunity but also just wanted to

thank Chris for everything. If y'all

would give Chris a hand.

Okay. So you've got me today. I want to start with, as we general do, just a current update on the status of

financial condition and the board money available to the board for additional purchases.

And for benefit of the public, I am not sure I have introduced myself at any point. I am Patti Powell. I am director of the State Lands Division.

And so as we've done in the past, I want to run through the properties that are currently actively in our closing process or either in our final negotiation process because the board has instructed us to proceed with purchase. So all of those will end up coming to a total of money that has already been committed by the board, subtracted from our available balance, and that will tell you what currently you have available to take action with.

So of the properties that are currently in active closing, we have the Autauga WMA-Oak Grove addition in Autauga County; Autauga WMA-Old Kingston

addition in Autauga County; Big Canoe
Creek Preserve, St. Clair County; DeSoto
State Park-Steward Gap addition, Dekalb
County; Guntersville State
Park-Stubblefield Mountain addition,
Marshall County; Weeks Bay Reserve,
Swift Tract #2-Meyer addition, Baldwin
County; Walls of Jericho-Estillfork -make sure I'm saying that right -addition in Jackson County. Those,
again, are in process of closing.

The adjustments to the total balance that are actively being worked on toward closing but are still under some element of negotiation: The Autauga WMA-Joffre addition, Autauga County; Skyline
WMA-Little Coon Creek addition, Jackson County; Turkey Creek Nature
Preserve-Shepherd addition, Jefferson County; Hinds Road Outcrop-Miles tract, Etowah County; Post Oak Flat-Shiflett addition in Jackson County.

If you take all of those commitments

1.3

2.1

2.2

that we're currently working on at the request of the board, it leaves you an available balance -- unencumbered balance of just over \$23 million. And I will note that that is within your spending authority for state-budgeted purposes. So that full amount would be available for action by this board if you choose to take action. But we will try to be keeping up and an eye on that amount and keep you from going past that.

I also wanted to note that for this fiscal year we have so far closed the Martin Timber land swap transaction.

And we have had one offer declined, the -- yeah, Big Canoe Creek. The owner has declined that offer. So that is a declination for this fiscal year.

Is that the only change we've had?

So that's the current status of what
we're working on and what has closed
this fiscal year.

Ιf

MS. POWELL: Yes, sir, Mr. Allen. 3 MR. ALLEN: Why did the Big Canoe reject it? I think it was merely a valuation MS. POWELL: 4 issue on the appraisal. 5 We had continued to work with them on that, but 6 7 at the end of the day the value was not where they wanted it. The appraised 8 9 value was not sufficient, you know, for 10 their desire to be a willing seller. MR. DEATON: That's correct. 11 12 MS. POWELL: Any other questions? 13 (No response.) 14 MS. POWELL: All right. Thank y'all. there are no questions, let me give you 15 16 an update on the stewardship account.

Ms. Powell?

MR. ALLEN:

1

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

Currently the balance of that account is just over 30 million. spend in a fiscal year only the interest earned from that account. So currently with the funds available and subtraction of what we have spent as of the end of October, you have just under \$700,000 of

available balance in stewardship funds, specifically 695,000, probably a little lower as we stand here today because of -- obviously every day there are certain additional activities that are occurring. So that is the current availability within the stewardship fund.

If there are any questions on that or not, I will proceed, Commissioner.

Do you have any questions?

COMMISSIONER GUY: No, ma'am. Proceed.

MS. POWELL: Next I want to just give an updated overview of the tracts that have been appraised. And I will run through these just as a group.

The tracts that have currently been appraised: Big Canoe Creek-Bettis tract. Byrnes Lake. That's also the Ghost tract that you heard mentioned earlier. Coosa WMA-Hancock Phase III tract, Coosa County. MSP-Dug Hill West addition, Madison County. Indian

1.3

1 Mountain-Simmons addition, Cherokee.

Natural Bridge Creek tract, Covington

County. Old Prairie addition, Dallas

County. Pintlala Creek, Lowndes County.

Splinter Hill Bog-North addition,

Baldwin County. Yates Lake-Tapley

addition, Elmore County.

Those are current appraisals that -- appraisals we currently have received and have on hand to review for the board.

And for benefit of the public on status of prior motions by the board, I will note that we have the following appraisals that are in the works that have not been completed and returned to the State Lands Division by the appraiser. That's the Autauga WMA-Phase II in Autauga County; Lake Lurleen State Park-Roebuck addition, Tuscaloosa; Skyline WMA-Threwer Point addition, Jackson; Terrapin Hill, Coosa County; Weeks Bay Reserve-Sunset Shores

addition, Baldwin County.

We have some additional six
appraisals that we are continuing to get
the necessary deed information we must
have in hand in order to bid out and
initiate the appraisal, but we're
actively working on that with the owners
trying to get the needed information.
That's the Locust Fork-Palmer tract,
Shelby County Park-Shades Creek addition
tract, Shelby County.

I'm sorry. Locust Fork is Blount and Jefferson County.

Skyline WMA-Pole Branch addition,

Jackson County; Tannehill-Ayers

addition, Jefferson; Weeks Bay

Reserve-Meadows II addition, Baldwin

County; Yates Lake-North addition,

Elmore County.

Again, we are actively working on those trying to get the deed information to be able to initiate the appraisal as requested by the board.

We have two appraisals that have not been initiated, but they didn't exactly fit in the other categories. So let me mention those.

The Beaverdam Swamp tract, if the board recalls, that is a tract that as we went to initiate the appraisal determined that it was encumbered by an existing purchase option. That is still an active option. Therefore, we couldn't act on it. So we're holding that to see how that resolves before spending the money on an appraisal. We will keep you updated on that.

The Wiregrass Rail Trail nomination,
we had -- as we had mentioned some in
the last meeting, that nomination
involved potential for two other
grants -- federal grants. In working
with the state agencies that oversee
those grants, it became clear that the
Alabama Department of Transportation
will have to, in order to follow their

2.1

appraisal. We are working with them trying to see if -- trying to get one appraisal to work for everybody. But that is -- that's going to involve some more administrative looking into. And so that one is on hold for that reason but would ultimately be paid for by the Department of Transportation under their grant process. That's just how it's going to have to work after meeting with them. So we'll keep you updated on those.

So to give you a further picture, of the appraisals that I mentioned that you have motioned for us to work on, there are 13 that are outstanding for one reason or another. Of the appraisals that have been received as I detailed, you are looking at about roughly \$25 million so far of what's come in. So, again, those -- the ones I named that we have received would be the ones

that would be available for further
motioning and action if any of you
desire here today at this meeting.

So that wraps up kind of where we
are from an appraisal status.

Oh, let me stop there for a minute.

Oh, let me stop there for a minute.

Are there any questions on the update on appraisals of nominations? Questions?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you.

MS. POWELL: Okay. Commissioner, I think

we'll just move to our usual agenda item

as to grant status. And I'll get Doug

to come up and relieve me on that one.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you. Mr. Deaton.
MR. DEATON: Thank you, Commissioner.

My name is Doug Deaton, as stated earlier. At this time I would like to mention for the new board members and those attending at the meeting today that the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources staff, both the State

Freshwater Fisheries, routinely try to find Land Acquisition grant programs that accommodate some of the properties that are nominated to help further Forever Wild dollars. So at each meeting we give an update on where we are in that process.

Lands Division and Wildlife and

It was reported at the last meeting that the State Lands Division staff at the Weeks Bay National Estuarine
Research Reserve secured a NOAA grant.
That grant is to be used towards the purchase of the Weeks Bay
Reserve-Meadows Phase II addition and the Weeks Bay Reserve-Sunset Shores addition. The grant awarded will be a 50/50 match. The board motioned at the last meeting to move forward with a first appraisal. We expect to have those appraisals back by the next meeting in February.

That's all I have. If you guys have

any questions about that. 1 COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions about that? 3 (No response.) COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you. 4 MR. DEATON: Next we'll have Chuck Sykes, 6 director of Wildlife and Freshwater 7 Fisheries, to give us an update on his grants. 8 9 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, sir. 10 Director Sykes. Thank you, Commissioner and Doug. 11 MR. SYKES: And I will reiterate what Patti said. 12 1.3 I hate it for State Lands, but I am tickled to death to have Chris Smith 14 working upstairs with us now. And we 15 16 have already set up a special coding for consulting services for Chris down with 17 Lands. So everything is taken care of 18 19 and we're good. MS. POWELL: And it will be free; right? 20 MR. SYKES: And, Ashley, it's not showing but 2.1 half of it. 2.2 MS. POWELL: Give us a technical minute. Hold 23

1 on.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

(Brief interruption.)

MS. POWELL: Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, ma'am.

MS. POWELL: If you want, I think the board has a copy of Chuck's PowerPoint. If Chuck could assist the rest of us with -- if anything matters where it's missing, I believe we could probably proceed with a half slide.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yeah. We have it here, so ...

MS. POWELL: And, Board, that was in your manila folder. You should see Chuck's PowerPoint.

MR. SYKES: All right. We'll go on with that.

At the December meeting last year was the first time that we presented the opportunity to the board to leverage our Pittman-Robertson dollars with Forever Wild as match. What we had stated, Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries' objectives were to purchase inholdings

and adjacent properties as well as the partial map there that the public can see but what y'all can see in your folder there. The highlighted areas are underserved areas in the state, and those were our objectives when we looked at this.

One thing that I wanted to make clear is how much we appreciate what Forever Wild has done and the importance of leveraging this money. If you'll look in the lower left-hand corner of the map, southwest and southern Washington County, you'll see a big "X" right there. We lost the Boykin WMA this year after 50 some-odd years being in the WMA system. We met with Riley. It was just family decisions. We understand that.

Over the past few years, just since I've been here, we've probably lost over 60,000 acres. So this program, Forever Wild matching with Pittman-Robertson, is

helping us -- I'm not going to say we're maintaining the same amount of land, but we at least are trying to eliminate a lot of those losses.

The first thing that we wanted to do with this match opportunity was put back together the Autauga WMA. Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries had 370 acres of what was once a 7,000-acre WMA that over the years had been lost due to land sales and things like that. If you will look to slide three, we now have the opportunities for a little over 8,000 acres to be put together.

Patti has talked about some of the closings, but just where you can look
I've got them color-coded. Posey
Crossroads, 1,538 acres, closed in
September. The Oak Grove, 1,352 acres, closed in November.

The White City, which is 303 acres, closed in November of 2015. That was a tract that was just purchased by

Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries, but it did help connect some of the acreage that Forever Wild had used to work with us on match.

The Old Kingston, 1,412 acres, will close in January of 2016. The Oak Grove-Phase II, 1,846 acres, it's proposed early summer of '16 to close. And then the Joffre, which is 1,306 acres, is proposed to close in early summer.

So when all of this is said and done, we'll have a little over 8,000 acres put together with the help of the board that's going to provide some tremendous hunting opportunities up in Autauga County. So thank y'all for doing that.

COMMISSIONER GUY: You might thank the legal staff for working real quick on some of that property.

MR. SYKES: Absolutely. Thank you, legal staff.

1.3

1

2

4

3

5

7

9

8

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

Now that we have got Autauga about to where we want it, we have changed, and our next priority is going to be purchasing a piece of property in an underserved area. It's our top priority now.

Y'all will find it in your green tab in your folder. It's roughly 6,000 acres in Dallas County. It is a -- it is one nomination that has made the short list. However, due to the closings that we already have set up in 2016, Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries is requesting that the board take this down in two separate closings. It will be in one calendar year, but it will fall under two fiscal years for Pittman-Robertson money. We will use part of it to close in 2016. And then when we get our next apportionment in October of 2016, that will be for our 2017 fiscal year. And we will be able to complete the transaction at that

point.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

So it is one nomination. I think

y'all have -- the board has done this in

the past. It would just be closed in

two different parcels in order for us to

be able to leverage those

Pittman-Robertson dollars.

And we ask the board at this time to move with this if you see fit. And I'll be happy to answer any questions. And I hope my PowerPoint really helped everybody out.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Have you got it up?

MR. BURCH: It's coming up now.

MS. POWELL: Let me say this is what happens when Hank isn't here. So welcome back to 5 Rivers, Hank.

MR. BURCH: Well, thanks.

COMMISSIONER GUY: So just for

clarification -- and the public will see it here in a minute, but for our -- so, Chuck, on this map the red dots --

MR. SYKES: The red dots are current WMAs.

That's the statewide distribution of 1 WMAs. 3 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. So, therefore, where you're showing underserved, you can 4 obviously look and there are no red dots 5 6 in those areas. 7 MR. SYKES: Yes. COMMISSIONER GUY: And that's why you're 8 9 looking at those --MR. SYKES: That's why we're looking in those 10 11 areas. We're still going to take the 12 13 opportunity to fill in gaps, inholdings 14 inside current WMAs, but as everyone 15 knows, we need willing sellers. And 16 right now there is a willing seller that wants to sell that 5800-acre tract of 17 land in Dallas County which would fall 18 into our five-year plan extremely well. 19 20 Any other questions? 2.1 (No response.) MR. SYKES: Well, apparently the PowerPoint 2.2 did its job, then. 23

COMMISSIONER GUY: Does anybody have any 1 questions? 3 (No response.) COMMISSIONER GUY: Apparently we're fully 4 informed. 5 6 MR. SYKES: Good. Thank you. 7 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, sir. Not to say you might not have to get back up later. 8 That's fine. 9 MR. SYKES: 10 COMMISSIONER GUY: And, Ashley, you did a wonderful job of getting that up and 11 12 running. So next I have nomination short-list 13 14 update by Ms. Lewis. I'm Jo Lewis with 15 MS. LEWIS: Good morning. the State Lands Division, and I'll be 16 presenting the short list of available 17 nominations. We have approximately 86 18 active nominations of which 21 score 19 20 high enough to be in the top-three tracts to form the short list. 2.1 The short list is the three 2.2 highest-scoring nominations in each 23

2.2

category of use in each district of the state. Therefore, there hypothetically could be 36. Today there is 21 because some nominations score well enough to short-list in more than one category of use. They vary in size from about 3 acres to 4300 acres, and they're scattered throughout the state from Baldwin County to Jackson County. They represent 12 different counties.

This is Tab 5. 5(a) is the alphabetical list. The second page of 5(a) is the -- by category of use and district the 36 positions, some of which are filled by the same nomination.

Tab 5(b) is a narrative and map of each of the Forever Wild short-listed nominations. Ashley is going to flash them up. Mine is working. You also have these maps in your packet. So you can flip through the packet or you can watch the screen.

The last report is 5(c), and it is a

list of all of the active nominations of documented owners that are willing to sell or would consider offers, but not all of those on the active list are on the short list. The short list is the cream of the crop.

So beginning with the short list alphabetically, we have Coon Gulf-Heard, 40 acres in Jackson County. We have Coosa River Tract, which is 710 acres in Elmore County. We have Cooters Pond, which is 160 acres again in Elmore County. We have the Dallas County WMA which Chuck just spoke of, which is larger than my 4300, but that would be 5,900 approximately in Dallas County.

We have Deer Head Cove-Hartline, 213 in Dekalb. We have Dear Head Cove-Low

Gap, 280 acres in Dekalb. We have

DeSoto State Park, or SP, French

addition, which is approximately 3 acres in Dekalb County. DeSoto State

Park-Jones addition, which is

approximately 10 acres in Dekalb County.

DeSoto State Park-Tutwiler addition,

which is 140 acres in Dekalb County.

We have Emauhee Creek Lake,
approximately 900 acres in Talladega.
We have Freedom Hills WMA-Dog Pen Road
addition in Colbert, 80 acres. Henshaw
Cove addition, 40 acres in Jackson
County. Horse Creek National Forest
Retreat, which is 240 acres in Clay
County. Laguna Cove, which is 53 acres
in Baldwin County. MTD, Mobile-Tensaw
Delta-Pine Log Creek addition in Baldwin
County, which is 4300 acres.
MTD-Simmons addition, which is 300 acres
in Baldwin County. Muddy Prong tract,
which is approximately a thousand acres

which is approximately a thousand acres in Shelby County. Red Hills-Parris
Trust addition in Monroe County, 305
acres. Shell Bank Bayou, approximately
25 acres in Baldwin County. Sipsey
River Swamp-Lewbrig addition in
Tuscaloosa County. And White Oak

Plantation, which is approximately a 1 thousand acres in Macon County. 3 I'll be happy to answer any questions, provide any details on any of 4 these. 5 6 COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions? 7 (No response.) Thank you, Ms. Lewis. COMMISSIONER GUY: 8 9 MS. LEWIS: Thank you. 10 COMMISSIONER GUY: At this time I'm going to ask Ms. Powell to provide us an update 11 on -- since we took the break on the 12 13 Byrnes Lake issue. 14 MS. POWELL: Thank you. And I do appreciate the board's indulgence of a little 15 time-out there for us to have some 16 further discussion. 17 I will say that after talking with 18 the two speakers from earlier, Mr. Dyas 19 20 and Mr. Tonsmeire, that for me today that the nomination stands as it was 2.1 submitted, as it was appraised. And, 2.2 therefore, if the board elects to take 23

any action, it would be on exactly what 1 you have. And that could move forward 3 at any time, today or later, but that for today it certainly is resolved, that 4 it would be considered for this meeting 6 as one tract as you have. So we have 7 no -- under that scenario we have no issues and no concerns. So we're back 8 9 to business as usual. Sorry for the 10 time-out. COMMISSIONER GUY: No, not a problem. 11 It was

COMMISSIONER GUY: No, not a problem. It was good to get clarification. Thank you, ma'am.

All right. So according to the agenda, now we, as usual, open up business for general discussion of the board members. That can be any number of things, whether it's other questions or first nominations, second nominations, et cetera. And so at this time I am opening up that part of the program.

Mr. Ball.

23

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

1	MR. BALL: Yes, sir. Mr. Chair, I would like
2	to move that we make a second appraisal
3	for the Big Canoe Creek-Bettis, 257
4	acres in St. Clair County, and move
5	forward with acquisition.
6	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Have a motion
7	for second appraisal and acquisition.
8	Do I have a second?
9	DR. HEPP: I second.
10	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Second by
11	Dr. Hepp.
12	Any discussion on the motion?
13	(No response.)
14	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
15	say "aye."
16	(All board members present respond
17	"aye.")
18	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
19	(No response.)
20	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
21	DR. VALENTINE: Commissioner?
22	COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Valentine.
23	DR. VALENTINE: I would like to move forward

with a first appraisal for the Wolf Bay 1 parcel as described today. COMMISSIONER GUY: So a motion for a first on 3 the Wolf Bay parcel that was nominated 4 today. And I see Ms. Powell standing 5 6 up. 7 MS. POWELL: I just love the microphone. To clarify -- I'm sorry. I don't 8 9 have all my notes in one place. 10 that the tract that Mr. Dumont spoke on 11 today? 12 DR. VALENTINE: Yes. 13 MS. POWELL: We just received that nomination 14 last week, so it has not yet been scored. 15 DR. VALENTINE: 16 Okay. MS. POWELL: So we'll need to come back to the 17 board. I would hope that we would --18 I'm sure we would have that done by the 19 20 updated short list at our next meeting. DR. VALENTINE: Commissioner, I withdraw my 2.1 nomination. 2.2 COMMISSIONER GUY: 23 Thank you.

1	DR. WOODS: Commissioner?
2	COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, Dr. Woods.
3	DR. WOODS: I would like to make a motion for
4	a first appraisal on DeSoto State
5	Park-Tutwiler addition.
6	COMMISSIONER GUY: First appraisal, DeSoto
7	State Park which one is it?
8	DR. WOODS: Tutwiler.
9	COMMISSIONER GUY: Tutwiler addition. Do
10	I have a second?
11	MR. BALL: Second.
12	COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Ball.
13	Any discussion on the motion?
14	(No response.)
15	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
16	say "aye."
17	(All board members present respond
18	"aye.")
19	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
20	(No response.)
21	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
22	Any other motions or discussion?
23	Dr. Hepp hold on. I'm sorry.

1	Did you have your hand up before?
2	MR. ALLEN: He can go first.
3	COMMISSIONER GUY: No. No, Leo. I want you
4	to go first.
5	Dr. Hepp, will you give Leo
6	DR. HEPP: Go ahead.
7	MR. ALLEN: You're very kind.
8	Mr. Commissioner, I'd like to
9	nominate the second appraisal for the
10	Yates Lake-Tapley addition in Elmore
11	County.
12	COMMISSIONER GUY: I'm sorry. It's the
13	MS. POWELL: Just to clarify, it would be the
14	second appraisal and proceed to
15	purchase.
16	COMMISSIONER GUY: And purchase.
17	MR. ALLEN: Yeah, for purchase.
18	COMMISSIONER GUY: Yeah, second appraisal and
19	purchase. That's what y'all want us to
20	say every time; right, legal?
21	MS. WEBER: If you want to purchase it.
22	DR. WOODS: I'll second.
23	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Even though a second

```
appraisal is for purchase, you want us
1
              to say purchase?
3
       MS. WEBER: Yes, sir.
        COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. We'll do that, then.
4
                   So Yates Lake-Tapley -- is that the
5
6
              one?
7
       MR. ALLEN: Yes, sir.
        COMMISSIONER GUY: Second appraisal motion.
8
              Do I have a second?
9
        DR. WOODS: Second.
10
        COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Woods.
11
                   Any discussion on the motion?
12
13
                     (No response.)
        COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor say "aye."
14
15
                     (All board members present respond
                      "aye.")
16
        COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
17
18
                     (No response.)
        COMMISSIONER GUY: All I can say about our
19
20
              discussions like that is y'all can see
              we're completely transparent and we're
2.1
              not perfect. We don't do anything
2.2
              behind closed doors.
23
```

```
All right. Any other discussion or
1
              nominations?
3
       DR. HEPP: Yeah.
       COMMISSIONER GUY:
                           Yes, Dr. Woods. I'm sorry.
4
5
       MS. POWELL: Dr. Hepp.
       COMMISSIONER GUY: I mean Dr. Hepp. I'm
6
7
              sorry.
       DR. HEPP: I'd like to move for a second
8
9
              appraisal and purchase of the Splinter
10
              Hill Bog-North addition in Baldwin
11
              County.
       DR. VALENTINE:
                        I'll second that.
12
13
       COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. So we've got a
              motion and a second, Dr. Valentine. All
14
15
              in -- any discussion on the motion?
16
                     (No response.)
       COMMISSIONER GUY:
                           All in favor of the motion
17
              say "aye."
18
                     (All board members present respond
19
20
                      "aye.")
       COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
2.1
                     (No response.)
2.2
       COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
23
```

1	Sorry, Dr. Hepp.
2	DR. HEPP: I have one more.
3	COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir, Dr. Hepp.
4	DR. HEPP: I would like to move for a first
5	appraisal of the Dallas County WMA.
6	MR. PATE: I second that.
7	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. We've got a
8	first and a second. Any discussion on
9	the motion?
10	(No response.)
11	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor say "aye."
12	(All board members present respond
13	"aye.")
14	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
15	(No response.)
16	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
17	Dr. Woods.
18	DR. WOODS: Commissioner, I have a nomination,
19	please.
20	COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Woods.
21	DR. WOODS: I would like to move forward with
22	the second appraisal and purchase of the
23	MSP-Dug Hill West addition in Madison

1	Carratas
1	County.
2	COMMISSIONER GUY: We have a motion. Do I
3	have a second?
4	MR. BALL: Second.
5	COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Ball seconds.
6	Any discussion on the motion?
7	(No response.)
8	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor say "aye."
9	(All board members present respond
10	"aye.")
11	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
12	(No response.)
13	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
14	Any other discussion or motions?
15	MR. BALL: Mr. Chair?
16	COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Ball.
17	MR. BALL: I would like to move for a first
18	appraisal for Emauhee Creek Lake,
19	Talladega County.
20	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Emauhee Creek
21	Lake, Talladega County.
22	All right. We have a motion. Do we
23	have a second on that?

```
MR. HORN: Second.
1
       COMMISSIONER GUY: We have a second by
3
              Mr. Horn. Any discussion on the motion?
                     (No response.)
4
       COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor say "aye."
5
6
                     (All board members present respond
7
                      "aye.")
       COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
8
9
                     (No response.)
10
       COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries for a first
11
              appraisal on that property.
                   I see people looking. I'm giving
12
              you a chance to -- is there any other
13
              discussion --
14
       DR. WOODS: We don't see it on the short list.
15
       MR. BALL: It's in the middle.
16
       COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. You okay,
17
              Dr. Woods?
18
       DR. WOODS: Okay.
19
20
       DR. HEPP:
                   I have one more.
                           Yes, sir, Dr. Hepp.
       COMMISSIONER GUY:
2.1
       DR. HEPP: Yeah. I'd like to move for a first
2.2
              appraisal for the Henshaw Cove addition
23
```

1	in Jackson County, 40 acres.
2	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. We have a
3	motion. Do we have a second?
4	DR. WOODS: Second.
5	COMMISSIONER GUY: Who did that?
6	Oh, Dr. Woods.
7	All right. A motion and a second.
8	Any discussion on the motion?
9	(No response.)
10	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor say "aye."
11	(All board members present respond
12	"aye.")
13	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
14	(No response.)
15	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
16	MR. BALL: Mr. Chair, I have one more.
17	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Mr. Ball.
18	MR. BALL: I would like to move for a first
19	appraisal on the Muddy Prong tract in
20	Shelby County.
21	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Muddy Prong
22	excuse me?
23	Muddy Prong tract. Does everybody

1	see that? I want to make sure.
2	MR. BALL: Fifth from the bottom.
3	COMMISSIONER GUY: Yeah, fifth from the bottom
4	on the short list.
5	All right. Do I have a second?
6	MR. ELLIS: I know nothing about that tract.
7	MR. BALL: Want to check it out first? I'll
8	be happy to withdraw my motion.
9	COMMISSIONER GUY: Yeah. So that's what this
10	is about. If you have some concerns,
11	just
12	MR. ELLIS: I don't really have any concerns.
13	I just don't know anything it. I know
14	where Muddy Prong is. I used to
15	coon-hunt on it when I was a boy.
16	Is this for a first appraisal?
17	MR. BALL: Yes, sir.
18	MR. ELLIS: Could you hold that just a minute
19	and let me look at that?
20	MR. BALL: Oh, sure.
21	COMMISSIONER GUY: So do you want to
22	withdraw
23	MR. ELLIS: Do we have a map or something I

could see? 1 MS. POWELL: Yeah, it's in your packet. 3 Let me help you for a second. If you'll look to your packet. 4 Jo did for the benefit of the public was 5 6 a little bit abbreviated from all the 7 information you have, and so let me -if you'll go to Tab 5. 8 9 MS. LEWIS: It's alphabetical. 10 MS. POWELL: And it's alphabetical, so we'll look for "Muddy." 11 12 MR. ELLIS: I apologize, Commissioner. 13 COMMISSIONER GUY: You're fine. Hey, 14 Mr. Ellis, I think this is exactly what we should be doing if you have a 15 16 question. MS. POWELL: It's got more information. 17 MR. ELLIS: Who is the current owner? 18 MS. POWELL: Okay. Be sure and speak into the 19 20 microphone so the court reporter can get it. 21 MR. ELLIS: Oh, I was asking for the identity 2.2

of the current owner.

23

MS. LEWIS: I don't know off hand. It isn't 1 in the -- we don't have that on hand, 3 but I can report it. MR. ELLIS: That's an attractive tract, 4 Mr. Commissioner. I'll second that 5 6 nomination and get some additional 7 information. COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. I'm letting 8 9 everybody have a chance to look at it. 10 So it was nominated in '13. All right. So we have a motion and 11 a second. Who seconded it? 12 MR. ELLIS: I seconded it. 13 COMMISSIONER GUY: You went ahead and seconded 14 15 it. Okay. Mr. Ellis seconded it. 16 All right. We have a motion and a 17 second. Any further discussion on this 18 tract that we need to have before we 19 20 vote on it? 2.1 (No response.) COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. So hearing no 2.2 requests for discussion, all in favor 23

say "aye." 1 (All board members present respond 3 "aye.") COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed? 4 (No response.) 5 COMMISSIONER GUY: 6 Motion carries. 7 All right. Anything else before we move on to the next item of business? 8 9 (No response.) 10 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. It sounds like we need to move on to the next item of 11 business. Appreciate everybody's 12 13 patience in that regard. And at this time we'll move on to 14 15 some miscellaneous reports, and I'll 16 call on Dr. Deaton again to give us some reports. 17 MR. DEATON: Thank you, Commissioner. 18 If you guys will look at Tab 19 20 number 4 covering some miscellaneous items that we have for the board. 2.1 The first item that we have is in 2.2 regards to the Yates Lake-North 23

addition. There's been an acreage 1 reduction. You guys at the last meeting asked us to move forward with a first 3 appraisal. We want to confirm that the 4 board still wants to move forward with 5 6 that with the acreage reduction. You'll 7 see the map that's attached there as well. The acreage is reduced from 372 8 acres down to 277 acres after 9 10 communication with the landowner. COMMISSIONER GUY: So all you're saying is 11 12 because -- do you want us to -- so we 1.3 need a motion, then, to move forward with just the reduction of those few 14 15 acres? 16 MR. DEATON: That's correct. MS. POWELL: And, Commissioner, I would 17 confirm that we did our usual analysis. 18 It's not impacting its scoring or caused 19 20 no movement on the short list -- off the short list. 2.1 MR. DEATON: That's correct. 2.2 COMMISSIONER GUY: So it wouldn't affect any 23

1	of the scoring or other things.
2	All right. I need a motion.
3	MR. HORN: So move.
4	COMMISSIONER GUY: Got one by Mr. Horn. Do I
5	have a second?
6	DR. WOODS: Second.
7	COMMISSIONER GUY: Second by Dr. Woods.
8	Any discussion?
9	(No response.)
10	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
11	say "aye."
12	(All board members present respond
13	"aye.")
14	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
15	(No response.)
16	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
17	Thank you, Dr. Deaton, on that one.
18	MR. DEATON: The second item in Tab 4 is tract
19	management plans. This is a memo
20	outlining the tract management plans
21	that we need for your approval. There
22	are currently three tracts at this time.
23	They are either currently adjacent to

\_

existing tracts that we own or they complement existing tracts in the nearby area.

The first tract is the Yates

Lake-Guy addition. It serves part of

the Yates Lake-West tract, which is also

part of the Forever Wild Gothard-AWF

Yates Lake WMA. We are seeking approval

to fold this tract into the Yates

Lake-West tract management plan.

The second tract is the Heron Bay
Wetlands tract that's located in Mobile
County. It's located in the same
coastal region as the Grand Bay Savanna
tract. It's comprised of a similar
coastal wetland habitat. We're seeking
approval to move that tract into the
Grand Bay Savanna tract management plan.

And the third tract is the Lillian Swamp Wetlands tract in Baldwin County. It serves as an addition to the -- what we call the Lillian Swamp Complex. We are seeking approval to fold this tract

1	into the Lillian Swamp-Caney Bayou and
2	South addition's tract management plan.
3	COMMISSIONER GUY: Can we do this all in one
4	motion?
5	MR. DEATON: I believe so.
6	COMMISSIONER GUY: So we just need a board
7	we need somebody to move to include the
8	above tracts in the existing management
9	plans as detailed in this memo?
10	Is that sufficient, legal?
11	MS. WEBER: Yes.
12	MR. BALL: So move.
13	MR. HORN: Second.
14	MR. BALL: All right. We've got a motion and
15	a second. I got a motion from Mr. Ball
16	and a second was from Mr. Horn, I
17	believe.
18	MR. HORN: Yeah.
19	COMMISSIONER GUY: Any discussion on the
20	motion?
21	(No response.)
22	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor say "aye."
23	(All board members present respond

"aye.") 1 COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed? 3 (No response.) COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries. 4 All right. Mr. Deaton, anything 5 else? 6 7 MR. DEATON: That's it. COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Thank you, sir. 8 And at this time, unless Ms. Powell 9 10 tells me differently, I'm going to call on Mr. Brantley to -- Mr. Will Brantley 11 12 to discuss the oyster lease request 13 update. 14 Is that correct, Ms. Powell? 15 MS. POWELL: That's correct. And I may chime 16 in as usual. COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. 17 MR. BRANTLEY: If you could, please pull the 18 PowerPoint presentation up. 19 20 COMMISSIONER GUY: Can you pull up the half PowerPoint again? 2.1 MR. BRANTLEY: And Jeremiah is going to pass 2.2 out some -- for us we get whole 23

PowerPoints.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Thank you, Will.

MR. BRANTLEY: So Jeremiah is passing out a

hard copy of what you're going to see on the screen. What I'm going to speak to briefly -- and I know I stand between you guys and lunch and adjournment, so I'm going to try to be concise and to the point.

Earlier in the meeting during the public-comment period you heard from Dr. Bill Walton, you heard from Steve Crockett, and you heard from Chris Nelson relating to areas of interest relating to oysters. This follows the last meeting in September where Mr. Crockett came and made a presentation to the board relating to an off-bottom aquaculture proposal in front of some state land that you guys own. And this also follows a proposal presented by Mr. Nelson to the board back in 2013 relating to the use of some

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

1

3

of the state-owned submerged bottoms adjacent to Forever Wild for oyster activities.

So these activities have made us develop a process -- made us think about a process for how we would handle these kinds of activities in front of state-owned lands. The hat I'm wearing today is not really the Forever Wild administrative hat. It's really the State Lands Division hat advising a state agency, in this case Forever Wild Board, of a process that you could consider for leasing your interests -- your riparian interests with this property.

So because you own property on the water in south Mobile County, you own -you have what's called a riparian right,
and that right affords you any number of
things. One of the things it affords
you to do is to develop your oyster -develop an oyster resource in front of

that property.

\_

3

45

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

So we've developed a process that you cannot read too well on this flow chart in front of you on the screen. But basically, because it's public land, we can't -- we would have to go through a competitive process by which to consider these activities. So we would obtain an appraisal for the property. We would utilize that appraisal to help us establish a minimum bid for this riparian right. And then we would bid that right out through what we would call an oyster lease. It's a terminology that oyster farmers and members of the public down here are well aware of. And for us it satisfies the requirement for an oyster farmer to have a sufficient upland interest in the property.

Following that we would award the bid for our process to a high bidder.

So that would then allow someone to --

2.2

at that point they would have the right they would need from a state entity, in this case this body, to either go forward and do traditional planting and gathering on bottom oyster techniques -- which Chris Blankenship can speak to a lot better than I could if you have any questions about that. It would also allow someone who has been awarded this the opportunity to come to us and make application for a shellfish aquaculture easement.

So that's it in a nutshell. And so what has -- and go ahead to the next slide. And we're going to keep talking a little bit because I think that real-live examples like we have would help.

So you heard from Mr. Crockett last month and you heard from him earlier today. Last month -- or at the last meeting you guys wanted additional information. Mr. Crockett has provided

that to staff, and the basics of that are up on this slide.

The white -- the polygon you see on there represents 1.1 acres.

Ashley, go ahead and toggle forward one. I'm going to get you to go backwards.

But that white polygon that was on the previous one is the same as the blue one here. I want to show this because even though this appears to be just out in front of Mr. Crockett's property, if you look at that line coming down -- and I don't have a pointer -- but you sort of see that sharp angled line coming down. That is the boundary of the riparian area between Mr. Crockett's property and Forever Wild's property.

So go ahead and toggle back to the other one.

So Mr. Crockett currently has an operation within his area doing off-bottom shellfish aquaculture. He

has requested from this board the 1 opportunity to lease an additional 3 1.1 acres of the Forever Wild Board's riparian area. 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: So -- I think I get this. 5 So he's already doing farming on the 6 7 riparian rights he already owns? MR. BRANTLEY: That's correct. 8 COMMISSIONER GUY: And does he have to have 9 10 anything from us or any other entity for that? Is that the --11 MR. BRANTLEY: Yes, sir. That is the 12 13 shellfish aquaculture easement. And Mr. Crockett, along with Auburn 14 15 University, was sort of a pioneer in 16 this activity. And they -- they operated under just approval from the 17 Department initially, but we are getting 18 Mr. Crockett under an easement through 19 20 our current process. COMMISSIONER GUY: So Forever Wild owns the 2.1 same riparian --2.2 MR. BRANTLEY: That's correct. 23

COMMISSIONER GUY: -- rights as he would as a 1 person, and so we're talking about 3 off-bottom right now for him? MR. BRANTLEY: For this request it is related 4 to off-bottom aquaculture. 5 COMMISSIONER GUY: And since it's --6 7 MR. BRANTLEY: And Dr. Walton is a great resource for details on --8 COMMISSIONER GUY: But since it's off-bottom, 9 10 it doesn't involve State Lands; it involves the riparian rights? 11 MR. BRANTLEY: Well, it doesn't --12 COMMISSIONER GUY: I meant in the sense that 13 it's not going to be on the bottom which 14 is owned by State Lands? 15 MS. POWELL: Yes. For Forever Wild's 16 purposes, like any other upland owner. 17 That's why they're coming to you. 18 COMMISSIONER GUY: All I'm trying to point out 19 20 is, even if Forever Wild property, State Lands Division actually owns the 2.1 bottoms? 2.2 MR. BRANTLEY: 23 Yes.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Just like the -just like Mr. Crockett's, State Lands
still owns the bottoms out to a certain
distance?

MR. BRANTLEY: That is correct. Out to three miles in the coastal areas.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Got it now. I got it.

MR. BRANTLEY: So that is in a nutshell, you know, what Mr. Crockett has requested of the board. He wants to obtain 1.1 acres of approval in the riparian area. To do this through the process I identified -- in terms of what it would look like -- Ashley, if you could go ahead and toggle forward two.

Yeah. So these are pictures from his existing farm. The picture on the left, you can see the pilings that get planted in the ground. There are long cables. They call this, I believe, a long-line operation with long cables connected to the pilings. And you

2.1

attach these baskets to the cables, and then the baskets are filled with oysters that are then grown out to a marketable size.

So that's -- you know, that's what it would look like out in front of the property. And the picture on the right is just sort of a further-out view. In fact, that picture is probably sitting -- that picture was probably taken from the Forever Wild riparian area. That's probably a pretty good approximation of where the -- you know, where this activity would continue.

So, Ashley, go ahead, please.

So I'm going to blow it out a little bit just to kind of put it into some context.

So you'll see two properties there highlighted I've hatched in red. Both are owned by you guys. One is the Grand Bay Savanna tract. The other is Portersville Bay.

Mr. Crockett's existing farm -- and it's a little hard for me to point to.

But it's associated with the Grand Bay

Savanna tract. And Jeremiah is going to point out sort of where he's located now.

So his request is related ...

Anyway, that's where he -- his farm is located and that would be the area of interest. As you can see, given the size of that tract, there's a significant riparian area, you know, associated with the board's property.

To the right is the Portersville Bay tract which the board also owns. And we have had interest expressed in that property as well for some oystering activities through Mr. Nelson.

Go ahead and go to the next slide.

So this is, again, sort of a summary. This was presented originally to the board back in 2013. Interest was expressed in leasing the riparian area

adjacent to the tract for purposes of 1 on-bottom. So they want to just, you 3 know, do traditional planting and gathering, which really doesn't fall 4 under our purview. It's Chris 5 6 Blankenship's area. But in terms of the process of 7 obtaining the right to do it from the 8 9 board, the process we outlined in the 10 flow chart we would follow.

Since -- go ahead.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

COMMISSIONER GUY: I'm sorry. But the reason

I'm -- because the way you've got this

chart, it says "Flow Chart of Leasing

State-Owned Land." All I'm --

MR. BRANTLEY: Forever Wild is a category of state-owned.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. So -- all right.

Fine. So does this chart differentiate
in any way between off-bottom and
on-bottom?

MR. BRANTLEY: It does. The process is the same until you get down to after the

1	award of the bid.
2	COMMISSIONER GUY: So which one is the
3	on-bottom? Is it to the left?
4	MR. BRANTLEY: Yes, the on-bottom is to the
5	left.
6	Yeah. I'm sorry. I used the term
7	plant and gather oysters, and that's, I
8	think, from the Code of Alabama.
9	COMMISSIONER GUY: That's fine.
10	MR. BRANTLEY: But that would be the that
11	would be the on-bottom
12	COMMISSIONER GUY: So that would be like
13	Mr. Nelson's request?
14	MR. BRANTLEY: Yes, sir.
15	COMMISSIONER GUY: And then if you go with the
16	off-bottom, you do the one to the right?
17	MR. BRANTLEY: That's correct.
18	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Thank you.
19	MR. BRANTLEY: So, quickly, please go back
20	unless there's another question related
21	to that, go back to the slide we had.
22	So and you can sort of see what
23	Mr. Nelson has, you know, indicated back

in 2013. They're interested in pretty much the entire riparian area associated with that piece of property.

You know, something that has occurred since this request was made, we now actually have the regulations in place to do the off-bottom aquaculture.

And as a result of that, we have had expressions of interest from other oyster farmers to do off-bottom aquaculture in front of the same piece of property.

So there's multiple interests in the same piece of property, and I think that's something, you know, that staff can probably think about and come back to the board with some additional information about how we might -- an approach for how we might potentially handle multiple uses in the same kind of property.

So that's kind of -- I'm going to just pause there to see if there's any

general questions. 1 COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions? 3 (No response.) The only thing I just --COMMISSIONER GUY: 4 and I appreciate this. In each case, 6 since it's either on the bottom or 7 off-bottom as a riparian right of Forever Wild, it's got to be awarded --8 9 there has to be a bid process? 10 MR. BRANTLEY: Yes, sir. And that's what -that's what the requests have been very 11 12 specifically from Mr. Crockett. Again, 13 he has requested that, the 1.1-acre tract. 14 COMMISSIONER GUY: And can you give the board 15 16 just a very brief of the bid process --MR. BRANTLEY: Sure. 17 18 COMMISSIONER GUY: -- and how would you go 19 about just --20 MR. BRANTLEY: It's like a lot of things we do in State Lands. We are plowing new 2.1 ground again because this was an 2.2 appraisal unlike any -- you know, unlike 23

any other appraisal we might do. As a result, we have communicated with some of the appraisers that we have in our stable of appraisers and had some discussions about approaches that, you know, they might look at here.

One approach that seems to be taking hold is the concept of attaching the value of the riparian area as a percentage of the value of the upland. So it might involve an appraisal of the upland property, and then some rate of return is applied to that to determine the value of the riparian area.

I'm not telling you that's exactly how it would be done, but that seems to be a concept that would make sense to us but, also, more importantly, would make sense to the person appraising it. And so that -- I throw that out as -- without knowing what the value of these things might be. There might be some basis to the value of the upland piece

of property.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

COMMISSIONER GUY: So I guess what I'm ... MR. BRANTLEY. Sure. So we would basically follow the process in the Land Sales & Leasing Act which would require us to, you know, identify the property in question, the 1.1 acres or the 294 acres. We would then obtain an appraisal through whatever methodology the appraiser sees fit. We would use the appraisal to inform the -- the bid notice. We have to publish and advertise a bid notice, you know, describing the property, putting a minimum value in it, and then setting a bid date, and then we would accept sealed bids for that.

We then open the bids on that date and summarize that in a memo to -- in this case to the board and the Commissioner. And then, depending on what the board wanted to do, we could award the bid to the high bidder. So

22 23

that's basically the process that we 1 would employ here. 3 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Thank you. MR. BRANTLEY: And we're certainly in a 4 position, if the board is interested in 6 such, particularly with the 1.1-acre 7 tract, to -- you know, we could go seek an appraisal and come back with a draft 8 9 bid notice and present that to the -for the board's consideration if that is 10 of interest. 11 12 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Mr. Horn, I think, 13 had a question too. 14 Horace, do you have a question? MR. HORN: Yes, sir. 15 16 Boy, this seems awfully bureaucratic. 17 18 MR. BRANTLEY: Yes. MR. HORN: Is there not any less bureaucratic 19 20 route? I mean, do you not stand the possibility of getting to a point of 2.1 diminishing returns; you get more cost 2.2 in going through this process than the 23

lease is actually worth?

MR. BRANTLEY: Yes. It is a bureaucratic process. It's also a process prescribed in the law. We don't have any choice.

We have to follow it.

I don't disagree with your sentiment. It's the process that we have in front of us to follow, and that's what we've got to follow. If that process changed at some point -- I mean, we don't have the ability just to decide to do it differently. We've got to do this -- I agree. It is bureaucratic. I will say this though. We are not the most bureaucratic part of the -- obtaining an oyster -- getting into the business, as Mr. Crockett and others will attest to.

So we're trying to find -- trying to be solution-driven. We believe in this industry. It's a good industry. And we want to see it promoted, but it also has to make sense for the landowner, and

that's the line we're walking right here.

DR. HEPP: I've got a question.

2.1

2.2

Is there something unique about Forever Wild property that makes it particularly good for oyster cultivation? I mean, why --

MR. BRANTLEY: Just that it's there.

DR. HEPP: It's just there.

MS. POWELL: It's just the -- and any land -waterfronting landowner that is -- I
mean, there are some areas better, so to
speak, but this land is -- any state
agency that happened to own it or any
private individual that happened to own
it, I think there would be the interest
pursued on regardless. This just
happens to be -- in this instance it's
Forever Wild. It could be another state
agency owned it or a private individual.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Getting back to Mr. Horn's
point, so if I owned a piece of property

down there and had riparian rights out

to 600 feet or whatever it is, I could 1 lease it to somebody else? MR. BRANTLEY: 3 That's what happens. That's the common -- for the farmer and the 4 person interested in doing this, this 5 6 isn't any big deal to them. They're 7 just dealing with the public instead of a private entity. 8 COMMISSIONER GUY: They just don't have the 9 10 bureaucratic issues we have. MR. BRANTLEY: Yeah. They can just decide, 11 12 yeah, I'm going to lease it to you or 13 not, and here we've got to go through a process because it's public land. 14 MS. POWELL: And I would -- let me also back 15 16 up just one second and kind of, I think, separate -- again, we've got the more 17 specific 1.1-acre proposal from 18 Mr. Crockett. 19 20 And, Ashley, if you could go back to that. 2.1 I am not suggesting anything to the 2.2 board as to moving forward, but I want 23

to retrace one distinction Will made that I want to reemphasize.

I think we -- we know it's complicated, but we know all we're going to know procedure-wise and what we with our pure State Lands hat on can tell you as to this request to the board. The other request involving the larger -- I think 294 -- but the larger acreage area is one which we have no issues with, but because of some competing inquiries of interest that we've had, we may want to come back to the board with some additional analysis or potential options for that tract.

I think we -- that the board does not have to act today. We can come back with any additional information or any questions you have, or you just may want to think about it for a while. But I want to distinguish the two because I don't know that we're going to have any further information to give on the

1.1-acre request. 1 COMMISSIONER GUY: And, if I may, so -- of 3 course, I'm for this, too, in general terms. And so speaking, you know, of 4 what Mr. Horn said, I'm trying to figure 5 6 out -- so in Mr. Crockett's proposal --7 And help me, Mr. Crockett, or maybe y'all can. 8 -- is, was there a defined time of 9 10 lease here? In other words, was it for 11 so many years? What was the request? MR. BRANTLEY: Well, I can address that, I 12 13 believe, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. 14 MR. BRANTLEY: I don't think you actually 15 16 specified. But the lease -- but we would --17 COMMISSIONER GUY: Go ahead. 18 MR. BRANTLEY: I don't think he specified like 19 20 I want a ten-year lease or a five-year lease or whatever. When we issue our 2.1 shellfish aquaculture easement, which 2.2 y'all's approval through this process 23

would be needed, we issue a five-year
easement. So any associated upland
riparian interest would -- you know, it
would make sense to follow that time
line for it to be -- you know, just for
it to make the most sense.

COMMISSIONER GUY: So -- and I'm sure

Mr. Crockett will understand what I'm saying here. So I'd like to help him out, but I want to understand.

So is the appraisal going to cost more than it would be for what we get back in terms of the lease?

MS. POWELL: And that's where I was going next.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay.

MS. POWELL: This is generally not a money-making venture for any state agency. It's viewed as an activity that -- through some legislative committee that had been formed for us to develop a procedure, and it was to be encouraged. And so it is not structured

2.1

exactly to be a money-making venture.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

I would expect that the -- let's go back to the bid procedure. In any transaction when we get an appraisal and we move toward the bid, we can't offer it out for less than the appraised value, but you can offer it out to bid for more. We may have to consider -- if you want to cover the -- if you're okay with the activity, making the minimum bid in an amount that covers the cost that the board would incur for the appraisal. And those are some additional factors, you know, we may need to talk about.

So -- but, yes, your concern that the cost of pursuing the appraisal and moving to bid may not recoup the dollars on it, but we could if we structured the bid notice with a minimum bid and then did receive a bid from --

COMMISSIONER GUY: So let me help the board out with one other thing. And this

comes from my little bit of knowledge 1 since I've been in this job. 3 So let's just say there was a bid. We accepted the highest bidder. Okay. 4 At that point -- and y'all correct me if 5 6 I'm wrong -- then you would enter into a 7 contract, basically a lease agreement, which is still not binding yet until you 8 9 reach the appropriate terms. 10 correct on that? 11 So, in other words, if some --12 MS. POWELL: Can I jump in? 1.3 COMMISSIONER GUY: Yeah, please. MS. POWELL: Yes. Under state law, our bid 14 notice would have to include the key 15 16 terms of any agreement we were ultimately going to enter -- one, not 17 just for notice, but, two, that there's 18 no accusation later you gave somebody a 19 20 sweet deal after the bid notice. 2.1 COMMISSIONER GUY: Right. MS. POWELL: So what I would want to -- I 2.2 23 think the only additional step that we

could really take today would be -- if you wanted to proceed with the potential of doing this activity would be to instruct the staff to proceed with the appraisal, which we would -- I would then want to come back to the board with the bid notice and a template agreement. So I would have approval of this board as to that agreement.

Another step that is maybe short of that, that just kind of hit me, I guess if you would like for us to engage in a little -- maybe try to quote out or try to receive bids or get some feedback from an appraiser as to the cost of the appraisal, and then you would know.

And, for example, if you determined that it's only activity you want to pursue if you can at least break even on it, discuss that with -- I mean, obviously Mr. Crockett may not be the high bidder. But we could discuss that with him, that at a minimum what that bid would have to

\_

be, to see if he or others still have some interest in pursuing the activity.

So, again, let me say what I would view the options as today. Obviously, take no action today, or ask the staff to pursue getting a bid on what the work would cost for the appraisal and coming back to you, or simply telling us to proceed with the appraisal and come back to you when we can with that information, the draft bid notice and template agreement.

So I would view those breaking down your options as -- unless Will can think of something or anybody else. But that would be -- I would say those three options: Do nothing today or tell us to proceed with understanding the expense of appraisal, maybe get a bid for the work and review in February, or moving forward with the administrative work of getting the appraisal and come back to you with a bid notice and template

agreement.

2.1

2.2

MR. BRANTLEY: I might add one thing.

MS. POWELL: Yeah, absolutely.

MR. BRANTLEY: I wouldn't really call this another option. I think that those options are indeed -- for today are the options.

I think from the appraisal standpoint and the valuation standpoint -- I mean, it may not be useful in this particular scenario. But we have talked about the possibility -- and I've even spoken with an appraiser -- about developing -- sort of giving us a programmatic appraisal, like one appraisal that would provide a -- one value for coastal Alabama or values in this -- in Portersville Bay and Grand Bay, whatever --

COMMISSIONER GUY: Correct.

MR. BRANTLEY: -- that we could, you know, pay one time, get an appraisal that covered the coast and then maybe update that on

an annual basis.

2.1

2.2

Again, that -- and I think that's something we would be willing to explore and look at and do. That would be probably different from this kind of one-time request in front of you right now.

MS. POWELL: But I think Will is right. I

think your actionable options for today

remain the same because it would be to

come back with, again, proposals with

costs on what different potential

appraisal strategies would be for you to

evaluate as the next step. So I think

it's a -- same options.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Does anybody else have any questions?

MR. HORN: Mr. Chairman?

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, Mr. Horn.

MR. HORN: Are we -- if we move forward with this today, are we talking about just the 1.1 acres or --

MS. POWELL: I think it could -- I think it

23

could be either. That's another detail. I think your decision today -- if you want us just to proceed with an array of options and costs associated with how much an appraisal would be, basically not to spend any of your money until we come back to you with appraisal options and costs, then I don't think you have to make that decision. If you want us to go further and just simply proceed and try to deal with and bring to conclusion the Crockett 1.1-acre proposal, then you would need to tell me that more specifically because that would be spending your money to get -go ahead and get an appraisal.

- MR. HORN: But if we could move forward with your thought of congregating an area, then both of these would be in the same general area; is that correct?
- MR. BRANTLEY: Well, I mean, the appraiser would -- we would have to task an appraiser with basically doing a -- the

2.2

way I'm thinking of it, sort of a study and a review of coastal Alabama. And if you used the concept of taking some percentage of the value of the upland and applying it, you know, they would just have to go -- go look and see what the value of lands are in different parts of -- you know, waterfronting lands in coastal Alabama. So it may be one number or it could be the appraisal results in three or four numbers, depending on where you were in the --

MS. POWELL: Yeah. And that would be -- my first request would be for the board to think about if you're okay with the staff efforts resulting in some expense to the program or if you would rather us before we do anything that costs money --

COMMISSIONER GUY: You could just get us more information.

MS. POWELL: -- come back to you with some options with price tags associated. And

that's -- we have been involved in discussions with the appraiser, but that's going to take a little more discussion but think we could do that by February.

2.1

2.2

But that's up to you. But that's -the directive I need really relates to
whether I can write -- use your
checkbook or not.

MR. BRANTLEY: Well, and I can add very broadly -- and we can get very specific numbers if that's what the board wants -- I think you're talking in the three to 5,000-dollar range for an appraisal.

COMMISSIONER GUY: So I think I'm looking at the board members, and I think they've got an idea about what they want to do, just looking across the board here. I think -- yes, Mr. Horn.

MR. HORN: Would it be appropriate -- could we ask Mr. Nelson or Mr. Crockett if they have any comments on this or thoughts?

1	COMMISSIONER GUY: Absolutely, yeah.
2	MR. HORN: I think that would be appropriate.
3	COMMISSIONER GUY: And while they're doing
4	that, you might also ask Mr. Blankenship
5	if there's anything he needs to add
6	since he oversees the oyster
7	MS. POWELL: As to the activity or
8	COMMISSIONER GUY: Yeah, the activity or
9	MR. BRANTLEY: And Dr. Walton might offer
10	something too.
11	COMMISSIONER GUY: Chris, do you have anything
12	to add?
13	I mean, if you don't, that's fine.
14	I'm just asking. I can't see you
15	behind
16	MR. BLANKENSHIP: I don't have anything to
17	add, Commissioner. We could provide
18	some information on for the appraisal
19	on what these bottoms were leased the
20	right was leased from other parties.
21	The particular area that Mr. Nelson
22	is looking at, this was under its
23	previous owner it was used for the same

activity. The riparian rights had been leased. And so we do have some information we can provide for the appraiser.

If you would come to the microphone.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Mr. Nelson, do you have anything you would like to say?

MR. NELSON: Yeah. I would just -- with all due respect, I think it strikes me as being not a good use of your money to look at the value of the uplands to try to assess the value of the water bottoms for oyster culture. Now, maybe if you were trying to assess them for use as a marina, I could see some linkage there or some other usage, but, frankly, I -- I just -- I don't see any fruit to be gained by going there.

But if you -- if you are going to go forward with an appraisal of the bottoms, I like the idea possibly of looking at something for all of the lands in Alabama. That makes sense. If

you can find someone that will compare it, you know, for this specific use, for oyster culture, and what's being done in other states. And I know that's probably a tall order to find an appraiser that can do that.

But, again, this is a -- and the on-bottom versus the off-bottom are two somewhat different activities. They're not completely different. You're growing oysters. But the grow-out cycle and the need for -- the amount of area that you need in order to do on-bottom is different from the off-bottom.

So those are all -- I would hope that you could keep those things in mind as you do the appraisal -- appraisals.

Excuse me.

DR. WOODS: I have a question if I could, please.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes.

2.1

2.2

DR. WOODS: That brings me to something I've been thinking about, 1.1 acres versus

294. So you can grow more acres -- or more oysters off-bottom per acre than you can on-bottom?

2.1

2.2

MR. NELSON: Sure. And you need to be able to given the amount of lease money that you need to pay the state. The state is requiring \$250 per acre to -- per year to do the off-bottom. So that's -- in and of itself somewhat pushes you to have to maximize your yield there. I mean, you want to maximize your yield wherever you are. But even without that you've got a fairly high yield per acre because you're using the entire water column as opposed to the bottom.

MR. ELLIS: Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir, Mr. Ellis.

MR. ELLIS: More a comment than a question.

Of course, we acquire these lands for some public purpose, and I'd be interested on a tract-by-tract basis of always knowing whether whatever you were going to do out there with whatever type

(334) 263-4455

operation you had was going to interfere with the present or the future public use of that property, whether it's a physical site access or whether it's an aesthetic view. Whatever that is, I think that should be part of our consideration on every one of these, is it going to interfere with the public's use of that land for the purposes for which we purchased it in the first place.

know, and I think everybody has talked about that. And I think that's the purpose of these discussions is to make sure, you know, everyone is informed before we proceed on how that will happen and -- you know, and,

Mr. Crockett, did you have anything?

I know Mr. Horn asked did you have anything else to add after Mr. Nelson spoke.

MR. CROCKETT: Well, sure. In respect to that

last comment, the reason I'm attracted to Forever Wild's bottom is because it's adjacent to mine, and I can work that lease while I work the leases attached to my uplands.

So I would say that granting me riparian rights from your property would not give me any use of the upland. So I wouldn't be driving a truck over it. I wouldn't be hauling oysters over it.

I'd operate it from the water. So I don't think it would -- I don't think it would have any impact.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Right. I think all that discussion was about trying to figure out what an appropriate lease amount per acre would be --

MR. CROCKETT: I would --

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: -- by an appraiser.

MR. CROCKETT: Right. I would defer to State

Lands. They've been working on this for
several months, maybe even years. And
if they've come up with a process to

value the lease of what would be a minimum bid, I would like to hear what they've got to say about it.

MR. BRANTLEY: Well, and I -- so a couple of things, just to circle back.

You know, we are not land appraisers. It's like anytime we buy land for the board here we don't do it in-house. We go to the appraisers and we provide information to the appraisers. This is the same thing. It's just a very different kind of activity. And that's why we're having some up-front discussions with appraisers prior to going down that road.

COMMISSIONER GUY: So I have a question of either you or Patti.

As part of -- aside from the options you offer, let me just ask something that I need to ask now or I'll forget it.

As part of the actual lease to the

1.3

2.1

highest bidder -- let's not talk about
the bid, but to the highest bidder -- in
order to try to make sure that we're not
losing money on this, could you make a
part of the bid award that the highest
bidder would have to pay back the
appraisal over the course of the term of
the lease?

2.1

2.2

MS. POWELL: I mean, you could set that. I
mean, it could be a payment over term -I mean, over time. It could be however
we wanted to structure the bid notice.
But it would be more of a fee for the
usage than necessarily expressing it as
an appraisal cost. But whatever the
appraisal cost is, you just build it
into the bid. There would need to be a
term in the bid. They would know
up-front that we're expecting this in
this timetable of payments.

COMMISSIONER GUY: But they could -- but they would know that, so they could decline if they didn't want to do it?

(334) 263-4455

MS. POWELL: Right. They could go with it or not.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Supply and demand would dictate whether it was worth it or not.

MS. POWELL: And to be clear, in addition to the Land Sales & Leasing Act provisions, there is a constitutional provision that prevents us from leasing out or selling a state asset for less than fair market value. So part of our trouble is honestly -- again, this is the first time and it is complicated, but we have no choice -- I don't have the luxuries of a private landowner. I'm constitutionally bound to figure out in some manner what that value is.

And any suggestions that anyone has or anything they can get us from another state as to how they conduct it in another state, obviously we would very much welcome that, or suggestions on appraisers to consult with. We'll take any information anyone has.

1.3

2.1

Oh, again, I've got a question on
the activity, Mr. Ellis. I mean, this
is a picture of the off-bottom. I
think -- let me confer with Chris
Blankenship, but I do believe that the
on-bottom work is completely under the
surface.

- MR. BLANKENSHIP: Yeah. It's on the bottom.

  There's nothing that precludes anybody

  from using the water above that.
- MR. ELLIS: Well, that would interfere with -excuse me. If you were -- if the public
  use of that was a swimming area, that
  would interfere with it. If it's just
  sitting there growing plants and
  animals, it would not, it would seem to
  me.
- MR. BRANTLEY: That's correct. And thus the need for us, the State Lands Division who owns the bottoms, to issue an easement for the use of that, because it precludes the public use of the water bottom. We do it if there's a marina.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

utilize the water bottom because you can't drive through all that in a boat, it requires some interest from State
Lands Division. So that's why we put the whole process into place.

You know, anytime that you can't go to

And, you know, Mr. Crockett mentioned something I think is worth reiterating. For at least the request that we're looking at here, you know, the activity is located -- is not located physically on the land you own. There will be no activity on the land the board owns. You just by virtue of being a waterfront owner happen to have a riparian right, and basically that's what's -- that interest is what's being asked for here -- or a portion of that interest is what's being requested. There will be no impact at all to the It won't be like issuing an land. easement for a utility line or a cell tower or something like that. There

won't be any activity occurring in these 1 two requests on the actual land that you 3 own. COMMISSIONER GUY: Well, I hate to beat this 4 horse to death, but like with Chris 5 6 Nelson's, it's on the bottom, so people 7 can fish over it. Correct, Chris? 8 9 MR. NELSON: Yes. 10 COMMISSIONER GUY: Or can they? 11 I mean, once he gets a lease, we could fish over that bottom that's full 12 13 of oyster shells; correct? 14 MR. NELSON: Yes. 15 COMMISSIONER GUY: I mean, people can. Not 16 me. MR. NELSON: People do. 17 18 COMMISSIONER GUY: But anybody can. MR. NELSON: People do. I mean, that's 19 20 what --COMMISSIONER GUY: That's what I'm saying. 2.1 you don't have the right to keep anybody 2.2 off of that; correct? 23

MR. NELSON: No, no. 1 MR. BLANKENSHIP: They have the right to keep 3 anybody else from gathering oysters or harvesting oysters off of those bottoms. 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: But people can fish and --5 6 MR. BLANKENSHIP: They can fish and boat 7 and --COMMISSIONER GUY: That attracts fish and that 8 kind of -- and in Mr. Crockett's 9 situation, if he leases that and puts up 10 something like that, can they fish 11 around it? 12 13 I mean, I'm not sure if I understand how that works. 14 And does it attract fish, Chris? 15 16 MR. CROCKETT: Yeah, it does attract fish. People fish around our gear all the 17 time. 18 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. 19 20 MR. CROCKETT: They actually tie up to the Tour guides bring their 2.1 pilings. customers over there to fish. 2.2 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Well, that's 23

important to know. That's what I'm 1 asking. 3 So you don't have anything that says "keep out" or anything there 4 necessarily? 5 6 MR. CROCKETT: If you've got -- if you've got 7 a boat that will go through there, a kayak, you can go through there. 8 9 COMMISSIONER GUY: Yeah. And I'm not trying 10 to assert that people can't. I'm just 11 trying to say that as a lease -- I guess that would have to be a term, too, of 12 13 the lease whether or not, you know, it could be used for fishing or something 14 like that which we can include in it. 15 16 So I think I've got my -- so, you know, I don't know about -- yes, sir. 17 MR. BALL: I was just going to say, that's 18 even more reason to find out what other 19 20 people are doing, so we don't have to reinvent the wheel. 2.1 COMMISSIONER GUY: So does -- I'm just sitting 2.2 here -- I have a -- on a personal note, 23

I have a flavor for the option that, I think, Patti indicated first, which is to get more information on the cost, the appraisal issues, and come back. I want to -- I think everybody has some interest in helping pursue this issue, but I think it would help us to continue to make sure we have it right first and know where we're going to be on that.

And so it would be really good, too, if y'all could come back, Will and whoever else would be involved, with some recommendations possibly for the board based on what you find as to each of these activities. It seems like we're in a place, unless everybody has a different opinion, that we really need a recommendation, if we want to pursue this, on which route to take, you know, whether it be lease terms, you know, pursuing it, what -- all those specific things, maybe an option package or something that we could get for the next

meeting. 1 MR. BRANTLEY: Sure. We would be happy to do 3 that. COMMISSIONER GUY: Is that -- does anybody 4 have a different --5 MR. ALLEN: Can I ask a question first, 6 7 please? COMMISSIONER GUY: Yeah. Please, sir. 8 9 MR. ALLEN: I forget his name, the gentleman 10 on the right. MR. BRANTLEY: Dr. Walton. 11 COMMISSIONER GUY: Or Chris Nelson. 12 Mr. Nelson. 13 14 MR. ALLEN: The guy on the right. COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Nelson. Chris. You. 15 16 MR. BRANTLEY: Oh, that's my left. MR. ALLEN: I may have misunderstood you, but 17 18 awhile ago when you were at the podium did you not -- did you make a comment 19 20 that you were paying \$250 an acre? MR. NELSON: No. I'm not paying anything 2.1 right now, but the --2.2 MR. ALLEN: Did you not say something like 23

1 that?

MR. NELSON: I did. I did.

MR. ALLEN: Would you repeat what you said because --

MR. NELSON: Well, to get an off-bottom -- to get an off-bottom lease from the state currently, it's \$250 an acre per year.

MR. ALLEN: From the state?

MR. NELSON: That's right, yeah. That's the easement. Excuse me. I said lease.

It's the easement.

MR. BRANTLEY: It's a two-step process; okay?

And -- it's a two-step process, and I

can appreciate it sounds a little

confusing.

What the farmers need is an interest in the upland property. You are the upland property owner. The discussion we're having today speaks to obtaining that interest in the property. Once they obtain that interest in the property, they can then approach the State Lands Division to obtain a

2.2

shellfish aquaculture easement.

The value -- the fee structure set forth in the regulations that govern that is \$250 per acre per year. There was a legislative committee put together that established these regulations and established this fee schedule. And, you know, I -- frankly, it seems like a reasonable fee schedule to me.

But that's what the 250 comes from.

It's not related to -- that would just be something DCNR handles once someone demonstrates that they have the sufficient upland interest.

MR. HORN: Mr. Chairman?

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir, Mr. Horn.

MR. HORN: I'd like to move that we direct the staff to study this, certainly pursue talking to the appraisers -- or talking to appraisers, and coming back at our next meeting with a proposal for the board.

MR. BALL: Second.

2.1

2.2

```
COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Is that
1
              sufficient for you, Patti?
3
       MS. POWELL:
                     (Indicates.)
       COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. We've got a
4
              motion and a second. Any discussion?
5
6
                     (No response.)
7
       COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. I just would
              say, it's very informative. I
8
9
              appreciate -- yes.
10
       UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can Dr. Walton say a
              couple of words?
11
       COMMISSIONER GUY: Well, we've got a motion on
12
13
              there. Is it -- okay.
                  So we've got a motion and a second.
14
15
              All in favor of the motion say "aye."
16
                     (All board members present respond
                      "aye.")
17
18
       COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
                     (No response.)
19
       COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries. So that's
20
              what we would like to see.
2.1
                  And so all I would say, Dr. Walton,
2.2
              is speak -- you know, I mean, if there
23
```

is something you can add to this. I
mean, we've kind of got the motion now.

It would be helpful to just talk with
our staff.

DR. WALTON: And I appreciate that. I just —
just want to encourage everyone that the
state — a number of agencies have
looked at this and, in fact, decided to
encourage it and went to the length to
distinguish this from other commercial
activities on the water like having
private marinas. And one of the reasons
that the state did that is because there
is substantial scientific evidence that
shows that oyster farming, whether it's
on-bottom or off-bottom, is providing
substantial measurable public benefits.

For example, the one-acre farm that Steve Crockett has proposed here would provide -- we have a study actually near his that would provide a public benefit of \$1,500 a year in surplus production to your commercial recreational

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

23

fisheries. That's just this one acre.

And that's just habitat. We're not talking about helping clean up the water and remove nitrogen, potential shoreline protection.

So one of the reasons that shellfish aquaculture was encouraged through the governor's Shellfish Aquaculture Review Board was because of the public benefit. And I certainly understand the desire to going forward cautiously with this, but farmers, whether it's Mr. Crockett or Mr. Nelson or anyone else that would consider Forever Wild -- the first step would be getting an appraisal. And I understand that there's a cost to that, but it's going to be difficult for these folks to move forward, or anybody else, if they have no idea what the cost would be. And so I'm tempted -- if I had 3,000 or \$5,000, I'm tempted to put it on the table. Unfortunately, I don't. And maybe I should have gone into

appraising as a business, by the way.

But that -- I understand there's a cost, but I think that you might find that there would be substantial public benefit to even going ahead and having an appraisal done on one property, because I think you would find that that would help the industry figure out if they want to keep asking for Forever Wild property or not and whether you want to entertain those.

we're very supportive, I think, but you understand we have a fiduciary duty and -- to the public and, also, to make sure we get it right. And so I think the board just wants to be deliberative about this. And while it takes a while, if you get it right the first time, then you don't have to worry about revisiting it because you've made a mistake.

And so for those that are interested in it, you know, I ask personally just

for their patience because I think we've seen when we rush into things sometimes we end up backing up and we don't get it right. And so the public benefit is recognized or we wouldn't probably be discussing it this much, Mr. Walton.

DR. WALTON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GUY: So I want you to understand that's where we are, and sometimes that requires a little more due diligence.

And as Mr. Horn put -- so eloquently put it, we've got a lot of bureaucracy in here. And we didn't create the bureaucracy. We're just having to follow the bureaucracy, so -- as part of our responsibility.

So, you know, I would ask y'all to be patient with us, too, because we recognize the benefit and I think

Mr. Blankenship has talked to us about the benefit of aquaculture farming.

I've eaten the benefit of aquaculture farming. And so I understand what that

2

3

6

5

7

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

is. But we do want to make sure for this board that we get it right.

So I hope that I spoke right for everybody else in here. deliberative process hopefully puts us in a position that nobody questions us, whether it be publicly or by audit. we do beat the dead horse every now and then, but I think it's worth it so that nobody thinks -- particularly in open forum, so that -- again, I'll mention, you know, every now and then we hear criticisms like, you know, oh, these are -- you're doing things behind closed doors or something. I don't know -- I don't think anybody in this room probably thinks that.

But it's -- you know, when you have to speak in public about things, which we do in here, you have to show all aspects of how you deliberate, and it comes across sometimes really tough.

But this board does that. And we do

1	not, you know, make deals or discuss
2	things behind closed doors. So you see
3	in here how we think through these
4	processes.
5	And that's just me speaking. I'm
6	not speaking for everybody else.
7	Okay. So, Ms. Powell, thanks for
8	that very thanks very much for that.
9	And, Chris and Mr. Crockett, y'all just
10	bear with us. We'll get there at some
11	point.
12	Is there anything else, Ms I've
13	got "other," Ms. Powell, on here.
14	That's a "no" at this point.
15	MS. POWELL: Hold on one second.
16	MS. WEBER: Did the board vote on the motion
17	that's on the table?
18	COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes.
19	MS. WEBER: Okay. I'm sorry.
20	COMMISSIONER GUY: It passed. Thank you,
21	though, for asking.
22	Anything okay. So I have no
23	other so then I've got list of

tentative dates, meeting dates. Do you want to speak to that, Ms. Powell?

MS. POWELL: Y'all are wondering what in the world could she want to talk about about proposed meeting dates. But I did want to bring before the board a thought that I had had.

Historically, you know, we tend to meet in the same months. We say they're quarterly meetings. They're not exactly equal quarter length apart. That's sort of a remnant of when we used to do the short list that Jo presents now -- we update on a rolling basis at each meeting. We used to present that once a year. We had fewer nominations, et cetera. We've moved to a rolling update.

So what I wanted to propose was to starting with our February meeting month and building off of that --

We have to meet in February by law in Montgomery and within the first ten

days of the month. So that's a pretty set date.

2.1

2.2

-- to begin meeting on equal quarters, which would change some of our months but would also put us in November instead of December, which is always a busy, tough month and a struggle, understandably, with a quorum because of everything going on.

So what I wanted to talk to the board about was the possibility of meeting in February, because we have to as planned, but then moving to a February, May, August, and November schedule. It's nothing that you necessarily have to decide today. But I thought our next list of meeting — potential meeting dates, if there was no objection, would flow off our one required under-the-law date of February. So I just wanted some feedback or thoughts from the board.

MR. PATE: That fits my schedule much better.

MS. POWELL: Well, why don't we -- we're still in February as planned, and then we'll present you a list of what we would propose for the other months. And what's the February date?

February 4th in Montgomery.

I will mention, although obviously not applicable for February, Dr. Sims, who could not be here today, has volunteered to host at any time at Athens State University up on her campus. And so we'll be looking at some options there for a potential date up there. And anyone else with ideas for locations after the February meeting just let me know.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. So if anybody has an opinion on that different, just tell Patti or the staff.

All right. I have here the last item, I think, before we adjourn is approval of minutes of September 17, 2015. Any direction -- any corrections

2.1

2.2

1	or additions to the minutes?
2	(No response.)
3	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Do we have a motion
4	to approve?
5	MR. HORN: I move we approve those.
6	MR. ALLEN: I second.
7	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Hold on just a
8	second. I'm sorry.
9	So based on yeah. So in the I
10	forgot who spoke, but it should be
11	"reversion" instead of "diversion." So
12	we could should we have
13	MS. POWELL: The earlier speaker was correct.
14	COMMISSIONER GUY: Correct. So can we just
15	can I just state this with it and then
16	have the motion?
17	MS. POWELL: Please give Tracye, yeah, the
18	page number and the line.
19	COMMISSIONER GUY: So with your motion,
20	Mr. Horn, I would like to make one
21	addition or correction and then adopt
22	that for then approval of the whole.
23	And that would be on page 147,

1	Tracye, of the September 17 meeting,
2	line 3. The word "diversion" should be
3	"reversion."
4	So with that, can we so Mr. Horn
5	made a motion for approval of the
6	minutes with that correction. And I had
7	a second by whom?
8	MR. ALLEN: You've got a question.
9	MR. NETTLES: You've got on page 146
10	they've got "diversionary." It should
11	be "reversionary."
12	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. So that's
13	MR. NETTLES: And that's picking hairs, but
14	COMMISSIONER GUY: page 146.
15	No, we appreciate it. And what was
16	the line?
17	MR. NETTLES: It's let me see. 18.
18	COMMISSIONER GUY: That would be line 18.
19	Okay. So it would need to say
20	"reversionary" instead of "diversionary"
21	there too.
22	So that would be two places of
23	correction, Tracye: 146, line 18; 147,

1	line 3. "Diversion" on page 147 and
2	"reversionary" on page 146.
3	All right. With that correction, we
4	had a motion by Mr. Horn and we had a
5	second by whom?
6	MR. ALLEN: Second.
7	COMMISSIONER GUY: By Mr. Allen. Any other
8	discussion on the motion?
9	(No response.)
10	COMMISSIONER GUY: If not, all in favor say
11	"aye."
12	(All board members present respond
13	"aye.")
14	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
15	(No response.)
16	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
17	Unless there's other matters before
18	the board, we are adjourned. Thank you.
19	(Meeting adjourned at approximately
20	1:48 p.m.)
21	* * * * * * *
22	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
23	* * * * * * * *

1	STATE OF ALABAMA:
2	MONTGOMERY COUNTY:
3	I, Tracye Sadler Blackwell, Certified
4	Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State of
5	Alabama at Large, do hereby certify that's I
6	reported the foregoing proceedings of the Forever
7	Wild Board Meeting on December 10, 2015.
8	The foregoing 197 computer-printed pages
9	contain a true and correct transcript of the
10	proceedings held.
11	I further certify that's I am neither of
12	kin nor of counsel to the parties to said cause nor
13	in any manner interested in the results thereof.
14	This 20th day of January 2016.
15	
16	
17	Tracye Sadler Blackwell
18	ACCR No. 294 Expiration date: 9-30-2016
19	Certified Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State
20	of Alabama at Large
21	
22	
23	