1	MINUTES OF THE
2	FOREVER WILD BOARD MEETING
3	Spanish Fort Community Center
4	Spanish Fort, Alabama
5	May 11, 2017
6	
7	
8	* * * * * * * * * *
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11	
12	* * * * * * * * * *
13	
14	
15	Proceedings taken before Tracye
16	Sadler Blackwell, Certified Court Reporter, ACCR
17	No. 294, and Commissioner for the State of Alabama
18	at Large, at the Spanish Fort Community Center,
19	7361 Spanish Fort Boulevard, Spanish Fort, Alabama,
20	on Thursday, May 11, 2017, commencing at
21	approximately 10:10 a.m.
22	
23	* * * * * * * * * *

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: 1 Commissioner N. Gunter Guy, Jr., Chairman Mr. Rick Oates Dr. Gary Hepp 3 Mr. William H. Satterfield Dr. Lori R. Tolley-Jordan Mr. Charles E. Ball Mr. Russell Runyan Mr. Horace H. Horn, Jr. 6 Dr. Michael Woods Mr. David Wright 7 Dr. John Valentine Mr. H.E. "Sonny" Cauthen 8 9 10 * * * * * * * * * 11 12 13 COMMISSIONER GUY: Good morning. It got real 14 quiet, so it must be time to start the 15 meeting. 16 I want to thank everyone for being 17 here this morning. This is our Forever 18 Wild Board Meeting of May 11, 2017. And 19 the first thing I would like to do is 20 call the roll to establish a quorum. 2.1 Mr. Oates? 2.2 MR. OATES: Here. 23 COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Valentine?

- 1 DR. VALENTINE: Here.
- 2 COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Lori Tolley-Jordan?
- 3 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Here.
- 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Hepp?
- 5 DR. HEPP: Here.
- 6 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Woods?
- 7 DR. WOODS: Here.
- 8 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Ball?
- 9 MR. BALL: Here.
- 10 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Runyan?
- 11 MR. RUNYAN: Here.
- 12 COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Wright?
- 13 MR. WRIGHT: Here.
- 14 COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Satterfield?
- 15 MR. SATTERFIELD: Here.
- 16 COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Horn?
- 17 MR. HORN: Here.
- 18 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Cauthen?
- 19 MR. CAUTHEN: Here.
- 20 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Strickland?
- 21 (No response.)
- 22 | COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Sims?
- (No response.)

1	COMMISSIONER GUY: And Mr. Ellis?
2	(No response.)
3	COMMISSIONER GUY: Counting myself, we have 12
4	board members in attendance, and we have
5	sufficient number of people to conduct
6	business.
7	Before we get into opening remarks,
8	we have a few people here I want to
9	recognize and thank for being here.
10	We have State Representative Jim
11	Hill. Representative Hill, thank you
12	for being here today, sir. Glad to have
13	you.
14	County Commissioner Ken Crowe.
15	Thank you, sir.
16	Former Commissioner Barnett Lawley.
17	Barnett, thank you for being here today.
18	Mayor William Isley. Mayor, thank
19	you, sir.
20	Mayor Mike McMillan from Spanish
21	Fort. Is he here yet?
22	(No response.)
23	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Let me just I

hate that he's not here right now.

Hopefully he'll be in after while.

If there's anybody here from Spanish Fort, let me express on behalf of the board our sincere appreciation for letting us use this very, very nice facility to conduct our business today. I think we'll be back because this is a great place to have a board meeting.

And so I want to thank the mayor and all of the -- all of the officials here in the City of Spanish Fort for allowing us to do that.

I also want to just mention real quickly that yesterday the board -- several -- most of us on the board had an opportunity to do a tour of the Delta. I myself had not had a chance to take a Delta tour yet since I had been in office and really appreciate Dr. Valentine for helping put that together along with Mark Berte, Alabama Coastal Foundation, and Angus Cooper.

So thank you, Dr. Valentine, for doing that. Appreciate all the board members that could make it. And I think we had an enjoyable time and had some good fellowship, and it's always helpful to do things like that. So we appreciate the opportunity.

Okay. Does anybody else have any announcements or have anything else they want to say before we start with our public speaking forum?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER GUY: Oh, also -- my bad -- one other thing. We have a new member with us today, and I just about forgot.

Mr. Rick Oates, who is the newly appointed state forester.

Rick, thank you for -- I know you come to these meetings and have been coming, but we welcome you as a board member. Thank you for being here today.

MR. OATES: Thank you. It's interesting to be on this side of the table for a change.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Well, you'll fit in right away because I know you come to these meetings, and I feel like you'll catch on really quickly. But if you have any questions, please don't hesitate to ask some of us.

2.1

2.2

Okay. Now I will call on the people who have signed up for public speaking, and hopefully -- sometimes there are multiple people speaking on the same subject. And I do know sometimes who wants to speak first and sometimes I don't. So if I call someone on a particular subject and you would rather not be the first speaker, just let me know, and we'll defer to one of the other people that have signed up and just apologize in advance for that.

So Libba Vaughan on Big Canoe Creek.
Thank you, Ms. Vaughan.

MS. VAUGHAN: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GUY: I always kind of forget to mention that we do have a court reporter

here that takes down the minutes. So for those of you that might be nervous in speaking, don't be, because we want her to try to get down what you say. So just every now and then if she gives you a funny look or me a funny look, I might ask you to slow down. We can't talk over each other. So thank you.

MS. VAUGHAN: Good morning. My name is Libba

Vaughan. I'm the executive director of
the Freshwater Land Trust in Birmingham,
and I really appreciate the opportunity
to be here today to talk about the Big
Canoe Creek Nature Preserve in St. Clair
County.

We are excited to be here because this place is a beloved place, and it's for good reason. It's a town treasure, and it's also a regional treasure. And we have the opportunity today that we could possibly make it accessible and available to all Alabamians.

The Big Canoe Creek Preserve is --

2.1

2.2

it's also a beautiful place. And as the president of the Big Canoe -- The Friends of Big Canoe Creek, Doug Morrision says it's the jewel in the crown of Alabama's biodiversity. I love it when he says that.

The acquisition of this tract will not only protect the high-quality riparian and forest and aquatic habitat, but it will also provide an incredible economic asset to St. Clair County and the region. And I think -- I know there are a lot of people here today that want to speak on behalf of this project, and I think that's really important.

This place has the enthusiastic support not only of the Freshwater Land Trust but also the St. Clair County Economic Development Authority, the City of Springville, the St. Clair County Commission, and our state representatives and senators. We're real excited about that.

And in the effort to let them 1 speak -- and I know you have a lot of 3 information on this tract in your packet. I'm not going to go into any 4 detail, but I do want to let them speak 5 6 as well. So thank you. 7 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you. Any questions for Ms. Vaughan? 8 9 (No response.) 10 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, ma'am. The next speaker -- well, I know 11 Representative Jim Hill and Commissioner 12 Crowe are both here. Who would like to 13 14 speak next? 15 Representative. Thank you, sir. 16 MR. HILL: Mr. Chairman, ladies and gentlemen, thank you. I am Jim Hill. I am a state 17 representative for St. Clair County. 18 represent this particular area of our 19 20 county. The Big Canoe Creek, that project is 2.1 most wanted in our county. I realize 2.2 that from time to time you have entities 23

or areas that simply do not want you in
their area. That's fine. Please take
the opportunity to give us those
projects that they do not want because
we think this will be a tremendous

asset.

You know, as I think back, one of the wisest moves that anyone ever made in the history of the United States of America was President Theodore
Roosevelt. What he did was set up national parks. They have been enjoyed by people since that point in time, and I myself -- and I would imagine most of you -- have enjoyed those national parks.

Certainly this is not on that scale, but it is that same idea. And we welcome the opportunity to have this Forever Wild project in our area so that it can be enjoyed by our citizens from now on.

Thank you very much for letting me

speak. I appreciate it. 1 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you. 3 MR. HILL: If you have any questions, I certainly would be delighted to answer 4 them. 5 COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Cauthen. 6 7 MR. CAUTHEN: I've got one, Representative Hill. 8 9 How many acres is involved? I guess 10 I can read --MR. HILL: It's roughly 400. I think it's 385 11 or something like -- but about 400 12 13 acres. MR. CAUTHEN: Okay. That's all I had. 14 DR. HEPP: I have a question. 15 16 MR. HILL: Yes, sir. Last year we tried to purchase some 17 DR. HEPP: tracts called Big Canoe Creek. 18 Is this different than those tracts? 19 20 MR. HILL: I believe it's the same area, sir. So they were declined for some 2.1 DR. HEPP: reason. I'm not. --2.2 COMMISSIONER GUY: I think Ms. Powell can 23

speak to that.

2.1

2.2

MS. POWELL: Yeah. The board -- this probably does sound familiar because it was before the board previously. The reason that transaction did not close was simply because the owner at that time was not willing to accept the appraised-value offer that was made. So there was no question as to the tract. The board was interested in moving forward, but we could not close that because at that time the seller did not accept that offer.

The nomination has come back through. It has been rescored again. We have gone through the procedure just as normal. I think the last appraisal had been done about three years ago. The market has seen -- in this area as well as many others has seen some pickup. So there is potential for getting the deal done.

But that is -- you are correct.

It's the same nomination that the board was interested in before, but we just couldn't get it closed.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Ms. Powell, can I ask you a question? Maybe the rest of the board will be interested.

2.1

2.2

Since that did happen, if we were interested in this property again, would we need another first-appraisal motion as opposed to --

MS. POWELL: Correct. Correct. For two reasons: One, because you would have a very outdated appraisal in that instance. But, more importantly, because the nomination did proceed to the -- us trying to close it and was unsuccessful, it comes off your list, which is why it had to be resubmitted as a new nomination. It has to go back through the scoring process. We did not use the old score. We scored it again. It has made the short list.

It is my understanding that there is

an appraisal that has been done by the proponents of the project. There is an opportunity for us to possibly utilize that appraisal, but we would need to start over as with a new nomination and -- with a new motion to proceed to a first appraisal. We could then evaluate that appraisal.

2.1

2.2

It was done by one of the appraisers that's under contract with us. So there are some potential ways to utilize -- potentially utilize that appraisal and not bear the cost of that. But for purposes of this meeting, we would need to proceed pretty much as normal just like any new nomination that's on the short list.

Any other questions?

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, Ms. Powell.

Any other questions for Ms. Powell or Representative Hill?

MR. CAUTHEN: Is she ready for a motion?

MS. POWELL: No. We'll do that during

general -- the general-discussion item 1 when we do -- if anyone would like to 3 make a motion at that time with all the motions. 4 5 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you. 6 MR. WRIGHT: May I ask a question? 7 COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir, Mr. Wright. MR. WRIGHT: I notice on the map up here it 8 9 looks like a county road. Can you give 10 me the number of that county road? 11 MR. HILL: County Road 9. 12 It looks like only one road goes MR. WRIGHT: 13 into the property, or does it have a good road system throughout? 14 I don't know that answer. MR. HILL: I'm sure 15 16 someone here -- probably the mayor -could answer those questions better than 17 18 I. MR. DEATON: Mr. Wright, there is a network of 19 20 road systems in that property. The topo 2.1 map doesn't represent it, but if you 2.2 were to see an aerial photography, it

would show roads in there.

Thank you. 1 MR. WRIGHT: COMMISSIONER GUY: Any other questions? 3 MR. SATTERFIELD: I have one, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir. 4 MR. SATTERFIELD: I notice in the description 6 of this property there's a critical 7 habitat for a number of mussels in that property. Do you know how that would 8 9 impact the potential use of that 10 property if it was acquired by Forever Wild? 11 12 MR. HILL: No, sir, I do not. I cannot 13 imagine it would do anything but protect it. 14 COMMISSIONER GUY: Ms. Powell, if you would 15 16 like to speak to that. MS. POWELL: The exact measure we would take, 17 18 of course, would vary tract by tract. But our goal is to use -- to manage all 19 20 of the tracts for public access to the extent possible. Normally for us all 2.1 that simply means is being very 2.2

23

cognizant of the access points, if we

have trails, placement of those. We will route them appropriately around.

But I am not aware of any issue with this one that would concern -
MS. LEWIS: It's the river itself.

1.3

2.1

2.2

- MS. POWELL: Yeah. So I don't think we -- we did not identify any issues on this one that would -- sometimes we'll have some that we may have to tell you would be more of a nature preserve; because of the characteristics, we couldn't incorporate recreational usage. But we don't see that issue in this tract.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: So the fact that it is designated, though, as critical habitat would be taken into account in the appraisal of the property?
- MS. POWELL: I'm so sorry. I was listening to them.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: I said the fact that it's already got critical habitat designated by Fish and Wildlife Service for these mussel species would be taken into

account in the appraisal value of the property?

2.1

2.2

MS. POWELL: If that factor did -- yes, did impact the appraised value, that would be something we would -- and, obviously, we haven't reviewed this appraisal for -- kind of in our next step like we would fully review one.

But if there is anything that would limit the highest and best use of the property, that should be reflected in the appraisal because it would impact the value. If it was not seen to impact the highest and best use, you may not see a reference to it. It would depend on the appraiser's interpretation of how that would impact the usage.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Anyone else?

I know we have another speaker on this.

And, Representative Hill, I want to thank you for being here today. I know you've got other business, and I

1	appreciate you taking the time out to
2	come down here and speak with us.
3	MR. HILL: No. Thank you for letting us
4	thank you for considering our project.
5	We appreciate it very much.
6	COMMISSIONER GUY: So Commissioner Crowe.
7	MR. CROWE: Good morning.
8	COMMISSIONER GUY: Good morning.
9	MR. CROWE: I'm Ken Crowe with the St. Clair
10	County Commission.
11	I think you'll you've got a
12	letter from our chairman in your packet.
13	I'm here just to let you know that the
14	commission is behind this project. We
15	committed to it in 2014 when it first
16	came to our table, and we would love to
17	see it in St. Clair County.
18	COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you.
19	Any questions of Mr. Crowe?
20	(No response.)
21	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. I think they
22	thank you, sir.
23	And then I also have Mayor Isley
	i

is here. Good morning, Mayor.

MR. ISLEY: Good morning. Thank you for having us today.

From its inception years back, the City of Springville has embraced this project. The current city council is fully supportive of the project. We stand behind future maintenance and security and potential construction of buildings on the property. The city will incur and be involved in any and all of that once this project is approved.

We would love to see this happen.

It's got a tremendous potential impact both in preserving nature in our area and then also a potential economic impact due to the fact we believe that hundreds of thousands of people from St. Clair County, Jefferson County, and Blount County will -- and even Etowah County -- will actually come to this preserve once we have this in place.

We definitely want this board to consider this a high priority.

St. Clair County doesn't have a Forever Wild project. We want a Forever Wild project and stand ready to commit our resources towards it.

Springville, Alabama, was formed in 1872. If you've never been there, it's a tremendously old town with a tremendous, fantastic heritage that thinks towards the past before it thinks towards the future. I'm in my third term there, and I had to learn that by the people. The people there demand that we preserve our heritage as a city and preserve our lands. Most of them are against the encroachment of other citizens to our area, but that's happened and it continues to happen.

And we -- we actually have a city council in place that is in a majority to move forward to assist in this project. So everything is in place. We

just simply need the project to be --1 you know, have an appraisal done, 3 please, and decide whether or not it's something you feel like would be 4 successful. We think it would be. 5 6 Thank you. 7 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you. Any questions for the mayor? 8 9 MR. RUNYAN: Mr. Mayor? MR. ISLEY: 10 Yes. Is this within the city limits of 11 MR. RUNYAN: Springville? 12 13 MR. ISLEY: It is. And some of you have heard of 14 15 Homestead Hollow. That's a pretty well-known arts and crafts festival in 16 the area that has a festival four times 17 a year, and it's within less than 18 one-fourth mile from that area. And 19 20 it's just got a beautiful river running through it. 2.1 And, folks, if it's not going to be 2.2 a Forever Wild project, some day it will 23

be over 1,000 homes there. The city 1 would have a tremendous financial impact 3 from that. The city has decided that that's not where we want to go. We want 4 to go with preserving that land for this kind of a reason and this kind of a 6 7 purpose, because that's the nature of our city. That's what we want to do. 8 9 MR. WRIGHT: I have a question. 10 COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir, Mr. Wright.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir, Mr. Wright.

(Brief interruption by the court reporter.)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- MR. WRIGHT: You mentioned buildings at the beginning of talking. Is there already buildings --
- MR. ISLEY: No, sir. No, sir. I'm simply saying that restroom facilities are necessary. I believe there's also perhaps some type of a structure mentioned by The Friends of Big Canoe Creek in here where you would do an educational-type facility that you would have there.

The actual road -- it's got a -- you
know, it's got some roads into it, but
the actual improvement and widening of
those roads and the parking areas,
helping to construct the trails, both
hiking and biking or whatever type
trails, the city stands ready to assist

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Any other questions?

(No response.)

in any and all of that.

here today. It's a beautiful part of the state. We appreciate y'all coming this far down here just to tell us about it. And as you heard earlier, I think last time we just ran into an issue with the appraisal. So I'm sure the board will give it, you know, good consideration. And if it's nominated again, just understand that by law we cannot pay any more than an appraised value.

2.1

2.2

I do understand. MR. ISLEY: 1 COMMISSIONER GUY: So we'll -- if it comes up 3 again, we'll certainly work -- work with you and everyone else here today and, of 4 course, the owner to see if we can --5 If the appraised value doesn't 6 MR. ISLEY: 7 reach the ending asking price of the property owner, then the city and the 8 county and some other interested parties 9 10 will, I believe, see that it happens. The gap will be met. But if there is a 11 12 gap, it will be met. 13 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, sir. MR. ISLEY: And then the last thing, I would 14 like to thank Representative Hill for 15 taking the time out from his duties on 16 Capitol Hill to come down today. 17 very important that he do that, and I 18 thank him for it. 19 20 COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir. Thank you.

I think that was the last person to speak on Big Canoe Creek. Did I miss anybody else on that?

2.1

2.2

(No response.) 1 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Barnett, you sure 3 you don't want to speak on it? MR. LAWLEY: Yeah, I'm going to pass. Thank 4 5 you, though. COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. So the next 6 7 speaker I have is Jake Blackwell speaking on Skyline WMA-Crow Creek 8 9 Valley. 10 Patti, I just -- this is where we wanted people to have a little time to 11 find it in the package or not? 12 13 Help me out on what you want to do 14 here. MS. POWELL: And for benefit of the board and 15 16 some of the public, we've had confusion in past meetings sometimes because we 17 have to give our tracts a name that is 18 unique and works in our system. 19 sometimes it doesn't match the name of 20 the individual speaking. 2.1 But I think Jo was a step ahead of 2.2

23

me this time, and so she has tried to

write on each green slip the name. So if you can -- if you'll reread the name, the board should be able to find it. It will either be on your -- well, it may be on the short list. It may be on appraised nominations. It may be something that the speaker is speaking anew on it.

Anyway, we'll try to help you. But if you'll read what Jo wrote down on the green slips properly.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay.

2.2

MS. POWELL: And she was a step ahead of me as usual.

COMMISSIONER GUY: So, Jake, excuse me for interrupting. What we're trying to do is when people come up to speak, if we have something in the packet, our board members are doing the best they can to find it while you're speaking, and we're trying to be a little more efficient.

MS. POWELL: And this one has been appraised.

So you will see it on the appraised

nomination list in Tab 3.

COMMISSIONER GUY: All right.

2.1

2.2

Thank you. Again, I apologize.

4 MR. BLACKWELL: Thank you. Not a problem.

My name is Jake Blackwell. I'm here on behalf of the Henninger family to talk about the Skyline WMA-Crow Creek Valley Addition. It's 1521 acres in Jackson County that was nominated at a previous meeting for an appraisal.

This land has been in my family for almost a hundred years, and we've struggled with the thought of selling it. But when we heard about this program, we couldn't think of a more fitting legacy for our family's land than to be a part of a program that the public can enjoy as well as our family and future generations to enjoy like we all did as we were growing up.

I just wanted to mention a few things that I think would be of interest to you guys and some of my favorite

aspects of this property for you to consider.

First of all, this borders the existing Skyline WMA property. We have 425 yards of common boundary on top of the mountain. We've already got a road system and trail system throughout since we have leased out the hunting rights to this property for the last 40 years. We've got a main road going up the mountain coming off of Highway 117 that connects to the existing road system that's already in the Skyline WMA. it makes a great access point to come in from the other side of the property. It's already got greenfields throughout, trail systems throughout. It's ready to be used as a WMA from the day that you add it to Skyline.

Probably the most notable feature of this property is Crow Creek that runs through the middle of the property.

Crow Creek is a year-round deep-water

2.2

23

3

4

6

creek, and we have almost a mile of frontage to this creek. It's commonly used now for canoeing and recreation.

This flowing through the lowland area of the property would be a great access point for parking, launching canoes, camping, whatever recreation you want to do there.

And probably what made it score so high with the WMAs is the fact that it's got about 400 acres of lowland, low-lying area along the creek. This is something that's not -- that the existing WMA does not have much of.

Most of it's mountainous, heavy timber, and this is a lowland area.

170 acres of it are actively being farmed now with corn and soybean. You know, it can be used for food plots, dove shoots, camping. You name it. But there's -- it's something that could really add to the existing WMA, some of the low-lying areas.

We have managed the timber all the time and select-cut about every 25 years. It was last cut about 15 years ago, always under the advice of a licensed forester.

2.1

2.2

And it has -- at the top is a -- up the mountain there's big rock bluffs overlooking all of Jackson County, and it would be a great access point for hiking and horseback riding and things of that nature.

So we think it has a lot of unique factors that would make it a great addition to the existing Skyline WMA, and we hope that you guys see -- see it the same way and will be moving to a second appraisal and to close on this property at this meeting.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions for Mr. Blackwell?

Jake, I have a question for you.

MR. BLACKWELL: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER GUY: I'm just trying to -- how

many miles of Crow Creek did you say ran 1 through that property? 3 MR. BLACKWELL: It is .82 miles. COMMISSIONER GUY: .82. 4 MR. BLACKWELL: So I said almost a mile. 5 6 COMMISSIONER GUY: Is that in the -- what I 7 call the low area? MR. BLACKWELL: Uh-huh (positive response). 8 Yes. Okay. 9 COMMISSIONER GUY: 10 Now, while I've got you, Mr. Sykes, have y'all -- have you looked at that 11 12 and just -- sorry to catch you cold 13 there, but I think you're familiar with it. 14 I'm familiar with it. We have --MR. SYKES: 15 I have not looked at it. I'm sure Drew 16 has when he went up and scored it, but 17 personally I haven't. 18 COMMISSIONER GUY: That would be -- from just 19 looking at it, it would be, looks like, 20 2.1 a pretty good asset to our Skyline WMA 2.2 up there. MR. SYKES: It does. And with Crow Creek, 23

too, with that offset down there. 1 Can I ask a question? 3 COMMISSIONER GUY: Sure. Absolutely. MR. SYKES: How many acres of farmland did you 4 say was active? 5 6 MR. BLACKWELL: So what's not mountain, what's 7 just flat land is 380 acres. A lot of that is standing timber along the creek 8 9 which is not being farmed, obviously, 10 which I forgot to mention is currently holding ducks. It floods in some of 11 those areas. So it's a duck habitat 12 13 now. We think the future of that may be 14 backing up some of the creek, flooding some of the more agricultural fields for 15 16 duck hunting as well. But there's actually 170 acres currently being 17 farmed. 18 19 COMMISSIONER GUY: Do you have leases on that 20 right now? MR. BLACKWELL: We lease the hunting rights to 2.1 that. Nobody duck-hunts it. But deer 2.2

and turkey and things like that.

- COMMISSIONER GUY: And what about the farming rights? You have it leased?
 - MR. BLACKWELL: We have leased out the farming rights in the past, yes.
 - MR. SYKES: And, if I may, that would not be something out of line with what we do currently with our acreage up there on quite a bit of that land. We do have active farming contracts with probably 40 or 50 different contracts up there. So we're very familiar with how to do that.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay.

1.3

2.1

2.2

- MR. BLACKWELL: And both parties, both farming and hunting, would love to keep those rights if they were extended, but at the same time they're both aware that should this deal go through that -- we've already discussed, you know, that if it has to end, it will end.
- COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. And I see Patti creeping up there, so I don't know what that means other than beware.

MS. POWELL: I hate to say I'm creeping, but 1 lurking. 3 No. I just want to take this opportunity -- not at the meeting. 4 we want to get with you and understand 5 6 exactly the location of that acreage. 7 We didn't -- it's not really on the map. We just -- we didn't really have that 8 information. So if we could -- you can 9 10 catch up with staff after the meeting 11 or -- just want to be sure we understand 12 where that --13 COMMISSIONER GUY: It's not this part right here at the bottom on the other side of 14 the road? 15 16 MS. POWELL: Maybe I'm on the wrong map. Anyway, if you'll just --17 I've got a map. 18 MR. BLACKWELL: MS. POWELL: The bottom line is we just want 19 to be sure we understand exactly where 20 that is. 2.1 COMMISSIONER GUY: Sure. Would you check on 2.2 that and handle it before we get back in 23

here, Patti, if you can. Maybe make 1 sure Jake doesn't --3 MR. RUNYAN: There are two Skyline additions. MR. SATTERFIELD: This is the Crow Creek. 4 MR. RUNYAN: One of them is Crow Creek Valley 5 and one of them is Crow Creek Mountain. 6 7 (Brief interruption by the court reporter.) 8 9 MS. POWELL: And, Commissioner, it is a 10 portion of that, but it's a portion of -- would be a subset of what's on the 11 map. So we just want to be sure we 12 understand. I don't think there's an 13 14 issue. I just want to be sure we have it --15 16 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. I was looking at the wrong one, so I apologize. 17 But, yeah, if y'all would just get 18 with Jake just so he doesn't leave. 19 Ιf 20 you need him to hang around for a minute, would you be willing to do that? 2.1 MR. BLACKWELL: I'll stick around. 2.2 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Thank you, sir. 23

Any other questions for --1 Mr. Satterfield. 3 MR. SATTERFIELD: When you mentioned earlier the 300 acres of flatland area, you're 4 talking about that northern part of the 5 6 property? 7 MR. BLACKWELL: That's correct. MR. SATTERFIELD: And I'm sorry. What is that 8 used for now? 9 10 MR. BLACKWELL: What is not creek and timber around the creek that floods is 11 farmland. 12 13 MR. SATTERFIELD: So it's actively being farmed now? 14 MR. BLACKWELL: Uh-huh (positive response). 15 16 MR. SATTERFIELD: I thought that's what you said. I wanted to clarify. 17 MR. BLACKWELL: Of the 380, there's 170 acres 18 that are being farmed. 19 20 MR. SATTERFIELD: I'm sorry? MR. BLACKWELL: Of the 380 that's total 2.1 flatland, 170 of it is what's being 2.2 farmed now. 23

MR. SATTERFIELD: 170. Okay. 1 COMMISSIONER GUY: 170. 3 Thank you, sir. MR. BLACKWELL: Thank y'all. 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: Appreciate it. And thanks, Patti, for pointing that 6 7 out. The next speaker, Dale Lancaster, 8 9 Sipsey River-Taylor Creek. 10 MR. LANCASTER: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner, board. 11 COMMISSIONER GUY: Good morning. 12 13 MR. LANCASTER: Thank you for the opportunity to have an audience with you this 14 15 morning. 16 My name is Dale Lancaster. I'm a forester and real estate broker 17 representing our clients who own 18 approximately 1722 acres along the 19 20 Sipsey River in Greene County. It's a very unique piece of property. You have 2.1 previously been given a packet of 2.2 information concerning this tract, and 23

I'd just like to recap real quickly.

The Sipsey is one of Alabama's ten natural wonders. It flows for 92 miles through four counties; Fayette, Pickens, Tuscaloosa, and Greene. It has 37 mussel species, which is one of the largest mussel colonies in the United States. It has 102 species of freshwater fish.

Again, it's a very unique piece of property. The 1722 acres of the Taylor Creek Addition has approximately eight miles of river frontage.

Previously at one of the meetings, the last meeting, a nomination was made to move this property forward to first appraisal. It is on the short list.

But there was a question and concern about one of the parcels and the acreage. Thanks to Director Powell, her staff, and especially Jo Lewis, we have clarified that.

So today I ask again that you,

1	please, just strongly consider this
2	unique piece of property and hopefully
3	move forward to the appraisal process.
4	And thank you for your time. I'll be
5	glad to field any questions that you may
6	have.
7	COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions for
8	Mr. Lancaster?
9	(No response.)
10	COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, sir.
11	MR. LANCASTER: Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER GUY: The next speaker is
13	William is it Freise?
14	MR. FREISE: Freise.
15	COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir, Mr. Freise.
16	And I think Mr. Freise is speaking
17	on the Perdido WMA-Freise Addition. Did
18	I get close?
19	MR. FREISE: I think so. I'm not
20	COMMISSIONER GUY: Oh, you're not here on that
21	one?
22	MR. FREISE: Sir?
23	COMMISSIONER GUY: Which one are you here on?

MR. FREISE: I'm on the Freise Addition.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. That's it. Perdido

3 WMA-Freise Addition.

MR. FREISE: Thank you, Commissioner Guy, and thank you to the board of directors.

I'm here representing the property. We have, I think, 47 acres surrounded by Forever Wild in that area. I think we're one of maybe three private landowners.

This property we acquired back in 1968 when I was in the Navy in Pensacola, and a friend of mine who was a real estate broker took me over there and showed me the property. And I bought it because it had a lot of deer tracks and turkey tracks and very little else.

I'm from Baldwin County, grew up down here. In fact, we have had land down here -- in fact, we still own two acres across the road from here, and I consider myself still a part of Spanish

2.1

Fort.

This property -- when we bought it,

I was stationed -- I went to California.

And we flew home on a week -- or during

Christmas holidays, and we attempted to

plant pine trees out there. The first

year the deer ate the tops of the pine

trees and the seedlings out. The second

year they pulled the whole seedlings

out. So we gave up on that.

The property has an abundance of nice rattlesnakes. They're real good size and scary. And it has a lot of live oak trees, which is good for, you know, game and everything else like that. It's a -- other than that, it's really not a forestry-type application.

We live in Georgia. We moved from here up there, and all our family is there. We don't have a lot of interest in south Alabama anymore, and we'd like to take this property and either y'all buy it or put it on the

1	market and sell it and buy some land in
2	Georgia. And that's all I have to say.
3	COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you for your
4	comments.
5	Any questions?
6	Yes, sir, Mr. Horn.
7	MR. HORN: How many acres?
8	MR. FREISE: It'll be 47 46 or 47.
9	MR. HORN: Thank you.
10	COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, Mr. Freise.
11	Have a good day. Appreciate your
12	comments.
13	Next speaker well, it looks like
14	maybe Yael is here. Thank you.
15	The Bon Secour River-Swift School
16	Tract. And I know there's three of you.
17	James Lee, Bob Glennon, and Yael. It's
18	the Bon Secour River-Swift School Tract
19	is what Jo wrote down.
20	MR. HORN: Is this under Tab 3, Patti?
21	MS. POWELL: This one is on the short list, so
22	it will be Tab 4.
23	MR. HORN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Give her one second. 1 And you are ... 3 MR. LEE: I'm James Lee. I work for the Swift family, who's the owners of this land. 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, sir. Proceed. 5 6 Appreciate it. 7 MR. LEE: Yes. I would like y'all to consider this land for a first appraisal on this. 8 The Swift family has owned this land for 9 10 over a hundred years, and they -- when they bought the land, they had a sawmill 11 on it and operated on it for a while. 12 1.3 And they're ready to sell the land now, 14 and they -- there's such good economic -- ecological value on that 15 16 land that they would like to have somebody preserve it before they -- you 17 18 know, or give someone a chance to preserve it before they put it up on 19 20 open market. That's all I have. COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Any questions for 2.1 Mr. Lee? 2.2 Both of y'all are going to speak 23

also. Why doesn't the next speaker come

ahead, and then that way we can just ask

questions of the group, if that's okay.

MR. GLENNON: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, I'm

Bob Glennon. I'm the chairman of the

Baldwin County Historic Development

Commission. We are a not-for-profit

commission appointed by the county

commissioners as stewards of the county

historic landmarks.

About 2,000 feet from this property that we're talking about on the Bon Secour River is the Swift-Coles historic home. That was bequeathed to us by a gentleman that passed away about ten years ago. And the interest that we have in promoting this nomination for the Swift property is the fact that from our five acres that we have with about a 6,000-square-foot historic home is you can see a long way across marsh grass. And from -- if anything else happens with this land other than it being set

1 as
2 or
3 hi
4 lo
5 th
6 wh
7 so

2.1

aside for Forever Wild or some organization like that, then the historic ambiance, if you will, will be lost in -- by something else being there, like a high-rise condominium, which seems to be a favorite thing in south Baldwin County. But I doubt -- if it wouldn't be that, it would be some application that would be something other than preservation of the historic value that we see.

So we would like -- the land between that -- in that 2,000 feet is also owned by other members of the Swift family, and we'd like to see if we could work out something within ourselves to be able to tie that together, like a walking trail or a picnic area or something like that, that would allow us to get over to the other property.

We're talking about 250 acres that

Mr. (inaudible) was talking about. We

(334) 263-4455

just need to have this happen so that we

could be able to help enhance what we can get in Baldwin County. And welcome to our county.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you.

MS. GIRARD: Hi, board. I'm Yael Girard, executive director of the Weeks Bay Foundation.

As James and Bob mentioned, this is a 250-acre property on the Bon Secour River. It actually has two creeks that are encircled by the property. There's two packages in front of you that we gave y'all. The second one shows those two creeks coming down. One is Shutt Creek, and the other is an unnamed creek.

And that is a large marsh area, and it's very, very pretty. I've gone up it by boat. You can actually access those creeks by motorboat almost all the way to the heads of them. And that marsh is really intact, really well preserved.

No trash there. Seemingly no invasive

plants in it. Really protected habitat for both wading birds and aquatic creatures in that area.

The Foundation actually looked at purchasing this property previously, but due to our limited budget and resources, we were unable to do it. But we do believe that it has significant conservation value. And it was definitely not due to any unwillingness to purchase it. It was just an inability due to our limited funds. And so we would definitely recommend for the Forever Wild Board to please consider this for a first appraisal.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions?

2.1

2.2

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I notice that a map with an explanatory description says there are two separate landowners in this tract. And I presume both landowners are approving this, recommending this?

MR. LEE: Yes. Both landowners are wanting to

sell the land. They do.

1.3

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Wright, did you have a question?

MR. WRIGHT: I missed the -- who do you represent?

MS. GIRARD: I represent the Weeks Bay

Foundation. We are a land trust that is
in Baldwin County, and we protect an
area right through this -- this focus
area where this property is located. We
did have an interest in purchasing it,
but we were unable to purchase it due to
our limited budget.

But it is the same sort of resources that we protect regularly and have been protecting for about 27 years throughout Baldwin and Mobile Counties. So we wanted to speak to the conservation value as a group that knows the conservation value of properties down in coastal Alabama. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yael, I have a question just to be sure I'm oriented correctly.

Is this 59 running this way? 1 MR. LEE: It is. Yeah, it is. MS. GIRARD: Yeah. 3 MR. LEE: 59 is a little further over than 4 that. 5 6 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. 7 MR. LEE: Or that could be --8 COMMISSIONER GUY: I think it is 59. I'm just 9 trying to ... MS. GIRARD: Gulf Shores Parkway. 10 COMMISSIONER GUY: So you turn there off 59. 11 And I'm familiar with Bon Secour and 12 that area over there. 13 14 So you just go -- so is this 15 property -- what is it -- it borders a road? 16 MS. GIRARD: It does. It borders County 17 Road 10. If you know where the Tin Top 18 Restaurant is --19 20 COMMISSIONER GUY: Right. That's where I was going to ask about. 2.1 MS. GIRARD: Okay. Yeah. It's right down the 2.2 road from there. 23

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay.

MS. GIRARD: It does have significant road frontage. It does have one dirt road access into the property already. And there's definitely road frontage there that would be developable home sites for a significant number of homes.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Thank you.

MS. GIRARD: Before I leave I was hoping to speak on our other property because I don't want to take up too much of your time coming up and coming back.

So while I'm up here, I wanted to mention the Weeks Bay Reserve-Meadows

Phase III Addition. This is a property that was submitted as a suite of tracts with the possibility of them moving forward separately. Two of the landowners within the group have decided to no longer be considered for nomination. And so at the last board meeting there was a motion to move on a first appraisal for the entire Meadows

1.3

Phase III Addition. However, with the acreage change, we will need to have a new motion for an appraisal. We ask that the board consider moving forward on the remaining properties for the first appraisal.

2.1

2.2

And as a reminder, this suite of tracts, the remaining three tracts, are under the Weeks Bay Reserve's NOAA acquisition grant and will be matched one/one -- one to one with Forever Wild funds. So whatever the cost of those remaining three tracts would be, it would be matched. So you only end up paying half of the appraised value for these.

- COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay, Yael. I don't know if that's in our package, so let me just ask --
- MS. POWELL: Yeah. I just wanted to -- that is actually under Tab 5, 5-A. That is noting the acreage change. And we will bring that up later in the meeting and

explain that to the board. But that is the Phase III tract.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Meadows Phase III.

2.1

2.2

MS. POWELL: That's right. And it's

referencing the fact that we had a

motion for appraisal, but we then had an

acreage change. And so due to the

significance of the acreage change,

we're going to bring that back before

the board during our miscellaneous

reports, and we'll discuss next steps

then.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Very good.

MS. GIRARD: And then the final property that

I wanted to mention was the Harrod's

Farm tract. And although not nominated
by the Foundation, this property is of
significant conservation value in our
management area. The board did make a
motion for a first appraisal at the last
meeting, and we would like consideration
for a second appraisal and move to
purchase.

The property is around 200 acres, and the wetland acreage of that Harrod's Farm tract is about a hundred acres and is also under that NOAA acquisition grant for a one-to-one match.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions for Yael?

(No response.)

2.1

2.2

MS. GIRARD: Thank y'all so much. I do want
to say we know that there have been some
issues in the state, certain parties
trying to diminish the ability for
Forever Wild to do the great work that
is important to our public lands. And
on behalf of the Weeks Bay Foundation,
I'd like to say that we believe those
efforts are misguided and do not reflect
the beliefs of most people in this
state.

When we shared those proposed bills and their consequences with our membership on social media, it reached over 3600 people, all who had strong responses in favor of Forever Wild. It

is a cherished program, and we will 1 continue to stand with Forever Wild. 3 COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, ma'am. The next speaker I have is Mr. Sam 4 Parris. Sam. 5 6 Thank you, Sam. And I've got you 7 down here for Red Hills-Parris Trust Addition. 8 MR. PARRIS: 9 That's correct. 10 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. MR. PARRIS: Good morning. 11 Good morning. 12 COMMISSIONER GUY: 13 MR. PARRIS: And, again, my name is Sam Parris. I am the sole beneficiary of 14 15 the Parris Trust. I was appointed to 16 handle all real estate matters by majority vote of the trustees. 17 I am requesting that the board 18 consider a motion for the second 19 appraisal and purchase of the property. 20 It was nominated in 2011. This 305-acre 2.1 property is actually adjoining to one of 2.2

23

the Red Hills Complex parcels already

owned by Forever Wild.

The property provides a crucial habitat for the federally listed Red Hills Salamander. It also provides recreation opportunities including hunting, birdwatching, and wildlife viewing. There is significant timber value including old-growth longleaf.

My mother refrained from cutting timber for the past five years just because of her desire for the tract to be acquired by Forever Wild. Since her recent death, selling the assets in the trust has become a priority.

It's also my understanding that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Section 6 grants can be applied to this tract. There are many properties in this area privately owned. I believe this is a perfect opportunity for Forever Wild to expand its footprint in this region and to further protect the Red Hills Salamander while also providing additional

1	recreation opportunities.
2	I'll be happy to answer any
3	questions.
4	COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions for
5	Mr. Parris?
6	I want to make sure everybody has
7	found the tab.
8	(No response.)
9	COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, sir.
10	MR. PARRIS: Thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER GUY: Appreciate your time.
12	Jeff DeQuattro.
13	MR. DEQUATTRO: Hey, good morning. Thank you
14	for having me.
15	COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir.
16	MR. DEQUATTRO: So today I am representing the
17	advisory board of the Alabama Trails
18	Commission. And I'll be very quick, but
19	we just want to reconfirm our support
20	for the Wiregrass Trail project in
21	Geneva County and Covington County.
22	It's a 431 I'm sorry 43.1-mile
23	backcountry trail, a paved trail along
	i

the CSX railway. 560 acres, plus or minus, would be involved in the project. We're currently fundraising with local governments for the hazardous waste and materials survey that's needed before Alabama Forever Wild is able to conduct the appraisal or the survey.

2.1

2.2

I was asked to remind folks to look at this as an economic development project and a great conservation project as well. There could be excellent health impacts, job impacts, increases in bicycle tourism, and it's a good thing for this area of the state. So we just would like you to continue to support this project. Thank you.

I'll take any questions.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions for Mr. DeQuattro?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER GUY: So for some of the board members that are new, we discussed this a year or so ago maybe. And I'm sure

2.2

23

Patti at some point -- not right now -would be happy to probably -- it's just it's very -- I was just going to say we're very supportive of it, but there are some complications -- I'm sure Jeff, I think, was there and knows some of this -- but as far as what we can and can't do, legal issues and those kinds of things. And I know that we have talked to a lot of local mayors and things about trying to figure those out. I know that, for instance, Horace for Andalusia -- we've talked, you know, and I think some of us are very interested in trying to see how we can get through this.

So, Jeff, appreciate you being here today. I think you know where we are. And we're going to continue to work on that and I think y'all are continuing to work on it, as you just mentioned, to see if we can build possibly the same kind of economic corridor that we did

over there on Interstate 20, I think, going towards Atlanta.

2.1

2.2

But anybody is welcome to ask a question. I'm not trying to cut you off. It was well discussed for a couple of meetings. And I know our staff has continued to do work on that, and I'm sure the Trails Commission folks are doing it as well. So don't give up on us. We're going to continue to work with those local governments and try to do the best we can to make something happen.

MR. DEQUATTRO: Good deal. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GUY:

Ms. Tammy Herrington. Good morning, Tammy.

Thank you again.

MS. HERRINGTON: Good morning. I'm Tammy

Herrington. I'm the executive director

of Conservation Alabama and Conservation

Alabama Foundation. And I'm here on

behalf of the conservation community to

talk to you about what Yael Girard

mentioned earlier, which is what we consider an attack on the Forever Wild Land Trust.

And those of you who were at the last board meeting may remember that I presented a return-on-investment study that was conducted by The Trust for Public Lands that shows that for every dollar invested by the Forever Wild Land Trust, \$5 is returned to our state in goods and services.

And to highlight some of those numbers, just to remind you, there are \$494 million in tax revenues shown on behalf of the program. It supports 86,000 jobs and provides \$2 billion in wages.

In addition to these economic benefits, as you know, the program has had overwhelming support from the community. When it was originally voted on in 1992, it was supported by 83 percent of voters. It was reauthorized

in 2012 with 75 percent of the vote.

And then just last year public lands again had overwhelming support by 80 percent in amendment -- a constitutional amendment to protect money for our state parks.

So we know that we have overwhelming public support. We also see the program provide these huge economic benefits to our state. So because of that, there are many of us in the conservation community, some of whom are in this room with me today, who are concerned about House Bill 502 and its threats to the program and purchasing of additional land.

Representative Hill earlier said,
you know, there are some counties that
don't want you there. We do. Come
here. Take advantage of us. We've also
had a lot of the local county support -show support for the program.

And as Ms. Girard mentioned, their

program -- I mean, their action-alert system, thousands of emails were sent.

Through ours we've had -- it's now close to 8,000 emails sent to the governor and legislators on behalf of Forever Wild.

2.1

2.2

So we stand here in support of the program here together with the conservation community and just want to let you know that this is something that we consider a threat to what the voters have said we wanted through the Forever Wild Land Trust, and we will continue to let our leaders know that we want this program to continue untouched. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, Tammy.

Any questions for Tammy?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER GUY: I will say this. For those who didn't either -- and I know she passed out that booklet that they did.

Very interesting reading, pretty well done. So if anybody either lost it or

needs another one, I'm sure Tammy can get you that information.

Or is it online, Tammy?

2.1

2.2

MS. HERRINGTON: It's online through The Trust for Public Land website. And if you go to our Foundation website,

ConservationAlabamaFoundation.org,

there's a public lands tab, and there are links to both the -- basically a two-page report that gives the highlights and the full return-on-investment study.

And it's great -- you know, if anyone is interested, it also highlights particular communities like Anniston,

Dothan, and their stories, how they were able to utilize these lands to build the economy in their areas of the state.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Thank you again. I just wanted to make sure everybody was aware of that if they needed to look at it again.

MS. HERRINGTON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GUY: So that was the last speaker that I had. I know there are some more people here. So I'm going to make an assumption that nobody else wants to speak. If you do, raise your hand.

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Well, with that, that ends our public comment session, and our board will need to recess into executive session. And before doing so, we have to go through this motion and vote aloud. So let me read this so that I get it right for the court reporter.

By regulation, appraisal values are confidential during periods of negotiation. Accordingly, in order to discuss tract appraisal values, the board will need to go into recess for an executive session.

Is there a motion for the board to now recess to attend to an executive

2.1

1	session?
2	MR. HORN: So move.
3	MR. CAUTHEN: Second.
4	COMMISSIONER GUY: Who was the second?
5	Mr. Cauthen was the second.
6	Okay. It's necessary for me to do
7	this by roll call to make sure that I
8	have everybody's affirmative votes.
9	Mr. Oates?
10	MR. OATES: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Valentine?
12	DR. VALENTINE: Aye.
13	COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Jordan?
14	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Aye.
15	COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Hepp?
16	DR. HEPP: Aye.
17	COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Woods?
18	DR. WOODS: Aye.
19	COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Ball?
20	MR. BALL: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Runyan?
22	MR. RUNYAN: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Wright?

1	MR. WRIGHT: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Satterfield?
3	MR. SATTERFIELD: Aye.
4	COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Horn?
5	MR. HORN: Aye.
6	COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Cauthen?
7	MR. CAUTHEN: Aye.
8	COMMISSIONER GUY: And myself, aye.
9	So it's unanimous. So at this time,
10	for those in the audience, we will take
11	approximately a 20-minute recess, which
12	I have about ten after. So let's just
13	say 11:30. We will come back into
14	session, and we will take up the rest of
15	our agenda including any nominations and
16	administrative work.
17	So at this time we will recess for
18	20 minutes.
19	(Recess for executive session was
20	taken at approximately 11:08 a.m.
21	and the meeting was called back to
22	order at approximately 11:51 a.m.)
23	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. So at this time

we will reconvene for the rest of our program. And at this time I'm going to call on staff for program status reports, and it looks like maybe

Ms. Powell will be first.

MS. POWELL: Although I'm going to make a staff motion to take the gavel away from the chair. A little too much power up there.

For benefit of the public, I am
Patti Powell. I'm director of the State
Lands Division for the Department of
Conservation. What we normally do at
this point in the meeting is take a
little time to run through the current
financial information for the board as
well as talk about -- remind everyone of
the tracts that have had previous
action, asking the staff to get them
closed. They're in various stages of
closing. So I'm going to run through
those for the board.

Part of what we do is talk about the

1.3

2.1

2.2

closings we are working on so that you can take that into account in looking at the current balance in the fund. Just like your checkbook, it may not be a check that has cleared, but it is a check that we are holding funds to cover. So that's why we run through this.

The tracts that are in various stages of closing are the following:

Dallas County WMA Addition, obviously

Dallas County; DeSoto State Park-French

Addition, DeKalb County; DeSoto State

Park-Jones Addition; Uchee Creek

Confluence, Russell County; Upper Wolf

Bay Savanna and Marsh Tract, Baldwin

County; Lake Yates-North Addition,

Elmore County; Coon Gulf-Heard, Jackson

County; Grand Bay Savanna-Solet

Addition, Mobile County; Lake Lurleen

State Park-Roebuck Addition, Tuscaloosa;

Old Cahawba Prairie-Childers Creek

Addition, Dallas County; Skyline

WMA-Pole Branch Addition, Jackson

County; Tannehill-Ayers Addition,

Jefferson; Weeks Bay Reserve-Bay Road

West Addition, Baldwin County; Weeks Bay

Reserve-Sunset Shores Addition, Baldwin

County.

The balance of funds in the account currently is a little over 31 million, but if you subtract out the obligations that you have committed to close that I've just listed, that would leave an unencumbered balance of just over 12 million.

However, this is one of the fun things I get to discuss, which is state budgeting procedure and those constraints that are associated with that. I'll save you the painful details, but the bottom line, until we roll into the next fiscal year that will begin October 1st, this board only has spending authority that is closer to 7.6 million. So keep that figure in

mind as you may take action on closing 1 of additional properties. 3 Again, beginning --Say that again. How much --MR. HORN: 4 MS. POWELL: About 7.6 million in spending 6 authority. 7 Thank you. MR. HORN: MS. POWELL: So we will -- that figure will 8 9 change as of October 1st. But that's 10 your current spending authority as we sit here. 11 12 Any questions on that? 1.3 (No response.) I would like to run through the 14 MS. POWELL: tracts that have been closed in this 15 16 fiscal year briefly. Autauga WMA Phase II; Dallas County 17 WMA Phase II; DeSoto State Park-Tutwiler 18 Addition; Guntersville State 19 Park-Stubblefield Mountain Addition: 20 Indian Mountain-Simmons Addition; Monte 2.1 Sano State Park-Dug Hill West Addition; 2.2 Old Cahawba Prairie Addition; Shelby 23

County Park-Shades Creek Addition;

Skyline WMA-Threwer Point Addition;

Weeks Bay Reserve-Meadows Phase II

Additions.

And that's what has closed in this fiscal year. The current fiscal year ends September 30th. The new fiscal year will start October 1st.

Any questions on that segment of the financial information?

(No response.)

MS. POWELL: Okay. If there are no questions,

I will move into the stewardship fund

financial information.

Again, this fund is populated with funds -- every time the board makes an acquisition, the program also has to have enough funds to transfer 15 percent of the value of that acquisition into the stewardship account. That is to provide perpetual funding for the maintenance of the tracts, our land management activities, and is designed

to ensure that forever in the future the tracts will have existing funding to care for them. As we have extra funding, it can also be used to add recreational aspects to the properties. But that's what I want to run through.

The balance in that account is over 32 million currently. Now, again, we work very hard, to the extent that we can, to only expend interest earnings off the stewardship fund to care for the tracts. Again, it takes that balance sitting there to throw off enough interest to try to manage these tracts. We'll talk about that a little more later. But that is -- while that seems like a large number, the purpose of it is to generate interest to provide perpetual maintenance of the tracts.

You will see in the financial information that we had -- again, talking about budgetary authority -- had budgetary authority -- spending

authority of 1.5 million. You will 1 still see as we sit here today a fairly 3 large available balance within that budgeted amount of over 1.2 million. 4 But we are in the high time of the 5 6 activities that we engage in this time 7 of year. So you will see that figure drop by the next meeting. 8 But that's where we are. We're in 9

But that's where we are. We're in good shape right now with those activities, and we are moving forward with those.

Any questions for the stewardship fund account?

(No response.)

MS. POWELL: All right. Commissioner, I'll just keep moving on into appraised nominations.

I want to remind the board of tracts that you have instructed staff to move forward with as to the first appraisals.

I will run through the appraisals that have been received to date from those

212223

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

10

12

1314

15

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

motions, and those will be the tracts that if the board chooses to take action, it would be proper for a motion for second appraisal and to proceed with purchase today. So let me run through those.

Byrnes Lake, Baldwin County; Coosa WMA-Hancock Phase III, Coosa County; Emauhee Creek Lake, Talladega County; Laguna Cove, Baldwin County; Natural Bridge Creek Tract, Covington; Pine Barren Creek Tract, Dallas County; Pintlala Creek, Lowndes County; Red Hills-Parris Trust Addition, Monroe County; Rickwood Caverns State Park-Helms Tract, Blount County; Skyline WMA-Crow Creek Valley Addition; Tannehill-Mud Creek Addition, Bibb County; Terrapin Hill, Coosa County; Weeks Bay Reserve-Harrod's Farm, Baldwin County; White Oak Plantation, Macon County.

Very, very roughly, just looking at

those appraisals, that's a total of over \$42 million worth of acreage. So I'll just -- you know, you have a little bit more appraised than you have current funding for. But we always keep track of that. Again, that's just a -- just an FYI.

2.1

2.2

Commissioner, that is -- unless there is a question on any of the financials so far.

COMMISSIONER GUY: I'm sorry. I was looking at something. I want to ask a question.

You may not can answer it now. It may be Doug discusses it.

Is there a place where -- you know, we had a bad drought last year. And I know that's -- I've looked at the timber market. It's awful. So will that be addressed -- or can it be addressed by somebody later just about what we might be doing or --

MS. POWELL: We could certainly talk about it at any time. We did want to mention in

connection with that the current concern statewide about pine beetle infestation -- not just infestation, but, frankly, the resulting financial impact if you had to take any salvage actions. Prices are terrible. And so in addition to being concerned about the beetle and the impact of the beetle, if you did have to salvage any of the timber, it's not very good monetary result from that. But we -- we're going to mention that briefly in the miscellaneous reports section.

Mr. Oates for really spearheading that effort, one, to not just let state agency know that there is a threat, but also private landowners and engaging in actions. But we'll circle back to that. I don't mind discussing it, but it is on

2.1

2.2

the agenda.

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: I see it now. I apologize.

It's under miscellaneous reports. My

bad.

MS. POWELL: But if there are no questions,

we'll move into -- I'll get Doug to come

up. And we want to give our usual

report related to grant opportunities

and efforts that we are engaged in to

find grant money to leverage this

board's money. So if there's nothing

else, I'll turn it over to Doug.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you.

MR. DEATON: All right. I would like to
mention to the board and those attending
the meeting today that DCNR staff, State
Lands Division staff, and Wildlife and
Freshwater Fisheries staff -- we
routinely try to find land acquisition
grants that can help further the Forever
Wild dollar. And we give a report of
that at each meeting to let you know
where we are in the process of those

grants.

So at the last meeting I reported that we have a NOAA grant available that was secured by Weeks Bay Reserve staff that could be applied to the Weeks Bay Reserve-Sunset Shores Addition, the Weeks Bay Reserve-Bay Road West Addition, the Weeks Bay Reserve-Meadows Phase III Addition, and the Harrod's Farm Addition. This grant requires a 50/50 match for the acquisitions.

At the direction of the board, the Weeks Bay Reserve-Sunset Shores Addition and the Weeks Bay Reserve-Bay Road West Addition are currently under the negotiation process.

The board also directed for a first appraisal at the last meeting for the Weeks Bay Reserve-Meadows Phase III Addition and the Harrod's Farm Addition.

The Harrod's Farm Addition appraisal has been received. It's on your appraisal list that you have there

before you. As a reminder to the board, a portion of that -- I believe it's 80 acres of the wetlands -- is available to be used for the 50/50 match. The total acreage for the tract is 235 acres, but only 80 acres is available for that 50/50 match, as mentioned early.

The Weeks Bay Reserve-Meadows

Phase III Addition appraisal has not
been initiated yet. We had some acreage
changes from the time that you guys
initiated for us to do that. And we'll
present that later in the miscellaneous
reports section.

Are there any questions about that?

(No response.)

MR. DEATON: The next -- at the February

meeting we discussed a grant-leveraging

opportunity with the National Fish and

Wildlife Foundation, NFWF, funds

associated with property located along

the coast of Mobile County. This tract

is the Grand Bay Savanna-Solet Tract,

2.1

2.2

which is 2600 acres approximately. The Nature Conservancy has finished closing those tracts as of April. And this is a 100-percent donation to the Forever Wild Land Trust with the requirement that we put 15 percent of appraised value into the stewardship fund.

2.1

2.2

They have finished the closing, and so we're working with them to get the Phase I reports, appraisal information, title work, survey information so we can proceed to closing. So that's going into process right now.

Any questions about the Solet Tract or ...

(No response.)

MR. DEATON: I also want to make the board aware that our forester, Galen Grider, was able to secure a grant that helped us with some reforestation costs. We received approximately \$178,000 from NFWF that was used to plant 1100 acres of shortleaf at Freedom Hills and

Lauderdale WMA and 361 acres of longleaf 1 at the Coosa WMA tract. And we're also 3 receiving a donation from The Longleaf Alliance for this next planting season 4 of approximately 200 acres' worth of 5 6 montane longleaf seedling to be planted 7 at Coosa WMA tracts and at the Autauga WMA tracts for, as I said, the 2017-18 8 9 planting season. And that's all I have. 10 questions about that information? 11 12 COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions for Doug? 13 (No response.) 14 MR. DEATON: Chuck has an update that he would like to share about some of his wildlife 15 funds. 16 MR. SYKES: Thank you. 17 There was a memo that was sent out 18 to the board concerning the Pine Barren 19 20 Creek Tract. We'll take responsibility for the numbers being wrong in Jo's 2.1 2.2 report.

23

Looking at our future apportionment,

where typically we have partnered with

Forever Wild on a three-to-one basis -
for one dollar of Forever Wild we have

provided three -- with the current

presidential administration, we are

anticipating our Pittman-Robertson funds

going down. Gun sales and ammunition

sales are projected to go down a little

bit.

So for the Pine Barren Creek Tract, right now I don't want to step out on a limb and say that we can match three to We've got enough that I know we can match one to one. If our apportionment comes in above what we're anticipating in October, then we can go back to the three-to-one match. But. T did not want to overextend what our budget was. I wanted to give y'all fair warning. We do want the tract. think it would be a great addition to our WMA system. But right now all we can commit is 50/50.

2122

23

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1	COMMISSIONER GUY: Any questions for Chuck?
2	DR. WOODS: Chuck, I have a question.
3	So if we wait until after October,
4	then we would know if it's a 50 percent
5	or 75 percent?
6	MR. SYKES: Yes, sir. We can guarantee 50/50,
7	but after October when we get our FY18
8	apportionment, we can possibly go back
9	to that 75 percent match like we've done
10	in the past on the latest acquisitions.
11	DR. WOODS: Okay. Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER GUY: Any other questions for
13	Chuck?
14	(No response.)
15	COMMISSIONER GUY: Is that all right now?
16	MR. SYKES: That's it, yes, sir. Thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, sir.
18	And it looks like, Jo, you're going
19	to talk to us about the nominations
20	short-list update.
21	MS. LEWIS: Good morning. This is the routine
22	presentation. For the sake of the
23	audience, my name is Jo Lewis. I'm part

of the staff of the State Lands Division.

Today, this morning, I'm going to run through what we call the short list, which is the nominations that scored best -- three-best-scoring nominations in each category of use in each of the three geographic districts of the state. Hypothetically, there could be 36 tracts. This morning there are 26 due to some categories of use having no nominations that have the attributes they need and some nominations short-listing in more than one category of use.

COMMISSIONER GUY: So, Jo, before you get started --

MS. LEWIS: Yes.

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: -- as always, you're talking about Tab 4; correct?

MS. LEWIS: Yes. Thank you. I'm running through Tab 4-A.

The second page of 4-A is the table

in which you can see which nominations are the top-scoring nominations in each of the categories of use of the four and then each of the geographical regions.

Some of the nominations on that list are redundant because they have short-listed in more than one category of use.

2.1

2.2

To simplify things, I then arranged them on the first page of Tab 4-A alphabetically by the name of the tract. It's difficult to take a matrix and multiply attributes and make it linear, so the alphabetical is supposed to be objective. It is not a prioritization.

As I read through these, you can look at the narratives and the maps of each of these which are arranged in Tab 4-B. They are arranged in the same order in which I'm going to read them.

COMMISSIONER GUY: So most of us didn't realize -- it looks like we have a PowerPoint up here on the wall.

So you can see that, Sonny.

Otherwise, we may have to look down.

MS. LEWIS: The maps that will be projecting

up there are the exact same maps as we
have in your packet. The information on
the side is just some of the high points
of the narrative material. If there's
multiple scores, then they short-listed
in multiple categories of use. At the
bottom you can see when the tract was
nominated, basically how long you've had
it available to you to consider it.

We begin with Autauga Wildlife

Management Area-Swift Creek Addition in

Autauga County, approximately 1,145

acres.

Move along to the Bennett Creek

Tract, which is 2,174 acres, and it sits
on the Mobile-Washington County line.

We have the Big Canoe Creek Tract, which is 377 acres. It's in St. Clair County. The map says 383. The appraisal that's been discussed has 377. There's a five-acre discrepancy on the

2.1

southern border of the tract which is 1 encumbered with the access easement. 3 believe those five acres would be available and would not be a problem 4 that the appraiser excluded them. 5 6 COMMISSIONER GUY: Could I ask a question 7 about that now, or would you rather me ask at the end? 8 9 MS. LEWIS: It's fine by me. I don't know if 10 I'll have the answer. COMMISSIONER GUY: And so it just says -- the 11 12 checkered part of it says other Forever Wild active nominations. 1.3 14 MS. LEWIS: Yes. 15 COMMISSIONER GUY: So what they talked about 16 today was the non-checkered part; correct? 17 MS. LEWIS: Correct. 18 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. And so how many --19 20 how many other active nominations are within that checkered? Is it one? 2.1 MS. LEWIS: It's just one nomination. 2.2 23 COMMISSIONER GUY: It's just one.

1	MS. LEWIS: One that didn't short-list this
2	time.
3	COMMISSIONER GUY: What's the name of it?
4	MS. LEWIS: Big Canoe Creek-Epps.
5	COMMISSIONER GUY: But it did not short-list?
6	MS. LEWIS: Did not this time, no.
7	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay.
8	MS. LEWIS: The next is the Bon Secour
9	River-Swift School Tract, which is 250
10	acres in Baldwin County.
11	Then we have Briar Lake, 111 acres
12	in Baldwin County.
13	The Cahaba River Access Tract, which
14	is 40 acres in Bibb County.
15	Caldwell Swamp, which is
16	approximately a hundred acres in Baldwin
17	County.
18	Coldwater Mountain-Rice Addition,
19	which is an inholding in the Coldwater
20	Mountain Recreation Area. That's in
21	Calhoun County.
22	We also have the Coldwater
23	Mountain-Sarrell Addition, which is

1	adjacent there to the right of the map,
2	which is 22 acres, again, Calhoun
3	County.
4	The Coosa River Tract, which is 710
5	acres in Elmore County.
6	Deer Head Cove-Hartline, which is
7	213 acres in DeKalb County.
8	Then we have Dear Head Cove-Low Gap,
9	280 acres in DeKalb County, which you
10	can see they're adjacent.
11	Go on to D'Olive Bay, which is 87
12	acres in Baldwin County.
13	Freedom Hills Wildlife Management
14	Area-Randolph Hollow Addition, 239 acres
15	in Colbert County.
16	Horse Creek National Forest Retreat,
17	which is 240 acres in Clay County.
18	Hurricane Creek Park Addition, which
19	is 72 acres in Cullman County.
20	Lauderdale Wildlife Management
21	Area-Newman Addition, 160 acres in
22	Lauderdale County.
23	MTD or Mobile-Tensaw Delta-Sheffield

1	Addition, 130 acres in Baldwin County.
2	MTD or Mobile-Tensaw Delta-Simmons
3	Addition, 300 acres in Baldwin County.
4	Perdido Wildlife Management
5	Area-Freise Addition, 47 acres, Baldwin
6	County.
7	Red Hills-Ziebach Addition, which is
8	50 acres in Monroe County.
9	Shell Banks Bayou, 25 acres in
10	Baldwin County.
11	Sipsey River Swamp-Taylor Creek
12	Addition, 1,722 acres in Greene County.
13	Skyline Wildlife Management
14	Area-Crow Mountain Addition, which is
15	178 acres in Jackson County.
16	Tannehill-South Additions, which
17	there are you should see separate
18	parcels a total of 422 acres in Bibb
19	and Shelby Counties.
20	And, finally, Weeks Bay Reserve or
21	WBR-Meadows Phase II: Gill. This is a
22	nomination that's been around for a
23	while but is currently available. It's

name is cumbersome and is unrelated to 1 any of the other groups of nominations 3 we're working through. But it is an available nomination, and it would be an 4 addition to the Weeks Bay Reserve. It's 5 6 in Baldwin County, 38 acres. 7 I'll be happy to address any questions and try to get the answers. 8 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. I have a 9 10 question. MS. LEWIS: Yes, sir. 11 COMMISSIONER GUY: I'm always going to be the 12 13 one to ask something I should probably know. 14 But going back to that Big Canoe --15 can you go back to that slide for me? 16 I should have looked at this 17 earlier, and I wish I would have asked 18 the folks that were here. 19 20 So the parcel that you're talking about that did not make the short 2.1 list --2.2 MS. LEWIS: Yes. 23

```
COMMISSIONER GUY: -- how big is that parcel?
1
       MS. LEWIS: It's a 40.
3
       COMMISSIONER GUY:
                           40?
       MS. LEWIS: A 40, I believe. I can look at my
4
              active nominations list, which happens
5
6
              to be 4-C in your notebooks.
7
       COMMISSIONER GUY: It's what number?
       MS. LEWIS: The Tab 4-C has all active
8
             nominations.
9
10
       COMMISSIONER GUY: Oh, that's what I was
              looking for, Jo. I'm sorry.
11
       MS. LEWIS: So if we look at the -- it's going
12
              to be in the Northern District,
13
14
              St. Clair County, Big Canoe Creek-Epps.
              Yes, that's a 40-acre tract. So it's
15
16
              the second page.
       COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. So my question there
17
              is -- and I see Patti.
18
                  So when it doesn't short-list, does
19
              that keep us from purchasing it even
20
              though it's part of a bigger piece, or
2.1
              does it?
2.2
23
       MS. POWELL: Well, it is a separate
```

nomination, so separate willing seller. 1

But it's a separate nomination.

Sometimes sellers come together, and that's -- sometimes you'll see maps -and we may have a parcel -- may have a tract with five parcels and three owners or whatever, but they've come together with individual tracts. This was submitted as two different nominations. So the only one available for action today is the one that you heard the speakers talk about.

Now, to give some context, just as an example -- and I am not involved in the scoring. You wouldn't want me involved in the scoring. But let me give you one way -- one thing that I will mention.

Right now neither of the parcels are in the program. If the larger parcel became part of the program, became acquired acreage, that smaller 40, which by itself is a separate nomination --

3

I'm not completely surprised it didn't short-list -- it would then be adjacent to a significant amount of acreage owned by the program. And there is a chance it would then move up in scoring. But as they were submitted -- we processed them as submitted as -- in the willing seller letters that we get, so they are separate.

2.1

2.2

But that -- I wouldn't be surprised to see that happen. But we don't have that right now. And, yes, you are limited to the authoritized parcels which are on the short list that we -- our slang term, our short list.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Well, this has helped me learn something. Every year I learn something.

So on this one, why did it not score -- I'm sorry. Did you say -- MS. LEWIS: The 40 does not have any creek front.

COMMISSIONER GUY: It didn't have creek front?

1	MS. LEWIS: The 40 does not have creek front;
2	so, therefore, it doesn't have that
3	critical habitat and it offers far less
4	protection to those sensitive species.
5	So as a nature preserve, which in this
6	case is one of the significant
7	categories, it did not offer the same
8	level of protection as the big tract.
9	COMMISSIONER GUY: Was that a timbered
10	property, or do you remember, if you
11	know?
12	MS. LEWIS: Has it been timbered?
13	COMMISSIONER GUY: No. Has it got timber on
14	it?
15	MS. LEWIS: I believe, yes, it does have
16	timber, mixed hardwoods, I'm
17	remembering.
18	COMMISSIONER GUY: That's fine. That's fine.
19	Thank you. I know I caught you off
20	guard there. I appreciate it.
21	There's a gentleman raising his
22	hand. We don't yes, sir.
23	MR. TRAVIS: Would it be okay

COMMISSIONER GUY: Come to the microphone. 1 MR. TRAVIS: Would it be okay for you to back 3 up on the slides until you get to the 127-acre Sheffield tract? 4 I was not aware you were going to 5 6 show it on the map. I'd like to see the 7 scores on it, if I could. That would be fine. MS. LEWIS: 8 9 MR. TRAVIS: Back up. Should be the Sheffield 10 tract. 11 MS. LEWIS: MTD? 12 MS. POWELL: Y'all, please speak up. Please 13 speak up. COMMISSIONER GUY: So this is a little --14 MR. TRAVIS: Sheffield tract. 15 16 COMMISSIONER GUY: State your name for me, please, sir. 17 MR. TRAVIS: Ed Travis. I'm a timberland 18 broker and consulting forester 19 20 representing Mr. Sheffield. COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. It's a little bit 2.1 out of order, but just -- have you just 2.2 got a question about what it scored? 23

- MR. TRAVIS: She went through it, and I didn't get to write down a score. That's all I wanted to see.
 - COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. I'll tell you what,

 Jo will get with you, if that's okay.
- 6 MR. TRAVIS: Yeah, that's fine. Thank you.
- 7 COMMISSIONER GUY: And we'll give you that document. Thank you, sir.
- And, yes, Dr. Tolley-Jordan.
- 10 MS. LEWIS: Dr. Tolley-Jordan.
- DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I have a question about
 the Sipsey River Tract. I'm looking for
 its name.
- 14 MS. LEWIS: Taylor Creek Addition.
- 15 COMMISSIONER GUY: Taylor Creek.
- MS. LEWIS: Additions.

4

- DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I think at the last
 meeting there was some confusion about
 parcels.
- 20 MS. LEWIS: There was.
- DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: And I guess that's been resolved. I meant to ask that earlier.
- 23 MS. LEWIS: It has.

Okay. So this is all --1 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: MS. LEWIS: I've interacted with the 3 landowners. And Mr. Dale Lancaster is here as the agent representing it. 4 1,722 acres is definitely available, and 5 6 this is definitely what it is. 7 Okay. No. I just wanted DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: to make sure. 8 9 MS. LEWIS: Previously someone else had 10 nominated the properties from other sources of information. We have gotten 11 a more authoritative source of 12 information now. 13 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: That's good. 14 COMMISSIONER GUY: Any other questions for Jo? 15 16 (No response.) COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. 17 Thank you, Thanks for your report. 18 ma'am. 19 Okay. So ... MS. POWELL: Could I mention something while 20 you're thinking? 2.1 COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes. Go ahead. 2.2 23 MS. POWELL: As we move into a later portion

where we begin to have motions from the board related to proceeding with second appraisals and purchases, if y'all would -- and we may pause in between those, assuming there are some. We are trying to keep up with the dollar figures to keep the board -- so we're not math whizzes, so if y'all might just pause between each so we can calculate. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Thank you, Patti.

All right. So at this time we go to the agenda item that concerns general discussion by the board members. That can include nominations for first appraisal, second appraisal and purchase, or any other matter that you would like to bring up.

I would just like to kind of mention, like what Patti said, after she went over the financials and given the first appraisals already, just please keep in mind as you move through that

_

that we will need to be tracking any requests for second appraisals and purchases so that we can make sure we do not exceed the amount that we have available to us.

So I just want to remind everybody

to kind of be cognizant of that.

Because that has not been the case for a while, and some of us have been -- we've been through that one time before. But this one more than likely could get that way pretty quickly, you know, if we're not ready for that, so --

MS. POWELL: And, Commissioner, one thing -and you said -- if it's okay -- but you
said nominations for appraisals. If we
could use the term motions for
appraisals -- motion for first
appraisal, motion for second
appraisal -- instead of nominations, it
helps in reading back through the
minutes for some to keep straight what's
a nominated tract versus a motion for

action on that tract. It helps us a 1 little bit, but really it helps the 3 examiners to keep straight when we're motioning and when we're talking about a 4 nomination. Thank you. 5 6 COMMISSIONER GUY: We'll try to keep that in 7 mind. Remind us if we don't. All right. I see -- I want to 8 9 recognize Dr. Woods first. I saw his hand first. 10 DR. WOODS: I make a motion for a second 11 12 appraisal and move to purchase Red Hills-Parris Trust Addition in Monroe 13 14 County. 15 COMMISSIONER GUY: You went through that so 16 fast I didn't get it. Which one is it? DR. WOODS: Red Hills-Parris Trust Addition, 17 18 Monroe County. COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. So we've got a 19 20 motion. Do I have a second? DR. HEPP: I'll second. 2.1 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Second. 2.2 23 Dr. Hepp.

1	Any discussion on the motion?
2	I'm still trying to I'm sorry.
3	I'll still trying to find it.
4	DR. WOODS: Halfway down.
5	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay.
6	All right. Any discussion on the
7	motion?
8	(No response.)
9	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
10	say "aye."
11	(All board members present respond
12	"aye.")
13	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
14	(No response.)
15	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
16	MR. HORN: Mr. Chairman?
17	COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir, Mr. Horn.
18	MR. HORN: I'd like to make a motion that we
19	move for a first appraisal on the Big
20	Canoe Creek Tract.
21	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay.
22	MR. CAUTHEN: I second.
23	COMMISSIONER GUY: We have a motion and the

second was Mr. Cauthen. 1 Any discussion on the motion? 3 (No response.) COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion 4 say "aye." 5 (All board members present respond 6 7 "aye.") 8 COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed? 9 (No response.) 10 COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries. Yes, ma'am. 11 MS. POWELL: No. It was a good motion. 12 1.3 just wanted to say we will -- staff will take a look at the existing appraisal. 14 If there is a chance for efficiencies of 15 cost of utilizing that appraisal --16 again, we have not reviewed it for that 17 purpose, but it was performed by one of 18 the appraisers that are under contract 19 20 with the state that we utilize. So I will throw that out. We will 2.1 take a look at that. But either way, we 2.2 will utilize that or have a new 23

appraisal pursuant to this motion. 1 just wanted to let y'all know there's an 3 outside chance we could save that money, but I'm not sure of that right now. 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: And while you're here, I 5 just always -- miscellaneous things go 6 7 through my head. So we have one more board meeting 8 9 before we get to our next fiscal year, 10 which is in August; right? I know we've changed. Is it August 11 now? 12 13 MS. POWELL: Yes, sir, August. 14 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. So just to remind everybody, we have another board meeting 15 16 in August. And, you know, so we'll kind of still be under these conditions. I'm 17 just kind of laying it out for 18 everybody. 19 20 Then the next meeting will be after our -- when our new fiscal year begins 2.1 which will allow for the possibility of 2.2 more money. Just kind of planting that 23

in your brain. No particular reason.
Just to make sure you know.
All right. Any other discussion?
MR. BALL: Mr. Chairman?
COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes.
MR. BALL: I'd like to make a motion that we
do a first appraisal on Cahaba River
Access Tract, 40 acres, Bibb County.
COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. All right. So we've
got a motion for the Cahaba River Access
Tract, 40 acres in Bibb County. That's
a motion for a first appraisal. Do I
have a second?
DR. VALENTINE: I'll second.
COMMISSIONER GUY: Second. Dr. Valentine.
All right. Any discussion on that
motion?
(No response.)
COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
say "aye."
(All board members present respond
"aye.")
COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?

1	(No response.)
2	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
3	Dr. Tolley-Jordan.
	_
4	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I'd like to make a motion
5	for first appraisal of the Sipsey River
6	Swamp-Taylor Creek Addition, Greene
7	County.
8	DR. WOODS: Second.
9	COMMISSIONER GUY: Second by Dr. Woods.
10	Any discussion on that motion?
11	(No response.)
12	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
13	say "aye."
14	(All board members present respond
15	"aye.")
16	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
17	(No response.)
18	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
19	Yes, sir, Dr. Woods.
20	DR. WOODS: I make a motion for
21	MS. POWELL: Commissioner, I'm sorry. I'm so
22	sorry. If we could take just one
23	second. We just want to double-check.

It was a little hard for us to hear. 1 We want to be sure we have the correct 3 tract that we just --Sipsey River --COMMISSIONER GUY: 4 Sipsey River Swamp-Taylor 5 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: 6 Creek Addition that was just discussed. 7 Thank you. I just wanted to MS. POWELL: confirm that. Thank you. 8 9 COMMISSIONER GUY: It's just listed here as 10 Taylor Creek Addition on the short list; Is that the one you're talking 11 right? 12 about, Dr. Tolley-Jordan, 1722 acres? 13 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Right. MS. POWELL: And we just wanted to double --14 we had a little trouble hearing, so we 15 16 wanted to confirm. Thank you. COMMISSIONER GUY: Yeah, sure. 17 18 I'm sorry. Dr. Woods. DR. WOODS: Yes. I'd like to make a motion 19 for a first appraisal on Caldwell Swamp, 20 100 acres in Baldwin County. 2.1 COMMISSIONER GUY: Again, on the short list. 2.2 23 You might want to be looking. 100.37

1 acres. I have a motion. Do I have a 3 second? DR. HEPP: I'll second. 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: Second by Dr. Hepp. 5 6 Any discussion on the motion? 7 MS. POWELL: I'm sorry. We were confirming 8 something here. Could y'all tell us 9 again which tract? 10 I'm very, very sorry. COMMISSIONER GUY: This one? 11 MS. POWELL: Yes. 12 13 COMMISSIONER GUY: Caldwell Swamp. 14 MS. POWELL: Thank you. 15 COMMISSIONER GUY: That's all right. 16 In Baldwin County; correct, Dr. Woods? 17 DR. WOODS: Correct. 18 MS. POWELL: Thank you. Sorry. 19 20 COMMISSIONER GUY: No problem. I'm watching staff over there. 2.1 Okay. Are y'all ready? 2.2 MS. POWELL: We are. 23

1	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. So I think we
2	have a motion and a second.
3	Any discussion? I may have asked
4	that already.
5	(No response.)
6	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
7	say "aye."
8	(All board members present respond
9	"aye.")
10	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
11	(No response.)
12	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
13	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I have one more.
14	COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, Dr. Tolley-Jordan.
15	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I'd like to make a motion
16	for first appraisal of the Bon Secour
17	River-Swift School Tract in Baldwin
18	County.
19	MR. BALL: Second that.
20	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. We have a
21	motion and a second.
22	And I'm looking for that one. Where
23	is it?

```
Oh, I see it now. Swift School
1
              Tract.
3
                   Okay. And did I get a second
              already?
4
       MR. BALL: Yes, sir.
5
6
       COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. By Mr. Ball.
7
                   Any discussion on the motion?
                     (No response.)
8
9
       COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor say "aye."
10
                     (All board members present respond
                      "aye.")
11
12
       COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
13
                     (No response.)
14
       COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Dr. Hepp.
       DR. HEPP: Yeah. I would like to make a
15
16
              motion for first appraisal on the
              Perdido Wildlife Management Area-Freise
17
              Addition in Baldwin County, 47 acres.
18
       DR. WOODS: Second.
19
       COMMISSIONER GUY: Second by Dr. Woods.
20
                   Any discussion on the motion?
2.1
2.2
                     (No response.)
       COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor say "aye."
23
```

```
(All board members present respond
1
                      "aye.")
3
       COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
                     (No response.)
4
       COMMISSIONER GUY:
                           Motion carries.
5
6
                  Yes.
7
       DR. VALENTINE: Is the Mobile-Tensaw
              Delta-Sheffield Addition available for
8
              movement for a first appraisal?
9
10
                   I'm a little confused by what
              happened.
11
       MS. POWELL: I'm sorry. Dr. Valentine, could
12
13
              you move your microphone?
       DR. VALENTINE: Can I move for the
14
              Mobile-Tensaw-Sheffield Addition for
15
16
              first appraisal?
       MS. POWELL: Yes. It is on the short list.
17
              It's on Tab 4-A and available.
18
       DR. VALENTINE: I would like to do so, then.
19
20
       COMMISSIONER GUY: So I have a motion. Do I
              have a second?
2.1
       MR. BALL: Second.
2.2
       COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Mr. Ball. Second.
23
```

1	Any discussion on the motion?
2	That's the 130 acres right there?
3	DR. VALENTINE: Right.
4	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Any discussion on
5	the motion?
6	(No response.)
7	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
8	say "aye."
9	(All board members present respond
10	"aye.")
11	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
12	(No response.)
13	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
14	DR. VALENTINE: One more.
15	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Again,
16	Dr. Valentine.
17	DR. VALENTINE: I would like to move for the
18	Weeks Bay Reserve-Meadows Phase III for
19	first appraisal. I understand that
20	that's necessary.
21	MS. POWELL: And I'm sorry. I had a little
22	trouble hearing you. But the Phase III
23	Addition?

1 DR. VALENTINE: III, yes. MS. POWELL: That one was the tract that we 3 had -- the board had previously moved for an appraisal. We had an acreage 4 change. We could discuss that now if 5 you would like to. 6 7 COMMISSIONER GUY: So what I understood earlier is that he can still act on that 8 9 when we discuss the acreage change; 10 correct? 11 MS. POWELL: Yes. 12 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. So let's just save 13 that. 14 MS. POWELL: Okay. Okay. 15 COMMISSIONER GUY: That's okay. Just remember 16 that. Anybody else? 17 Yes, sir. 18 MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I'd just like 19 to make a motion to move to first 20 appraisal on Freedom Hills WMA-Randolph 2.1 Hollow Addition. 2.2 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. We have a 23

1	motion.
2	MR. CAUTHEN: I'll second it.
3	COMMISSIONER GUY: Second. Mr. Cauthen.
4	Any discussion on the motion?
5	(No response.)
6	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
7	say "aye."
8	(All board members present respond
9	"aye.")
10	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
11	(No response.)
12	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
13	MR. SATTERFIELD: One more.
14	COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir.
15	MR. SATTERFIELD: Move for a first appraisal
16	for the Lauderdale WMA-Newman Addition.
17	DR. WOODS: Second.
18	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. We have a
19	motion and a second. 160 acres.
20	Any discussion on the motion?
21	(No response.)
22	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
23	say "aye."

(All board members present respond
"aye.")
COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
(No response.)
COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
Anyone else?
MR. BALL: Mr. Chairman, I have one more.
COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Ball.
MR. BALL: I'd like to ask for a first
appraisal on Red Hills-Ziebach Addition,
50 acres, Monroe County.
COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. We have a motion.
Do we have a second?
DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Second.
COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Tolley-Jordan, I
believe.
And any discussion on the motion?
(No response.)
COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
say "aye."
(All board members present respond
"aye.")
COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?

(No response.) 1 COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries. 3 Anyone else? (No response.) 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: So if no one else, I have a 5 question before I -- Chuck, could you 6 7 come up and let me ask you a couple of things? 8 9 I think this is the appropriate 10 time. So two things, the first one being I 11 believe either a board member or board 12 13 members asked you to look at the White Oak Plantation nomination --14 15 MR. SYKES: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GUY: -- last time. 16 MR. SYKES: Yes, sir. 17 COMMISSIONER GUY: And I believe you had 18 indicated to me that you had, and I 19 20 thought that this would be a good time -- I think it's an appropriate time 2.1 for you to report on that simply because 2.2 we are in nominations. And I feel like 23

it's time for them to hear what you found out.

2.1

2.2

MR. SYKES: Keith Gauldin, the chief of
Wildlife, and I rode down and met with
Mr. Speaks who's representing the
property. At this time we do not have
the staff or the funding to keep up the
facilities. In our opinion, our money
would be better spent somewhere else.
So at this point in time Wildlife and
Freshwater Fisheries would not agree to
accept the facilities at White Oak.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Can you give me an example?

It's pretty bad. I remember you telling me.

MR. SYKES: It's just in -- there is a lot of infrastructure there. It's a very nice former hunting lodge, and we would pretty much have to staff someone there 24/7 just for maintenance of the grounds and the facilities. And it's just not something that we feel is in the best interest of the department or the public

hunting right now to dedicate that much
staff and money just to do upkeep on the
facilities.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Does anybody have any
questions about that before I go on
to -- and I have another question for

Yes, Mr. Horn.

you.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

MR. HORN: So there's a lot of infrastructure as far as buildings and improvements that are there that would have to be maintained. Is that what you're saying?

MR. SYKES: Yes, sir. There's a formal dining hall. I think it will sleep 40 people.

So there is -- there's way more infrastructure there than we're prepared to step off into right now.

It would be a -- again, it would be one staff dedicated strictly to a thousand acres just for upkeep and maintenance, and we just don't think that's the best use of our funds.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Wasn't the electricity bill

like \$10,000 a month or something crazy?

MR. SYKES: Well, it was pretty high. I don't think it was quite that, but it was a couple of thousand dollars a month during the off season, and then it peaked up to five or \$6,000 a month when you're running everybody there. It's just not something that we feel like we need to do right now.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Cauthen.

MR. CAUTHEN: I can support what Chuck is saying. I've been there twice and hunted, and it's a wonderful place. But it has got -- it is decked out as far as infrastructure and hunting lodge. It's just -- it's just the kind of place you dream of.

MR. SYKES: Yes, sir.

MR. CAUTHEN: But it's not something that our budget will allow. So I concur with what Chuck says.

COMMISSIONER GUY: We need to see if the

Cauthen Trust can somehow find the money

2.1

2.2

for that. 1 MR. SYKES: Absolutely. 3 MR. HORN: The only thought I have, if the power bill is \$10,000 a month, I think I 4 serve that. I might even --5 6 COMMISSIONER GUY: I shouldn't have mentioned 7 that. All right. I just wanted everybody 8 9 to know that. I know there was a big 10 discussion. It was. Dr. Strickland made the 11 MR. SYKES: motion for first appraisal and asked us 12 13 to go look at it. COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. My second 14 15 question involves the gentleman that spoke earlier today about the Skyline 16 WMA. I think it was the Crow Creek 17 Valley parcel in Jackson County that 18 had --19 20 MR. SYKES: The ag property on it? COMMISSIONER GUY: Yeah. That had the ag 2.1 property connected to our WMA up there. 2.2

MR. SYKES: Yes, sir.

23

I have a -- I'm going to request you to address the issue about just ag property in general. I know that most people on the board, maybe some people in attendance, know that we've taken the position to try to stay away from ag property, but there have been issues -- or cases where we have been able to work that out with the folks that have interest in that or some of our partners that have a concern about that that we work with.

MR. SYKES: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER GUY: So, first of all -- and

I'll just tell you -- ask you to address

that and how we've -- what we do in that

regard.

And then, secondly, I was going to ask you -- I think it's okay to ask you, instead of acting on this today, that you might reach out to our partners in this -- if Wildlife and Freshwater

2.1

2.2

Fisheries has an interest in this, to those that have concerns about the ag properties.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

And the reason this property is of interest to me and I think would be of interest to Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries, if we got past any concerns -- and I want to do that first -- is that I've had a chance, luckily, to go to north Alabama and do some duck hunting up there. And it is incredible duck-hunting areas up there. But we are -- I think or I believe we are -- as far as WMAs, don't have quite as much as there is a demand. And if there was a way that -- if Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries thought this was the right property and we could work out any concerns of this big ag property, then I just want you to look into it and talk with our partners and people about that and report back to us.

MR. SYKES: Okay. The first question is as

2.2

far as ag properties go, Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries stepped out and bought a 2,000-acre tract two years ago in Lowndes County that bordered the Lowndes WMA that had 600 acres of ag land on it. Rick was very instrumental in the negotiations with all this working with farmers. That is still under ag contract. We are not in the business of taking ag out. Ag benefits the wildlife and it benefits the public hunting.

What we did with that 600 acres is we just put in field borders and contracted with the farmer to leave "X" number of acres of grain in the field for wildlife and to provide public hunting opportunities like dove hunting. We had some fantastic dove hunts out there last year.

Just in Jackson County alone where the Skyline property is -- we've got 26 farming contracts just in Jackson

County. All of the properties that we 1 manage up there that have ag fields on 3 it are still in ag production. And, again, we -- the way the rent has worked 4 out is with grain left in the field, and 5 6 then when the farmer is done with that, 7 we flood it for -- first utilize it for dove hunting in September. Then we 8 flood it late season and have waterfowl 9 10 impoundments out of it.

So to kind of carry on what the Commissioner said, that is an area up there that we can use all of the public waterfowl hunting opportunities that we could get, and with a piece of property that has almost 400 acres that we could flood with timber as well as ag field, I think that would be a good addition for us.

COMMISSIONER GUY: So would you just -- would you look into that --

MR. SYKES: Yes, sir.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

COMMISSIONER GUY: -- and make sure your staff

takes a good hard look so you can report 1 back and probably reach out to our 3 farm -- the folks that have interest in the farming land --4 5 MR. SYKES: Sure. Not a problem. COMMISSIONER GUY: -- like you've done before. 6 7 And I think that -- I think that would be -- it would be of interest to 8 9 me, I know, just from what I know about 10 the opportunities up there in north Alabama and how popular that's getting 11 12 to be. 13 MR. SYKES: I think it would be a good

MR. SYKES: I think it would be a good addition. We don't get opportunities of good duck land very often.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

COMMISSIONER GUY: Correct. And I see Patti about to jump up, so watch out.

MS. POWELL: And just for additional clarification -- and we'll communicate and work with Chuck -- to understand the existing leases that may be in place -- in addition to the fact there's some agusage, you have leases in place. I know

Chuck's division with the Wildlife and 1 Freshwater Fisheries funds in some of 3 those purchases has retained those private leases for that activity. 4 would be something else that this board 5 6 would have to consider, whether to 7 retain those leases. In the past we -well, and not because they're ag leases, 8 but just the fact that they are private 9 10 leases, we have not carried those forward on the Forever Wild acreage as 11 12 opposed to possibly Wildlife acreage. 13 We'll delineate all that and figure

that out, and we'll work with Chuck.

But that will be a second aspect of the question because it's Forever Wild funds as opposed to division funds.

So we'll work out all that. I just wanted to let everybody know that we will have all that separated and available for board discussion also.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Now Chuck is creeping up behind you.

22

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

MR. SYKES: Yeah. I creeped up behind Patti.

She doesn't need all the credit for creeping.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

All I was going to say -- and, again, I don't want to go too far out until October. But it could be something, in theory, that if the board chooses to move on it and we can partner where we have deed to the ag land rather than Forever Wild, then that would eliminate a bunch of -- when we do the splits like we've done at Autauga or Dallas, we could carve out the ag land where it would be strictly deeded to Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries. That would take the board out of it and we could ensure that we carried on those contracts with the farmers up there.

COMMISSIONER GUY: So I didn't mean to take up all the -- does anybody else have a question for Chuck about that?

MR. WRIGHT: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir.

MR. WRIGHT: I just want to be sure that I 1 heard right. 3 The farmers that you're contracting with, the fee they're paying is the 4 grain left in the field? 5 6 MR. SYKES: Yes, sir. Right now --7 MR. WRIGHT: You determine the acreage they leave? 8 9 MR. SYKES: That's right. It's basically 10 they're leaving -- in return for us allowing them to farm, they're leaving 11 approximately 25 to 30 percent of the 12 13 grain left in the field as their rent 14 payment. MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. 15 16 COMMISSIONER GUY: Anyone else? MR. CAUTHEN: Chuck? 17 MR. SYKES: Yes, sir. 18 MR. CAUTHEN: Have you seen a huge increase in 19 20 the game population around there? Absolutely. Just the Dutch Bend 2.1 MR. SYKES: Tract in Lowndes County that I was 2.2 telling you about -- just within one 23

year of putting in the field borders, 1 our quail are already coming back. We 3 had some of the best public land dove shoots that you could have asked for 4 5 last year on that place. We were 6 working with a quality farmer who worked 7 with us and took out some of the little nooks and crannies that was hard to 8 farm, planted some 20-acre dove fields 9 10 for us, left grain standing, and it's -it's been phenomenal. 11

MR. CAUTHEN: That's good. I just thought that it should be.

MR. SYKES: It's working really well. And,
also, in north Alabama with our
waterfowl areas, we're being able to
utilize them during dove season and then
flooding them and having quality public
waterfowl hunting, too. So our farming
contracts are working very well.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Rick.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

MR. OATES: I would like to say if this board has never done the leases, like Patti

said before, I would prefer to talk to
Chuck and then do what he's talking
about. I think that would be a better
way for us to go. Chuck knows my
opinion on that.

MR. SYKES: Sure.

- MR. OATES: And I think it would be better for the board not to get into the leases if we've never done that before unless it's just something we need to do necessarily.
- MR. SYKES: And since we already have that model where we're working together and deeding land separately as far as our grants and reporting go, that -- that shouldn't be an issue as long as our apportionment is where we can do that.
- COMMISSIONER GUY: Well, that will be up to you and what your folks think is a priority, so ...
- MR. SYKES: Y'all just need to convince people to keep buying guns and ammo and it won't be an issue. We can go 75/25 on

2.1

2.2

1	Pine Barren, and then we'll take the
2	rest and put it towards this one.
3	COMMISSIONER GUY: I'm buying as many as my
4	wife will let me buy.
5	MR. SYKES: Good.
6	All right. Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you.
8	So that was under still our general
9	discussion. Is there any more general
10	discussion? Anybody want to bring up
11	anything?
12	If not, we're going to move on to
13	miscellaneous reports, but I want to
14	give you one last time.
15	(No response.)
16	COMMISSIONER GUY: Hearing none, we will move
17	on to miscellaneous reports.
18	And, Patti, it says Doug. Are you
19	jumping up there?
20	MS. POWELL: No.
21	Well, I did step in front of Doug.
22	COMMISSIONER GUY: WBR
23	MS. POWELL: I'm going to let Doug let me

say just as an introduction a little explanation for the benefit of the board and the public.

All we're moving into now is a section that we just each meeting entitle "miscellaneous reports." We never exactly know what's going to pop up. Things in this section do often involve board motions.

But these are topics that staff is bringing forward to the board to identify that we may need board feedback on or we may need a board motion on or just something we want to report on.

But we separate it out from the general discussion to give the board time to get through whatever the board members know they want to bring up. Our reports may prompt additional questions or additional motions, and we will detail whether we need a motion or not.

Sometimes, actually, we may technically, procedurally not need a motion, but the

staff feels better getting further

direction from the board before

proceeding on something.

So I'm going to sit back down and

So I'm going to sit back down and let Doug come up. And I'll take a break and you don't have to listen to me.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Creep on back up later.

MS. POWELL: Well, you know I will, whether I'm invited or not.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Deaton.

MR. DEATON: All right. The first item you'll find in your packet under Tab 5-A. I'll give everybody a moment to turn there.

Under Tab 5-A, there's a memo there regarding the Weeks Bay Reserve-Meadows Phase III Addition. We've kind of discussed it throughout the meeting today. Yael brought that up. But I'm going to review that for you guys.

At the last meeting the board motioned for a first appraisal on the Weeks Bay Reserve-Meadows Phase III Tract. Since that time, two of the five

2.1

sellers have requested to be removed from the nomination. That's reducing the acreage -- the original nomination was 124 acres -- reducing it down to 79.7 acres, which if you look on your map, you'll see what the original was and what the current nomination is.

2.1

2.2

We re-evaluated the score with the reduced acreage, and it still has the same score. We feel like it still fits with the management down there. So considering the acreage reduction of approximately 44 acres, we're seeking approval from the board to proceed with a first appraisal. So at this time I would need a motion to that effect.

COMMISSIONER GUY: And the motion is actually -- a proposed motion is set out on Tab 5-A if anybody wants to make that motion.

MR. CAUTHEN: I'll do it. I make the motion just like it's written in this.

COMMISSIONER GUY: So the motion that

1	Mr. Cauthen is proposing is the board
2	requests that staff proceed with the
3	first appraisal of the WBR-Meadows
4	Phase III Additions nomination with the
5	reduction in acreage.
6	Do I have a second?
7	DR. VALENTINE: I'll second.
8	COMMISSIONER GUY: Second. Dr. Valentine.
9	Any discussion on the motion?
10	(No response.)
11	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
12	say "aye."
13	(All board members present respond
14	"aye.")
15	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
16	(No response.)
17	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
18	Thank you, Doug.
19	MR. DEATON: Yes, sir.
20	The next item is Tab 5-B, just one
21	tab over. What we're covering here is
22	management plans. We're mandated to put
23	a management plan within one year of

purchase we have to have a management plan adopted. So you have a memo in your packet that kind of outlines what we're requesting, and I'll go through

that for you real quick.

We have five tracts that we would need approval to be added to existing management plans, and then there's two management plans that would need board approval that you will find in your packet that we sent out to you.

The first two tracts are the Post
Oak Flat-Shiflett Addition and Skyline
WMA-Threwer Point Addition in Jackson
County. These tracts are adjacent to
the Walls of Jericho Complex and Skyline
WMA. And we're seeking approval to fold
these tracts into the Walls of Jericho
Complex management plan.

The next tracts are the Old Cahawba

Prairie Addition -- or the next tract

rather -- in Dallas County. It serves

in addition to what we currently have at

the Old Cahawba Prairie Tract. We're asking to fold this one into the Old Cahawba Prairie management plan.

The next ones are the Autauga County WMA Joffre Addition and the Phase II
Addition, which are in Autauga County.
We already have a management plan approved, and we're asking to have that one added to the Autauga County WMA plan.

And as I said in your packet, there are two new tracts that have to have management plans set up separately, and those are the Dallas County WMA management plan and, also, the Hinds Road Outcrop management plan.

So if the board agrees with our recommendation as outlined in this memo, we would need a motion for your approval, and there's a motion for your consideration.

COMMISSIONER GUY: There's a motion on Tab 5-B.

2.1

2.2

1	Are there any questions before we
2	consider a motion that you may have of
3	Doug about the management plans?
4	(No response.)
5	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. Do I have a
6	motion?
7	MR. HORN: I would move that we accept the
8	management plan as proposed on the five
9	properties.
10	COMMISSIONER GUY: Do I need to read that,
11	Ms. Powell?
12	MS. POWELL: Yes, sir.
13	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. So the motion
14	that is proposed by Mr. Horn is the
15	board
16	MR. CAUTHEN: I second it.
17	COMMISSIONER GUY: Hold on just a second.
18	Hold on.
19	The board approves the State Lands
20	Division proceeding with the inclusion
21	of the tracts as set forth in this
22	memorandum dated April 21, 2017, to
23	existing management plans and to adopt
	1

1	the two new tract management plans
2	attached to this memorandum.
3	So we have a motion and we have a
4	second.
5	Any discussion on the motion?
6	(Brief interruption by the court
7	reporter.)
8	COMMISSIONER GUY: Any discussion?
9	(No response.)
10	COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. All in favor of
11	the motion say "aye."
12	(All board members present respond
13	"aye.")
14	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
15	(No response.)
16	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
17	Ms. Powell.
18	MS. POWELL: Okay. I wanted to move to
19	another miscellaneous report topic that
20	relates to item 5-C. And it's Tab 5,
21	5-C.
22	We come to the board each fiscal
23	year and make a request of the board
	1

2.1

2.2

that does require a board motion to authorize the request or whatever other amount the board wishes to seek related to administrative support. This is the funding that is transferred from the program to the State Lands Division that relates to offsetting the State Lands Division expenses related to staff that contribute time to the program as well as certain other operational expenses that are administrative in nature tied to the staff doing what we do in support of the program.

The request this year is to authorize \$600,000. This is level funding from the amount of the administrative support that was provided in the previous fiscal year.

We are continuing -- I mentioned this to the board previously -- work through an attempt with the state's new accounting system to begin producing a more detailed report that itemizes the

expenses in a little more detail. But what we have attached, to provide some context, is for the last fiscal year the expenses -- the staff-related expenses, operational, administrative expenses that were incurred.

Some of that was offset by grant funding that we received. As an example, we have detailed that various funding that we could use to offset:

Coastal Impact Assistance Program, or

CIAP program, down on the coast to help some. We receive a little bit of support from a trust that was established by Mr. Robert Wehle that helps with the Wehle tract. You will see that detailed on the second page.

It still does not -- even with the \$600,000, State Lands does still absorb some of those expenses.

But I wanted to present that -- again, 5-C -- if you have any questions on that detail. But we would need

approval from the board to make the funding -- authorize the transfer of administrative funds to the State Lands Division.

So I first wanted to take any questions related to the funding request for the \$600,000 -- again, the same as last year -- but I want to be sure and answer any questions that the board may have on that. And then I'll have a second request for different funding in a second, but this is just related to the administrative funding.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Ball.

2.1

2.2

MR. BALL: Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman, I would like to move that the board approves the State Lands Division staff to proceed with the requested administrative transfer in the amount of \$600,000 for FY17 administrative support provided by the State Lands Division.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion. Do I have a second?

MR. HORN: Second. 1 (Multiple speakers.) 3 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. So who --MR. HORN: I did. 4 5 COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. Mr. Horn. 6 MR. CAUTHEN: I want to ask a question. 7 COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir. Discussion, Mr. Cauthen. 8 9 MR. CAUTHEN: Isn't that property of 10 Wehle's -- isn't it very similar to the White Oak facility? 11 12 MS. POWELL: It did have structures on it, but 13 that -- that acreage is actually a joint effort between the Forever Wild program 14 and my State Lands Division. 15 The structures -- that was one of 16 the initial -- actually the donation by 17 Mr. Wehle -- but initial acquisitions of 18 the program. We had to -- our division 19 20 had to -- that was prior to Jim Griggs, who was my predecessor -- had to take 2.1 title to and ownership and 2.2

23

responsibility for certain portions of

the acreage because of those structures. And so it is really only by the joint efforts of our division and the Forever Wild program that that gets to operate both as a nature center and the other acreage there.

But, yes, in any -- any acquisition that has structures on it does require somewhat of an additional analysis by staff as to whether -- on how that can proceed.

But you're correct except that they are not as extensive as the structures on White Oak without the type of -- level of upkeep. And we did have some funding for upkeep of those structures, and we do get support from the trust. So it's --

MR. CAUTHEN: I guess if those people -- I

guess if those owners want to donate it,

then we can take another look at it.

MS. POWELL: We can take a look at whatever, but it will still require an analysis of

2.2

whether the structures are amenable under the provisions of our program or whether they would have to be postage -- cut out like a postage stamp, in which case a division will have to take responsibility for that and adds to the burden to that division.

So that is why the interaction -Chuck looking at it and trying to make
some of those determinations, without
getting into all of the weeds, is so
very vital. It's not just a question of
impact to this program and the
stewardship burden it presents, which
I'm about to get to, but it also often
translates into a burden -- direct
burden to a division. And so that's why
we have to work together on those. But
that's a very good question and a very
good point.

MR. CAUTHEN: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GUY: So I have a motion and a second.

Any discussion? Any further 1 discussion? 3 (No response.) COMMISSIONER GUY: If not, all in favor of the 4 motion say "aye." 5 6 (All board members present respond 7 "aye.") 8 COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed? 9 (No response.) COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries. 10 Ms. Powell. 11 MS. POWELL: I'd then like to move to 12 discussion of another item in Tab 5-D. 13 You will also have in your green folder 14 another additional -- not a replacement 15 item, but an additional item that is 16 tabbed as 5-D. And I will give y'all a 17 second to find those. 18 This is also a request related to 19 20 funding and authorization for expenditure of program funds. However, 2.1 this specifically relates to our 2.2 stewardship fund account. Again, that 23

is the account that -- the 15 percent related to each acquisition rolls into that account.

As I described earlier today, we try very hard to only expend the interest that is thrown off of that fund for the stewardship, the physical caring for the land, the actual land management.

This -- expenditure of any funds from the corpus of the stewardship account as opposed to those interest earnings that I described requires a -- a special vote of this board. It requires an affirmative vote of nine members of this board. So I'm going to describe that, but I'll go ahead and highlight that.

Commissioner, if we get to the point of a motion, we'll do that one by roll call to demonstrate the numbers on that. So that will be a little different. But let me explain what we do each year.

Each year my State Lands Division staff organizes a meeting with the other

_

1.3

divisions to jointly look toward the anticipated -- to the extent we know -- stewardship needs, again, land management costs for the tracts, the acreage that we own. We actually do that -- I'm sorry.

Let me also take a moment and say what I'm describing here, this activity, is separate from the state budgetary process that you hear me speak about sometimes. This is a procedure under the actual Forever Wild constitutional amendment.

So, anyway, we look at that each year, get the staff together. We look forward to an upcoming fiscal year. So right now we are trying to project needs for the next fiscal year. The reason that we do that in advance is that pursuant to the constitutional amendment this program was formed under, if we are concerned that the interest earnings for a given year are not going to be

sufficient to care for the land, we have to make that determination, alert the Commissioner as chair, and discuss with him bringing to this board potentially a request for expenditure of corpus funds. The Commissioner would make a determination of insufficiency as to interest earnings and alert the board, if he does suggest so, expending dollars from corpus of the funds.

We first did this -- had to do this last prior fiscal year for the current fiscal year that we're in. Again, due to lower interest rates that have existed now for several years, the cushion in interest earnings that we had built up -- again, we had always tried to be conservative in our spending. We never spent all the interest earnings that we had available, and they carried over year to year. But that cushion has eroded to where we are again now -- well, not again -- beginning this year

in a position of having to look closely at projected interest earnings. Again, they're projected because we have to look into the next fiscal year.

So the memo that you got in your packet that is 5-D was a memo from staff alerting the board to this possibility, that we were crunching our numbers again and would need to get with Commissioner. We have done that. The Tab 5-D in your green folder is the Commissioner's formal determination of an insufficiency. I want to walk you through that a little bit.

In meeting with staff in projecting needs, we divided those needs into two categories. Routine land management needs across our tracts -- they vary by tract, but they are expected activities; road repairs, road maintenance, boundary-line maintenance, again, when we can and do have money, enhancement of recreational aspects or caring for

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 2.1

2.2

23

If you have a trail -- a those. horseback-riding trail and you've got a degraded bridge, obviously, you've got to go in and take care of that. We look at invasive species control, spraying, burning, prescribed burning, various things.

We also will have, that varies greatly each year, tract-specific needs. And, again, they can vary, but one common one we have to look at is reforestation needs. We purchase certain tracts with timber reservations. As that acreage is released, it presents a need that we evaluate for reforestation, and we have to bear those expenses.

This year -- and, also, we would sit down with our accounting section and try to work to determine what we project as to interest earnings. Obviously, we're projecting way in advance. We are projecting this year -- although there's

some signs it may be greater because the rates are increasing -- about \$400,000 worth of interest earnings.

So that compared to -- from our staff meeting, the needs that we outlined, we have projected about \$630,000 needed for more of those routine tracts. Again, we're managing over 250,000 acres, and we're looking at routine needs there.

And then this year the tract-specific costs seem to be mostly related to expected reforestation costs due to release of timber reserves. We estimate that specific tract amount to be \$350,000. We're also -- and we'll talk more about this in a minute -- but are recommending an additional \$100,000 as sort of an estimate that we'd like to have in reserve in case we need to take action related to the pine beetle threat. Again, there will not be much income coming off of that to support

anything else.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

So all that taken together, we estimate about a \$1,080,000 need for the upcoming fiscal year. That obviously exceeds the \$400,000 we're projecting for interest earnings.

One other factor I'm going to throw in -- back, again, talking state process. This is all okay from a spending authority. We have 1.5 million in spending authority. That's not a concern. But there is -- if we're going to spend any additional funds other than interest earnings, we need to make a decision and have a motion for that at this meeting or our next meeting. But I wanted to address it today because of the requirement of nine affirmative votes. You certainly want to have enough members to be able to accomplish So the next meeting cuts it a that. little close.

Let me stop there for a second and

see if there are any questions either as 1 to the underlying expenditures or the 3 calculations that I've run through. COMMISSIONER GUY: Anybody have any questions? 4 MS. POWELL: Yes, sir, Mr. Satterfield. 5 COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Satterfield. 6 7 Tell me again. How much are MR. SATTERFIELD: you estimating that this cost will be 8 9 involved in reforestation projects this 10 year? MS. POWELL: Reforestation -- it will be not 11 this but the upcoming fiscal year. 12 13 We have \$350,000 -- if you will look 14 in the packet memo, your 5-D -- not your green folder 5-D, but the 5-D that came 15 16 in your packet. COMMISSIONER GUY: The original packet. 17 MS. POWELL: We have -- you'll see a sheet 18 that has some blue highlighting and sort 19 20 of tan highlighting. We have run 2.1 through --COMMISSIONER GUY: It's the last page of your 2.2 original packet. Here you go, Bill. 23

You got it? 1 MR. SATTERFIELD: Got it. 3 MS. POWELL: Now, what that sheet reflects is --4 MR. SATTERFIELD: 350,000; is that correct? 5 6 MS. POWELL: That's correct. The total 7 funding, the smaller blue line you see coming across, is 350,000. 8 Sometimes we'll have activities 9 10 on -- forest activities on our Forever Wild managed acres, but this year really 11 the reforestation cost is what we're 12 1.3 looking at for the next fiscal year. And so that is from timber reservations 14 15 being released. You'll see sort of a 16 cost-per-acre table at the very bottom. Table 1 also explains that. But that's 17 where the -- how that was calculated. 18 COMMISSIONER GUY: And so I think you said --19 20 you obviously say you're going to cut it close. You're asking for a little 2.1 2.2 more --

MS. POWELL: Well, what we would present to

you as our best guesstimate at this point of needed expenditures would be \$1,080,000. However, what the board did last year -- due to the restrictive nature, we can't add to it later -- the board made a motion last year to allow for spending authority up to the budgetary limit of \$1.5 million.

Now, obviously, we report to the board each meeting what we've spent and what we think our needs are. If we have unexpected needs, we bring it forward. But the motion last time to provide the greatest possible flexibility was simply to say that we were to expend interest earnings first before we went to the corpus, but if we needed, you went ahead and gave authority up to \$1.5 million being the budgetary limit. That is —that is an option for this year.

Our greatest unknown right now probably would be -- although we have put 100,000 in that 1,080,000 number

related to the pine beetle -- I mean,
that is -- we really don't know how to
estimate that.

2.1

2.2

So what we have -- what

Commissioner's determination of

insufficiency, your green folder 5-D,

notes is both his determination and his

suggestion to follow the path that you

took last year of doing the -- a

flexible motion up to the budgetary

spending authority of 1.5 million.

Staff will continue to report

expenditures.

But that is simply the recommendation from Commissioner's memo. That amount can be set at what -- can be part of a motion at whatever figure. But anything over \$400,000 is going to require an affirmative nine-member vote, so whatever would happen.

Yes, sir, Mr. Satterfield.

MR. SATTERFIELD: So to clarify, 350,000

restoration funds is for -- is to

restore --

2.1

2.2

- MS. POWELL: Oh, I'm sorry. I had a door close. What did you say? I'm sorry.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: The \$350,000 is to do the timber restoration projects on those lands where people initially sold us -- sold Forever Wild the land but reserved the timber on it and Forever Wild was therefore able to purchase that land at a lesser cost.
- MS. POWELL: That's --
- MR. SATTERFIELD: And now those folks are coming back and timbering the property and we -- and Forever Wild has responsibility of reforestation; is that correct?
- MS. POWELL: That is correct.
 - MR. SATTERFIELD: On the lands that we bought outright where DCNR or the state timbers the land, who pays for the reforestation on that?
 - MS. POWELL: Then the program would pay for reforestation, but generally the -- the

management activities on our acreage is 1 addressed more for either habitat --3 taking it back to a certain habitat, for example, trying to go back to longleaf, 4 or working in conjunction, for example, 5 6 with Chuck's folks about optimal WMA 7 management and activities there. But revenue that would be derived from those 8 9 activities -- the harvesting activities 10 actually goes to the general fund. 11 MR. SATTERFIELD: Right. So they don't come

- MR. SATTERFIELD: Right. So they don't come back.
- MS. POWELL: That's correct.

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- MR. SATTERFIELD: That was my clarification.

 I wanted to clarify that. Those monies don't come back to Forever Wild. They go into the general fund. So we don't have those available to do the reforestation costs.
- MS. POWELL: That's correct.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: In a follow-up, looking forward, do you have a projection on when these costs will continue and then

a quesstimate on what years the new 1 reforestation costs will come in in the 3 future so we'll know how to anticipate those in the next five to ten-year 4 period when these reserved forestlands 5 6 are likely to be timbered so we'll know 7 when those costs will be imposed on Forever Wild? 8 9 MS. POWELL: And I'll look to Doug for some 10 help.

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- But I know for at least -- is it three more? How many more fiscal years?
- MR. DEATON: Galen knows the deadlines on most of our timber reservations.
- Okay. But I think the question MS. POWELL: he's asking is how -- to the extent that those we think will impact the stewardship needs and expenditures --
- MR. SATTERFIELD: In looking toward the future, do you have an idea -- you know, you timber land -- well, as I understand I'm not a timber guy. But you timber land certain times during the maturation of that timber, and you have

certain tracts that timber has been 1 reserved on by the owners who are going 3 to timber that land. Do you have a projection forward, say, next year and 4 the year after and out, say, for the 5 6 next decade when it's likely that 7 that -- that those tracts will be timbered so we'll know -- have some idea 8 when those reforestation costs will be 9 10 hitting our budget? MS. POWELL: And we do, but we also -- some of 11 the releases of reservations we're 12 13 not -- we can't pinpoint to an exact year, but --14 15 MR. DEATON: The range is --16 MR. SATTERFIELD: I mean, you understand what I'm asking about as far as --17 MR. OATES: Yeah. 18 MR. SATTERFIELD: Did I say that right? 19 20 You're the forester. MR. OATES: He's a forester, too. 2.1 2.2 I believe you did, yes. 23 MS. POWELL: And, again, it is two types of

needs. We have one need that is not as in our control, which is the release of the timber reservations, the exact timing of those.

What is more in our control but is not a revenue generator -- if that's a word -- is the timber that the program owns. And we are in greater control of that. However, we obviously have needs -- the pine beetle may be one -- where you have to properly manage the timber that you have and coming back there -- when we first acquire a tract and the desire to take it back to a longleaf or a desire to enhance the coverage on a WMA.

So there are two types of need, one more in our control, one not as in our control. But, yes, we do look at that. Galen helps -- our forester helps us with that. So I'm going to turn it to Doug or Galen who might be able to provide a little more information.

- MR. DEATON: The reservations that we

 currently have we estimate we have a

 range of three to five years and they'll

 be extinguished. So we anticipate -
 depending on when the owner of the

 timber decides to harvest, it's within

 that three- to five-year range.
 - MR. SATTERFIELD: Are those reservations

 typically a one-shot deal, they're going

 to harvest once, or do they have the

 ability to replant and harvest again?
 - MR. DEATON: Once they harvest, they release the property to us.
 - MR. SATTERFIELD: That's it.

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- 15 COMMISSIONER GUY: They're typically a one-shot deal.
 - MS. POWELL: It's one shot, but it may be in phases that the acreage comes -- that the release occurs.
 - COMMISSIONER GUY: Yeah. They don't have to take it all at one time.
 - MR. SATTERFIELD: I understand they wouldn't have to necessarily take it all in the

same year.

1.3

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir. But it is a one-shot deal.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Yeah. Okay.

Well, the reason I was asking these questions, I was concerned about whether we were heading down a long slippery slope here since this was now occurring two years in a row and possibly again next year, a third year in a row. And if we start down that slope, then we're taking money out of the corpus of the stewardship funds which then becomes a self-fulfilling prophecy with less money to make -- return interest. And I just wondered where we're heading with that. That's why I asked the question.

MS. POWELL: Yes, sir. And we had had a little bit -- I'm glad you brought it back up for the newer members.

We had some discussion of that.

Gosh, that would been, though, a year ago. And you are correct. It is

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

something that we view -- we watch differently now.

To give some history, earlier in the program and at different times -- and especially -- anyway, at different times it was seen as favorable to reduce the purchase price back when we were receiving interest earnings on the stewardship fund that, frankly, we did not need in that year and were not spending, and those interest earnings were accumulating. There was a sense that the better balance and use of the funds -- as we were continuing to get interest we were watching our expenditures -- was to utilize the break on the acquisition end because we had --I hate to say it -- but so much extra in the interest earnings on the stewardship fund.

What we have seen over the years due to the drop in the economy is we're not seeing the interest earnings at such a

rate. Each acquisition now is very closely looked at by Galen to anticipate needs on reforestation costs as we are trying to work us out of needing to rely on the back end.

Now, it's always going to be something you have to consider. There are certain purchases that an owner will not make available without reserving timber. But there were some purchases that could have gone either way in the past that they were allowed, and we're seeing -- we're in a different world now.

So, yes, that is a different focus, a different way of handling it, but it was actually, you know, at one point a good use of the balance of the funds available to the program to enhance acquisition but still taking care of all your stewardship needs. It's just a long period of economic downturn shifted that.

COMMISSIONER GUY: And so, Bill, let me just 1 add, too, since I've been on the board, 3 I think what we've looked at, aside from those things that she just mentioned, 4 too, though, is there might be a tract 5 6 that is so, you know, valuable to the 7 program or could be very valuable to the program that we may accept it with a 8 9 timber reservation just because, you 10 know, even with that, it's a purchase 11 that we didn't want to pass up. 12 those have been, I think, less numerous 13 than they were earlier. But we have 14 done that, but we've looked at it on a case-by-case basis and looked at it very 15 16 closely, I think. MS. POWELL: And as history in the past, even 17

MS. POWELL: And as history in the past, even in the years where there were excess earnings, we worked very hard to try to keep the need for stewardship expenditures at about the million-dollar range. That has always been the target even when we had far more available.

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

But that was just a policy, you know, 1 that we tried to stay within as history. 3 COMMISSIONER GUY: Are there any other questions? 4 Yes, sir. 5 MR. RUNYAN: Patti, on the things that we were 6 7 looking at on the last part of our tab, it's got the reservation expiration for 8 2021, 1400 acres. Is that -- so we 9 10 don't have anything expiring this year, or is that just --11 12 MS. POWELL: Hold on. Let me get Galen. 13 Just repeat -- I'm sorry. 14 COMMISSIONER GUY: It's on this page right 15 here. 16 MS. POWELL: Right. Okay. Under the reservation expiration year up at the 17 top. And so I want you to repeat your 18 question and let me be sure I'm 19 20 answering it correctly. MR. RUNYAN: Is the 1400 acres expiring in 2.1 2018, or is it expiring in 2021? 2.2 MR. GRIDER: The Freedom Hills reservation 23

expires in 2021.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- MR. RUNYAN: And so the funding that we're talking about approving, is that for the 2021?
- MR. GRIDER: I'm sorry. That's -- the reservation expires then, but that -- that acreage will be what we will be reforesting in 2018.
 - MS. POWELL: It's a phased release; is that correct?
- 11 | MR. GRIDER: Yeah. That's --
- MR. WRIGHT: They already cut it and released it.
 - MR. GRIDER: Right. That's already -- that's what we know we have available to reforest next year, and then there will be a smaller acreage after that. I think the final -- the final cutting they've got scheduled is actually 2019 even though the reservation doesn't end till 2021.
- 22 | MR. WRIGHT: What is the FHRL?
- MR. GRIDER: It's Freedom Hills-Riverton,

Lauderdale. It's the constellation of 1 management areas up in the Shoals area, 3 Lauderdale and Colbert Counties. MR. BALL: Mr. Chairman? 4 COMMISSIONER GUY: Yes, sir, Mr. Ball. 5 MR. BALL: Would it be possible to take a 6 7 five-minute break and revisit this? Because we're losing some of our --8 MS. POWELL: I think for -- not a break to 9 10 discuss it because there's no executive session related to this, but if we need 11 a restroom break with no discussion, 12 1.3 obviously -- is that what you're suggesting? 14 COMMISSIONER GUY: Short emergency break. 15 MS. POWELL: A short emergency, a new 16 category, yes. It's up to you. 17 18 COMMISSIONER GUY: We can do that and maybe --So we could take --19 okay. 20 MS. POWELL: Would you like to --COMMISSIONER GUY: I know you'll probably take 2.1 up a motion on this. Are there more 2.2 questions? 23

Because we have lost two, and we do 1 need nine votes, so --3 MS. POWELL: And, Commissioner, we could just -- instead of formally breaking, 4 just pause, and those who need to take 5 6 action can do so, however you would like 7 to handle that. COMMISSIONER GUY: So the wording is "pause," 8 9 then. Okay. We will take a five-minute 10 pause. If y'all would return right 11 12 away, we'll get back to this and take a 13 vote. 14 (A pause in proceedings was taken.) 15 COMMISSIONER GUY: All right. So we're back from our --16 MS. POWELL: Unpaused. 17 COMMISSIONER GUY: We're unpaused. Thank you, 18 Ms. Powell. 19 20 So were there any more questions of Ms. Powell about this particular item? 2.1 (No response.) 2.2 COMMISSIONER GUY: If not --23

MS. POWELL: And, Commissioner, again, 1 let's --3 COMMISSIONER GUY: -- then we need a motion. MS. POWELL: Yeah. Let's review two things. 4 We'll need a motion. There is a 5 6 suggested motion that was within your 7 green folder 5-D, which is Commissioner's insufficiency 8 determination. But in addition to the 9 usual motion, second, any discussion, 10 don't forget we need a roll call. 11 COMMISSIONER GUY: We're going to do a roll 12 1.3 call. I got you. MR. HORN: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion that 14 the board authorize the Alabama 15 16 Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, after exhausting available 17 interest earnings from the stewardship 18 account, to expend funds from the corpus 19 20 of the stewardship account up to an amount that, when added to the available 2.1 interest earnings, does not exceed 2.2 \$1.5 million.

1	COMMISSIONER GUY: Thank you, Mr. Horn.
2	Do we have a second?
3	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Second.
4	COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Tolley-Jordan I heard
5	first, so I'll give her the second on
6	that. Thank you.
7	Any discussion on the further
8	discussion on the motion?
9	(No response.)
10	COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. At this time I need
11	to do a roll call for your position on
12	the vote and, if you would, indicate by
13	"aye" or "nay" if you are against the
14	motion.
15	Mr. Oates?
16	MR. OATES: Aye.
17	COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Valentine?
18	DR. VALENTINE: Aye.
19	COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Tolley-Jordan?
20	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Aye.
21	COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Hepp?
22	DR. HEPP: Aye.
23	COMMISSIONER GUY: Dr. Woods?

```
DR. WOODS: Aye.
1
        COMMISSIONER GUY:
                           Mr. Ball?
3
       MR. BALL:
                   Aye.
        COMMISSIONER GUY:
4
                           Mr. Runyan?
5
       MR. RUNYAN: Aye.
        COMMISSIONER GUY:
6
                           Mr. Wright?
7
       MR. WRIGHT:
                     Aye.
                           Mr. Satterfield?
8
        COMMISSIONER GUY:
9
       MR. SATTERFIELD:
                          Aye.
10
        COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Horn?
11
       MR. HORN:
                   Aye.
        COMMISSIONER GUY: Mr. Cauthen left. And so
12
13
              myself, aye. And that will be ten
              votes, I believe.
14
15
        MS. POWELL: 11 -- ten or 11.
                           Ten or 11. So all are
16
        COMMISSIONER GUY:
              unanimous, more than nine.
17
18
                   All right. Motion passes.
       MS. POWELL: Okay. Thank you. I'm sorry for
19
20
              that detail, but we needed to do that.
                   The final item that we wanted to
2.1
              reference dates back to the beetle
2.2
              discussion.
23
```

You have in your green folder

Tab 5-F, a memo -- Galen Grider, again,
our forester, has been serving as a
liaison on behalf of the department to
the Forestry Commission related to their
work -- which, again, I want to commend
them for their getting in front of the
issue, identifying it and really trying
to fight it -- but on the Forestry
Commission's efforts related both to the
Ips engraver beetle and the Southern
pine beetle.

Mostly I wanted to make the board aware that we are actively monitoring the threat. We are initially trying to work off of some flights that the Forestry Commission is conducting and an application — online application that they have where we can access information from those flights and map those and try to tie any concerns identified back to the Forever Wild acreage.

2.1

Galen is also coordinating with Chuck Sykes' staff and Greg Lein's staff, Wildlife and State Parks, to try to be sure that we are efficiently coordinating those activities and that we're staying on top of not just our own acreage in State Lands but also the department and also for the program.

Since we're running a little long, I would -- I guess I want to see if there are any questions. I know,

Commissioner, you had some comments earlier. I didn't know -- I don't want to belabor our discussion too much other than I would ask you to look at the material in your green holder, your 5-F, and just know that we are watching it and we are going to work with Forestry Commission.

So if there's anything you want to add ...

MR. OATES: I'll just say we've put a lot of effort into monitoring, and we've got

flights going on of the forestland in the state. And we're doing as best we can with limited funds to keep track of what's going on. When we find something from the air, we send up folks to ground-truth it and see if there's actually something there and determine what kind of beetle it is and then send the landowner a letter. I know you -- have y'all gotten any from us, Patti?

- MR. GRIDER: We're using the electronic system.
- MR. OATES: Okay. We do send out letters to the landowners, and we've gotten some from some other state agencies that called us and said what's this letter.

So we're -- we're monitoring it and watching it. We expect it to be a big problem later on this fall -- or summer and fall.

MS. POWELL: And, Commissioner, there have been letters trying to -- of support trying to obtain some additional funding

to help with the effort by the Forestry

Commission. So we're -- again, we will

keep everyone informed. It hopefully

will not need to be an ongoing topic,

but I wanted to alert everyone because

it might be an ongoing topic for a

while.

Okay. So that's it.

COMMISSIONER GUY: Okay. And then the last thing -- well, Greg had to leave. So I can just mention it if you want me to, or do you --

MR. POWE: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER GUY: -- want to mention it?

So you'll see in your package -Greg Lein had to leave. The Parks
director had to leave. But Forrest
Bailey, as many of you know, has been
with the department for over 33 years -excuse me -- a total of 38 in the
conservation world. And Forrest did
send a memo to all of the board members
expressing his thanks for the

2.1

coordinated effort of the board with 1 what he did. And so if you would just 3 take a minute to look at that. Forrest is a very valuable member of 4 our Parks team and has worked with the 5 6 department for a long, long time, and he 7 will be -- he will be sorely missed. So I think he just wanted to -- Greg 8 9 just wanted to make you aware of that 10 and sorry he wasn't here. So anything else, Ms. Powell, before 11 I move on to approval of the minutes? 12 1.3 MS. POWELL: I'm good. COMMISSIONER GUY: You're good. All right. 14 15 Very good. 16 So the next item on the agenda is approval of minutes of February 9, 2017. 17 That's Tab 6. 18 Does anybody have any additions, 19 corrections, or modifications to those 20 minutes before I ask for a motion? 2.1 (No response.) 2.2 COMMISSIONER GUY: Hearing none, can I have a 23

1	motion to approve the minutes of
2	February 9, 2017?
3	MR. HORN: I make a motion that we approve
4	the minutes of February 9th, 2017, as
5	presented.
6	COMMISSIONER GUY: Have a motion. Do I have a
7	second?
8	MR. SATTERFIELD: Second.
9	COMMISSIONER GUY: Second by Mr. Satterfield.
10	Any discussion?
11	(No response.)
12	COMMISSIONER GUY: All in favor of the motion
13	say "aye."
14	(All board members present respond
15	"aye.")
16	COMMISSIONER GUY: All opposed?
17	(No response.)
18	COMMISSIONER GUY: Motion carries.
19	The next item is tentative dates
20	it says tentative dates. Actually, I
21	think the date is set for August 10,
22	2017. That will be our next meeting. I
23	cannot remember as we sit here whether

2.2

we promised somebody else a place. So if anybody has a suggestion or wants to suggest a place we meet, then please call Patti or Doug or Jo or any of our staff -- or me for that matter -- or send us an e-mail, and we will certainly try to see if your suggested location would work and try to make that happen for August.

And then the last thing I'm going to say real quick is, number one -sometimes I don't say this. But I just want to thank the board for the way, you know, each one of you conducts yourself in such a professional manner every time, how we carry out the business of this program in a way that nobody can really question. And I just want to thank y'all for the way you go about that each and every time.

And then, lastly, behind the scenes,

I know y'all know our staff does an

incredible job pulling all this together

every board meeting. And I just want to 1 thank them again, I think, on behalf of 3 all the board members for the way you get our packages together and keep us 4 informed. So thank y'all for that. 5 6 And if there's no other comments, I 7 want to say meeting adjourned. 8 9 (Meeting adjourned at approximately 10 1:36 p.m.) 11 12 13 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 14 15 STATE OF ALABAMA: 16 MONTGOMERY COUNTY: 17 18 I, Tracye Sadler Blackwell, Certified 19 Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State of 20 Alabama at Large, do hereby certify that I reported 21 the foregoing proceedings of the Forever Wild Board 2.2 Meeting on May 11, 2017. 23

The foregoing 183 computer-printed pages

contain a true and correct transcript of the proceedings held. I further certify that I am neither of kin nor of counsel to the parties to said cause nor in any manner interested in the results thereof. This 29th day of June 2017. Tracye Sadler Blackwell ACCR No. 294 Expiration date: 9-30-2017 Certified Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State of Alabama at Large 2.2