MINUTES OF THE
FOREVER WILD BOARD MEETING
Bryant Conference Center
Tuscaloosa, Alabama
August 10, 2017
* * * * * * * * * * *
Proceedings taken before Tracye
Sadler Blackwell, Certified Court Reporter, ACCR
No. 294, and Commissioner for the State of Alabama
at Large, at the Bryant Conference Center, 240 Paul
W. Bryant Drive, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, on Thursday,
August 10, 2017, commencing at approximately
10:01 a.m.
* * * * * * * * * * *

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: 1 Commissioner Christopher M. Blankenship, Chairman Mr. Rick Oates Dr. Gary Hepp 3 Mr. William H. Satterfield Mr. Russell Runyan Mr. Horace H. Horn, Jr. Dr. Michael Woods Mr. David Wright 6 Dr. John Valentine Mr. H. E. "Sonny" Cauthen 7 Dr. Patricia G. Sims 8 9 10 11 12 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Good morning. 13 Chris Blankenship, the Commissioner of 14 Conservation for the State of Alabama. 15 And I want to welcome you to the meeting 16 for the Forever Wild Board here in 17 Tuscaloosa. 18 I think we have most everybody here 19 at the table. Let me call the roll and 2.0 make sure we have a quorum. 21 Chris Blankenship. I'm here. 2.2 Rick Oates? 23 MR. OATES: Here.

1	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. John Valentine?
2	DR. VALENTINE: Here.
3	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Gary Hepp?
4	DR. HEPP: Here.
5	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Michael Woods?
6	DR. WOODS: Here.
7	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Patricia Sims?
8	(No response.)
9	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Charles Ball?
10	(No response.)
11	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Sonny Cauthen?
12	MR. CAUTHEN: Here.
13	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: David Wright?
14	MR. WRIGHT: Here.
15	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: William
16	Satterfield?
17	MR. SATTERFIELD: Here.
18	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Horace Horn?
19	MR. HORN: Yes, sir. Here.
20	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Russ Runyan?
21	MR. RUNYAN: Here.
22	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Butch Ellis?
23	(No response.)

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Strickland?

(No response.)

1.3

2.2

3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: And

Dr. Tolley-Jordan?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. It

looks like we do have -- we require nine

members to have a quorum, and it appears

we do have a quorum this morning. So

appreciate that and glad to see you-all

here.

I would like to start the meeting by thanking Dr. Valentine for helping us secure the meeting facility here and particularly thank Dr. Bennett Bearden, the Director of the Water Policy and Law Institute, and then Dr. Craig Edelbrock, the Dean of the College of Continuing Studies, for allowing us to meet here at the Bryant Center and providing the nice refreshments out there. It's a good place for us to meet. I think it has been a while, according to Ms. Powell,

that we've had a meeting in west 1 Alabama. So it's good to have the meeting here so everybody has an 3 opportunity to participate. 4 Are there any other opening remarks 5 6 or comments from any of the board members? 7 MR. HORN: I think it would be appropriate, 8 9 Mr. Chairman, to welcome you as the new Commissioner of Conservation. 10 11 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you. MR. HORN: So I'd like to do that. And thank 12 1.3 you for your service and look forward to 14 working with you in your new capacity. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 15 Thank you very 16 much. This is my first Forever Wild Board 17 meeting. I hope that y'all will be 18 19 gentle with me today and help me along a little bit as we go, and I will do my 20 best to keep us moving. 21 We have a pretty full agenda. 2.2

are about to get to the part where we

have public comment. We don't really have a time limit on the public comment, but we do ask that you try and keep it around three minutes or less. And then when you come up, if you will, be sure if you're going to speak on a particular nomination that you make it clear which nomination that you're speaking for so that the board can follow that and make sure that they fully understand your comments and make sure that it goes to the right nomination.

So what I'll do is I'll call the person that's going to speak, and I'll also call the person right behind them so that they can be prepared to come down. Hopefully that will help move us along a little bit as we take the comment.

So our first -- and will you please speak into the microphone and state your name for Tracye so that she -- she's recording all of this and we'll have

1.3

minutes. And so I want to make sure to make her job as easy as possible.

So our first speaker will be Mark
Bice on the Swift Creek Addition,
Autauga County WMA, and then Tim Ayers
is next.

MR. BICE: Good morning. Thank you for taking my comment. I'm Mark Bice. I'm here representing Potlatch Corporation and speaking on the Swift Creek Addition, as Commissioner Blankenship had mentioned.

The property was nominated about a year ago. It's 1160 acres in Autauga County adjacent to the current Autauga Wildlife Management Area. And I'm here today really to just update the board on where we are with this from Potlatch's standpoint.

Originally when we presented the property, we presented the property contingent on an option-to-purchase agreement. We felt like -- Potlatch felt like it was beneficial for not only

the board but also for Potlatch in describing the terms and conditions of moving forward with that. We're going to remove that contingency around an option-to-purchase agreement and just move forward like the board normally does on recommendations, assuming we can get the property recommended for a first appraisal.

In addition to that, you know, there was -- there will be a timber reservation on the property. We have an obligation -- Potlatch does -- to the International Paper Mill at Prattville. So a lot of the timber coming off of this property will be going to that pulp mill. So there will be a timber reservation, as has been the case in our previous transactions with -- with this board as well as the Division of Freshwater Fisheries.

And we will -- you know, we'll continue that, but we also agree -- or

Potlatch agrees to -- if we do any clear-cutting on the property, we will maintain the obligation to site prep and plant and plant longleaf pine, which was a recommendation that the state -- the state wanted us to do.

So that's really the update. The biggest update is removing the contingency on an option-to-purchase agreement.

So with that, I thank the board for their time and for listening to me on this. And we thank you for considering this property and moving toward a first appraisal on the Swift Creek Addition.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. Thank you, Mr. Bice.

Tim Ayers on the Tannehill-Ayers

Addition, and then following him will be

Jennifer Watts.

MR. AYERS: We're actually going to reverse the order. I'm going to let Jennifer go first.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Okay. Jennifer
Watts.

MS. WATTS: Hi. Jennifer Watts from Tannehill
Ironworks Historical State Park. And I

1.3

2.2

Ironworks Historical State Park. And I want to thank y'all for hearing our comment today.

And, really, all I wanted to express for the record was Tannehill's support of the acquisition of the Ayers Tract for Tannehill State Park. We think it will be a real asset to the park to have this property under our management and it will add to the public recreation services we can offer with the -- with the lakes and the additional trails. And that was all.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you.

Tim Ayers will be followed by Dale Lancaster.

MR. AYERS: Okay. And for the record,

following Jennifer, our property -- it's

been in our family for nearly 40 years.

It borders Tannehill State Park. It

3

4

6

5

7

9

8

10

11

12 1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

also borders the Forever Wild Land Trust tract that was acquired in 2010. nominated the property on behalf of our family back in 2013, and so it's been a several-year journey through this process.

We believe in the program, but I do have concerns of the process of where we are today. That is, we've gone through and we received an offer based on the first appraisal and the timber cruise, the offer that was accepted by the two landowners. When a second appraisal was done, it came in outside of the range, and so a third reconciliation appraisal had to be done.

The concerns are that there's no transparency for us as the owners through this process. We're never able to see anything as far as comps or appraisals to know what are being used. We're curious how property -- our property is all in Jefferson County.

We're curious how property in Jefferson County is going down in value compared to tracts that were acquired by Forever Wild during the recession in 2010. But with no transparency, we have no way of knowing what are being used as comps.

And the reconciliation process, most concerning to me is that was done by someone that never even stepped foot on the property or laid eyes on the property. And I guess my question is how does someone value a unique piece of property that they've never even seen.

And so, really, I guess kind of what we're requesting is we'd like to -we've received the -- the first offer
was rescinded, the second offer has now
been presented, and we've been given 35
days to either accept it or walk away.
And, unfortunately, while this makes all
the right sense for us to do this, which
is the whole reason we nominated it,
because the property -- it's in

Jefferson County -- because of the location where it is with existing infrastructure as far as utilities and road access and a lot of growth around it, there is a lot of other things that we could do with this property and people that we've talked to that have said that, you know, the value could be gained a lot better through something besides Forever Wild.

So we don't want to have to go that route, but if we're painted into a corner where we have to make a quick decision and it's below what we feel like is the real market value, that may be what we have to do. And we hate that because, again, not just Alabama and the Forever Wild program would lose out, but Tannehill Park and the management agreement that they have.

And Mr. Bennett, who served on the park board for Tannehill before he passed away last year, he was very

2.2

involved in this process and toured the property several times. And I know he was a big champion for seeing this happen because we do have lakes on the property that are stocked with bass and bream, and he envisioned recreational fishing and all sorts of other activities that could go on.

And we just -- we hate that, you know, it is this way. But, again, without us as the owners feeling like we have any transparency or any way to see, you know, what we're being told is the value -- the new value, the revised value, to justify it -- what is that being based upon? You know, is it based on, you know, strip mine land? Is it based on some -- you know, is it a similar size property but maybe it's not the same property?

Like I said, so we're still wrestling with the decision, trying to weigh the options. We want to see this

2.2

happen, but at the same time we're just still struggling with what we're going to do, so -- and that's really all I have to say. I'll be glad to answer any questions if there are -- anybody has any.

MS. POWELL: Let me -- if you don't mind

waiting just a minute because I think it

might help some members that are here.

And so it's an opportunity for me to

remind the board, too, of the appraisal

process.

We do -- per the constitutional amendment that formed the program, when this board -- when you move for a first appraisal, we conduct the first appraisal. That becomes an appraised nomination that enables this board to then move forward with a motion for second appraisal and to proceed to purchase. For those who attend our meetings and hear those motions, that's the stage at which that happens.

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

Once you do that, we then proceed with the expense of an additional appraisal, the second appraisal, as the law requires. When we get that back -and we never know until we get that back -- if there is a better-than-ten-percent difference between the first and second appraisal, then that is the reconciliation process that he was discussing where then we have to look at how to bring those -how to figure out, then, what is market value in order for you to then proceed with the actual purchase. That is the process that that all kicks in.

We have some limitations. By regulation, appraisals are confidential until the deal is closed. We can certainly, you know, try to find a way to work a little bit more in finding a way to give you more information on comps. But that is the process through which -- you end up with basically three

appraisers' review in that instance, which is a little different. We get that sometimes but not always.

1.3

2.2

So we can certainly talk a little more, and I can try to come up with some options for the board of maybe what to do in this scenario and then get back with the board. I don't know that I have any questions. But I hope you don't mind. I just wanted to take that as an opportunity -- because I think it's a good point -- to remind everybody how it works to kind of give context to the comment.

But other than trying to talk about it a little bit more and maybe present some options to the board, I'm not sure what else to do right today at the meeting. But thank you for --

DR. HEPP: I have a question.

Have we appraised this property?

MS. POWELL: This property, yes. It had its first appraisal, motion for a second,

and then had the second and then had the reconciling appraisal.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

What -- to explain a little further, too, normally this has been an expense -- the reason for the expense of appraisals -- we've done this in the past -- we do begin to proceed once you say the second appraisal with negotiations with the owner to try to see if we do have a deal before we spend money on the second appraisal. Sometimes we no longer do, but -- so that is what has resulted in this scenario where the difference resulted in a lower amount and the reconciling appraisal didn't pull it back up. So that's -- those are the steps here.

MR. CAUTHEN: How many acres is it?

MR. AYERS: It's 354 acres, all in Jefferson

County, with two lakes and, you know,

never been timbered -- never been

harvested for the timber. So, again,

it's one of those things -- it's truly a

Forever Wild property. 1 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Mr. Ayers. 3 MR. AYERS: Thank you. 4 5 MR. MARTY: May I say a word? 6 I'm on the board at Tannehill, and 7 we very much need and want this property. And Tim is working with us --8 9 MS. POWELL: Sir, could you -- do you mind coming up to the microphone? 10 11 I just want to be sure we get your 12 name and the court reporter can get that 1.3 down. Thanks. I'm sorry. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: AND if there's 14 somebody else that would like to speak 15 16 and you have not filled out one of these 17 green cards, I would appreciate it if you would get one of those filled out so 18 we can keep this for the record and move 19 us through. 20 Yes, sir. 21 MR. MARTY: I just want to say I'm on the 2.2 23 board --

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: What was your name?

I'm sorry.

MR. MARTY: Cecil Marty.

I'm a board member. And we very much need and want this property. And anything that can be done to reconcile the situation with Tim Ayers and his family, we request that that be done.

SSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. Thank

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. Thank you.

MR. MARTY: Thank you.

MS. POWELL: And let me -- I'm sorry. I had a question from a board member that probably is helpful for the entire board.

So let me -- in looking for the acreage and just identifying where that parcel is, it is actually in Tab 2-A, the Tannehill-Ayers Addition, in your packet because of having moved past the -- to the second appraisal. So that's why it's in 2-A for those who are looking for acreage and just trying to

1.3

remember which tract.

So thank you, Commissioner. I'll sit back down.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So next is Dale

Lancaster to speak on the Sipsey River

Swamp-Taylor Creek Addition, and then

Andrew Schock will be next.

MR. LANCASTER: Good morning, Commissioner and board members. I appreciate the opportunity to speak with you this morning.

My name is Dale Lancaster, and I represent the two owners of the Sipsey River-Taylor Creek Addition in Greene County which is comprised of 1722 acres. I previously made a presentation to you before, and at your last meeting you graciously took action to move the property forward to first appraisal and purchase process. Myself, along with the owners, wanted to personally thank you for that action.

I do understand that the first

2.2

appraisal is not completed at this time but will be presented to you before the next meeting. So, hopefully, at that meeting you'll see fit to take action to move it forward to a second appraisal/purchase process.

The current Sipsey Complex that you own here in Tuscaloosa County is over 7,000 acres, and it's about 15 miles from here. This Greene County addition is about three miles south of it. So we feel like it would be a great addition and a great asset to the -- not only the central district but the citizens of west Alabama and the state.

So, again, appreciate your action. We talked about the assets before and look forward to working with you. Hopefully we can work together and make this transaction take place. I'll be glad to field any questions you've got. If not, I look forward to seeing you at the next meeting.

1	MR. HORN: How many acres?
2	MR. LANCASTER: 1722.
3	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you,
4	Mr. Lancaster.
5	MR. LANCASTER: Yes, sir. Thank you.
6	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Andrew Schock
7	followed by Jake Blackwell. This is on
8	the Terrapin Hill and Red Hills-Flat
9	Creek Addition.
10	MR. SCHOCK: Yes. There are two separate
11	projects I'd like to speak to.
12	I'm Andrew Schock with The
13	Conservation Fund. Congratulations,
14	Commissioner, on your appointment.
15	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you.
16	MR. SCHOCK: You won't have any trouble
17	pronouncing my name after today because
18	you'll see me more than once.
19	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: And I apologize for
20	everybody else's name that I butcher as
21	I call it out.
22	MR. SCHOCK: You didn't butcher it. You just
23	stumbled over it. And that's fine. I

also write poorly, so ...

I'm here to speak about the Turner Hill -- I'm sorry -- the Terrapin Hill Tract as well as the Red Hills-Flat Creek Addition. The Terrapin Hill Tract many of the board members have heard about many, many times. I just want you to know that we did work with the county. The county asked us to revisit the acres that we were interested in selling to the state. We have worked with the county to reach a compromise that we would only seek to sell 650 acres to the state in a certain configuration, basically the 800-foot-wide corridor that the county was interested in.

We have a letter from the county saying that that -- you know, they support that. I believe Director Powell has the letter. I'll make sure she does now.

This has the color copy. I don't

22

23

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

know if you have that.

MS. POWELL: I've got it.

MR. SCHOCK: You've got that. Okay.

But I just want you to be aware that we have agreed to that compromise position. So I will put that in writing after this meeting so that you have that. But we are prepared to move forward on our end of selling it to Forever Wild if, in fact, Forever Wild chooses to acquire the property.

If you have any questions on that one, I'm happy to answer those at this point if I can.

(No response.)

MR. SCHOCK: Okay. Then on the -- a new project that's come before you today -- you know it as the Red Hills-Flat Creek Addition in Monroe County. It is a site that contains the Red Hills Salamander, which is a listed endangered species that only exists in Alabama. This tract harbors roughly 30 percent of the known

1.3

remaining habitat for the salamander.

It was recently -- I submitted it as a nomination recently. It made the short list as a wildlife management area. I'm just making you aware of it today.

There is the possibility -- I'm

working -- well, there is the

possibility of there being some federal

grant dollars that are oriented towards

endangered species and endangered

species habitat coming in an acquisition

scenario, but it would require

ultimately that the state through

Forever Wild, I presume, to put in some

money as a match.

So probably at the next meeting I'll make you -- I'll give you more details.

I'm not trying to hold anything back,
but, you know, we just need to -- I just wanted to make you aware of the property today and, you know, wanted to start stepping through the process as we move forward.

So any questions on that tract? 1 (No response.) COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir. 3 MR. SCHOCK: Okay. Thank you. 4 And, Commissioner, I think we 5 MS. POWELL: 6 have one more speaker on that tract if 7 we want to try to keep speakers together, so I'll ... 8 9 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Jim Bates. MR. BATES: Good morning, board members. 10 11 thank you for your time this morning. also am here to represent the owners of 12 1.3 a 336-acre tract in Monroe County as part of the Flatwood Salamander -- Red 14 Hills Salamander-Flat Creek Addition. 15 16 The owners of that property are willing to work with the board. 17 I just want to make you guys aware of that. 18 forward to working with you. 19 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir. 20 Jake Blackwell will be next on the 21 Skyline WMA-Crow Creek Valley Addition, 2.2 and then Curtis Hallman will be 23

following him. 1 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Good morning, Mr. Blackwell. Thank vou. 3 MR. BLACKWELL: All right. My name is Jake. 4 I'm here on behalf of my family to speak 5 6 about the tract in Jackson County called 7 the Skyline WMA-Crow Creek Valley Addition. It's already been nominated 8 9 for a first appraisal, and we were hoping that you would be ready to move 10 to a motion to purchase the property at 11 today's meeting. 12 1.3 I was asked to clarify one thing. 14 At a previous meeting we were asked about -- it's a total of 1521 acres, but 15 16 there is a 189-acre parcel that's It's nearby, but it's not 17 separated. connected to the remaining 1332 acres. 18 19 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: I'm sorry. How many acres was the other part that was 20 not connected? 21 MR. BLACKWELL: 189. 22

Thank you. Sorry.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP:

- MR. BLACKWELL: We were asked in a meeting one time would we be willing to consider separating the two and purchasing just one of them, and the answer was yes.

 But I was asked to clarify that we still feel that way.
 - MS. POWELL: To help the board a little bit,
 you have a memo in 5-G that would
 reflect the parcel that the owners have
 confirmed to staff that would be removed
 from this parcel that they're offering
 to the board. So thank you.
 - MR. BLACKWELL: Thank you.

1.3

2.2

- MR. HORN: Where is that, Patti?
 - MS. POWELL: 5-G. There are some other items covered in that memo in 5-G, but you can -- it is easily identifiable on the map we attached, the parcel to the right that would be removed from the nomination. So there's more discussed there in that map, but it's the easiest map to see that parcel removed.
 - MR. SATTERFIELD: And where is this in the

process? Has it been to first

appraisal, or where are we with this

process -- with this parcel?

1.3

2.2

MS. POWELL: This has received its first appraisal. The reason we're able to take -- could take that parcel out is that appraisal considered that parcel -- that outlying parcel separately. So that would not trigger what we sometimes have to discuss about a change in acreage resulting in the need for additional appraisal work. That particular action in this circumstance would not because it was appraised separately.

But that nomination has been appraised, and you'll see that reflected in Tab 3-A. And there's another map in that section, but it was just easy for me to point out that parcel in the other section. So does anybody need me to come help you find anything?

MR. SATTERFIELD: Yes. What tab are we under

and what page number? 1 MR. WRIGHT: 5-G. MR. SATTERFIELD: No. I understand that. 3 But in either Tab 3 or 4? What --4 MS. POWELL: You're in Tab 3-A here. 5 6 MR. SATTERFIELD: Okay. It's the Crow Creek 7 Valley Addition. Okay. MS. POWELL: Then there are two maps, but this 8 9 one I thought was easier to see. That's to the right of the -- colored a little 10 11 bit in orange under Tab -- in the memo 12 we had attached to 5-G. You can easily 1.3 identify the parcel that their owners have confirmed they would remove --14 MR. SATTERFIELD: So which ones are we 15 16 separating out? What's confusing to me is before 17 under Tab 3 it shows 1521 acres. Now, 18 on the map under 5-G we've got three 19 different parcels --20 MS. POWELL: Correct. 21 MR. SATTERFIELD: -- and it shows --2.2 23 MS. POWELL: Correct. And that's --

MR. SATTERFIELD: -- 831. 1 MS. POWELL: But what the --MR. SATTERFIELD: So which parcels are we 3 dealing with on this map? 4 MS. POWELL: What the speaker was bringing to 5 6 the board is the removal of -- their 7 agreement to remove that one parcel. Ιf you would -- if everybody would prefer 8 9 to look at Tab 3, if that's easier, let's go to Tab 3. And then you will 10 11 see page numbers at the bottom now. 12 Page 23. 1.3 We're going to discuss this parcel and some options on this parcel in 14 detail later in the meeting. But if 15 16 you're looking at the map in 3-A, the parcel to the right is what the owners 17 have agreed to remove from the 18 19 nomination, Page 23. MR. SATTERFIELD: Tab 3-A --20 MS. POWELL: Tab 3-A, page 23. Tab 3, page 21 23. 2.2

That's what you told me, Jo; right?

MS. LEWIS: Yes.

1.3

MS. POWELL: Okay. Yeah. So if you look on that parcel on that map -- I'll give you a second to get there.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Page 23?

MS. POWELL: Page 23.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Okay.

MS. POWELL: Okay. To the right you will see in red the parcel the owners have agreed to remove. That is the only parcel the owners are removing from the nomination. So the remaining acreage currently in the nomination is everything else you see in red.

There will be discussion later in the meeting of a proposal that Chuck Sykes, our director of Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries, has made to use some of Wildlife's money that would bring up another discussion of parcels. But for this purpose, the owner is simply confirming an offer made at the last meeting to allow us to take that

outlying parcel out of the nomination. 1 And to explain that a little bit, although you do see some connectivity to 3 a WMA by that parcel, that's a WMA that 4 5 has volunteer acreage, program acreage, 6 not titled acreage. And so that was one reason that the owners were asked if 7 that could be -- if that parcel could be 8 9 removed, so ... MR. SATTERFIELD: Okay. And so we're removing 10 11 how many acres? COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 189. 12 1.3 MR. SATTERFIELD: I'm sorry? COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 14 189. MR. SATTERFIELD: 189? 15 16 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. 17 MR. SATTERFIELD: Okay. Thanks. MR. CAUTHEN: So that leaves 1300 and how 18 19 many? MS. POWELL: Well, that's my problem with 20 being a lawyer. Y'all just have to help 21 me with the math. 2.2 23 MR. BLACKWELL: 1332.

MS. POWELL: So, anyway, I'll let the -- are there any other questions that I can answer?

I just was trying to -- I hope the map might orient everybody a little.

- MR. OATES: Is the agricultural part of this 189 acres, or is that --
- MS. POWELL: The agricultural part is -- that issue -- that acreage is totally separate from the question -- or the offer from the owners to withdraw a parcel. That is the rightmost parcel that has no ag.

The reason I initially directed everyone to 5-G, the location of the ag acreage -- agricultural acreage is reflected on 5-G. But that has nothing to do with what the speaker is currently speaking about confirming as to removal. That is just the outlying parcel to the right on the map.

Any other questions?

MR. SATTERFIELD: But we do not have an update

1.3

on the dollars yet on the removal of 1 this 189 acres? MS. POWELL: I do not know -- I'd have to ask 3 staff -- if that is reflected on 3-A, 4 5 but we can easily get that amount and 6 update that for you because that side 7 parcel was appraised separately. it's an easy subtraction once I get that 8 9 number. But I do not off the top of my head -- and, also, as everyone -- you 10 11 know, as I mentioned earlier, the appraisal values are, you know, 12 1.3 confidential, so -- but if you will give 14 us a chance to look at that acreage, I can help you with that calculation 15 16 during our executive session, if that 17 would be okay. MR. SATTERFIELD: 18 Okay. 19 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Ms. Powell. 20 Any questions? 21 MS. POWELL:

(No response.)

All right. Thank you.

(334) 263-4455

2.2

23

MS. POWELL:

I didn't mean to interrupt you,

so ...

1.3

2.2

MR. BLACKWELL: You did a fine job. I'm sorry that we confused you so much.

The 189 is just timber, and it's not connected to the remainder. And that's the reason we were willing to consider an offer on just it if the board is not interested in the entire 1521.

So I'll just speak to the 1332 acres that I think that you're most interested in. It does connect to the existing Skyline WMA. It comes down the side of a mountain, crosses a county road, and then the remaining 400 acres of that property is all flat land, ag field, creek bottom. And I think that's what the board was most excited about at the last meeting. So I'll speak to that specifically, and then I'll give you a chance to ask any questions.

So what's not mountain lands is ag field and creek bottom. That's

approximately 400 acres of flat, level land. About half of that is ag field and about half of that is creek bottom.

When I say creek bottom, there's about a mile of Crow Creek that runs through those ag fields. And it's a deep-water creek, flows year around. In some of the low spots that hold water, it's already holding ducks now. It would be easily made into good duck habitat.

The interest at the last meeting was using the ag fields to attract wildlife, possibly flooding them during duck season or using them for quail and duck hunts -- I mean, dove shoots during the other part of the season. And then, obviously, the creek is a good recreational point for canoers and campers and stuff like that.

So do you have any questions about that?

MR. HORN: Can I --

1.3

2.2

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir.

Mr. Horn.

1.3

2.2

MR. HORN: Are you willing to separate that part, that 400 acres to the north that you just described, out of this sale?

MR. BLACKWELL: I would prefer not to because it would probably diminish the value of the remaining acreage. The people that have shown interest in the property, that's the part that interests them the most. So we would prefer to sell that entire block. The entire block is connected to the existing Skyline WMA. We'll just have to see how the discussions go today. But the 189 that's not connected made the most sense to separate out.

MR. HORN: Thank you.

MR. WRIGHT: I have one question.

This is going to be revisited shortly?

MS. POWELL: It will. The 5-G memo will come up in the miscellaneous section. That will give us an opportunity to talk

1	about the options and also what possible
2	updated appraisal work might be needed,
3	depending on what the board might do, if
4	the board wants to take any action
5	today.
6	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. Thank
7	you, Mr. Blackwell.
8	MR. BLACKWELL: All right. Thanks.
9	(Dr. Sims joins proceedings.)
10	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: I would like to
11	note for the record that Dr. Patricia
12	Sims has arrived.
13	Good to see you, Dr. Sims.
14	So I want to make sure that we count
15	her as present for the record.
16	The next is Curtis Hallman. I don't
17	have a nomination in particular that
18	you're speaking on. And then following
19	him is Lee Youngblood.
20	MR. HALLMAN: This is just a general comment.
21	In Alabama about a million acres of
22	hardwood forest is being cut and changed
23	over into pine tree plantations. So I

1	would like the board to try and preserve
2	as much hardwood forest as they could.
3	And I don't understand why the process
4	takes so long. I mean, why does it take
5	three years to do two separate land
6	appraisals?
7	And that's just my comment. Thank
8	you.
9	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir.
10	Lee Youngblood to be followed by
11	Yael Girard.
12	MR. YOUNGBLOOD: Good morning, Commissioner.
13	I'm here to represent the Youngblood
14	family concerning the acquisition of
15	what we call the Portland Tract, South
16	Dallas County Pond Tract. I'm not sure
17	what your name for that tract is.
18	Is everybody familiar with what I'm
19	talking about?
20	MR. WRIGHT: Pine Barren Creek.
21	MS. POWELL: This is the Pine Barren.
22	MR. YOUNGBLOOD: Pine Barren Tract.
23	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Pine Barren Creek?

MR. YOUNGBLOOD: Pine Barren, B-A-R-E-N, right.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you.

to get to it.

MR. YOUNGBLOOD: My family owns the entire

west side of that property,

approximately three-and-a-half miles of

common border that we have with that

property. In addition, we have some

common roads that we use to have access

on, and we have some roads that y'all

don't have access on that would access a

small portion, about a hundred acres, of

land that's not easily accessed. It's

not landlocked, but you have to come

across a slough and that sort of thing

So we have -- basically we just have some issues that -- and some concerns that if this property is acquired, I feel it would be -- benefit everybody if we were able to sit down -- the Youngblood family -- and sit down with whoever is going to manage the property

and see if we could work out these issues. In other words, we just sort of want to be kept abreast of what's happening.

1.3

2.2

And as you can imagine -- now, let me say this: I'm a past president of the Wildlife Federation. I'm a strong supporter of public hunting, strong supporter of wildlife management areas, strong supporter of Forever Wild, and a strong supporter of the Alabama

Department of Conservation. So I'm not -- I'm not trying to prevent this from happening. I just want to make it where it has the least impact on our family.

Anybody have any questions?

- MR. WRIGHT: You said the west --
- MR. YOUNGBLOOD: Starts at Pine Barren Creek and ends at County Road 404. It's roughly west. It zigzags around.
- MR. WRIGHT: Okay. Zigzags from the creek up

MR. YOUNGBLOOD: All the way up to County Road 404.

1.3

2.2

We cross this property twice -- and this is on our main road to our hunting camp. Now, we do have other access.

We're not landlocked either. But in the past we've acquired deeded access across this property twice.

And then my son owns, oh, I'd say, roughly a half a mile that y'all -- I say y'all -- this property does not have deeded access to that you would have to cross to get to a hundred acres that's really, really not easy to get to. It's not a big deal. You can get to it.

But I think there's some room for negotiation here and some room to work out these problems where it would be a less -- excuse me -- less impact on the Youngblood family.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. Thank you, Mr. Youngblood.

MR. WRIGHT: Could I ask ...

Dr. Youngblood, what you're asking,
then, is really for an exchange of
deeded accesses that you would be
willing to give to Forever Wild and then
Forever Wild give you back access to
some of -
MR. YOUNGBLOOD: No. We already have access.

MR. WRIGHT: Deeded access.

MR. YOUNGBLOOD: We already have deeded access.

MR. WRIGHT: So what are you really asking?

MR. YOUNGBLOOD: You know, I would say a reasonable -- we really don't want that whole road to go public. We don't want the public going in and out of that road. And we have some land that you'd have to cross. So we would -- I don't want to get in a situation where we're blocking y'all. But I think we could easily give access to the managers and the people that need to go in there and take care of the land -- not a deeded access. I don't think that'll ever

1.3

2.2

happen. But we could certainly give 1 right of trespass or whatever legal term there is that if y'all needed to get to 3 that property you could get to it. 4 5 we certainly would not give access to 6 the general public to come through our land to get to a hundred acres. You 7 know, I think you can understand that. 8 9 MR. WRIGHT: So you're talking about access off of Highway --10 11 MR. YOUNGBLOOD: 404. MR. WRIGHT: -- off of 41? 12 1.3 MR. YOUNGBLOOD: Go down 41, on 225, and you 14 turn left on 404. MR. WRIGHT: 15 Okay. 16 MR. YOUNGBLOOD: So we're talking about -it's the very west side. It's not a lot 17 of acreage involved with this. It's not 18 19 going to be a major deal on this road access. You could access all your 20 property. It would just be a lot easier 21 if we could sit down and say, okay, 2.2

look, we'll do this and we'll do that

and we'll do the other, so -- and, also, 1 you know, when you wake up one morning and you find out there's a public 3 hunting area right next to you, it 4 5 causes some concerns about safety, about 6 trespassing. And, you know, we don't 7 have the ability to patrol three-and-a-half miles of land to try to 8 9 make sure, you know, our rights are 10 protected, too.

But these are the sort of things that we want to discuss with whoever. The Department of Conservation, I understand, will manage it if it comes into a wildlife management area. We just want to sit down and talk about it and see if we can work out some things. That's basically all I'm asking, to be considerate.

And I came here also just to find out what stage this property is in.

We -- curiosity, I guess. You know, we're next door. We want to know what's

1920212223

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

happening. So that's my second reason for being here.

MS. POWELL: I just wanted to mention it, too.

And I think there had been some
discussions. You've sat down with
Director Sykes of Wildlife and
Freshwater Fisheries.

MR. YOUNGBLOOD: I did.

- MS. POWELL: And so I don't know if there's anything that -- any questions either for Chuck or -- Chuck is here, so if there are any questions. But there have been some communications. We could -- my staff could join in on those if we could be of any assistance, but Chuck, if anybody has questions, is also available.
- MR. YOUNGBLOOD: I had a good discussion with Chuck, and he assured me how the thing was going to be managed. And, you know, we're comfortable with that. We're just a little bit antsy because it's going to be a good change. You know, we've been

1.3

1	down there all my lifetime, and I've
2	been actively hunting down there since
3	1970. And it's just going to be a
4	change, and as you might expect, we're a
5	little bit antsy about it, if that
6	clears that up.
7	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir.
8	MR. YOUNGBLOOD: Thank you.
9	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Cauthen, did
10	you have a comment or question?
11	MR. CAUTHEN: Is all of this property in
12	Dallas County?
13	MR. YOUNGBLOOD: Yes. Very south Dallas
14	County. The Pine Barren Creek is at the
15	county line.
16	Anybody else?
17	(No response.)
18	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir.
19	Next is Ms. Girard and followed by
20	Libba Vaughan.
21	MS. GIRARD: Good morning, board and
22	Commissioner. Good to see y'all. My
23	name is Yael Girard, and I'm with the

Weeks Bay Foundation. I'm here to speak on the Harrod Farm Tract. And I'm having this gentleman pass out a map to just get you reoriented.

This tract is down in Baldwin

County. It is 231 acres on Fish River.

Previously y'all have recommended a

first appraisal on the property, and I

am here to ask you to consider a second

appraisal and move to purchase.

This property contains numerous priority conservation areas including over three-and-a-half miles of river and canal frontage and over a hundred acres of intact wetlands. The property is one of the largest undeveloped privately held parcels remaining on Fish River, and Fish River is the main tributary to Weeks Bay providing nearly 70 percent of the freshwater to the bay.

The canal sloughs and marsh frontage of the property provide protective habitat for an assortment of aquatic and

2.2

avian life, including migratory birds and seafood species. It's within the range for the endangered Alabama red-bellied turtle and the diamondback terrapin.

The property falls within the Weeks
Bay Reserve's coastal zone and core
priority area as well as the Weeks Bay
Project Acquisition area. The property,
as you can see on the map, has numerous
small protected sloughs and inlets.

You can also see on the map that I provided you there is a red block directly to the south of the property, and that is existing Weeks Bay Reserve property. So this is just slightly north of it on Fish River.

One other thing I would mention about this property is that the Weeks Bay Reserve has secured a NOAA grant that would provide matching funds for the wetland acreage of this property, which is 111 plus or minus acres. I'm

sure this will get covered during your 1 discussion. MS. POWELL: Well, I don't mean to interrupt. 3 MS. GIRARD: Sure. 4 I have some board members looking 5 MS. POWELL: 6 at me. 7 Since this has been appraised, as Yael mentioned, it is in Tab 3. 8 page 27, alphabetically there, Weeks Bay 9 Reserve, WBR, Harrod's Farm. 10 If you will give them just a minute. 11 12 MS. GIRARD: Sure. 1.3 MS. POWELL: So you can compare the two maps 14 that -- but it is Tab 3, page 27, for those who would like to look at the map 15 16 in the packet. MS. GIRARD: 17 So as I mentioned, the property has about 130 acres of upland and about 18 111 acres of wetland. And that wetland 19 acreage would have a one-to-one match 20 with NOAA grant funds. 21 So any questions about -- I know 2.2 23 y'all are just now getting to the map,

but if you have any questions about the 1 property or the matching funds, I'm here. 3 Tab 3, page 5, is that what it MR. CAUTHEN: is? 5 6 MS. GIRARD: Patti would be --MS. POWELL: You can look at the map that she 7 gave you, but in your packet it's Tab 3, 8 9 page 27. DR. WOODS: How long are those matching funds 10 11 available? MS. GIRARD: They are available, I believe --12 1.3 L.G., would you --14 MR. ADAMS: That grant ends September 30th of this year, but we've already gotten 15 16 approval to submit an extension for 18 So we hope that it will 17 months. continue for another 18 months. 18 19 MR. CAUTHEN: Thank you. MS. POWELL: And for the benefit of the court 20 reporter, that's L.G. Adams. 21 MS. GIRARD: Any other questions about the 2.2 23 Weeks Bay Reserve-Harrod Farm Tract?

Yes, sir. 1 MR. SATTERFIELD: I hate to be such a nuisance, but can you tell me -- you say 3 this has already gone to first 4 5 appraisal; is that correct? 6 MS. LEWIS: Yes. MS. POWELL: Yes, that's correct. 7 MR. SATTERFIELD: Where in the tabs are we 8 9 dealing with the first appraisal? MS. LEWIS: 3-A. 10 11 MS. POWELL: Since you've had an appraisal, it's 3-A. 12 1.3 MR. SATTERFIELD: All right. MS. POWELL: It is reflected here as WBR, 14 15 Weeks Bay Reserve. 16 MR. SATTERFIELD: All right. MS. POWELL: And then the corresponding map is 17 page 27. 18 19 MR. SATTERFIELD: Now, does the appraisal take into account what this young lady just 20 said about the matching funds from NOAA? 21 MS. POWELL: Well, your appraisal would be 2.2

giving you the market value of the tract

as a whole, and then -- so your
appraisal is not going to take into
account grant funds. Where you would
see that would be if we're able to
secure the grant --

MR. SATTERFIELD: Would be out here.

MS. POWELL: -- would be reflected in your packet in some additional language, so ...

But the appraiser does not take into account the availability of grant funds. He's looking for the valuation of the whole tract. When we get into the cost to Forever Wild with the board, if the grant is approved, these grants are 50/50. So it would reduce the purchase price 50 percent.

The board, however -- this is also a good opportunity to mention this. You would still take your 15-percent stewardship amount from the full appraised value. So you have half off the purchase price, but the stewardship

expense that applies to every tract so that this board puts money away for future management would be figured off the appraised value.

1.3

2.2

- MR. SATTERFIELD: Well, my question really relates to the note that we have here that says the NOAA grant would reimburse Forever Wild approximately 10 percent of the appraised value, but that sounds like it's saying different from what you just said on the one-to-one basis.
- MS. GIRARD: Well, Doug probably could tell you more about the specifics of that for the wetlands.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: It sounds like a one-to-one basis would be more reimbursement than just the 10 percent.
- MR. DEATON: But it doesn't apply to the entire tract. It only applies to the 80 acres of wetlands. So the grant can only be applied to 50/50 of the 80 acres, not the entire 235.
- MR. RUNYAN: Well, my map is showing 111 acres

of wetlands.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

- MS. GIRARD: I think that our mapping might be slightly different than ADCNR's map. We just have the maps that have been created for us by wetland delineators from previous endeavors with this property, and then the new maps that they have may have a slightly different wetland acreage.
- MR. DEATON: Yeah. The acreage provided to you by State Lands should be correct in your packet.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: Because there's a significant difference between that map and this map. This map looks like the wetlands almost encompasses like about 40 percent of the site.
- MR. DEATON: Yes, that's correct.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: So 40 percent would be --
- 20 MR. DEATON: So based off of --
- 21 | MR. SATTERFIELD: -- more than 80 acres --
- MR. DEATON: Based off --
- MR. SATTERFIELD: -- if you have a 231-acre

1 tract.

1.3

2.2

- MR. DEATON: You're saying based off of the map provided --
- MR. SATTERFIELD: I'm talking about this map right here.
- MS. GIRARD: Right. So I guess the ADCNR maps are going to be the ones that the appraisal -- the appraisers use and the valuation is taken off of. I was mostly giving you my map for reference to be able to see the property. But all of the calculations will be using their maps. So if mine is incorrect, I apologize for that, but that -- it will go based on their mapping, unfortunately, I guess, in this situation.

Other questions about Harrod Farm?
(No response.)

MS. GIRARD: So at this point we are just hoping the board will consider a second appraisal on this property. Thank y'all.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, ma'am. 1 I think we have several speakers now on the Big Canoe Creek. 3 I would like to recognize -- if you 4 don't mind, ma'am, before you begin --5 6 we do have several elected officials that will be here to speak on this 7 tract. 8 9 Chairman Paul Manning is chairman of the St. Clair County Commission. I just 10 11 wanted to recognize him for being here 12 today. 1.3 Representative Jim Hill. MR. HILL: Yes, sir, I'm here. 14 Mr. Hill. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 15 16 And then Mayor William Isley from Springville. 17 Thank you, gentlemen, for joining us 18 19 today. Ms. Vaughan. 20 21 MS. VAUGHAN: Good morning. My name is Libba Vaughan, and I'm the executive director 2.2 23 of the Freshwater Land Trust. And I

really appreciate the opportunity to speak to you-all today on behalf of the Big Canoe Creek Nature Preserve in St. Clair County.

You'll remember that this almost

400-acre tract was brought -- was

presented to you at the May meeting at

Spanish Fort, and you kindly recommended

that for first appraisal.

This tract is located in the city of Springville, and it's off of County
Highway 9, if you'll remember that. And acquisition of this tract will help protect the high-quality forest, aquatic, and riparian habitat that it has, as well as the high diversity of plant and animal species that are there. It will be a recreational and economic development asset for this region.

And the nearest Forever Wild property to this Big Canoe Creek site is the Turkey Creek Nature Preserve in Pinson, which is about 20, 25 miles

south. And currently that place is 1 experiencing some record visitors this year that I'll tell you a little bit 3 more about later. 4 The timing, I think, is right for 5 6 this project. And as you can tell, I'm the first of several enthusiastic 7 supporters here today to speak on behalf 8 of this project, and we would like you 9 to consider a second appraisal today and 10 11 proceed to closing. Thank you. Any questions? 12 1.3 MR. WRIGHT: How far is this from downtown 14 Springville? MS. VAUGHAN: I would defer to the mayor. 15 16 MR. ISLEY: Three miles. MR. WRIGHT: Three miles. 17 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, ma'am. 18 19 MS. VAUGHAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Next will be 20 Chairman Paul Manning from the 21 St. Clair --2.2 23 MR. ISLEY: Chairman Manning wasn't able to

make the meeting. We'd like to have

Ms. Vaughan -- I mean, Ms. Wheeler.

Ms. Wheeler.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. Please come up, Ms. Wheeler.

MS. WHEELER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: And if you'll just give -- make sure we get your full name for the record.

MS. WHEELER: Hello. My name is Vickey

Wheeler, and I'm chairman of the Friends

of Big Canoe Creek Nature Committee.

The Friends of Big Canoe Creek is very proud that our conversations have led us into positive, collaborative relationships as evident of the support we have here today that Mr. Blankenship mentioned. The Friends of Big Canoe Creek is prepared to lead the planning of the Big Canoe Creek Nature Preserve utilizing our partnerships we have established over the group's 27-year history.

1.3

2.2

1	The Geological Survey of Alabama
2	recently completed Bulletin 185,
3	Watershed Assessment of Big Canoe Creek
4	System for Recovery and Restoration of
5	Imperiled Aquatic Species. This is
6	wonderful documentation on the watershed
7	that this property lies within. This
8	will help guide educational incentives
9	that will provide science-based
10	information about the watershed.
11	I have a copy of the book I'll leave
12	with you. And I thank you very much for
13	your time and consideration on this
14	project.
15	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you,
16	Ms. Wheeler.
17	Our next speaker will be
18	Representative Hill and followed by
19	Mayor William Isley.
20	Mr. Hill. Good to see you today,
21	sir.
22	MR. HILL: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner.
23	Good to see all of y'all. Thank you for

letting us talk for just a few minutes.

My name is Jim Hill. I represent

House District 50. House District 50

lies solely within St. Clair County.

Part of my district is in the

Springville area. Springville is in the western part of St. Clair County. This particular parcel of property is very close to I-59.

Here's the situation, ladies and gentlemen: The truth is you've got the cooperation of the city, the county, and our economic development board. Those are the big players that we have in St. Clair County to do this kind of project.

St. Clair County -- I moved there in 1979. In 1980 the census in St. Clair County was 30,000 people. In 2010 it was a little over 80. In 2020 I look for it to be over a hundred. We're one of the fastest-growing counties in the state of Alabama.

This is 400 acres that has the potential to be carved out and to be saved for people to use from now on as a recreational, as an area to see what wildlife and what this habitat is all about along the Big Canoe Creek.

I would urge you to consider this.

I mean, the truth is sooner or later
this property will be developed. This
is St. Clair County. We will -- it will
be developed. It could either be carved
out and saved as a natural area or
eventually it will be some type of
housing development. So I would urge
you that we would like to see it carved
out as a natural area for people to use
from now on.

I'll be happy to answer any questions. Thank you for your consideration. Appreciate it a lot.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Representative Hill.

Mayor William Isley.

1.3

2.2

MR. ISLEY: Hello. I am William Isley, the mayor of Springville for the last nine years.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

Having lived in Springville for 25 years, we've all come to love this area. This is an area that some of you may not have been able to know exactly where Springville is. It's approximately halfway between Birmingham and Gadsden on the I-59 corridor. There's a tremendous number of public recreation facilities on the I-20 corridor as many of you know. There's a great big lake out there. But on the I-59 corridor there's hardly any, very few, until you get up into Jackson County and up near The addition of this Fort Payne. property, this 380-acre tract, in our area will provide for public recreation and the preservation of this area for ages.

And, please understand, Springville was -- is one of the oldest cities in

(334) 263-4455

the state. The city itself was formed in 1872. The first inhabitants were 1830s. And this property here actually has evidence of the ancestors being on that property.

2.2

The county and the city have chosen to support this project and that we will enter into contracts to maintain the facilities, help build the trails, and build the road into it. So we're willing and willing to be partners with Forever Wild on the purchase of this piece of land, and we would hope that you would move forward in approving the second appraisal towards the purchase.

Any questions?

- MR. WRIGHT: I heard you say, now, you would put this in writing that the city will --
- MR. ISLEY: We will. The city -- the city and the county have already publicly stated through motions on the city council and at the commission level of a financial

commitment towards this project.

1.3

2.2

Now, the ongoing construction of future trails and to get this thing built once we get in there is definitely something the City of Springville, which is where -- it's within the city limits -- is willing to take on.

- MR. RUNYAN: Have you got a blueprint of a plan of what all you'd like to see happen on this place?
- MR. ISLEY: All we -- all I've been able to do is discuss with the Friends of the Big Canoe Creek what they would like to see there, such as walking trails. Some of them mentioned horseback trails. Some have mentioned hiking trails. And others, of course, have mentioned the creek itself is great for canoeing and kayaking.

Towards that end, whatever it takes to build -- once the property is purchased and we go out and see the lay of the land and where the best place to

put those kind of trails would be is 1 what we're -- we're willing to work with the Friends of Big Canoe Creek. Because 3 they are the ones that have stated they 4 5 would be more than willing to lead us 6 towards that. 7

MR. CAUTHEN: Mayor?

MR. ISLEY: Yes, sir.

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. CAUTHEN: Is there any property around it that might be purchased at a later time?

MR. ISLEY: I believe there is. I don't think I'd be stepping out of line to say that there is a tremendously large utility -might be the largest one in the state -that has property contiguous to this property. This is 380 acres, and they have thousands of acres. And I understand that there's -- there's been some discussion that that utility is now considering the sale of some of their holdings.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Satterfield.

23 MR. SATTERFIELD: Mayor, did you say this property has already been annexed into the city? Is it already a part of the city?

MR. ISLEY: Yes, sir, it is. Yes, sir, it is.

To be honest with you, this was a planned residential development. It was going to be over 1,000 homes on this 380 acres. I mean, you're looking at half-acre or quarter-acre lots. And the City of Springville stood to tremendously benefit financially from something like that. However, when I started holding hands with the Friends of Big Canoe Creek and discussing with our council the benefits of preserving that land for the future, they all got on board, including the -- even at the county level.

It would be a tremendous asset for folks that live on the I-59 corridor that now drive -- the closest one to us is Turkey Creek about 30 miles away. To some others it's much further than that,

1.3

you know, 60 or 70 miles. 1 Any questions? (No response.) 3 Thank y'all. MR. ISLEY: 4 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Mayor. 6 Ms. Vaughan, before I call the next speaker, did you also want to speak 7 about the Turkey Creek Nature Preserve? 8 9 I don't think you had an opportunity to do that. 10 11 MS. VAUGHAN: Yes, I did. Again, my name is Libba Vaughan with 12 1.3 the Freshwater Land Trust, but I want 14 you to pretend that I'm Charles Yeager with the Turkey Creek Nature Preserve. 15 16 So if you'll envision a beard and a hat and ... 17 He's got a really good reason he's 18 not here today. He and his wife, 19 20 Rebecca, are expecting their second child any moment now. And so he asked 21 me yesterday to talk to you on some good 2.2

news he wanted to share with you, and I

am so excited to share the good work
that he's been doing. He's a great
colleague of ours, and I think he's been
doing a fantastic job. And I know many
of you agree with that.

He wanted me to share that they easily -- the Turkey Creek Nature
Preserve in Pinson easily expects to surpass 100,000 visitors in 2017.

100,000. In July alone they counted over 10,000 people drive through those gates in Pinson. Their summer event,

"Float Your Boat," was one of the biggest events ever with over a thousand in attendance. And I was there, and I can attest that you could not get one more car in that place. It was incredible. Had good weather that day, too.

They cohosted the 2017 Alabama Bat
Blitz with the Alabama Bat Working Group
and the Ruffner Mountain Nature
Preserve. And they also completed

construction on a commercial greenhouse 1 and shade house so that they can propagate their own native plants to 3 do -- to put in restoration projects in 4 Turkey Creek. They're looking forward 5 6 to a number of events, including a hike, 7 a 5K this year. And so that's the update. 8 9 again, if you haven't been to Turkey Creek recently, you better go on a --10 11 early or late in the day when there 12 aren't as many visitors there. We're 1.3 real proud of the activity that place 14 has seen. So thank you. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 15 Thank you, 16 Ms. Vaughan. 17 The last speaker --18 MR. CAUTHEN: Let me ask her something. 19 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. MR. CAUTHEN: Ms. Vaughan, the property that 20 you spoke on previously, Big Canoe 21 Creek --2.2

MS. VAUGHAN: Big Canoe Creek, right.

MR. CAUTHEN: -- now, what could Big Canoe 1 Creek do to take some of the pressure off of Turkey Creek? 3 They're going to have to step up 4 5 their program out there if they're going 6 to get that --7 MS. VAUGHAN: I know. I expect that the Big Canoe Creek Nature Preserve would see 8 9 similar numbers to the Turkey Creek visitors within a few years easily, 10 11 especially with the growth of St. Clair County that you heard about and the 12 1.3 growth of Springville, absolutely. 14 MR. CAUTHEN: Okay. Thank you. MS. VAUGHAN: 15 Thank you. 16 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Our last speaker is Brian Rushing speaking about the 17 Wiregrass Trail. 18 MR. RUSHING: Good morning, Commissioner and 19 members of the board. Thank you for the 20 opportunity to speak. 21 My name is Brian Rushing. I'm 2.2 23 director of Economic Development

Initiatives at the University of Alabama
Center for Economic Development. And we
do quite a bit of work in collaboration
with the Alabama Trails Commission and
with communities around the state
seeking to develop outdoor recreation
projects that enhance quality of life
and help support economic development in
communities through recreational
tourism -- nature-based and outdoor
recreational-based tourism.

On behalf of the Alabama Trails

Commission and the Wiregrass Trail

partnership, I wanted to give you a

quick update on where we are with the

Wiregrass Trail initiative.

As you may recall, this is an approximately 44-mile-long corridor owned by CSX that runs between Andalusia and Geneva in the Wiregrass region of Alabama, southeast-central Alabama. And we have -- this acquisition has been before Forever Wild for some time now.

_ -

In fact, two years ago you approved moving ahead with a first appraisal of this property.

You may also recall that there -that we have \$400,000 in Transportation
Alternatives Program funding through
ALDOT that is dedicated to the
acquisition of this corridor as well as
another \$400,000 Recreational Trails
program grant commitment -- or funding
commitment that has come through -- or
is being administered by ADECA.

Over the last couple of years we've actually been moving through the NEPA process with the Wiregrass Trail partners, and we have completed the NEPA process with the exception of a hazardous materials survey that ALDOT is requiring on the corridor. And they're requiring that it be done to CSX standards, which basically means about 300 soil samples that have to be pulled along the entire length of the corridor.

2.0

Andalusia currently are working on budgeting the funding for that in their fiscal year 2018 budgets. And so they will be looking at moving forward with that survey. And that survey, once it's done, provided that it doesn't uncover any unusual contamination on the corridor, then ALDOT will be in a position to go ahead and approve the moving forward with the acquisition procedure on the corridor.

And so we have that to complete.

And we're also in the process of
developing a memorandum of understanding
that we will put before State Lands
Division the nominal grant recipients or
grantees, which is -- which are the City
of Geneva and Geneva County, as well as
the funding agencies that will govern
how funding will flow back to Forever
Wild should you decide to move forward
with the acquisition ultimately.

So I just wanted to let you know 1 that's where we are in this process. We're finishing up NEPA right now. 3 thank you for your support of this 4 project and your interest in it, and 5 6 we'll keep you posted on our progress moving forward. 7 But this really is an exciting 8 9

But this really is an exciting project that I think can benefit a region of Alabama that really needs a facility like this. It can benefit them in multiple ways.

So thank you for your support of this project. I'm happy to answer any questions. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Mr. Rushing.

That was all of the public comment that we had. This is the part of the meeting where by regulation we usually go into executive session.

So by regulation, appraisal values are confidential during periods of

23

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

negotiation. Accordingly, in order to 1 discuss tract appraisal values, the board will need to go into recess for an 3 executive session. 4 Is there a motion for the board to 5 now recess to attend an executive 6 session? 7 MR. HORN: So move. 8 9 MR. CAUTHEN: Second. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: We have a motion 10 11 and a second. 12 As I call your name, please state 1.3 your position on the motion. If you're 14 in favor, say "aye." If you're opposed, say "no," because we do need to have 15 that roll-call vote on that. 16 So Dr. Sims? 17 DR. SIMS: 18 Aye. 19 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Runyan? MR. RUNYAN: 20 Aye. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 21 Dr. Hepp? DR. HEPP: 2.2 Aye. 23 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Oates?

1	MR. OATES: Aye.
2	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Horn?
3	MR. HORN: Aye.
4	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright?
5	MR. WRIGHT: Aye.
6	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Woods?
7	DR. WOODS: Aye.
8	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Satterfield?
9	MR. SATTERFIELD: Aye.
10	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Valentine?
11	DR. VALENTINE: Aye.
12	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Cauthen?
13	MR. CAUTHEN: Aye.
14	MR. BLANKENSHIP: And I also will vote "aye."
15	So it is 11:04. We anticipate that
16	this executive session will take about
17	20 minutes and then maybe just a
18	few-minute break. So we'll do our best
19	to reconvene by 11:30. Thank you.
20	(Recess for executive session was
21	taken at approximately 11:04 a.m.
22	and the meeting was called back to
23	order at approximately 11:49 p.m.)

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. We are back from executive session. It is 11:49. And we are back to resume the regular meeting.

Next on the agenda, I think we have some reports from staff. One is the financial data. I think Patti Powell is going to handle that. I think that may be in Tab 2.

MS. POWELL: Yes. For the board, that is -- we will start in Tab 2.

And for the benefit of the public, this is the portion of the meeting that we just give some overview of financial information. I know we're running long today, and so I am going to fly through this a little bit.

What we like to do is run through
the tracts that are actively being
worked on by staff for closing that have
received prior motions by this board to
proceed to closing and they're in
various stages of that. But these are

tracts that we subtract from our available -- our balance in the bank just like you do your checking account. If you have a check outstanding, you know, you subtract that. So that's what I want to run through today.

The cash balance is just over

19 million. The following tracts are in some stage of the closing process or are still under negotiation, but we have that money sort of earmarked hoping that the tracts do close.

So those are Coon Gulf-Heard,

Jackson County. Dallas County-WMA

Addition, Dallas County. DeSoto State

Park-French Addition, Dekalb. DeSoto

State Park-Jones Addition. Old Cahawba

Prairie-Childers Creek Addition, Dallas.

Skyline WMA-Pole Branch Addition,

Jackson. Weeks Bay Reserve-Bay Road

West Addition, Baldwin County. Weeks

Bay Reserve-Sunset Shores Addition,

Baldwin County. Grand Bay Savanna-Solet

Addition, Mobile. Lake Lurleen

County.

State Park-Roebuck Addition, Tuscaloosa.

Red Hills-Parris Trust Addition, Monroe.

Tannehill-Ayers Addition, Jefferson

That leaves an available
unencumbered balance of just over
15 million. However, we always have to
talk about the state budgeting process
that yields a different amount for this
board's spending authority. Now, the
current fiscal year will end
September 30th. So this will be
different at our next meeting. But
currently the spending authority is less
than your available balance. The
spending authority is just over
\$7.3 million.

The policy of this board in the past -- although not dictated by law, but the policy of this board has been not to make motions for purchases that exceed the available budgetary spending

authority. Again, that is flexibility
to the board, but that has been past
policy and precedent by this board.

The parcels that have closed already this fiscal year, let me take a second to run through those just quickly: Autauga WMA-Phase II. Dallas County WMA-Phase II. DeSoto State Park-Tutwiler Addition. Guntersville State Park-Stubblefield Mountain Addition. Indian Mountain-Simmons Addition. Monte Sano State Park-Dug Hill West Addition. Old Cahawba-Prairie Addition. Shelby County Park-Shades Creek Addition. Skyline WMA-Threwer Point Addition. Uchee Creek Confluence. Upper Wolf Bay Savanna and Marsh. Weeks Bay Reserve-Meadows Phase II Additions. And Yates Lake-North Addition.

If there are -- are there any questions on the amount or the spending authority or any questions on that by the board?

(No response.)

MS. POWELL: Okay. Then I'll move into just a brief overview of our stewardship fund.

For each purchase the board makes,

15 percent of appraised value goes into
the stewardship fund. If we have a
donation, still 15 percent of appraised
value goes into the stewardship fund
which is designed for long-term care and
maintenance of our tracts.

The stewardship fund always carries a high balance because it is designed to throw off hopefully enough interest to manage our tracts on an annual basis.

We have had to go into the corpus a couple of the last years to provide enough money oftentimes in relation to reforestation activities.

So the current balance of the stewardship fund is just over 34 million. We had reserved -- this board approved potential expenditures for this next year up to 1.5 million.

2.2

The current balance is a little -- of that -- what I'm calling the balance, of that 1.5 that you authorized us to spend on management activities, you would still see in the bank almost a million of that. It is very deceptive. We have some bills already in place coming through related to reforestation activities that equal over \$750,000. This is the time of year where we do a lot of work also. So there will be -- that amount will look less here soon.

But if there are any questions on that, I will be happy to address those.

(No response.)

MS. POWELL: All right. Moving now into an overview of the tracts that have at a prior meeting -- it could have been several meetings back -- but that were approved -- excuse me -- were appraised as a result of a motion for first appraisal. The appraisals that are back and, therefore, that will comprise the

appraised nominations are the following: 1 Barbour WMA-Leak Creek Addition, Barbour County. Big Canoe Creek, 3 St. Clair County. Byrnes Lake, Baldwin 4 5 County. Coosa WMA-Hancock Phase III, 6 Coosa County. Emauhee Creek Lake, 7 Talladega. Laguna Cove, Baldwin. Natural Bridge Creek Tract, Covington. 8 9 Pine Barren Creek Tract, Dallas County. Pintlala Creek, Lowndes County. 10 11 Rickwood Caverns State Park-Helms, Blount County. Skyline WMA-Crow Creek 12 13 Valley Addition, Jackson. Tannehill-Mud Creek Addition, Bibb County. Terrapin 14 Hill, Coosa County. Weeks Bay 15 16 Reserve-Harrod's Farm, Baldwin. Oak Plantation, Macon County. 17 In round numbers, taking all of that 18 together, that's about \$40 million worth 19 of appraised land values, obviously, 20 more than your spending authority. But 21 I'm always the bad news bearer on that. 2.2

But are there any questions on the

appraised nominations from that list or any other financial issues or questions from that report that the board might have?

(No response.)

1.3

2.2

- MS. POWELL: All right. If not, then I think
 we'll move on into the grant status
 update. Doug does this each meeting.
 And generally the board has always in
 the past encouraged my staff to pursue
 leveraging opportunities, grant
 opportunities, and to bring those to the
 board. We do so simply as an update of
 those activities. On any tracts where
 we've got a deadline related to
 potential grant funds, we normally will
 tell you that also. But I'll turn it
 over to Doug.
- MR. DEATON: All right. At the last meeting
 we reported that we had secured a NOAA
 grant through the Weeks Bay Reserve
 staff that covered several Weeks Bay
 Reserve nominations. Those include the

Sunset Shores Addition, Bay Road West 1 Addition, Meadows Phase III Addition, and the Harrod's Farm Addition that was 3 discussed earlier. 4 We're in the closing of the Sunset 5 6 Shores Addition and Bay Road West Addition. Those should close in the 7 next couple of days, and then we'll seek 8 9 reimbursement back to the program for those funds. 10 11 MR. CAUTHEN: Where are you reading from? Sir? 12 MR. DEATON: MR. CAUTHEN: Are you reading from something 1.3 14 that we're supposed to have? MR. DEATON: 15 No, sir. 16 MR. CAUTHEN: Okay. Well, that explains it, then. 17 I had the same question. MR. SATTERFIELD: 18 MR. DEATON: The board initiated a first 19 appraisal of the Meadows Phase III 20 Addition. We're still working on 21 getting deed information to process that 2.2

appraisal. So hopefully we'll have that

together for the board at the next meeting.

We discussed the Harrod's Farm. As just a reminder to the board, it's 235 acres total. It's 80 acres of wetland of which the grant can cover 50 percent of the cost of the wetland acreage.

Are there any questions on that grant?

(No response.)

MR. DEATON: A quick Solet -- Grand Bay
Savanna-Solet Addition update. That's
the property that was donated to us from
TNC. We're still working to get the
final paperwork together. I spoke with
Steve Northcutt. We should have the
final deed soon, and we'll be able to
process that hopefully by the end of
this month and start that.

One tract that we've been working with Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries
Division to secure a Recovery Land
Acquisition grant that could be applied

1.3

to what we call the Red Hills-Section 2 grant. This tract is located in Monroe County. It's approximately 330 acres. If the board chose to secure it, it would help us to secure an inholding within what we call the Red Hill Complex that we currently own.

1.3

2.2

Pending the final award letter, this would provide approximately \$250,000 to apply towards the purchase of this purchase. The grant opportunity has not been awarded, so we can't take advantage of it today. But we anticipate having final notification by the end of September.

- MR. WRIGHT: What tract was that, Doug?
- MR. DEATON: The Red Hills-Section 2. It's 330 acres.
 - DR. WOODS: And what percentage would the grant cover?
 - MR. DEATON: It's -- the amount is 250,000.

 So we would have to have an appraisal to determine the percentage.

DR. WOODS: Okay.

1.3

2.2

MR. DEATON: It is our understanding that -we call it RLA grants. It's been
zero-budgeted for next year. So this
will be the last time to take advantage
of those after this cycle. So it's been
secured, assuming it's awarded to us.
So we can still take advantage of it.

So today the board could do one of three options: Take no action. You could move forward with a first appraisal if you're interested regardless of the award. Or you could make a motion for first appraisal contingent upon us receiving the award letter. That way, if we receive it by the end of September, you wouldn't have to wait until the next meeting for us to come back and ask in November. So that would be two months longer, if the board is interested.

Are there any questions about those?
(No response.)

```
MR. DEATON: All right. That's all I have.
 1
              Chuck, has an update for his PR funds.
        COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP:
                                   Director Sykes.
 3
       MR. SYKES:
                    Thank you, Commissioner.
 4
                   It's 5-F, I think --
 5
 6
        COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: H.
                                       5-H.
       MR. SYKES: 5-H.
                          I've got three. Patti made
 7
              me do three this time, so ...
 8
 9
       MS. POWELL: And let me also, while the board
              is finding 5-H -- you also have -- you
10
11
              have in your green folder on the sort of
12
              cream-colored paper an updated map of
1.3
              Pine Barren Creek that Chuck is about to
14
              talk about. So let's give everybody a
              second to get there.
15
16
                   You have your packet memo, 5-H, and
17
              then the updated map that's in the
              off-color paper in the green folder.
18
19
                  Everybody good?
                     (No response.)
20
       MS. POWELL: All right.
21
       MR. SYKES: May I proceed?
2.2
23
       MS. POWELL: Yes, sir.
```

MR. SYKES: Okay. Thank you.

First of all, y'all see the memo that's in your packet, but I wanted it to be noted on the record how much we appreciate what the board has done over the past few years with us being able to leverage our Pittman-Robertson dollars.

In the past Forever Wild was not used as state match. Most people on the board are familiar that during the last presidential administration gun sales and ammunition sales went through the roof and we were for the first time flush with federal dollars that Alabama hunters had paid into. But without state match we could not access it. So Forever Wild came on board as a state match. We were able to leverage three to one. For every dollar Forever Wild Board put in, we were able to put three of our Pittman-Robertson dollars.

Our first goal was to put back together the Autauga WMA. We exceeded

1.3

that goal. We wanted about 6,000 acres that joined a 300-some-odd-acre tract that our division owned. It's up around 8,000 acres right now. So that was a huge success.

The second part was identifying underserved areas throughout the state that did not have public hunting opportunities. Through our three-to-one leveraging again, the Cedar Creek Special Opportunity Area was purchased in Dallas County, and then the Forever Wild Board purchased on its own the Uchee Creek Confluence Tract in Russell County. Both of those tracts fit right in the middle of some of those underserved areas where people did not have good public hunting opportunities. So I want to thank y'all for that.

At the last meeting when the Pine Barren Creek Tract was nominated and voted for first appraisal, we did not have our federal apportionment, so I

could not tell you how much we would be able to match. I was asked to be able to provide that at this meeting, and I can tell you that we are -- we can obligate three to one again. So our apportionment came in. So if the board chooses to move on the Pine Barren Creek Tract, we will be able to match three dollars to your one dollar.

And while I have the mic, I did want to kind of reiterate what Dr. Youngblood had said. He and I go way back. When this first came about, he called me. We had in-depth discussions about our management strategy for these new special opportunity areas — which if y'all choose to move on this will fall into it. I'm not going to get into all of that right now because that's another tab.

But we will work with the Youngbloods. We want to be good neighbors. I'm a private landowner

I understand that something new 1 myself. with public hunting coming into the neighborhood can be a little concerning, 3 but we're fully aware of that and are 4 willing to sit down and work out any 5 6 issues that may arise. 7 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any --I move -- is it appropriate to 8 MR. CAUTHEN: 9 move on that, Chuck, right now? MS. POWELL: No. Wait until general 10 11 discussion if you have a motion. But if you have a question or anybody else has 12 1.3 a question, let's -- while Chuck is up 14 here, let's go ahead and run through it. 15 DR. WOODS: 16

DR. WOODS: Yes. Dr. Youngblood talked about access to some of this area. Will that access interfere with any of the Forever Wild portions?

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

MR. SYKES: No, sir. It's all deeded access.

We have similar issues on the Cedar

Creek Tract that we've already closed

where there are some inholdings that

have access. So, no, sir, that's --

that's not going to be a problem.

- MR. SATTERFIELD: So to clarify, then, if we proceed with this purchase, the public would still have access to all of these public lands --
- MR. SYKES: Yes, sir.

1.3

2.2

- MR. SATTERFIELD: -- regardless of what side of the agreement you might have with Dr. Youngblood?
- MR. SYKES: We have nothing -- it's just -we've agreed to sit down with the
 Forever Wild staff, State Lands staff,
 and answer any questions and try to come
 to an agreement on access.
- MR. HORN: Chuck, are you comfortable with that?
- MR. SYKES: Absolutely. Like I said, this isn't our first rodeo with this. We've gone through it with Cedar Creek and with numerous other WMAs and Forever Wild properties that have joint access and deeded access. So it's not a big deal as far as we're concerned. And

when it comes to --

Do you want me to go on now and -- MS. POWELL: Might as well.

MR. SYKES: Okay. One of my other memos, while I'm standing here, I think it is under 5-I.

When people think about a piece of property that falls into our WMA system, they think about a large tract of land, gates open, people coming and going whenever and however. The Uchee Creek as well as Cedar Creek -- the sizes of those properties are not conducive to just open-access public hunting.

So what we have done, I included maps in there. And I'll just use Cedar Creek as an example since we're talking about Dallas County. That 6500-acre tract has been broken down into 16 compartments that vary anywhere from three to 500 acres, depending on the road system or SMZs or good boundaries that hunters can utilize.

I'm sorry. Well, close your eyes and I'll explain it to you. You can envision what we're talking about.

different units. Hunters will apply online at "Outdoor Alabama" for access to hunt one of these units. For example, Mr. Wright applies to hunt Cedar Creek the first week of December and he gets the opportunity to hunt. He is assigned one area, and he and Dr. Woods basically have their own 500-acre hunting club for that four-day hunt. All gates will be locked with combination locks that will be changed after every hunt.

It's not going to be a free-for-all basically. We are -- these are unique properties, both Cedar and Uchee, that have tremendous potential to offer something to our hunting public that Alabama has never been able to offer.

If any of y'all hunt out west, if

you apply to go to Wyoming antelope hunting, you get drawn in a specific unit. There's only a limited opportunity for people to be there. So it is a top-quality hunting experience.

So we're offering this to our current constituents of public land hunters, but what was interesting to our staffs was the opportunity to get new customers coming into these areas.

I'm a private landowner, and I think
I'm a pretty decent hunter. So I could
take a map of Bankhead that's 90,000
acres and I could figure out where to go
to kill a deer. But if you're not a
seasoned hunter, that can be
overwhelming. It can be very
intimidating.

So if we break this down into manageable units and you know that only you and your hunting partner are going to be the ones there for that specific hunt, it takes away a lot of the

3

4

5

7

6

9

8

11

10

12

14

1.3

15

16

1718

19

20

21

2.2

23

questions that people have about public land hunting, and I think it opens the door to a new clientele of public land user.

We also have dedicated three of those units to mentored hunts where our staff will take people on not just an afternoon hunt like most programs are. This is a hunting experience where we take them to the field, gun safety, go to the woods and actually do habitat analysis and show them why we do what we do, why we place stands where we put them, take them on a hunt, hopefully harvest an animal, process it, take it back to a camp, have dinner, spend the It's a hunting experience like weekend. we grew up doing that a lot of these people haven't had that opportunity to do.

So we're trying to tap into the whole farm-fresh, cage-free, organic public that -- you know, we were ahead

of the curve. We've been doing that 1 forever. But that's a big segment of our public now, and we want to give them 3 the opportunity in a situation that's 4 5 not real intimidating that they feel 6 like they can have success doing it. 7 MR. OATES: Is that what you envision this Pine Barren --8 9 MR. SYKES: Absolutely. Absolutely. So it will be limited access. 10 11 MR. WRIGHT: So at Cedar Creek the whole place is divided into 16 units? 12 1.3 MR. SYKES: Yes, sir. 14 MR. WRIGHT: On these hunting dates that you have here --15 16 MR. SYKES: Yes, sir. MR. WRIGHT: -- there will be somebody on --17 No, sir. There will only be ten MR. SYKES: 18 19 people at a time. Those units will only be hunted probably three to four times 20 for the season. We are going to manage 21 this as a quality hunting operation. 2.2 23 you may have two people hunting in

section 1, 5, 9, 14, and 16, and then it
may be two weeks before those specific
units are hunted again. Pressure is the
key to having a quality hunt.

Yes, sir.

MR. WRIGHT: And I think you told me this

- MR. WRIGHT: And I think you told me this earlier, but I want to be sure. How do you delineate between a unit?
- MR. SYKES: Units are delineated by roads or SMZs, drainages. And our staff have marked boundaries and posted signs where if you get drawn, you get -- you're going to hunt section 15. You're getting a map, you're getting what's in that section, and you know where the boundaries are.
- MR. WRIGHT: Sounds interesting.
- MR. SYKES: Yes, ma'am.

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- DR. SIMS: How do you and your team determine which properties are best suited for these quality hunting experiences? How do you determine that?
- MR. SYKES: There are several things. The

main point is size and location. And let's face it. 6500 acres on the Alabama River in Dallas County, that's as good as it gets. 4500 acres in Russell County, Uchee Creek. Those are properties that I would have never imagined we would have the opportunity to offer to the hunting public for just an Alabama hunting license and an 18-dollar WMA permit.

These can be destination locations.

This would be open to anybody that purchases a license, not just residents.

Nonresidents that buy a hunting license have participated just as much in our Pittman-Robertson money as residents have.

So you're talking about -- I mean, we already know the economic impact that Forever Wild has had, but we're putting these properties, with y'all's help, into those underserved areas that have not had the opportunity to take

advantage of this.

1.3

2.2

That Cedar Creek Tract is halfway between Camden and Selma. Those people that get drawn for these hunts are going to have someplace to stay, someplace to eat, gas and so forth. It could be a good economic boost to these areas.

So that's what we were looking at.

Size was the main portion and location.

Yes, sir.

- MR. SATTERFIELD: Chuck, who's hunting on these properties now?
- MR. SYKES: Cedar Creek, there has been no hunting for two years. It's taken us two years to take this property down.

 So it's been sitting idle while we've been setting all of this up.

The Pine Barren Tract, I think two brothers own it now. Them and their families hunt it. The Uchee Creek Tract, I think, was one landowner that was just using it for he and his family.

MR. SATTERFIELD: And second question: How

will the people be selected for these hunts?

1.3

2.2

MR. SYKES: It is a random computer selection.

They go online to "Outdoor Alabama."

They select which areas they want to hunt for which days, and then it's a random selection. We're not -- despite what a lot of people think, we're not going in and picking for our friends.

We have nothing to do with that.

I appreciate your work on that to try and find some new ways to attract hunters and to really make some quality hunting opportunities for the public in Alabama. And as the new Commissioner, I'm learning all about it myself, and it sounds very encouraging to me.

MR. SYKES: It's very exciting. As a guy
coming in from the private sector that
set up properties and managed commercial
hunting lodges, this is something that I
would have never thought we would have

been able to offer the public. 1 So, again, thank y'all for your support over the past few years with 3 helping us match those PR dollars. 4 5 DR. HEPP: I've got one more question. 6 MR. SYKES: Yes, sir. What about the -- have you 7 DR. HEPP: considered about the opportunities for 8 9 nonhunters on these properties? Yes, sir. And we are working with 10 MR. SYKES: 11 the State Lands Division to move in that direction, yes, sir. But, honestly, 12 1.3 first thing is first. We were trying to 14 get hunting on there for this year. And as soon as hunting season goes out, then 15 16 we'll start working with the 17 nonconsumptive user. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: And it's not just 18 for deer. This is small game --19 MR. SYKES: This is deer, turkeys. 20 No. We actually have a waterfowl area that 21 we're bringing online up in Jackson 2.2

County. That's a DOT mitigation

project. This is the new model for what we're looking at for our public hunting opportunities because the days of buying 15, 20, 30,000 acres is not going to happen. So we've got to change the way we think. So these smaller tracts managed in a different format is what we're looking for.

MS. POWELL: And our staffs have been talking about different opportunities to bring, obviously, that -- this has a lot of moving parts and being a new program -- and we already know we're probably going to have some lessons learned from how we're doing it. So we're trying not to bite off more than we can beneficially provide to the public.

But, yes, that is the next step. If this works -- be sure this works like we think it works, then look at the seasonality, the different parcels, different tracts, and what we can add to it. Both counties have rich opportunities for recreational usage on
this type of land, and so we're going to
explore, as usual, all of those.

But this has been a tremendous
amount of work, and we need to be sure
it proves out on the ground how we

it proves out on the ground how we intend for it to prove out. Then we'll add to it.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any other questions?

MR. OATES: Are y'all in the process right now of taking applications or whatever it is?

- MR. SYKES: That's a good question. The application process will start August the 28th, and the selection process will take place on October the 3rd. That's for Cedar and Uchee, yes.
- MS. POWELL: And, Chuck, you might -- also,
 while you have the opportunity, why
 don't you tell people where that will be
 posted and when we think everything will
 be online and --

2.2

It will be on the "Outdoor MR. SYKES: 1 Alabama" website under a public hunting page that you'll be able to go in and 3 view all of the hunts, all of the 4 It's not just these SOA 5 opportunities. 6 opportunities. It's our youth dove It's the state cattle ranch 7 program. hunts. All of that is going to be under 8 9 one location where everybody can go see what they would like to participate in. 10 11 MS. POWELL: And we'll have -- for those who are used to going to the 12

MS. POWELL: And we'll have -- for those who
 are used to going to the
 "Alabamaforeverwild.com" site, we'll
 have a link there. But we are trying to
 keep it consolidated and keep that
 information easily viewable in one spot.

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

MR. OATES: Can a hunter only get one day or one --

MR. SYKES: These hunts are broken down.

Turkey hunts are two-day hunts. Deer hunts are four-day hunts. The duck hunts, some of them are two; some of them are four-day hunts.

We tried to place them around prime 1 hunting times just -- you know, at Uchee and Cedar, bow season hunts, we selected 3 units that had good creek frontage, 4 5 hardwood frontage or -- we tried to work 6 this -- I mean, our staffs have been 7 working on this for two years trying to get it right. And like Director Powell 8 9 said, we know that there's going to be some issues. There's going to be some 10 11 hiccups anytime you do a new program of 12 this magnitude, but I think we can 1.3 handle it. 14 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Chuck. MR. SYKES: 15 Thank y'all. 16 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Jo, I think you're 17 up on Tab 4, our short list update. Tab 4. 18 MS. LEWIS: For the sake of the audience and 19 the minutes, I'm Jo Lewis with the State 20 Lands Division, and I'm going to run 21 through the short-listed nominations. 2.2 23 As always, the short list is

8

9

10

comprised of the top -- the highest-scoring three nominations in each geographic region of the state in each of the four categories of use. Hypothetically, there could be 36. I forgot to count how many we have this time. It's about 20.

I'm going to for the sake of the minutes go through this. These maps are in your packet, and the list is Tab 4-A. We have -- and Ashley is running through the maps on the screen behind you, if you would prefer, or you can flip through your Tab 4-B, the same information.

We have Autauga WMA-Swift Creek
Addition. Chandler Mountain-Simpson.
Coldwater Mountain Phase I, Amended
Version. Coldwater Mountain-Rice
Addition. Coldwater Mountain-Sarrell
Addition. Coosa River Tract. Deer Head
Cove-Hartline. Dear Head Cove-Low Gap.
D'Olive Bay. Horse Creek National

1	Forest Retreat. MTD, which is
2	Mobile-Tensaw Delta, Pine Log Creek
3	Addition. MTD-Simmons Addition.
4	Patterson Creek. Red Hills-Flat Creek
5	Addition. Red Hills-Section 2. Skyline
6	WMA-Crow Mountain Addition, which is
7	different than the Crow Creek Valley
8	we've been discussing. Tannehill-South
9	Addition. And WBR, which is Weeks Bay
10	Reserve, Meadows Gill Addition.
11	I've been extremely brief because
12	time is of the essence. If you have any
13	questions about these, I'd be happy to
14	answer them.
15	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any questions for
16	Jo?
17	(No response.)
18	MS. LEWIS: Thank you.
19	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you.
20	Now we'll move into general
21	discussion.
22	MS. POWELL: Commissioner, I just want to
23	orient the board for a second as we move

_

into this section of the agenda. This is the time at each meeting you often make a lot of motions. It's also an opportunity, though, just generally to ask any questions you may have of staff. If we need to revisit something presented by a speaker, we can also do it during this portion.

members potentially making motions, I wanted you to be sure you had available your Tab 3-A, which is the appraised nominations, and your Tab 4-A, which is the short list. As you hear references to various motions, you will look to those, Tab 4 and Tab 3, for maps if you want to look. And so I just want to give everybody a second to get oriented.

And then, Commissioner, I may just stand up here, if you don't care, as y'all go through motions. That way if -- it will help me flip while y'all flip. And if we need to work on a

motion, I'll try to help folks. 1 can tell me what you want to do, I'll try to help you do it. 3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. 4 I'd like to make a motion for a 5 DR. WOODS: 6 first appraisal on the Red Hills-Section 2 in Monroe County. 7 this is contingent upon receiving the 8 grant from the -- is it RLA? 9 MS. POWELL: And that was Dr. Woods with a 10 11 first on that. So is everybody -- have you found it 12 1.3 on --14 MR. HORN: What property was that again? The Red Hills ... 15 MS. POWELL: 16 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So the motion is to move for a first appraisal on the Red 17 Hills-Section 2 contingent upon the --18 19 DR. WOODS: Receiving the RLA grant. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: -- receiving the 20 21 RLA grant. That's the motion. Is there a 2.2 23 second?

1	DR. VALENTINE: I'll second.
2	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by
3	Dr. Valentine.
4	Any discussion?
5	(No response.)
6	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All of those in
7	favor say "aye."
8	(All board members present respond
9	"aye.")
10	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
11	(No response.)
12	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. Thank
13	you.
14	MR. CAUTHEN: Commissioner?
15	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir,
16	Mr. Sonny.
17	MR. CAUTHEN: I'd like to make a motion that
18	we move forward with the Pine Barren
19	Creek Tract.
20	MR. HORN: I would second that.
21	MS. POWELL: And if we want to move forward on
22	that one, let's also include in the
23	motion under the three-to-one match

proposal. I think if you'll look at your memo on that one in 5-H ...

Just for clarity of the record and the apportionment of that, you may want to move pursuant to that -- referencing the three to one. But I'll let y'all get to 5-H.

- MR. CAUTHEN: Are we going to get a second?
 MR. SATTERFIELD: You got a second.
- MS. POWELL: You have a second, but you may want to think about amending the motion to expressly note the three-to-one match.

MR. CAUTHEN: So move.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: To clarify the motion for the board, I think the motion is to -- that Mr. Cauthen has made is to move forward for a second appraisal on the Pine Barren Creek nomination under the condition that the Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division will provide the three-to-one match for that property.

1.3

2.2

MS. POWELL: That's appraisal and purchase, 1 yes. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So that's the 3 motion. And does the seconder agree 4 with that? 5 6 MR. HORN: I agree. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Horn indicated 7 he does agree with that. 8 9 Any other discussion? I would just urge that Director 10 MR. OATES: 11 Sykes continue discussions with 12 Dr. Youngblood to make sure they're --1.3 have those discussions and do the best 14 they can to alleviate some of his 15 concerns. 16 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. Any other --17 I'm sorry. We couldn't hear MR. SATTERFIELD: 18 that clarification down here. 19 20 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Oates just wanted to ensure that Director Sykes 21 continued to work with Mr. Youngblood to 2.2 alleviate his concerns and work together 23

1	on that as this moves forward. And I
2	think Director Sykes has indicated he
3	will gladly do that.
4	Any other discussion?
5	(No response.)
6	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All of those in
7	favor say "aye."
8	(All board members present respond
9	"aye.")
10	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
11	(No response.)
12	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: None. Thank you.
13	Next.
14	MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask
15	for a first appraisal on Coldwater
16	Mountain-Rice Addition, please, 20
17	acres.
18	DR. WOODS: Second.
19	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Sorry. Give me
20	just a second to get there.
21	Coldwater
22	MS. POWELL: Coldwater Mountain-Rice Addition.
23	It is on the short list, so it's a

1	motion for first appraisal.
2	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: And was there a
3	second?
4	DR. WOODS: Second.
5	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by
6	Dr. Woods.
7	Any discussion?
8	(No response.)
9	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All of those in
10	favor say "aye."
11	(All board members present respond
12	"aye.")
13	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
14	(No response.)
15	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Seeing none, motion
16	carries.
17	MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman?
18	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir,
19	Mr. Satterfield.
20	MR. SATTERFIELD: I would like to make a
21	motion we proceed with the second
22	appraisal and purchase on the Big Canoe
23	Creek property.

MR. CAUTHEN: I second that. 1 MR. WRIGHT: Could we make that into a motion contingent with -- Mayor Isley said that 3 he would -- you know, that the council 4 and everybody was on board with it, and 5 he -- he said he would put that in 6 writing. 7 MS. POWELL: So just contingent upon receipt 8 9 of approval of the local government authority? 10 11 MR. WRIGHT: Yes. 12 DR. SIMS: (Inaudible). 1.3 (Brief interruption by the court 14 reporter.) I'm sorry. Could you speak up, 15 MS. POWELL: 16 Dr. Sims? 17 I'm sorry. If you would, use that microphone. 18 DR. SIMS: What clarification were you needing 19 to move forward with the Big Canoe Creek 20 21 property? MS. POWELL: I think that what Mr. Wright was 2.2 23 mentioning was just he would like just

1

3

5

4

6 7

8 9

10

11

use.

MS. POWELL:

12 1.3

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

confirmation in writing from the speaker we had from the city that the city had approved -- their council had approved and was supportive of the program proceeding with the acquisition.

Is that correct, Mr. Wright?

And that they would do some MR. WRIGHT: Yes. work with the property to help Forever Wild improve the property for public

Okay. So if we are going -- if we're going to put -- we can put any condition or contingency on it that we would like to. If there are specific aspects of that beyond approval of the written confirmation by the local government of their support of the acquisition and just general operational support, then we need to define -- if we're putting a contingency on it, we just need to define it in a way to know

So the written confirmation of local

if we've met it.

government, approval through resolution, 1 we can come up with something about that for the motion. If you want something 3 more specific as to support maintenance 4 5 that may have been offered, then we may 6 need to go back and see what we can get in writing on that or define the 7 contingency in a way that we can confirm 8 9 the city has met that. So y'all help Help me out. 10 me. I'm comfortable with the commitment 11 MR. HORN: that -- we had Mr. Hill, the state 12 1.3 representative, and Mayor Isley both speak to that. So I'm comfortable with 14 the commitment that they have made to 15 16 this property. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: And that will be 17 reflected in the minutes of this meeting 18 19 that they spoke and --MR. WRIGHT: It was in the minutes? 20 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. 21 MR. HORN: That's right. 2.2 23 MR. WRIGHT: That's good. That's good.

1	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So if I could help
2	clarify, Mr. Satterfield, if you don't
3	mind, the motion is to move forward with
4	the Big Canoe Creek second appraisal and
5	proceed to purchase?
6	MR. SATTERFIELD: That's correct.
7	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: And the second,
8	that was from Mr. Sonny Cauthen?
9	MR. CAUTHEN: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any other
11	discussion?
12	(No response.)
13	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All of those in
14	favor say "aye."
15	(All board members present respond
16	"aye.")
17	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
18	(No response.)
19	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: None. Motion
20	passes.
21	Thank you. Any other
22	DR. SIMS: I'd like to make a motion that we
23	move for a second appraisal and move to
	1

purchase the Skyline WMA Crow Creek 1 Valley Addition. MS. POWELL: Now, this one also has a memo on 3 it in section 5 -- y'all give me a 4 5 second. 6 5-G. While I'm flipping, y'all flip. 7 That's a technical term. My lawyer days 8 paid off. 9 To give everybody just a second in 10 11 looking at that, this, as a reminder, is the tract that we had a speaker on today 12 1.3 confirming the owner's willingness to 14 remove the outlying parcel to the right. So, Dr. Sims, I may take just a 15 16 minute for us to walk through how we get 17 to where we need to get. Let me ask you this question just to 18 get your motion set: It would be to 19 proceed -- for second appraisal and 20 proceed to purchase with the elimination 21 of the parcel adjacent to the Crow 2.2 23 Creek -- well, that's not going to help

1 us. Was it 189? What was the acreage? COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 189. 3 MR. DEATON: 189. 4 MS. POWELL: So your motion would be to 5 6 proceed with purchase minus the parcel of approximately 189 acres, to move 7 forward in that fashion? 8 9 DR. SIMS: That's exactly my motion. MR. CAUTHEN: I second that motion. 10 11 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: It was a motion by Dr. Sims, seconded by Mr. Cauthen. 12 1.3 MS. POWELL: And now we have discussion. 14 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Now, is there any discussion on that? 15 16 MR. HORN: Yeah. MR. RUNYAN: We've got 400 acres of ag ground 17 out there. What are we going to do with 18 it? 19 MS. POWELL: These are -- these are the 20 options, and these are discussed in 5-G. 21 Obviously, one option, as always the 2.2

option of this board, is no action.

1

2

4

3

5

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

If you were to proceed in some manner with the purchase, Director Sykes through the Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division has offered with -now, not the Pittman-Robertson funds that were discussed earlier, but simply with some Wildlife and Freshwater Fishery Division funds to proceed with purchase of, on your map in 5-G, the more northern parcel, kind of blue, where the polka dots show the ag acreage -- for Wildlife to basically take on the responsibility of purchasing with their own funds that acreage with Forever Wild purchasing the remainder to the south of that line that you'll see in green, I believe. So that would -that's another option.

The final option would be proceeding with acquisition of the nomination per Dr. Sims' motion and with the board discussing whether or not -- you know, Chuck believes for management of that

acreage for duck hunting he would like 1 to retain that acreage in active management -- or active production. 3 This board could purchase the tract and 5 then lease out those parcels for ag 6 production. You have the ability to 7 make decisions on private leases if you want to. 8 9 If you -- I guess a fourth option, which I didn't mention, is if there's a 10

different request to the owner by this board of some other nature.

But those are the options. Dr. Sims' motion that's been on the table and seconded would be to -- for Forever Wild to purchase all the acreage that you see in the blue and the green on the map. So discussion at this point would be on the --

(Multiples speakers.)

MS. POWELL: Y'all, I'm sorry for a second.

Now, first of all, Sonny, be sure --Nyla, could you help and see if his mic

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

1 is on?

If we could try to talk kind of one at a time and be sure you're close enough to the mic so the public can also benefit from the discussion.

Y'all, give us a second to get the other mics on.

Mr. Oates, could you see if yours is on?

Okay. Thank you. Now, I'm sorry, y'all.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Horn.

MR. HORN: Dr. Sims, one -- relative to your motion, one -- I think we've had a lot of -- or I've had a lot of thought about this piece of property, and one thing that we have always done, or I think we have, is to avoid any production of agriculture property, the purchase of that.

We've got some people that are not represented -- well, not on board or not necessarily here that have been

supportive of the Forever Wild program 1 that are adamantly opposed to us buying any land that is in production or -- and 3 so I would encourage us to consider 4 maybe moving forward with this but 5 6 excluding the agricultural -- the portion of this property that is 7 involved in agricultural production. 8 think that would serve us well in the 9 future if we did that. 10 11 MR. OATES: And I would second that, too. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So would that be --12 1.3 would you like to make that in the form of a substitute motion? 14 MS. POWELL: Well, I think if you're 15 16 proposing -- if you're proposing no action, then it would be a withdrawal of 17 that motion and consider a different 18 motion. 19 And, Mr. Horn, I'm just not sure if 20 you're suggesting simply not proceeding 21 with the parcel as a whole or possibly 2.2

proceeding in a split purchase. I just

want to clarify. That will help me with --

1.3

2.2

- MR. HORN: I don't have -- I guess what -- I guess I'm proposing a split purchase, just eliminating or dropping the portion that is in agricultural production. I do not have any problem with the balance of the property. If that is an option, I guess it would be offered by the owner.
- MR. OATES: That may be something y'all would have to -- staff would have to have further discussions with the owner on, I guess.
- MS. POWELL: So it would be splitting off of the agricultural acreage perhaps in something like that's on your map but it not being purchased by Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division, just simply not -- seeing if the owner would talk with the board about simply the portion in green on this map in 5-G.

MR. HORN: Yes.

MS. POWELL: I want to be sure I understand. 1 MR. HORN: Yes. MS. POWELL: So I think, Commissioner, if --3 first of all, it's still with Dr. Sims 4 5 as to whether to proceed with her motion and a second and to a vote or whether 6 she wants to withdraw that motion and us 7 take it one step at a time. 8 MR. SATTERFIELD: And may I ask a question 9 before we do that? 10 11 MS. POWELL: Yes, sir. 12 MR. SATTERFIELD: If we proceed on that basis 1.3 and you split out the agricultural 14 property, would that not require a 15 reappraisal of the main property that's 16 left? It's my understanding that if we 17 18 just --19 MS. POWELL: Correct. MR. SATTERFIELD: -- remove the 189 acres, 20 that would not require a reappraisal 21 because those tracts were separately 2.2 23 appraised anyway. But if we split off

the 400 acres, roughly, of the 1 agricultural property, then we would 3 MS. POWELL: At least an update of that 4 5 6 8 9 10 11 12 1.3 14 15 16 owner. 17 without further work. 18 19 20

21

22

23

have to go back for a reappraisal of -appraisal to confirm the valuation being a different -- we couldn't take a per-acre calculation with the significant ag acreage. But as to -- if we get to there and have a motion related to that, I would have to bring up that, yes, staff is going to have to work on some updated appraisal information, whether it's Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries obtaining the parcel or just another split with the I can't split off the ag acreage and know what the appraised value is be able to proceed today until we get

- MR. SATTERFIELD: In which event we would not that update?
- MS. POWELL. That is correct. Staff would have to work on that with the appraiser

and come back to you with that

valuation. It shouldn't be as much -
and that would not be as expensive, I

wouldn't expect, as a new appraisal, but

there would be some expense in going

back to the appraiser and asking for a

split-parcel valuation.

- DR. WOODS: Would there still have to be a reappraisal if Fish and Wildlife wanted to go forward with the --
- MS. POWELL: This one doesn't have any
 Pittman-Robertson. So when we talk
 about Wildlife, our division, Wildlife
 and Freshwater Fisheries, Director
 Sykes' division.
- DR. WOODS: Yes. I'm sorry.
- MS. POWELL: They can -- I would presume they could work off the same appraisal work as we would. But we have to know what we're purchasing and what the value is, and I can't do a per-acre value on this one due to the difference in the type of acreage.

1.3

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So if there was a 1 desire to proceed with Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries purchasing that 400 3 acres in agriculture, then you would 4 still have to come back to the board 5 6 with an updated appraisal on what the difference in --7 MS. POWELL: That is correct. This board --8 9 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: -- the value of the two parcels would be? 10 11 MS. POWELL: This board could not purchase acreage until I come back to you with 12 1.3 a -- lesser acreage until I come back to 14 you with the appraisal work, which we certainly can, and bring that back for 15 16 evaluation. But I just want to step through --17 you know, that's a decision to be 18 made --19 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So we have a motion 20 on the floor. 21 DR. SIMS: I'll withdraw my previous motion. 2.2 23 MR. CAUTHEN: I want to make a comment before

she withdraws it.

1.3

Why couldn't we purchase it and leave it in agriculture?

- MS. POWELL: Well, that is what's being proposed is purchasing and leaving it in agriculture. Chuck's division actually wants the agriculture to continue. They believe, as described in their memo, it's a critical element of that waterfowl hunting there.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: But as I understand

 Mr. Horn's comments, the concern is the potential precedent that sets in terms of dealing with ag -- with Forever Wild purchasing agricultural lands which we have tried -- which this board has tried to avoid in the past. So that would be a major new policy decision that this purchase would create if you decide to go forward with that today.
- MS. POWELL: And, Mr. Cauthen, I believe the point Mr. Satterfield is making is even if we kept it in production -- correct

me if I'm wrong, Mr. Satterfield. 1 MR. SATTERFIELD: Right. MS. POWELL: But your comments relate to even 3 retaining production on the land -- your 4 concerning comment relates to the 5 6 acquisition itself. 7 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. Dr. Sims had indicated she would 8 9 withdraw her motion. DR. SIMS: Withdraw it. 10 11 MS. POWELL: So we're right for more questions or for an additional motion or whatever. 12 1.3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Right. So is there a -- is there any interest in a motion 14 to have the staff look at this to be 15 16 reappraised as two parcels or just -- if there's not -- I'm not suggesting. I'm 17 18 just asking. Is there a motion for that? If not, 19 we'll move on. 20 DR. SIMS: Is it possible for us to move 21 forward with the portion minus the 2.2 23 agricultural -- the ag-based --

MS. POWELL: That would be, first of all, a question for the owner. Second of all, it would require us to go back and re-evaluate our scoring.

We do that with a change of any acreage. I just would have to say on this one that would be a significant change as to part of the scoring and the hunting opportunities that might be provided by it. The staff can certainly look at both of those.

Commissioner, my only request would be if the board does want -- I just like board approval before we spend money.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: I agree.

MS. POWELL: And so the staff looking at scoring and looking at alternatives and bringing it back we can easily do.

That's at no cost. Don't really need a vote necessarily on that.

If there is a motion or an interest of this board to proceed with two parcels with Wildlife purchasing one,

1.3

2.2

then I would prefer some action by the board asking staff to proceed with that expense of appraisal work.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Horn.

MR. HORN: Dr. Sims, I don't have any problem with moving forward on that if we excluded the agricultural property. I would be supportive of that if it's something you would like to pursue.

MR. OATES: And I would, too.

DR. SIMS: I would like to move forward --

MS. POWELL: So for that, I would need to take that back from a staff perspective, relook at scoring, look at how -- if there is a short-list impact, and come back with some potential explanation of that to the board of how we would re-evaluate -- how that tract would do on re-evaluation.

We can entertain other ideas, but for that one, that's what I would request. It really requires no board motion, but I will need to come back to

202122

23

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

you at the next meeting with a scoring 1 update and other observations. Okav. Thank you. 3 DR. SIMS: COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. 4 5 other -- any other business under 6 general discussion? Could I ask for a clarification? 7 MR. HORN: COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. 8 9 MR. HORN: Ms. Powell, on the Terrapin Hill, the Pinhoti Trail property, can you kind 10 11 of update -- I know there's been a good bit of discussion between the Coosa 12 1.3 County Commission and The Conservation So where do we stand and what are 14 Fund. our options on that? 15 16 MS. POWELL: Okay. Before I dive into that, if y'all could, please pull out 5-J, and 17 it might give you some context as I move 18 19 through that. Mr. Horn, where we stand from my 20 knowledge and based upon Mr. Schock's 21 comments today, after a lot of 2.2 23 discussion, the Coosa County Commission

did agree to a proposal. They voted two for, one against, with two abstentions to approve, which makes an approval.

And we note that in the memo, that that under their process is an approval.

1.3

The proposal to The Conservation

Fund that you will see -- you will see the Coosa County Commission

letterhead -- that asked for some acreage to be removed from The

Conservation Fund's nomination also required The Conservation -- well, you can see -- not to sell certain acreage and to provide \$20,000 to the Coosa

County Commission to offset taxes. But I'll let y'all read the Coosa County letter. I'll give you just a second.

And this was what was approved by their commission vote with the abstentions.

DR. WOODS: Who supplies the \$20,000?

MS. POWELL: The Conservation Fund according to this proposal.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

And so I had some correspondence with Mr. Schock. Per our procedure, since The Conservation Fund is the owner, I did want confirmation that they had accepted all aspects of the Coosa County Commission proposal and also would need The Conservation Fund as owner of the property to request their nomination be revised, specifically provide us the map, the acreage, et cetera. We would then with any change in acreage do a double-check on the scoring to be sure we have maintained a short-listed nomination and then also evaluate whether we need updated appraisal work.

Because of the split in acreage,
meaning Forever Wild would take sort of
road frontage, I do think it would
require additional appraisal work. I do
not believe this is one the appraiser is
going to say it's fine to do a per-acre
deduction on.

So that's where we are. If the board -- similar to what we just discussed, because it would be some cost of appraisals, if the board would like for us to proceed -- we're still waiting -- obviously, I'll get the written confirmations we've asked for from Mr. Schock that The Conservation Fund has agreed to this proposal. But with that, you know, we can proceed with bringing back to you the revised appraisal work, the revised scoring at the next meeting for potential consideration for acquisition.

But I think at this meeting all we would do is -- if there's board consensus on us spending again -- not necessarily a new appraisal, but it is going to be a little bit of an expense to get this nomination revised.

MR. HORN: So that would basically require a renomination --

MS. POWELL: Just a revised -- I mean, I think

we'll get that from Mr. Schock, get the details and give that to the appraiser.

For this board it would mean you couldn't move to purchase until the next meeting.

But at this meeting I would ask

for -- if there is, you know, a vote of

the board as to proceeding with the

expenditure of funds to reappraise under

the proposal that was offered and

accepted.

- MR. HORN: Would you need a motion on that or just consensus of the board to bring that back to us at the next --
- MS. POWELL: Well, it's an expenditure of funds -- I would like a motion. Let me say I would like a motion to proceed.
- MR. HORN: I'd like to move that we move forward under the conditions of the letter from the county commission and based on your conversation with Mr. Schock.
- MS. POWELL: And move forward with the updated

1.3

2.2

appraisal work? 1 Yes. MR. HORN: Yes. DR. HEPP: I would be against moving forward. 3 I mean, the Coosa County commissioners 4 have fought this every step of the way. 5 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Well, let me --6 7 before we start discussing that, we have a motion. Let me see if I have a 8 9 second. Is there a second to Mr. Horn's 10 motion? 11 MR. CAUTHEN: I second it. 12 1.3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Cauthen. 14 All right. Now we can have discussion. Dr. Hepp. 15 16 DR. HEPP: Yeah. I mean, this -- we've been discussing this Pinhoti Trail-Coosa 17 County property for a long time. And 18 they -- you know, the commissioners and 19 20 their surrogates have come and met with us last February down at Montgomery, and 21 they've fought this every step of the 2.2

23

way.

What they're proposing here is no --1 not much different than what they proposed a couple of years ago about 3 restricting the amount of space. And 4 because of some of their other actions, 5 6 I -- you know, as a board member, I don't think we should be working with 7 those types of people representing their 8 9 county. So, I mean, that's my stand. 10 11 it's completely flip-flopped from --

So, I mean, that's my stand. And it's completely flip-flopped from -- it's flip-flopped because of the behavior of those entities in Coosa County.

- MR. HORN: That being the commission? Is that who you're referring to as the entity that --
- DR. HEPP: Yeah. And, you know, just look at the last legislative session and see who the -- some of the sponsors of those bills were.

So, I mean, that's where I'm coming from. I mean, we don't -- I don't think

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

Forever Wild needs to be dealing with --1 we want people to value what we do. do a lot of good work for the state of 3 Alabama. I think a lot of people --4 5 they see that. And so that's all I'm 6 going to say. 7 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any other comments,

- discussion on the motion?
- MR. WRIGHT: I'll agree. I think we should just stay out of that situation. don't like that 800-foot strip. seems like a burden to everybody.
- MR. OATES: I haven't been on this board for, obviously, this long. But does this tie into the Appalachian Trail expansion and that stuff that's been discussed as well?
- I think the hope would be that --MS. POWELL: now to actually obtain that designation, there are a bunch of hoops you have to jump through. But it is -- certainly the goal would be -- of the nomination would be to provide that type of

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

experience, whether it would meet additional requirements or --

- MR. OATES: I guess the Forestry Commission owns the Weogufka State Forest in there and Flagg Mountain property which would tie into this as well.
- MS. POWELL: And so -- and let me -- for this, you know, we normally have to have a certain three-fourths vote to acquire an interest in land. We're not really acquiring an interest in land, but we are, you know, talking about engaging in additional expense, which is why, you know, I asked -- and so if there's any more discussion, that's fine.

If you want to do -- address the motion and a second to move forward with the staff proceeding with updating appraisal work that I believe that Mr. Horn made and we have a second and there's a vote on that, I would ask for a roll call just to have an idea of what that is. But I don't think it

requires -- would require the full
three-fourths vote, but I would
certainly want the majority of the board

MR. HORN: Dr. Hepp, I tend to agree with you on some of the points. It would be nice for this to be a little cleaner decision on everybody's part than it is. I do find that there's a lot of support in Coosa County for this. I know there's some split support and abstention on part of the county commission. Although we did get approval from the commission and we've met with them a number of times, it's not as clean as we would

like it to be.

wanting us to engage in that expense.

I do -- in reference to the last session of the legislature, Mr. Tuggle, of course, proposed a bill that would deal with ad valorem tax on Forever Wild property that is owned in Coosa County.

And I've met with him several times.

I'm convinced -- I don't have any proof,

but I'm convinced that bill would have
been brought forward either way, even
without what we're dealing with on this
particular piece of property. So I
think that train is out of the shed and
is not going to be put back in. But,
anyway, just a couple of comments
relative to your comment.

MR. OATES: I would second that, Horace. I

1.3

2.2

MR. OATES: I would second that, Horace. I
haven't dealt with this property per se,
but I've dealt with a lot of the folks
in Coosa County on our property and what
they would like to do with ours. And I
think this would tie into that. And
I've heard a lot of sentiment that, you
know, they would like to see this move
forward, so ...

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. Yes, sir, Mr. Satterfield.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, of course, I'm new to the board, but I've been listening and studying this discussion for the last couple of meetings. And

like some of the other members of the board, I'm troubled by moving forward on a process that has been as controversial as this has been, at least that I've heard discussed in the last two meetings. So I would urge the board to be cautious, and I would -- I would urge us not to move forward on making this decision at this time.

MR. CAUTHEN: You got a motion and a second?

MR. RUNYAN: We've had a good bit of

discussion for the last several years

about this, the good, bad, whatever you

would like to say. It seems like the

parties involved are coming up with a

reasonable conclusion that would benefit

the Pinhoti Trail if that was the goal

from the beginning. And, now, we

weren't involved with all the

negotiations behind the scenes.

What we're looking at now is perhaps a solution that would tie into Weogufka, Pinhoti Trail, you know, and it could

have some merits that benefit everybody. 1 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So I think we've had a pretty good bit of discussion on 3 this. 4 DR. WOODS: Well, I will say one thing. 5 6 was -- what was the vote again? I think two in favor --7 MS. POWELL: Two in favor, one against, with 8 9 two abstentions. But that is proper procedure there for -- I mean, that is 10 11 an approval. We're not concerned about 12 the validity of the approval of the 1.3 proposal. 14 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So as a reminder, I think the motion is to have the staff 15 16 look at --17 MS. POWELL: To proceed with the appraisal work necessary to bring the nomination 18 19 back. We're going to have to rescore, which is free, but appraisals cost 20 21 money. So the motion, I believe, was, you 2.2 23 know, approving staff proceeding with

1	the expense associated with updated
2	appraisal work and to then come back to
3	this board for a decision or not on
4	acquisition.
5	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Is that your
6	understanding
7	MR. HORN: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
8	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. So
9	let's move forward at this time with a
10	vote. And I will have a roll-call vote,
11	please. And we'll start at the other
12	end of the table with Mr. Cauthen.
13	MR. CAUTHEN: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Valentine?
15	DR. VALENTINE: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Satterfield?
17	MR. SATTERFIELD: No.
18	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Woods?
19	DR. WOODS: No.
20	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright?
21	MR. WRIGHT: No.
22	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Horn?
23	MR. HORN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Oates? 1 MR. OATES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Hepp? 3 DR. HEPP: No. 4 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: 5 Mr. Runyan? 6 MR. RUNYAN: Yes. 7 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Sims? DR. SIMS: No. 8 9 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Well, that's five to five. 10 11 MS. POWELL: Welcome to the board, Commissioner. 12 1.3 MR. SATTERFIELD: That's why you get paid the 14 big bucks. MS. POWELL: I didn't plan this, I promise, 15 16 but it is kind of funny. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: And I will vote 17 18 yes. 19 MS. POWELL: Okay. So with that, all we will do is proceed with -- what we'll bring 20 back to the board is a clear revised 21 map, the information we receive from a 2.2

new scoring to be sure it retains a

short-list position and, also, the updated appraisal value. And at that point we'll have -- you know, again, for actual acquisition we're going to need, you know, a higher vote.

But we will bring that back at the next meeting again. In the interim, if you have any questions or anything else anybody would like to see when we bring back additional information, you know, please let the staff know, and we'll incorporate that into that memo.

- MR. HORN: And so I think -- I hate to have a split vote of the board on -- so we do have an opportunity for the staff to bring this back to the board for reconsideration and at that point in time make another decision on whether to move forward with this or not?
- MS. POWELL: That is correct. And if any board members have additional questions they want covered in that memo other than what I have discussed, we would

normally bring back -- if you'll let 1 staff know, we'll try to incorporate any of your questions and present as much 3 information as we can at the next 4 5 meeting. 6 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All right. Any 7 other general discussion? (No response.) 8 9 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Thank you. And we'll move to miscellaneous 10 11 reports. I think, Doug, we have a 12 report from you on the Burgess Proposed 1.3 Land Swap Request. MS. POWELL: Yes, Commissioner. Doug will 14 come up and cover those. As the board 15 16 will notice, we have three land swaps, 5-A, B, and C. I will go ahead --17 because I've had a couple of questions 18 from the board already. 19 20 Both Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division and State Lands staff 21 have evaluated those land swaps and are 2.2 23 supportive of them. But they are in

different stages. And so Doug will tell you about each one and discuss any board action that might be necessary, but each memo A, B, C, will have that.

And then there is in your green folder an updated 5-C. We had, I think, a little bit of acreage update in that one. But, anyway, for 5-C you do have an updated memo.

MR. DEATON: All right. The first one that we'll cover is the Burgess Land Swap Request. As you see, you have a memo there describing it and a final map of the acreage swap.

This tract was -- this swap was first brought to us at the May 12th meeting in 2016, last year, and it was presented by the Natural Resource Group on behalf of Mr. Brian Burgess.

The land swap request proposed the exchange of acreage owned by Forever Wild and Wildlife located in the Lauderdale WMA for acreage owned by

1.3

Mr. Burgess which is adjacent to the Freedom Hills Wildlife Management Area, which you can see on the map there provided.

Prior to this proposal being

presented, as Patti said, we reviewed -
we feel like it's a good swap. It helps

us block up our acreage, and it gives us

access into property we haven't

previously had public access into. It

gives us main road frontage into these

tracts.

At the time of the presentation, considering the expenses for appraisal work, Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries took on that burden as long as -- as well as Mr. Burgess. So they split the cost. There was no expense to the board. At that time the board agreed to allow both parties to move forward with appraisals.

And so we've gotten those appraisals back, and it has resulted in a

value-for-value swap. Pursuant to the 1 swap, Forever Wild will be receiving 397.5 acres in lieu of giving up 3 350 acres. 4 Assuming the terms of exchange are 5 6 acceptable by the board, we would need a 7 motion to approve the exchange. Burgess motion -- the Burgess memo in 8 9 your packet has some suggested language if the board desires to proceed. 10 11 And I can answer any questions. 12 Also, Mr. Stephan Tomlinson here, 1.3 Mr. Burgess' agent, can answer any 14 questions as well. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any questions? 15 16 (No response.) COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Is there a motion? 17 MR. WRIGHT: Do that one more time with the 18 19 figures of the acres. MR. DEATON: Forever Wild, we would be 20 receiving 397.5 acres in lieu of 350 21 Forever Wild acres given to Mr. Burgess. 2.2 So we would receive an additional 47 23

1 acres. MR. WRIGHT: No money exchanged? No money exchanged, that's 3 MR. DEATON: correct. 4 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Satterfield. 5 6 MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I move that 7 the board approve the State Lands Division to proceed with the closing of 8 9 the proposed Burgess swap as a value-for-value swap as outlined in the 10 11 memo dated August 10th, 2017. 12 MR. WRIGHT: Second. 1.3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by 14 Mr. Wright. Any other discussion? 15 16 MR. RUNYAN: Is the Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division -- is that one a 17 hundred percent on goal as a swap as 18 well? 19 MR. DEATON: Yes. Yes. There's -- the 20 acreage fell short on value. 21 Wildlife is going to have to pay 2.2

Mr. Burgess a little bit to make

1	theirselves whole. That's as far as
2	our transaction, we're value for value,
3	and they're negotiating their half.
4	MR. RUNYAN: But to make this to clean it
5	up, both of them need to happen. Both
6	swaps need to happen; correct?
7	MR. DEATON: Yes. Yes.
8	MS. POWELL: And, you know, Director Sykes is
9	available if there are any questions on
10	that. So come up, Chuck.
11	MR. SYKES: Everything is proceeding forward
12	on our end, too, so this should be a
13	seamless transaction.
14	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any other
15	discussion?
16	(No response.)
17	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All of those in
18	favor say "aye."
19	(All board members present respond
20	"aye.")
21	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
22	(No response.)
23	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Hearing none, the

motion passes.

1.3

MR. DEATON: The next tab you find is Tab 5-B.

If you'll turn to that one for me. We call this one the McQuinn Land Swap

Request.

And before I start, Mr. McQuinn asked me to apologize to the board that he couldn't be here today to present the swap. He's 90 years old, and he lives in Jackson County. And he said it would be a long trip to drive to Tuscaloosa. So he apologizes for that.

The land swap request proposes the exchange of 40 acres owned by Forever Wild for 40 acres owned by Mr. McQuinn located in the Skyline WMA. There's a map attached there that you can see where the swap would be.

The letter states that the acreage that he would receive from Forever Wild is adjacent to his home. His desire to obtain this acreage would be to provide a buffer between his home and potential

2

4

5

3

6

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

WMA users in efforts to eliminate what he says is reoccurring trespass and poaching issues that he's experienced.

Both State Lands Division and Wildlife staff have both reviewed the request, and we support the exchange. The property that Mr. McQuinn is currently requesting from us is designated as a safety zone which restricts hunting and limits public use of the property. This would put -- this was put in place by Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division in an effort to help minimize some of Mr. McQuinn's concerns. The property that we would be receiving from Mr. McQuinn provides better public access to our current Forever Wild ownership and would reduce some of the boundary line maintenance required by current Forever Wild ownership.

So each tract would require an appraisal to determine the values for

the exchange. Considering the current appraisal value of the recently acquired Skyline WMA-Threwer Point Addition, which is the tract we would be giving up, and Mr. McQuinn's closing statements that he provided in the packet that you have, we anticipate that the swap will be a value for value just based on current information, but we would still need to get appraisals done.

Mr. McQuinn said if by chance his property did appraise for more he would still consider value for value. It's more important to do the exchange than to get paid for any difference in acreage value.

So the next step would be to order an appraisal, and then staff would return to the board with the results for your review. And at that time if agreeable terms can be reached, the board can make a motion to proceed with the exchange of acreage.

So at this time if you guys want to 1 move forward, I would need a motion. And there's a motion with some suggested 3 language in your packet. 4 5 Are there any questions? 6 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Anybody care to make --7 MR. HORN: Mr. Chairman, I would make the 8 9 motion. And I think the motion would be to move that the board approve staff to 10 11 proceed with an appraisal to determine 12 the appraised value of the properties 1.3 associated with the swap as outlined in 14 this memo dated August 10th, 2017, to be used for the board's consideration of 15 16 the swap, pursuant to the conditions that Mr. McQuinn agrees to reimburse the 17 cost of the appraisal for both tracts. 18 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: There's the motion. 19 Is there a second? 20 MR. WRIGHT: Second. 21 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by 22

Mr. Wright.

Any discussion? 1 (No response.) COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All of those in 3 favor say "aye." 4 5 (All board members present respond 6 "aye.") 7 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? (No response.) 8 9 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: None. Motion 10 passes. 11 MR. DEATON: Okay. The next one, this is our third and final land swap to discuss. 12 1.3 We call it the Slaughter Land Swap. As Patti mentioned, in your packet 14 there's a new cover memo just because we 15 16 had some acreage transposed from 246 and it should have been 264. So that's the 17 slight update in there. 18 19 But the land proposal was submitted 20 jointly by Mr. Robert Slaughter and Mr. Joe Slaughter. They're brothers. 21 And they are here today if you have any 2.2 23 questions for them specifically.

1.3

There's also a map in your packet depicting the proposed land swap.

In conversations with Mr. Slaughter, he stated that he and his brother Joe are wanting to consolidate their ownership to help them achieve their property management goals. He also stated in our conversation and also in the attached memo that you have that he has trespass issues from WMA hunters due to the terrain of the Forever Wild property.

The Forever Wild property that we currently own there identified in yellow and has got a tag on it has high river banks, 10 to 12 foot at normal river height. And so what happens is people will park on his property and cross his land to get to the hunt -- to hunt the WMA.

Both State Lands Division staff and Wildlife staff have both reviewed the request, and we performed a site visit

1.3

just a few weeks ago to look at the tract. And both divisions are in support of the exchange, and we believe it would benefit the program. You know, considering the tract that is in question is an outlier, as denoted on the map, it would help us to consolidate our boundaries as well.

The Slaughter property that is proposed for exchange has better shoreline access and would accommodate WMA users that hunt the tract. And the resulting exchange would also help the Slaughters meet their management goals as well.

This would be the same thing. We would need an appraisal for this tract to determine the acreage swap.

Mr. Slaughter has agreed to pay for that appraisal.

Considering the acreage difference, there is a chance that his property will appraise for more. So there would be

further discussion at the next meeting
to consider whether the board would want
to proceed with that exchange, and then
there would have to be potentially a
transfer of funds.

So at this time if the board desires
to move forward, it would be a similar
motion that you guys just made, and

to move forward, it would be a similar motion that you guys just made, and there's a motion in your packet if you consider to move forward.

Are there any questions on this swap?

MR. CAUTHEN: I make a motion.

MR. HORN: Second.

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I notice on the map there's another piece of property that looks like it's involved.

That says -- if I'm reading this map correctly, it's shown in blue here on the -- it's called the MTD-Sheffield nomination under appraisal.

MR. DEATON: Yes, sir.

MR. SATTERFIELD: If this went forward, what

would happen with that? How would it impact that?

1.3

2.2

It would leave that isolated up there; right?

MR. DEATON: That's correct. And I was going to wait to see what you guys decided.

We held off on ordering that first appraisal. At the last meeting the board motioned for a first appraisal for that tract, the MTD-Sheffield Tract. Shortly after the meeting, Mr. Slaughter approached us about this swap. So we postponed the tract appraisal. And depending on what the board chose to do with the swap, then we would ask you guys to make either an amendment to your motion to proceed at the last meeting --

MS. POWELL: And if the swap went forward, scoring on that nomination would likely be impacted. And so that's another reason we did not proceed with the expense of the appraisal because we may have an impact -- based on other

decisions, we may impact the scoring of 1 that tract. So that's on hold 3 currently. MR. SATTERFIELD: 4 Okay. 5 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Was there a desire --6 MR. CAUTHEN: I made the motion to proceed. 7 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So just to clarify, 8 9 Mr. Cauthen, you're making a motion to proceed with an appraisal to determine 10 11 the appraised value of the properties 12 associated with the swap? 1.3 MR. CAUTHEN: Well, it sounds to me like the 14 gentleman that owns the other property is going to pay for the appraisal. 15 16 that right? That's correct. 17 MR. DEATON: MR. SATTERFIELD: We've got a motion here to 18 19 read. Well, I just -- whatever he 20 MR. CAUTHEN: I just --21 wants. MR. SATTERFIELD: You need to read the motion. 2.2 23 MR. OATES: He's going to give it to you to

read it. 1 MR. CAUTHEN: The board approves staff to proceed with an appraisal to determine 3 the appraised values of the properties 4 associated with the swap as outlined in 5 6 this memo dated August 10th, 2017, to be used for the board's consideration of the swap, pursuant to the condition that 8 9 the Slaughters agree to reimburse the cost of the appraisal for both tracts. 10 11 So move. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So Mr. Cauthen has 12 1.3 made a motion. 14 MR. HORN: Second. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by 15 16 Mr. Horn. Any discussion? 17 18 (No response.) COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All of those in 19 favor say "aye." 20 (All board members present respond 21 "aye.") 2.2

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?

1 (No response.)

2 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. The motion passes.

MR. DEATON: The next item is Tab 5-D. We have some tracts that we need approval for management plans. I'll give you just a moment to turn there.

MR. HORN: What tab?

MR. DEATON: 5-D.

1.3

2.2

What you have there, we have eight tracts that need management plan approval. All eight tracts will actually fall in as additions to current management plans that we have. Those have been provided to you for your review prior to the meeting. And I'll just kind of run through them real quick for you, and then I'll ask for a motion from the board.

The first two tracts are the Estill
Fork Addition and Henshaw Cove Addition
in Jackson County. We request that
these tracts be included in the Walls of

Jericho Complex Management Plan.

The next two are the Yates

Lake-Tapley Addition and Yates

Lakes-North Addition in Elmore County.

We request that they be included into

the Yates Lake-West Complex Management

Plan.

The next is the Monte Sano State
Park-Dug Hill West Addition. We ask
that it be included in the Big Cats
Creek-Monte Sano State Park Addition
Complex Management Plan.

The next two are the Eagle Roost
View Addition and the Stubblefield
Mountain Addition, Marshall County.
We're requesting to have those included
into the Monte Sano-Guntersville State
Park Additions Complex Management Plan.

And the final one is the Cahaba
River-Shelby County Park-Shades Creek
Addition in Shelby County. We're asking
to have it included in the Cahaba
River-Shelby County Park Addition

1 Complex Management Plan. If the board -- if the board agrees with the recommendations as to tract 3 management plan actions, we'll need a 4 motion from the board. The management 5 6 plan memo provided in your packet 7 provides some suggested language. DR. WOODS: Mr. Chairman, I make the motion 8 9 that the board approves the State Lands Division proceeding with amendments to 10 the existing management plans for the 11 inclusion of the eight tracts as set 12 1.3 forth in this memorandum dated July 27, 2017. 14 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Motion made by 15 16 Dr. Woods. Is there a second? 17 MR. HORN: Second. MR. OATES: Second. 18 19 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Mr. Oates. 20 Any discussion? 21 DR. SIMS: I have a question. 2.2 Refresh my memory. Tell me how 23

these additions -- tell me how we come 1 by these to vote on these. These are additions. Explain how we got here. 3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: The management 4 5 plans? The addition of these tracts. 6 DR. SIMS: Yes. MR. DEATON: We have --7 MS. POWELL: It may be both of us. 8 9 But these are tracts -- we're required within a year after the 10 11 acquisition of any tract to prepare a management plan associated with that 12 1.3 tract. 14 Now, if we are purchasing an addition to an existing Forever Wild 15 16 property, oftentimes it is -- it is easier and more cohesive to manage it 17 pursuant to an amendment to an existing 18 19 management plan. Sometimes you might 20 have a nearby tract that falls into that also. 21 This happened to be several 2.2 23 properties that were additions and of a

1	nature that we thought simply adding
2	them to another management plan was the
3	best course of the way to proceed. So
4	we amend the management plan document
5	and come to the board. You have at
6	other times seen new standalone
7	management plans for tracts. It just
8	varies each time.
9	So that's but we do it for each
10	tract. There's a lapse between the
11	purchase and when you see the management
12	plan done, but it has to be done within
13	a year.
14	DR. SIMS: Thank you.
15	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any other
16	discussion?
17	(No response.)
18	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All of those in
19	favor say "aye."
20	(All board members present respond
21	"aye.")
22	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
23	(No response.)

COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Hearing none, motion passes.

I think, Patti, you're up next on the forestry management activity.

MS. POWELL: Couldn't resist coming back up.

Yes. The next item is a topic that we cover each year about this time. And I've got Galen Grider, our forester on staff, if there are additional questions. But the memo we're looking at is 5-E. So I'll give you just a second to get to 5-E.

And so to give the board some context, we come to you each year with our anticipated forest management activity for the upcoming fiscal year. We will engage in a specific planning process. We will be on site. We will develop a certain plan for each of the tracts I'm about to mention. But because of the need to get on the tracts and do some further planning, we always request some flexibility in approval of

the management plan. We'll continue to update the board. We don't always know what we're going to find there.

The tracts as described in 5-E that we anticipate the need currently -- of course, situations and conditions could change at any time. But the tracts we anticipate some form of work, either harvesting or some sort of services, are the Charles D. Kelley-Autauga WMA Tract in Autauga County, the Freedom Hills/Lauderdale WMA Tracts. Those are in Colbert and Lauderdale Counties. The Coosa WMA Tract and the Uchee Creek Tract. That's Russell County. And the Wehle Tract in Bullock County.

As you will see, for each tract there is a brief description of why we believe they need to be in this year's planning and anticipate some type of management needed on each tract. And I'll give you a second to review that.

And I'm happy to either take

-

1.3

questions on an individual tract, or any type of action or anything further, I'd be happy to have Galen come up and address that.

What we would be asking the board for after questions are answered or any discussion would be a fairly flexible motion that would allow us to begin engaging in that planning process, keeping the board updated. But we really today -- the motion we had suggested I realize is a broad one. That is customarily how we proceed with updates to the board.

But I want to be sure -- I don't want to take too much time, but I also don't want to go too fast past this if there are any questions on how we manage the timber or these particular actions for the FY18 plan. And I can get Galen up here for any questions the board has.

If there are no questions, there is a suggested motion that --

Mr. Satterfield.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I have a couple of questions.

First of all, do you have any estimate of cost of what this proposed program will be?

MS. POWELL: And Galen may have to help me.

But we incorporate this -- when we
brought to the board the request for

FY18 for stewardship fund expenditures,
this activity, again, roughly -- and it
could shift some -- but was contemplated
in the request we made. And we have to
make that request in advance of the
fiscal year. That's why we were before
the board at a previous meeting on that.

So I would have to ask Galen about that cost, but it has already been factored into our stewardship fund request for this year -- for FY18.

Excuse me.

MR. SATTERFIELD: So this is to flesh out in details how those funds which we've

1.3

already approved for FY18 will be managed?

1.3

2.2

- MS. POWELL: Correct. Because we have to come to the board so far in advance of a fiscal year, again, on forestry management that we haven't gotten to yet, we make this analysis. That was built into that. The outcome of this, which we would update you on at subsequent meetings, would be how that planning has gone, what work was actually needed, what specific regime was recommended for each tract. And we could certainly update on the specified correlation to the cost that we previously projected when we do that.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: Okay. So that answers my second question which was where these funds were going to come from, but they're coming from the Forever Wild stewardship fund?
- MS. POWELL. Yes, sir. Yes, sir. It comes from -- each year we would bring forward

a proposed budget for the next year. 1 This year it was over -- I know it was over a million because, again, we had to 3 dig into some of the corpus. But this was what was detailed in the -- I 5 6 forget. 7 Was it our last meeting, Doug, or the meeting before last? Was it our 8 9 last? MR. DEATON: I believe it was the last. 10 11 MS. POWELL: It all runs together a little bit. 12 1.3 But I believe our last meeting where 14 we ran through why we were requesting the amount of money we were requesting 15 16 to give us spending authority of the stewardship fund. 17 So we have to -- back up. 18 Prior to the beginning of a fiscal 19 year, which for us will be October 1 --20 that's our FY18 year -- the law requires 21 us to come to you, and if we need to 2.2 23 request funds from the corpus, which we

did, to do that before the beginning of a fiscal year. We brought it to the board at the last meeting just in case there were any questions or hiccups that before that was approved we would have another meeting to get that done before the fiscal year began.

And so, yes, the best that we could, we have already anticipated within the stewardship fund what portion of that we would need for forest management activities. I don't remember the exact amount of that within the total that we requested. As we move forward, we can certainly provide an update to the board when we do the stewardship fund, update how we are comparing to that request. But we could not spend above the amount the board approved. We're locked into that because once we hit that FY, we can't change that.

Any other questions?

And let me also offer that,

1	obviously, Galen is available to you at
2	any time. If you've got specific timber
3	management questions, please do
4	utilize you know, utilize him for
5	that.
6	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: I'd be happy to
7	entertain a motion if there's
8	MR. HORN: Mr. Chairman, I would move that the
9	board authorize the State Lands Division
10	to implement the forest management
11	activities for the 2017-2018 fiscal year
12	as described in the memorandum dated
13	8-10-2017.
14	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Motion made by
15	Mr. Horn.
16	MR. WRIGHT: Second.
17	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by
18	Mr. Wright.
19	Any other discussion?
20	(No response.)
21	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: All of those in
22	favor say "aye."
23	(All board members present respond

"aye.") 1 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? (No response.) 3 COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: No opposition. 4 5 The motion passes. 6 MS. POWELL: You will love the next agenda 7 item. There is no action required. This is also the meeting each year 8 that we bring forward what we call 9 annual activity reports. This is in 10 11 section 5-F. You will see the various sectors of my staff who detail the 12 1.3 activities from this year, what they've 14 been engaged in. I'm not going to take time to run 15 through these, but I must -- due to the 16 length of the meeting. But you will see 17 in those reports not only a lot of 18 19 active land management that goes on but a tremendous -- some tremendous numbers 2.0 on usage at these tracts by the public, 21 including educational opportunities. 2.2

You know, one of the tracts not far

2.2

23

from here is our M. Barnett Lawley Field Trial Area. And just to give you an idea, they had -- I think it was 26 sporting dog events last year that brought over 3300 dogs, which excites me, to the property but, more importantly, brought their owners spending money in that community and using that property, not to mention the youth dove hunts. We have deer hunts. We have "Becoming an Outdoors Woman" hunts. We have physically disabled hunting there. Just a lot of activities that happen on these tracts that are very staff-intensive. And so I just want to thank my staff for all their They're really getting everything work. done, as you can tell.

But, anyway, so look through those.

If those spark any questions, please let us know, and we'll answer those.

Otherwise, I would just ask you to take an opportunity to look at what your

purchases are doing, but no action, no votes.

In looking at everything else that we had in your Tab 5, although there are some other tabs, I think we have in one manner or another covered all of those. So I'm sure Commissioner would not mind me continuing down the track of getting through with this meeting. So although I'm not the Chair, I will try to administratively assist the board with some of our next steps.

As we do each meeting, we need to now look at approval of minutes from our last meeting. That was May 11th. And so that is also in your packet, Tab 6.

So Tab 6 are the minutes.

Let me also take this opportunity to mention to the board, when we send you the transcript that Tracye does, we've been sending it in the format that you see. If that's hard to read or too small print for anybody, let us know,

1	but we're trying to save a little bit of
2	paper.
3	But at this point if one of the
4	board members, since I am not a board
5	member, would like to make a motion for
6	approval of the May 11th minutes
7	DR. WOODS: I make a motion we approve the
8	minutes for May 11.
9	MS. POWELL: We have a first from Dr. Woods.
10	DR. SIMS: Second.
11	MR. OATES: Second.
12	MS. POWELL: Second from Dr. Sims. I heard
13	her first.
14	Any discussion on the minutes?
15	(No response.)
16	MS. POWELL: All of those approving the
17	minutes say "aye."
18	(All board members present respond
19	"aye.")
20	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: Any opposition?
21	(No response.)
22	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: No. Okay. No
23	opposition. So those are unanimously

1 approved.

1.3

2.2

The next meeting will be

November 10th. Currently we don't have
a location for the meeting. If a board
member has a suggestion, we'll help you
with where we've been lately. We do try
to geographically move around. But any
suggestions, let me or the staff know or
let Commissioner know, and we'll look
into that.

- MR. SATTERFIELD: For purposes of the minutes, did you say November 9th or November 10th?
- MS. POWELL: November 10th. I'm sorry. I don't know what I said. November --
- MR. SATTERFIELD: It says 9th in this book.
- MS. POWELL: Okay. On my cheat sheet it says

 November 10th. So whatever Thursday

 is -- staff, help me out. Whatever

 Thursday at 10 o'clock will be -- that

 is the 9th. So thank y'all very much.

 November 9th.

So for purposes of the minutes,

whatever I said as to the 10th, make 1 that the 9th. We will send out our usual email 3 blast and public notice for that 4 meeting. But let us know of any 5 6 locations, and we'll work on that. 7 Finally, and we are really excited to be able to present -- recognize 8 9 Dr. Hepp. We are very sad, though, Dr. Hepp, 10 11 that this is your last meeting. We want to thank you so much for what you've 12 1.3 contributed over the years and always 14 were very prepared and always, you know, contributing, and we really appreciate 15 16 that. 17 Doug, if you would just go and get 18

So I would -- I'm going to get --Dr. Hepp to come forward.

We have a certificate --

19

20

21

2.2

23

DR. HEPP: Yeah. I just want to say it's been a real pleasure serving on the board for the past six years. And I want to thank

the other board members and the

Commissioner for -- and especially the

Lands Division staff for doing what you

do and, you know, Patti and Jo and Doug

and everybody else for making our job so

easy. I mean, it's so pleasurable to

come to these quarterly meetings.

And I have to say to the rest of the audience, usually the motions go through without any -- unanimously. So this -- and I thought that being my last meeting and talking --

MS. POWELL: And, Dr. Hepp, I will tell you, honestly, one attribute of the Forever Wild Land Trust Board is that you do meet in public. The actions you take are transparent. The only executive session that occurs is what the public saw today as you briefly discuss confidential appraisal values.

So sometimes it makes the meetings long, and sometimes it's a little complicated. But that is the

transparency of this board as opposed to
so many other boards that have extensive
executive sessions where the public
meeting -
DR. HEPP: I apologize.

MR. HORN: Don't apologize.

MS. POWELL: Don't apologize. That's why

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

we're here. COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: So I would like to read this certificate of appreciation. It says known by all these presents that Dr. Gary Hepp has shown sincere commitment to conservation in the state of Alabama and has demonstrated his dedication to the protection of Alabama's unique natural heritage through participation in a program to acquire public lands in order that current and future generations of Alabamians may continue to appreciate and enjoy the state's diverse natural resources. It is with our sincere gratitude we recognize and commend your

1	outstanding efforts to ensure that part
2	of Alabama remains Forever Wild. Signed
3	by Governor Kay Ivey.
4	So thank you so much for your
5	service, sir.
6	DR. HEPP: Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: I got back just in
8	time for us to entertain a motion to
9	adjourn.
10	MR. HORN: So move.
11	MR. OATES: Second.
12	COMMISSIONER BLANKENSHIP: We are adjourned.
13	Thank you.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	(Meeting adjourned at
19	approximately 1:29 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	* * * * * * * *
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
3	* * * * * * * *
4	STATE OF ALABAMA:
5	MONTGOMERY COUNTY:
6	I, Tracye Sadler Blackwell, Certified
7	Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State of
8	Alabama at Large, do hereby certify that I reported
9	the foregoing proceedings of the Forever Wild Board
10	Meeting on August 10, 2017.
11	The foregoing 195 computer-printed pages
12	contain a true and correct transcript of the
13	proceedings held.
14	I further certify that I am neither of
15	kin nor of counsel to the parties to said cause nor
16	in any manner interested in the results thereof.
17	This 29th day of September 2017.
18	
19	
20	
21	Tracye Sadler Blackwell ACCR No. 294 Expiration data: 0-30-2017
22	Expiration date: 9-30-2017 Certified Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State
23	of Alabama at Large