1	MINUTES OF THE
2	FOREVER WILD BOARD MEETING
3	Lake Guntersville State Park Lodge
4	1155 Lodge Drive
5	Guntersville, Alabama
6	May 4, 2023
7	10:00 a.m.
8	
9	* * * * * * * * *
10	Transcript of Proceedings
11	* * * * * * * * *
12	
13	PROCEEDINGS, taken before Stacey
14	L. Johnson, Certified Court Reporter and
15	Commissioner for the State of Alabama at
16	the Oxford Civic Center, 201 Dexter
17	Avenue, Montgomery, Alabama, on the
18	4th day of May, 2023, commencing at
19	10:00 a.m.
20	* * * * * * * *
21	
22	
23	

```
BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
1
2
      Commissioner Chris Blankenship, Chairman
      Mr. James "Mickey" Childers
3
      Mr. Reginald N. Holloway
      Dr. Sean P. Powers
      Dr. James B. McClintock
4
      Mr. Jack Darnall
      Mr. Raymond B. Jones, Jr.
5
      Ms. Karen Stanley
      Mr. Jake Harper
6
      Mr. Jimmy Parnell
      Mr. Rick Oates
7
      Dr. Heather Howell
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
```

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Good 1 morning. Welcome to the May Forever Wild 2 3 Board Meeting. I'm going to call the roll and make sure we have a quorum, and then 4 we'll move on. Please indicate your 5 6 presence when I call your name. 7 Chris Blankenship is here. Mr. Childers? 8 MR. CHILDERS: Here. 9 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Darnall? 10 MR. DARNALL: Here. 11 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Jones? 12 MR. JONES: Here. 13 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. McClintock? 14 DR. MCCLINTOCK: Here. 1.5 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Oates? 16 MR. OATES: 17 Here. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Parnell? 18 MR. PARNELL: 19 Here. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Harper? 20 2.1 MR. HARPER: Here. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Ms. Stanley? 22 Mr. Holloway? 23

MR. HOLLOWAY: Here. 1 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Howell? 2 3 DR. HOWELL: Here. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Neumann? 4 Dr. Powers? 5 6 DR. POWERS: Here. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Saloom? 7 Dr. Valentine? 8 We have enough for a quorum, and I 9 appreciate y'all coming. 10 I would like to give park 11 superintendent here, Michael Jeffreys, the 12 opportunity to welcome everybody to the 13 park. Mike? 14 MR. JEFFREYS: Thank you, sir. 15 First, welcome to the Board 16 Welcome everyone in attendance. 17 I see a lot of familiar faces, and I hope 18 you guys had a chance to enjoy the dinner 19 last night. I heard that most people 20 highly enjoyed it and that just showcases 21 my staff I have here. 22 Those of you that don't know a lot 23

about Lake Guntersville State Park, I'd like to supply a few numbers. The park is right at 7,000 acres. The Tennessee River is 69,000 acres, 35 miles of shoreline. We've got 112 rooms in this lodge. We've got 35 cabins, 112 campsites, and about 35 miles of hiking trails. We average about 260,000 guests per year. And we just enjoy having you guys back.

We've got a lot of new things coming online. We've got glamping, if any of you guys know about that. It's coming. You just have to look it up is the best way for me to describe it. But I know there's going to be a lot of new interest. And I am the district superintendent, so I will be in control. It's a great relationship with the Department and State Parks. I hope you enjoy yourselves. I can't do it without my staff. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you,
Michael. I think everybody did enjoy
dinner last night, the ones that had the

chance to do that, and breakfast this morning, and the view is always incredible here. Thank you. The park looks great. Thank you for your work, you and your staff.

Any other opening remarks from any of the Board members?

If not, we'll move on with the public comment portion of the meeting.

I've got a few names here to speak. If you have not had a chance to register to speak and you would like to, please raise your hand and we'll get a green slip to you.

I don't see anybody, so we'll go ahead with our -- our first speaker is Drew Nix on the Alabama River, Montgomery County. That's Tab 4b page 3, 4b page 3.

MR. NIX: Thank y'all for the opportunity to speak to you this morning. This is about the Alabama River Montgomery tract.

It's about 800-plus odd acres just

outside of the city of Montgomery. It's a peninsular tract there that I-65 runs down through one side of it and actually bisects a couple of properties. It's a great opportunity. You don't find tracts like this in the metropolitan area of Montgomery that could offer the public use this tract can offer. Just wanted to come and speak. If y'all have got any questions, I'd be happy to take them. There are some deed restrictions on the property. But just wanted to be here today if y'all had any questions about it.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you,

Drew.

The next speaker is Steve

Northcutt on the Belcher Phase 2, Tab 3b

page 3.

MR. NORTHCUTT: So I'm Steve Northcutt with the Nature Conservancy. Thank you for being here today. I'd like to talk about this addition to Oak Mountain State Park. It's called the Belcher Phase 2.

The property was owned by EBSCO, and it was a 2,000-acre block that they came to the Nature Conservancy a few years ago and asked about the possibility of finding a way for that property to be in conservation. So we talked about a couple of options, a conservation easement and some other different things, but we felt like because of this addition and shared boundary with Oak Mountain and the difficulty of adding property to Oak Mountain State Park that we would try to work with them to try to get it in front of the Forever Wild Board.

1.5

2.1

The property has had a biological inventory done. This particular property right off of Highway 11 has great access and was slated for development. And if any of you know much about EBSCO's realty arm, they developed a huge development, kind of a new urbanism development called Mount Laurel. So this was absolutely targeted for development. They pulled it

back. They wanted to see if there was a chance to get it under Conservation. A good bit of property right off the road is in longleaf pine. It's mature longleaf pine. Probably a lot of you know longleaf pine has lost 98 percent of its forestry since the European settlement from Texas to Virginia, and so I know Forever Wild, the Department of Conservation, Nature Conservancy, and federal agencies longleaf pine protection and restoration is a high priority.

But just to give you a few things about Oak Mountain, it's the second most visited state park. There's an average of 600,000 visitors a year. It offers recreational amenities to the largest urban population portion of the state, good access. They have hiking, extremely well-attended mountain biking, golfing, horseback riding, swimming, and it's just one of these opportunities that I feel very strongly would — and to get this

size property, even though I realize, you 1 know, prices are high in this area, I 2 think if you look at this acquisition now, 3 you know, this body is Forever Wild and so 4 you would have it in protection forever, 5 and I think it would be an extremely good 6 amenity to the park. 7 8 If anybody has any questions, I'd be glad to answer them. 9 MR. CHILDERS: I have a question. 10 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir? 11 MR. CHILDERS: The railroad, is it 12 active? 13 MR. NORTHCUTT: I don't know the 14 I believe it is and -details. 15 Commissioner? 16 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 17 It is, yes, sir. 18 MR. CHILDERS: It is. Thank you. 19 20 MR. NORTHCUTT: But I will say this, there are forest roads that have access to 21 both the lower part and the upper part, 22

so, of course, with, you know, being

23

careful, you can still access both parcels.

Yes, ma'am?

MS. STANLEY: Thank you so much.

Along those same lines, what would be your plan for providing safety to people who might be enjoying the bulk of the park, which is very, very big, which is already there, and trying to get across the railroad tracks? The other little, small — it looks like it might be 10 or 15 or at the most 20 percent of what's already there. Or would you just not allow access across the railroad and people have got to enjoy it over here or enjoy it over here?

MR. NORTHCUTT: That's a great question. I'll answer it by first saying that the Nature Conservancy owns the property right next to Raymond Jones' property in Jackson County. It is a railroad tract. As soon as you get off, you have to cross it. So we have fixed

signs and we tell people to be careful. So they have to cross that. The reality is there. There are other railroad tracts that border other Forever Wild properties. What the park would do if y'all decided to make this purchase is they would do a plan and they would decide what are the amenities of the property. I would think because of where it's located an extremely good hiking trail system. Because the property has so much old growth, longleaf pine, I think it's a great kind of education and research area of the property, and it complements that full 2,000 acres that EBSCO is offering. just hate to see that not be part of the park and end up being a housing development, which I don't know if you heard, before it was offered, it was slated to be developed, the whole entire property. So I would say mostly hiking trails.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. STANLEY: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir.

MR. NORTHCUTT: Thanks.

1.5

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The next speaker is Scott Fleming on the John Doss Mountain Addition to Lake Guntersville State Park, Tab 4b page 21, 4b page 21. Give me just a second to find that, please, sir.

MS. MCCURDY: And for the Board members, there's a handout that Wayne's going to pass out, so it might take just a second also.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Let everybody get that before you start.

MS. MCCURDY: And that's something that I believe the landowners have provided for the Board, so it's not from staff, it's from the landowners.

MR. FLEMING: Okay. I want to thank the Board for allowing me to speak here today. I'm Scott Fleming with Back Country Horsemen of America, the Alabama chapter of that.

We maintain the trails here in the state park with all volunteer hours. And this land connects to our existing trail system, so it would give us an opportunity, you know, with approval to expand our equestrian and multi-use trails. We have a lot of hikers that use the trails. We're an equestrian group, but we share.

1.5

2.1

This land is in the sixth generation of ownership, and it's from the same family that the Stubblefield tract that was bought a few years ago is from in the same area. And, you know, there's not a lot more I can say about it other than, you know, it would be a great addition to the park. It would give us the potential for some trails — some additional trails. And if there's any questions about the land, one of the landowners here would be glad to answer that.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I do have a question on what you passed out. Would

this be kind of a proposed trail that you would build on this property and how it would connect to the current horse trails?

MR. FLEMING: Yeah, that land connects in a close proximity to some existing trails on the park.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Gotcha. I was just making sure that --

MR. FLEMING: Yeah, that was just kind of a --

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: An idea. Okay.

MR. FLEMING: Yeah, just an idea of where we could put some trails. I think you can see the view of Town Creek in those pictures.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir.

MR. FLEMING: And we get a lot of use on our trails. We have a spring ride and a fall ride as our fund raiser, and we usually have about 70 riders in one day. And spring break and everything, the campground parking lot at the trailhead is packed. I mean, it's packed for a week.

So we get a lot of use on these trails. And we have a lot of hikers that come in and share the trails. Bikers not so much, but the hikers -- and we get a lot of compliments on the trails. So this would just be a chance for us to expand on what we've got. We've got just north of 25 miles of trail in there now. about 450 members statewide, 12,000 members nationwide, and I think this year we had somewhere around 300 volunteer hours just on these trails here. So, you know, with the cooperation of the park, we did all of the maintenance. And, you know, we provide the labor; they provide what equipment we need.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any questions?

Mr. Jones?

MR. JONES: I don't know if Greg -- I haven't seen Greg Lein here today, but do we need another addition? Does State Parks feel like this would be a good addition to that? Can someone speak to

that?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yeah. I talked with Greg and Michael Jeffreys, but, yes, it would be an asset to the park.

MR. JONES: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you for the work y'all do on keeping up those horse trails. I know that's a job.

MR. FLEMING: Thank y'all for being here.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you.

The next speaker is Mr. Sean

Johnson on that same piece of property,

the John Doss nomination.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank y'all for allowing us to come today. Me and my uncle, David Culbert, present this property. Like he said, we're sixth generation landowners here. Some of the original property bought for the state parks was bought from our family. Mr. Fleming pretty much covered everything I was going to say. But any questions, I would be glad to

answer if there's anything. 1 MR. HARPER: One question I had is 2 3 this all of the family land, or is this just some more to --4 MR. JOHNSON: Just some. 5 6 MR. HARPER: The family owns more contiguous to it? 7 8 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir. 9 The next speaker is Mr. Paul 10 Kennedy on Natural Bridge. 11 That is not a tab in the book. 12 MS. MCCURDY: You do have a Tab 5b --13 really Tab C -- B and C that has some 14 information, but it's not a current 1.5 nomination. 16 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Good to see 17 you, Mr. Kennedy. How are you today? 18 19 MR. KENNEDY: Good morning, Mr. Blankenship. Good morning, everybody. 20 2.1 I'm here to speak to you about Natural Bridge Park. I'm Paul Kennedy. 22 I am registered forester number 1257, but 23

I'm here today as the president of the Walker Area Community Foundation. That represents 115 million dollars. We are one of the largest community foundations in the state of Alabama.

We have been working for years on creating a recreation-based economy, and now that is in keeping with Governor Ivey's Innovate Alabama plan enhanced commission and advisories.

I have walked the property. We have talked with some of our university partners about coming out and looking at it doing a bio blitz. I do believe that the property is worthy of consideration as part of the southern terminus of the Appalachian chain. I think it's worthy of consideration because of its history. Its location has been recognized as a landmark and as a tourism destination for nearly a century. It has unique geology, I believe, ecology. And the geology out of northwest Alabama I think is worthy also.

My foundation is committed to provide technical resources, human resources, and financial resources to develop the recreational economy of Walker and Winston Counties and I believe that this property and Forever Wild's participation is key to developing that So I'm just asking today for you to give it every possible consideration and hopefully get it back on your agenda. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any questions?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

Dr. Powers?

DR. POWERS: So is your organization volunteering to manage the property if we put it back on the --

MR. KENNEDY: We're volunteering to be part of putting together a management group with the local entities.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir.

MR. KENNEDY: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The next speaker is David Denton, also on Natural Bridge.

MR. DENTON: Good morning.

1.5

Commissioner Blankenship, Board members.

I thank you for the privilege of hearing
me. It's my privilege to come.

I'm representing my family. This was a dream of my parents. I grew up in the St. Louis area. I'm one of ten children. Mom is from Jasper; Dad grew up in Mississippi.

The history of -- the property, of course, was developed by the Lake family in 1954 from Jasper and my parents acquired the property November 1980.

Since that time, I've been traveling back and forth. Sometimes I hate to have to leave to go back to St. Louis. I love the South. I love my family history. It's a real privilege. My opportunity -- I just want to share over the past few years as my parents' health declined, when someone from one of the agencies in the state considered -- the conversation we had, they would say why don't you think about

contacting Forever Wild. So I think
that's why we're here today. So as my
parents have -- my dad is deceased, my mom
is in her 90s, and we're at that point of
looking to move beyond and having another
owner for the property. I just want to
say thank you again for the consideration.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

As I look at it, northwest Alabama's not well represented by Forever Wild, and it's just a smaller property. As I heard the description of Guntersville State Park, I feel, like, about this tall in comparison. 149 acres, Highway 278 cut through and took several acres from that several years ago, so it's 149 plus or There's a beautiful opportunity to minus. consider people coming to and from that part of the state. There's a lot of people traveling on Highway 278, 13, I-22 going through north Alabama. We have always tried to keep it natural. Ι would -- as a child, I wanted my parents to develop it to something, like, a

Branson, Missouri, but through the years
I'm grateful that it has continued to be
natural and scenic and just a great place
to steal away. You know, I love the
place. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any comments or questions?

Thank you, sir.

1.5

And the last speaker is Melinda Weaver on the same property, on Natural Bridge.

MS. WEAVER: Yes. Good morning. I'm Melinda Weaver. Thank you for the opportunity to speak to the Board today on behalf of Natural Bridge Park.

Representative Estes had planned to be here to speak but needed to be in Montgomery and sends his regrets.

First, I would like to start off
by telling a story. When I was two years
old, my family moved to Winston County,
and we would have relatives come to visit
from south Alabama as well as other states

and we would often take them to Natural Bridge Park. So me and my brother, that was one of our favorite things to do, and we have a lot of memories there. One of my favorite pictures is me riding atop my dad's shoulders as we're strolling through the park. And I feel like there are a lot of other families that have similar memories of Natural Bridge Park.

1.5

2.1

Another thing I wanted to mention, just yesterday, I read an article in our local paper. We have a local artist from Haleyville. She had a rendering of Natural Bridge Park and talked about her dad drove a horse and buggy over that natural bridge. She was born in 1927 so that would have been early 1900s, so it's definitely an integral part of Winston County's past.

Another thing, Winston County is home to the Bankhead Natural Forest, as I know you-all are very aware of, where we have thousands of visitors annually. And

those folks may spend a day. They may spend a few days to a week. Especially now -- know you've all heard of glamping, so, you know, a lot -- especially a lot of younger folks love that type of thing. While those people are in our area, they are shopping at our stores, they are eating at our restaurants, and spending their money locally, which is huge for our economies.

So the same can be said for
Natural Bridge Park. I believe they've
logged in over a hundred thousand visitors
over the last five years. So those are a
very important piece to bringing tourism
to Winston County.

Representative Estes plans to pass a resolution in this legislative session to name part of the highway from

Interstate 22 to Alabama Highway 13 the

Natural Bridge Parkway. He feels like this will encourage more visitors to slip off our new interstate to visit the most

beautiful wonder.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

We've had overwhelming support from our mayors, county commissioners, as well as our legislative delegation, and the Walker Area Community Foundation and others in support of making this happen.

The Winston County Commission, they have agreed to provide garbage pickup, demolish existing structures and haul off resulting debris and either lay sod or overseed the impact areas. have also agreed to share the responsibility of purchasing a remote-controlled gate along with the Town of Natural Bridge. Leaders within Winston County have spoken with nonprofits and elected leaders in Winston and Walker Counties about applying for grants to help maintain the trails and educate the public about the significance of the property. Winston County Sheriff's Office as well as the Lynn Police Department have also agreed to patrol the area with increased

patrols.

1.5

2.1

The Dentons have done a wonderful job over the last several decades taking care of the park and making sure that it stays open to the public, and we just want to ensure that it remains that way. We feel that Forever Wild is the best opportunity to protect Natural Bridge Park and secure public access in perpetuity. Our hope is to save this biological treasure for the state and that the public will continue to have an opportunity to enjoy it as we have for many years. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you. Is there anybody else that wanted to make public comment that did not have the opportunity or got here a little bit late and needed to register?

All right. Thank you. That concludes our public comment period.

Now we'll talk about dismissing into executive session to discuss tract

appraisal values.

By regulation, appraisal values are confidential during periods of negotiation. Accordingly, in order to discuss tract appraisal values, the Board will need to go into a recess for an executive session.

Is there a motion for the Board to now recess to attend an executive session?

DR. MCCLINTOCK: So moved.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Is there a second?

MR. HOLLOWAY: Second.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Moved by Dr. McClintock. Seconded by Mr. Holloway.

As I call your name, please state your position on this motion to recess.

Those in favor indicate by saying aye.

Those opposed indicate by saying nay.

Chris Blankenship, yes.

Mr. Childers?

MR. CHILDERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Darnall?

1.5

1	MR. DARNALL: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Jones?
3	MR. JONES: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. McClintock?
5	DR. MCCLINTOCK: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Oates?
7	MR. OATES: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Parnell?
9	MR. PARNELL: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Harper?
11	MR. HARPER: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Ms. Stanley?
13	MS. STANLEY: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Holloway?
15	MR. HOLLOWAY: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Howell?
17	DR. HOWELL: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers?
19	DR. POWERS: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: And for the
21	Record, please note that Ms. Stanley
22	joined us just prior to the public comment
23	period.

So that is unanimous of all those in attendance. We are now in executive session. It is 10:29. We will return in approximately 30 minutes.

(Recess from 10:29 a.m. to 11:03 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. We are back from executive session. It is 11:03. We're back on our normal agenda with number 5 on the agenda, the program status report.

Ms. McCurdy?

1.5

MS. MCCURDY: All right. Board members, if you'll be sure that you have your green folder sheets, your Tab 2a. I say green folder. It's this kind of beige-ish paper. That's the most up-to-date information.

The first thing I'm going to do is run through status on the properties that you have previously motioned for staff to pursue acquisition and to try to get closed for you. They're in various stages

of closing, but because they are pending and we're trying to get them done, I always run through for you the balance you currently have with funds available for acquisition and run through the properties that are pending to tell you how much available cash you have without going over what you can work with. So that's what I'm going to run through first as usual.

The current balance in the account where you pull from to acquire property is just about 26.7 million. I'm going to run through what has been subtracted from that as they are in closing. We have the Autauga WMA-Hart Addition, Autauga County; Bon Secour River Wetlands Addition, Baldwin County; Cahaba River-Shelby County Park Peel Addition, Shelby County -- and I think that's maybe closing as we speak or is very close to it -- then Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase V, Monroe County; Riverton CHA-Barnett Mill Hollow, Colbert; and Riverton CHA-Hurd Hollow Addition, also

Colbert; we also have Skyline WMA-Fowler
Cove Addition, Jackson County. If I take
into account those acquisition offer
amounts and also the portion that we
transfer into the Stewardship Account -total that up -- you are left with
approximately, let's just say, 21 million
of funds availability. And so that is the
report on available encumbered balance.

1.5

Any questions on that?

All right. The second page of Tab

2a notes the properties from the prior

fiscal year and the current fiscal year

that you made offers on, whether they were

accepted or declined, and it shows what

has fully closed, fully closed and is now

titled to the Alabama Trust Fund for the

benefit of this program. So for this

fiscal year, I'll run through the status

of those, and that is the Autauga

WMA-County Road 112 Addition, that has

closed; Coldwater Mountain-McVey Addition,

closed; Coldwater Mountain-Robertson

Addition, closed; Freedom Hills WMA-Boddie Addition, closed; Perdido WMA-Snowden Branch Addition, closed; Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase IV has closed. So that's the status for this fiscal year, and as I said, I think the Peel Addition is closing as we speak.

Any questions on any of that information?

1.5

Yes, sir, Mr. Parnell.

MR. PARNELL: Since I'm new and trying to understand, on the first one, the Autauga County, it says 580 acres of 2239. Tell me about those two numbers.

MS. MCCURDY: The Autauga WMA -- oh, they already closed. Yes, sir. That acquisition was supported by the Wildlife & Freshwater Fisheries Division, Chuck Sykes, Pittman-Robertson funds. That grant program requires a State match of no less than 25 percent of the value. And so we have to translate the value -- appraised on the grounds, and sometimes

the acres aren't exact but that's -- it was supported. You might also have a grant -- I'm sorry -- was that a grant? That was Pittman-Robertson.

MR. SYKES: Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. MCCURDY: You would see similar in a grant-supported transaction.

Any additional questions?

All right. If you would now look again in your sort of beige-colored Tab As I mentioned for each acquisition, there is the simultaneous transfer to the Stewardship Account. This is money that we use for the land activities, land management on the properties. We are currently -- you'll see that the amount remaining available for us for this fiscal year is going to be closer to at this time about 1.4 million, so we're still in good Although, we are going through it shape. pretty quickly. Some of the access improvement we're doing and the signage and parking lot improvements, we have a

lot moving right now, in addition to our usual burning and road improvements and all those activities, but we are fine.

You will also see in connection with that that the net asset value of that fund is just over about 42.6 million at this point. And that fund is designed for the lifetime of the program, the needs for management, so that we're never failing to be a government program that acquires something it does not take good care of.

So that was the purpose of that fund.

Tab 2c provides you some more detailed information. That's the report we get from the treasurer's office each quarter -- and we're very appreciative of the State treasurer taking time to do this for us -- that details the net asset as of March 31st amount that you see that our mark is just over 42.6 million. So that's some additional detail on that.

Any questions on the Stewardship Fund?

1.5

2.1

If none, Commissioner, we'll keep And we will now look at your Tab moving. 3a again, another beige page. Tab 3a, I want to run through for the Board. also for the benefit of the public, we cover at each meeting the status of every appraisal motion that was made by the I provide you an update as to whether those motions -- those appraisals are complete based upon those motions or if there is an issue or if they are moving fine but just not complete. And so I want to run through those appraisals this Actually, everything that has meeting. been previously motioned has been appraised and is available to the Board for action. Those transactions or nominations are the Lillian Swamp Blackwater Addition, Baldwin County; the Oak Mountain State Park-Belcher Addition Phase 2; and the Sipsey River Swamp Brownville Addition, Tuscaloosa County. Those appraised nominations, the appraisal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

value connected with those is currently at almost 7.4 million total. I only throw out that number because sometimes you literally have more on the appraisal page than you have on the available funds page, and so I always want you to be very aware of that amount.

1.5

Questions on the current in-hand appraisals?

All right. If none, Commissioner, we will keep moving. Now, in your packet if you'll turn to Tab 4, and specifically we're going to be -- I'm going to be running through Tab 4b. But while you're getting there, for the benefit of the public, we just discussed the nominations that have received a motion for appraisal. We're now moving to the short list.

Staff compiles the short list.

Once we have willing seller confirmed nominations, we get a nomination, our first step is to send a willing seller letter to get confirmation from the

landowner that they're okay with us looking at their tract of land. Obviously these meetings happen in public. We want them to be aware that their property could be discussed in public. We have that in hand, and we proceed to scoring. our scoring process, the staff -different staff members look at each willing seller nomination and then appraise it based on criteria that tie to the four categories that are in Amendment 543, the constitution which formed the program established. In shorthand, those four categories are nature preserve, recreation -- that can be any type of recreation, and it does include hunting but it's hiking, biking, bird watching, paddling, any kind of recreation -- third would be new WMA, Wildlife Management Areas, specifically hunting. We also now have the Special Opportunity Area, SOA, program, but basically a WMA property, either a new one or an addition to an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

existing property. But both are focused on hunting. But now also even on those tracts, you can have additional recreation, but they score high for hunting. Then the final category is either a new or an addition to an existing state park. So it's got to specifically benefit a state park. And it's — they're each scored — every single one — in those four categories.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

The nomination then competes within our Northern, Central, and Southern Those districts relate to our Districts. scoring process. They relate to the Board nominations to be sure how many -- that the Board is sort of equally distributed. Although, it is considered -- we use the term north, central, and southern, the amendment makes clear that those who are nominated will also consider everything to the east and the west. I mean, it's just how to spread the nominations across the So we use that same district state.

structure for the nominations to compete among themselves. To get on the short list, a tract must score within the top three of their district competition in at least one of those four categories.

That's how it makes the short list.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

Now, you will notice in your information several of the tracts score in more than one category because they have, you know, several attributes that qualify, but it only takes one category competing within their district to make the short It is a living, breathing short list. list, so to speak. It could change Something rolls on; meeting to meeting. something rolls off. Something that's on today could be off next meeting because we received a nomination that scored higher and bumped it off in that district. That's how it works. But what I'm going to cover are the end results of all that, the nominations that scored in their district top 3 in at least one category.

So I'm going to run through those. As I tell you each time, I'm looking down when I do this. If you have a question and I don't stop, please speak up so I'll know to stop.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Okay. So the current properties on the short list, meaning they are available to the Board for a motion for a first appraisal -- that's where these tracts are in the process -- are the following: Alabama River-Montgomery Tract, 797 acres, Montgomery County; Alligator Bayou, approximately 383 acres in Mobile County; we have the Blackwater River SOA-Clapper Addition, 27 acres, Baldwin County; Coldwater Mountain-Martin Addition, 37 acres, Calhoun County; Coldwater Mountain-Oxanna Addition 2023 -that's just part of the nomination name -that is 62 acres in Calhoun County; D'Olive Bay-North Addition, 23 acres, Baldwin County; Dugdown Mountain Corridor, 1,984 acres, Cleburne County; Freedom

Hills WMA-Sutton Hill Addition, 17 acres, 1 2 Colbert County; Historic Blakely State Park-Pye Addition, approximately 85 acres 3 in Baldwin County; Lake Guntersville State 4 Park-John Doss Mountain Addition, 5 6 60 acres, Marshall County; Martin Slough Tract, 429 acres, Hale County; Red 7 8 Hills-Blanton Addition, 40 acres, Monroe County; West Fowl River, 525 acres 9 in Mobile County. And that completes the 10 short list nominations for this meeting 11 12 anyway. Any questions on those or anything 13 about the short list from the Board? 14 MR. CHILDERS: I have a question, 1.5 I don't know if it's available. Patti. 16 The Alabama River tract, how much of that 17 is open land? 18 MS. MCCURDY: If you look at your 19 20 map -- and by that you mean agriculture 21 open, or what do you mean? MR. CHILDERS: Farmland. 22

MS. MCCURDY: If you'll look on your

23

map, not all, but a substantial -- let me just call it substantial portion -- of the River Plantation tract that you'll see -- not the green but the -- or the purple -- gosh, I don't know what color to call that -- but the Landowner 1 (River Plantation) -- Chuck, I don't know if y'all have an exact number -- but I would say not the entire tract but pretty close to it.

MR. CHILDERS: Pretty substantial?

MS. MCCURDY: Pretty substantial.

MR. INGRAM: About 380.

MS. MCCURDY: About 380 came from the back. Thank you. That's Jerry Ingram for the court reporter. Jerry, why don't you -- 380 acres -- come up here so I don't mess this up. And then I'll tell you how the structure would work if it were to move forward.

MR. INGRAM: It's roughly 380 acres. The DOT when they built the interstate back in the '60s, they placed

deed restrictions on that property in that area that whatever was in open farmland has to stay in open farmland. You cannot plant trees on it. It has to stay open. Whatever's in timberland has to stay in timberland and the timber cannot be cut on it. So this place has got some Cherrybark Oaks on it since the late 1960s that have been growing. It's beautiful timber on I think altogether, it's over four miles of river frontage, you know, on this tract, right across from -- you know, downtown Montgomery, they just spent -the City of Montgomery -- I don't how many of y'all go through Montgomery -- but they just spent 65 million dollars on a whitewater park in downtown Montgomery, which is basically right across the river from this tract.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. CHILDERS: Is that the only deed restrictions?

MR. INGRAM: Yeah. You can't build on it either. You can't -- you can

basically hunt it and use it for recreation, you can farm it, but you cannot -- you can't build on it, you can't -- you can't mine gravel on it or anything like that. It pretty much has to stay like it is today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. MCCURDY: There are restrictions on ground disturbance, there would be some limitations on fill, there are some air restrictions that we're going to have to kind of be sure there's no -- I think that may be -- end up okay. You know, Maxwell Air Force Base is right next door, so there's a little more work that has to be done on that. To give you some context -and I know we're going to talk about it in the grant report, but I'll go and mention -- this one was a Pittman-Robertson offer from Chuck, and so we would be looking at a structure where we would have the agriculture -- try to have as much ag as we could in Chuck's portion of the property.

The other thing that we are looking at is the desire to try to put a shooting range on that property. Where we are in addition to the restriction work, we're going to have to do -- determine -- there's no other choice but to do a very specific site visit to see where we could, if we could, site a shooting range, because that's also going to have to figure into the PR split and portion and where it could go.

1.3

1.5

And there are some ground disturbance limitations and the ability to add what we would -- there's no -- sometimes we have geographic features that help. Here we don't. We're going to have to add fill for the berm and that may cause another issue.

And also obviously, you know, it is an area -- if anybody drives over it, you see a lot of water on it, so we need to be sure about how to accurately protect the structure. So we have talked with

Jerry and his team, and Wildlife and I are going to go -- we're going to have to make a very specific visit to tie down if and where a shooting range could go before we can fully work out how to split the work

and confirm it will work.

MR. CHILDERS: Is all this work going to be done before you even take a nomination or not or -- I mean a motion?

Are you going to do the work without a motion?

MS. MCCURDY: Not unless you make a motion before I do the work. I mean, the Board has the ability to do as they -- whatever they want. But, no, I would consider this one -- if I was asked my opinion -- needs a little more work before we would spend money on an appraisal because I've got to be sure how to structure it.

MR. CHILDERS: That answers my question.

MS. MCCURDY: But that's just personal

_ _

opinion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Any other questions?

Then speaking of the grant Okay. status, we'll move on to that. If you'll turn to Tab 5a. So this is the point in the meeting that we give -- I give just a general update on grant matters. That includes properties that we have gotten awards for. I'll give you the status of that. Some are in closing. Some would be ones that -- you know, might be ones you can take further action on. I'll let you know if we've got a deadline problem, so if you do have an interest, we move on And so I'm going to run through the status of that and give you some information related to various grant programs.

So as we talked before, we have some nominations that have benefited from supporting leveraging funds of one type or another. The ones in closing now include the Autauga WMA-Hart Addition, which had

Pittman-Robertson support; the Bon Secour River Wetlands Addition, which has the National Coastal Wetlands Conservation Grant Program funding that supported that acquisition; Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase V -- that property had funding that came through U.S. Fish & Wildlife's Recovery Land Acquisition, or RLA, and Habitat Conservation Plan that we also call HCP funding supporting that acquisition -- the Skyline WMA-Fowler Cove Addition, that one is still under negotiation, not fully in the closing process, but we're working on that one. That one has some -- is also a Pittman-Robertson property, so Chuck and them have offered leverage funding on that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

Moving to opportunities for leveraging as to what we currently have on the short list, I'm going to run through that next. The Blackwater River SOA-Clapper Addition -- and that is Tab 4b page 7, Baldwin County but it's Tab 4b

page 7 if you want to look at that one --Chuck has offered to put in Wildlife's Pittman-Robertson funding on that tract. I noted in the memo that we were working on a split map and that I would update the Board on that at the meeting. Let me tell you kind of where we are on that. After looking at it, I think the best I can say right now on what the split would look like is that there are some structures on the west side of the property, so our split would be our 25 percent of the value, which is required under PR with Forever Wild being the non-federal matching fund, our portion would be on the east side. The exact line is going to depend on us working -- if you motion for an appraisal us working with the appraisal. As you're transitioning there from the more wetland area to some pretty good upland area to the west that valuation is going to shift. So I really can't draw much of a line for you at the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

moment other than to say if you're interested we would move forward. would work with the appraiser to get that valuation line. We would have the east --I'm going to say -- you know, it's not going to be exactly 25 percent, but let's say the eastern 25 percent with the western 75, which include all of the structures being purchased by Wildlife with the grant funds. So you could look in the back and see that. But that's There's an offer on that if where we are. the Board's interested in the first appraisal on it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I have one change, and I'm going to ask Rick Oates -- to make sure I don't say anything -- to back me up on this. If I say anything wrong, please speak up. I had noted -- we thought we might be where AFC was -- this is a tract in Cleburne County -- that's Tab 4B page 15 -- that we had discussed at prior meetings that is a U.S. Forest Service Grant opportunity. It

is still in the application process. AFC has not received --

MR. OATES: Have not received our final word on it, but we are pretty confident we will receive the grant.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. MCCURDY: We initially thought in talking with Rick that we would ask the Board on this nomination to move forward with the first appraisal. However, we had a little more discussion, and they've --Forestry Commission has been able to identify funding where they are -- they will pay for the first appraisal and the review appraisal, which is a second layer of the federal requirement. And the Forestry Service has a very specific, somewhat complicated procedure that we But they're going through us to follow. acquire the appraisal work, but they're going to pay for that. And then the Board would be able to adopt it as a first appraisal later. Subsequently, if it continues to move forward by the Board,

Forever Wild would pay for our necessary second appraisal and our portion of the acreage, so that would be if it moved on to an opinion offer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

MR. OATES: And this is a 75/25 split, Patti.

MS. MCCURDY: It's a 75/25. But there's a map, and it is acreage that is adjacent to the Treat Mountain Voluntary Public Access area in Georgia. This is the one that would create a fairly large ecological corridor on our Georgia border. So for now, I would say there's no motion that needs to be -- well, really can't be made by the Board until after that. we're going to work with AFC and make that a smooth transition. If the Board does want to use the appraisal, it will be available. So that's really your nominations, but I wanted to update you a little bit on some other grant funds that we have either obtained or tried to obtain.

A little bit of an update on the Little River Canyon-Hancock/TNC Addition, as you might remember, we were awarded a National Fish & Wildlife Foundation, NFWF, Cumberland Plateau-Southern Appalachian Fund -- we were awarded just under \$100,000 to support establishment of a shortleaf pine forest and that work continues. The Stewardship Account will provide the necessary state match or none -- well, the necessary match for that. We have a -- timber harvest is under contract. We were pleased. received six bids and is now under That work is proceeding. contract. don't want to jinx anything, but it's a little ahead of schedule. I probably shouldn't have said that. But that's moving well, and we'll keep you updated on that work.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

We had submitted a Recreational Trails Program, RTP, proposal to -- at ADECA for funding under the Federal

Highway Administration for some additional work on that tract, but we were not successful. We were going to use it to construct some trails on that tract. We were not awarded funding, but we're moving forward with it. It's needed work, it's trail work on the property, so we'll proceed with Stewardship funds. But I wanted you to know we did make an effort on that.

1.5

And finally, we put in a request for Gulf of Mexico Energy Security Act --GOMESA is the acronym -- looking for some additional funding trying to -- working with the Commissioner trying to work through a plan to increase paddling opportunities, basically river-based trail along some existing trail in the Mobile-Tensaw Delta and along the Perdido River. Both of those canoe trails are very popular. They are well used. We're trying to both add some stops and some sites that provide connectors and

different skill level of opportunities, as well as just improve some of the current shelters. There are shelters available for overnight rentals. Some are put in/take out points; some are just stopping points. But we're looking to do that.

Not all, but several of the sites are Forever Wild acreage sites and will benefit overall the program, but I'll keep you updated on that.

1.3

1.5

Any questions on the grant status?

All right. I usually do grant

status after short list. I'm sorry. I'm

sleep deprived today. But anyway, we got

it all done. So if there are no questions

on the short list, the grant status,

anything else that I ran through, I think,

Commissioner -- double check me -- we're

ready for general discussion.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Sure. I have one thing I would like to update the Board on on a bill grant, infrastructure grant, that we received from NOAA last week after

the packet had already gone out on some property in West Fowl River/Mississippi Sound that was announced. So if you look at Tab 4 page 28, the map -- the easiest way to explain it is just looking at the map -- the Forever Wild Portersville Bay tract is in the yellow and then there's the red nomination for the West Fowl River The 490 acres between the yellow tract. and the red outlined area was -- we've been awarded a grant to purchase that property between the yellow and the red that is moving forward, just to kind of put that in context with the nomination. So just for information. It was after the packet went out that that was actually announced by NOAA.

Yes, sir?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. CHILDERS: Is there matching funds on that grant?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The part between the red and yellow is 100 percent federal funds, grant funds, yes, sir. So

it doesn't -- that grant doesn't impact the Forever Wild match or any money. I just wanted to show the connectivity between all those parcels right there. I thought that might be of interest to the Board.

1.5

2.1

MS. STANLEY: Very much, very much, Mr. Commissioner. What would be the monetary value of that grant?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 1.2 million.

MS. STANLEY: Congratulations.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you. And then there was another — just of interest maybe to the Board, there was also another part of that that added some property on Weeks Bay adjacent to property that Forever Wild Board and the Department own in the Weeks Bay watershed, a smaller piece, but adds a very critical in-holding there.

MS. MCCURDY: I think we're ready for general discussion.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Let me put my

papers back in place and then we will move 1 2 on to general discussion. 3 MS. MCCURDY: I'm trying to do the same. 4 MR. CHILDERS: Open for motions? 5 6 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir, open for motions. 7 MR. CHILDERS: I'd like to move for 8 first appraisal on the LGSP-John Doss 9 Mountain Addition, please. 10 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Childers 11 has made a motion for a first appraisal on 12 the Guntersville State Park-Doss Addition. 1.3 Is there a second? 14 MR. JONES: Second. 1.5 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by 16 Mr. Jones. 17 Any discussion for a first 18 appraisal on the Guntersville State 19 Park-Doss Addition? 20 All those in favor of first 2.1 appraisal, please raise their hand. 22 All those opposed? 23

Any abstained? 1 Motion carries. 2 3 Dr. Powers? DR. POWERS: I'd like to move for a 4 first appraisal for the West Fowl River 5 6 tract. That's an extraordinary piece of property that is really a value for 8 recreation and preservation. DR. MCCLINTOCK: I'll second that. 9 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Motion by 10 Seconded by Dr. McClintock Dr. Powers. 11 for a first appraisal on the West Fowl 12 River tract. 13 Any discussion? Questions? 14 All those in favor of the first 1.5 appraisal, please raise their hand. 16 MS. MCCURDY: Let me count. That 17 would be all but Mr. Parnell. So we've 18 got 11. 19 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those 20 21 opposed? 22 Any abstained? Motion carries. 23

Dr. McClintock?

DR. MCCLINTOCK: Yeah,

1.5

2.1

Mr. Commissioner, I'd like to enthusiastically recommend we move to purchase of the Oak Mountain State

Park-Belcher Addition Phase 2. It's just really the last bit of land that the park is going to have an opportunity to grow into, and I think it's --

MR. HOLLOWAY: Second.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Motion by

Dr. McClintock and seconded by

Mr. Holloway for a second appraisal and

move to purchase on the Oak Mountain State

Park --

MS. MCCURDY: Commissioner, I'm sorry to interrupt you. Since we have the second and had the reconciliation, it's just a motion to purchase. This one's a little different.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you for the clarification.

MS. MCCURDY: You're welcome. It's

rare that I get to --

1.3

1.5

2.1

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: You get to correct me, huh? You and my wife will both be happy you get to do that.

Let me state it again so everybody knows what we're voting on. So it is to move to purchase the Oak Mountain State

Park Phase 2 Belcher Addition.

Any questions or comments on that?

Yes, sir, Mr. Parnell?

MR. PARNELL: I speak against this. I think this price -- this tract is priced so high that it is not the right use of the funds.

MS. MCCURDY: Ms. Stanley?

MS. STANLEY: I will agree with the statement to move against this purchase for a slightly different reason. I believe that what we have already in that state park is very sufficient to offer recreation and other uses that we typically offer. And particularly because it is across the railroad tracks and to me

presents a safety issue and a liability, 1 just let it qo. 2 3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any other comments or --4 MS. MCCURDY: Dr. Howell? 5 6 DR. HOWELL: Is the stream on the property ephemeral or permanent? 7 8 MS. MCCURDY: I'm going to look to --9 DR. HOWELL: Has anyone done a survey of --10 MS. MCCURDY: Jo, come up. 11 MS. LEWIS: The topographic map 12 indicates that it is. 13 MS. MCCURDY: The topographic map, Jo 14 thinks may indicate something, but we 15 don't have confirmation of -- I don't 16 17 think we have biological information on that for sure so --18 19 MS. LEWIS: No, we are not aware of 20 any biological surveys conducted. 21 portion, Steve, you said some were but I don't know about the --22 23 MS. MCCURDY: Y'all have got -- you

can't -- for the court reporter -- if 1 you're going to -- come up. 2 3 MR. NORTHCUTT: I've read the biological report, but the stream was 4 mentioned. I don't think it's ephemeral, 5 6 but I don't know for absolutely sure. 7 MS. LEWIS: On the topographic maps, there's a standard broken line to 8 represent ephemeral. This is not a broken 9 line. This is a quite heavy line 10 indicating a permanent stream. 11 MS. MCCURDY: But that is us looking 12 at that. 13 Is it in the Cahaba River DR. HOWELL: 14 watershed? 1.5 One second. Hold on. MS. MCCURDY: 16 I'd rather be sure of the answer than 17 answer you wrong on that, so we can 18 certainly get those questions clarified 19 20 for you at the next meeting. 2.1 DR. HOWELL: Thank you. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So we have a 22

motion to move to purchase the Oak

23

Mountain State Park Phase 2. All those in 1 2 favor please raise your hand. 3 MS. MCCURDY: So let me count. Keep them up. Sorry. Seven. 4 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those 5 6 opposed? 7 MS. MCCURDY: Hold on. We have 8 Ms. Stanley, Mr. Harper, Mr. Childers, Mr. Parnell, so -- and with an abstention 9 from Mr. Darnall. 10 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So the motion 11 fails seven to four. It takes nine 12 affirmative votes to act on a piece of 13 14 property. Next? Anybody else? Mr. Jones? 1.5 MR. JONES: I'd like to move to 16 purchase the Sipsey River Swamp-Brownville 17 Addition. 18 MR. HARPER: Second. 19 I'm sorry. So Mr. Jones 20 MS. MCCURDY: 21 and then Mr. Harper? CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes. So the 22 23 motion is to move for a second appraisal

and move to purchase the Sipsey River 1 Swamp-Brownville Addition; is that 2 3 correct? MR. JONES: Correct. 4 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: A motion by 5 6 Mr. Jones. Seconded by Mr. Harper. 7 Any discussion or questions on 8 that? All those in favor please raise 9 your hand. 10 MS. MCCURDY: All right. We have ten 11 Mr. Harper, are you voting yes? 12 votes. MR. HARPER: Yes. 13 MS. MCCURDY: So we have everyone but 14 Mr. Parnell, right? We have 11. 15 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? 16 Any opposed? 17 Any abstained? 18 Motion carries. 19 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers? 20 This won't be a motion 21 DR. POWERS: but I have a question. So the D'Olive Bay 22 property is scheduled to come off if we 23

don't do anything. I'd like to -- I don't know if it's a motion. I don't think it's a motion. I would just like to request that it stay on one more meeting for -- the reason is I haven't had a chance to look at that property yet and there -- it looks like it would be a valuable addition, but the description says there's a lot -- seems to imply there's a lot of structure and development already on that property.

1.5

MS. MCCURDY: We'll be happy to go with you there. And we'll cover all this with Tab 5b, but I've got that noted. Why don't we plan a trip there and let's let you eyeball it before the next meeting?

DR. POWERS: And second, we had talked about any grant opportunities available for that area. It seems that it would be prime for some NOAA help or things like that. And you didn't touch on it in the grant report. I assume that's because y'all concluded there's other better

opportunities.

1.5

MS. MCCURDY: Right. I don't think there's a NOAA opportunity. We looked at potential wetland opportunities, but it didn't score as high by that category as some of the other tracts, so it has not been pursued by us. But we'll get with you, Dr. Powers, on that.

DR. POWERS: So we have holdings there already. Just, to me, it's the question of how much development is already there and the condition of the property. And I drive by it numerous times. Is the hotel on that off ramp?

MS. MCCURDY: No.

DR. POWERS: The Hampton Inn is -CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: No. This is
really where that restaurant is, the
volleyball courts.

DR. POWERS: So it's before that.

MS. MCCURDY: We'll go. That will be great. We'll just go on site and get your -- you can give some feedback to the

Board for the next meeting. That would be 1 great if you don't mind doing that. 2 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Jones? 3 MR. JONES: Could I do two very small 4 tracts? They're not in the same location. 5 6 Could I roll that up into one motion? CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I would 7 8 probably rather us do it individually, please. 9 10 MR. JONES: So I would like to make a motion for a first appraisal on the 11 Blackwater River SOA-Clapper Addition. 12 I second that. MR. DARNALL: 13 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So motion by 14 Mr. Jones. Seconded by Mr. Darnall for a 15 first appraisal on the Blackwater River 16 SOA-Clapper Addition. 17 Any questions or discussion? 18 MR. CHILDERS: What tab is that on? 19 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 20 It's 4a page --21 MR. JONES: Page 7. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Page 7, 4a 22 23 page 7 and 8.

All those in favor of first 1 appraisal on the property please raise 2 your hand. 3 MS. MCCURDY: All right. We have 11 4 Other than Mr. Parnell, we have 11. 5 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? 6 Any abstained? Thank you. 8 The motion carries. You said you had another one? 9 I'd like to make a motion MR. JONES: 10 for first appraisal on Coldwater 11 Mountain-Martin Addition, 37 acres. 12 MR. DARNALL: I'll second that. 13 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. The 14 motion is for a first appraisal on the 15 Coldwater Mountain-Martin Addition made by 16 Seconded by Mr. Darnall. 17 Mr. Jones. Any discussion or questions? 18 All those in favor please raise 19 20 your hand. MS. MCCURDY: We have 11, other than 21 Mr. Parnell. 22 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? 23

Any abstained? 1 Motion carries. 2 3 Do you have another one, Mr. Jones, or was that all? 4 MR. JONES: No. 5 6 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Parnell? MR. PARNELL: The Lillian Swamp, I'd 7 like to make a motion we make an offer of 8 50 percent of the appraised value. 9 MS. MCCURDY: And for the Board, that 10 is Tab 3b page 2. 11 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So the motion 12 is that -- let me make sure I get this 13 right -- that we make an offer of 14 50 percent of the first appraised value. 15 MR. DARNALL: I'll second that. 16 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 17 Seconded by who? 18 MR. DARNALL: Jack. 19 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by 20 21 Mr. Darnall. Help me make sure I word this correctly, please. The attorneys 22 make sure this is correct. So 50 percent 23

of the appraised value, would we make that motion if accepted to move to second appraisal, or how would we -- since we get two appraisals --

1.5

MS. MCCURDY: We would normally make the offer, and if the offer is accepted, then -- your motion is normally a motion for second appraisal and proceed to purchase. Why don't we do a normal motion, which would be a motion for second appraisal and to proceed with purchase at 50 percent of the first appraisal value.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Would that be a more -- we're just in discussion about the motion. So would that be a more appropriate motion to be able to make sure we follow the constitutional amendment? We have to have two appraisals.

MS. MCCURDY: Yes. There's no way -the amendment has specific language
requiring two appraisals. The third that
we -- the reconciliation we do is more
procedural, but the two appraisals is an

amendment -- constitutional language. So

I think we still have to have two

appraisals. You would still -- because,

frankly, you still -- if you're making a

motion at 50 percent of the first

appraisal, you still need to know that the

first appraisal is firm --

1.5

2.1

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Is valid.

MS. MCCURDY: Is valid, yeah. Or your 50 percent is not really a 50 percent of true market value. So I think it's a standard motion for a motion second for second appraisal proceed to purchase at 50 percent of the first appraisal value, and we will do what we normally do. If the second appraisal does not confirm, you'll have to come back to the Board as we always do.

MR. PARNELL: I'm fine with that language. That does not differ from the intent of the motion.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Is the seconder okay with that change?

MR. DARNALL: Yes.

2.1

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Let's see if Dr. Powers has some discussion.

DR. POWERS: Yeah. So I'll oppose this (a) because -- I mean, I think I'd like to see the second appraisal before we decide what is the basis for any reduction, and there's -- is there a reason why we chose 50 percent on this? What's the basis for us coming up with 50 percent?

MR. PARNELL: Fair question. No, sir,
I just pulled that from right there. I do
believe based on my study of the
appraisals that there is a real
possibility that you can buy this tract
for less than the appraised value.

MR. CHILDERS: So for me to be clear, the motion would be a second appraisal but not to purchase; is that right?

MS. MCCURDY: The motion on the floor would be a standard -- the standard way we do it, which is a proceed with purchase --

second appraisal proceed to purchase. If the second appraisal came within 10 percent of the first appraisal as our normal procedure, we would keep moving if the landowner accepts 50 percent. Does that make sense?

MR. CHILDERS: Yeah, it makes sense, but that's not -- I don't think that's the intent of his motion. I think the intention of his motion would be to look at the second appraisal with no purchase.

MS. MCCURDY: Well, let's -- then let's pose back.

MR. PARNELL: No, Mickey, if I made an offer, I would stand behind my offer if I was doing it personally, so I would feel the same way about this Board. I know there's some procedures you have to go through to make certain you're correct, but I have real concern about the appraised value of most of the parcels that we're looking at. This is an attempt to see if my speculation has any validity.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So just to make sure that we're -- I want the Board to be clear on what the motion is and I want to make sure the intent of the motioner. So the way I understand it would be for a second -- move for second appraisal, and if it meets our requirements for second appraisals, then to offer 50 percent of the appraised value to the landowner?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. MCCURDY: I will note -- I don't want to interrupt you -- but that would be a little different than our normal Let me say how. We go to the process. landowner off the first appraisal and make our offer. Here, we would go to the landowner and offer 50 percent. We only then proceed with the second appraisal. Frankly, if they're not in the ball game -- this is how we normally do it. they're not in the ball game, I don't want to spend your money on a second appraisal if the landowner is not -- I mean, I don't want to omit them exactly. I mean, they

signed an offer letter. They're committed, I guess, but --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So maybe a more appropriate motion might be for us to offer the landowner 50 percent of the appraised value and if that is acceptable to them to move forward with the second appraisal. Would that be a better process?

MS. MCCURDY: That is exactly what will happen under -- even our normal motions just how y'all say it is normally a motion for second appraisal, proceed to purchase. That means if everything is fine, we close it. But you can word it however -- that way is a fine way to word That is how it will work. We will it. offer the landowner 50 percent of appraised value, and if accepted by the landowner, we'll proceed with second appraisal. And I will tell you procedurally if the second appraisal does not come back within that 10 percent,

which that offer is contingent upon, our offer's dead and I'll come back to you and tell you, hey, the second appraisal did not come back within the range. We do the reconciliation and I tell what the real value is, but I have to come back to y'all. That's on any tract. We don't move forward. You word the motion like you think is the most informative to the Board. I think what you said is fine. It's different, but it's exactly what will happen.

1.5

2.1

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I'm not making the motion. I'm just trying to make sure it's clear to Board members what we're voting on. I wanted to make sure it's clear.

So, Mr. Parnell, if I word this, will you please let me know if this is what you mean -- and the seconder as well -- is that we make an offer to the landowner on the Lillian Swamp property at 50 percent of the appraised value, and if

they are affirmative that they would 1 accept that, then we move forward with the 2 second appraisal. Would that be -- is 3 that correct? 4 MR. PARNELL: Yes, sir, that's fine. 5 6 MS. MCCURDY: And with one addition. If all that happens, we have authority 7 8 from this Board to proceed with purchase is exactly what you said, but you want us 9 to move on forward, no need to come back 10 if that works out. So that is proceed to 11 purchase at the end of what you said. 12 MR. CHILDERS: At the offer price at 13 50 percent? 14 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Darnall, is 15 that --16 That's what I --MR. DARNALL: 17 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: As the seconder 18 is that --19 MR. DARNALL: That's what I 20 understood. 21 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. 22 23 DR. POWERS: One more question.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers? 1 MR. HARPER: And if he doesn't accept 2 it, it's dead. 3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: If he doesn't 4 accept it, it will be --5 MS. MCCURDY: If it's not accepted, 6 it's -- at that point, it's declined. The 7 8 Board could still make a motion -- you know, a different motion. 9 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: At the next 10 meeting. 11 MR. HARPER: Second appraisal and then 12 reduce it then and see? 13 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: You have 14 multiple options, yes, sir. 15 Dr. Powers? 16 So a couple of things, 17 DR. POWERS: and some might be obvious. So since we're 18 a public entity, is there any chance the 19 20

property owner gets to see the first
appraisal before you make your offer, or
is it all confidential until the end? In
other words, the way you phrase things

out, they won't know you're offering
50 percent unless they look at the
transcript, I guess, of this meeting.

MS. MCCURDY: Then I think they'll have a heads-up, but, yes. By regulation, the appraisals -- unless they involve federal funds -- and that gets a little more wishy-washy -- but it's confidential until the point of the offer. I have to reread the regulation. We'll follow it, but it is confidential until offer acceptance or offer made --

DR. POWERS: Actually never mind because they can just look at the minutes of our meeting.

MS. MCCURDY: They will know -anyone would know whether your motion went
up a percent or -- I mean, yeah, they'll
know.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Let's call the question. Let's vote. I think we've discussed this a pretty good bit. All those in favor of making an offer of the

50 percent of the appraised value on the 1 2 Lillian Swamp property and if accepted by 3 the landowner moving -- our staff moving to a second appraisal and move to 4 purchase, all those in favor please raise 5 6 your hand. 7 MS. MCCURDY: Y'all keep them up. Six. 8 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those 9 opposed? 10 MS. MCCURDY: Four. 11 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Motion fails. 12 MS. STANLEY: Abstention. 1.3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Oh, and one 14 abstention. I apologize. One abstention. 15 Anything else? 16 Thank you. We'll move to 17 miscellaneous reports, number 7 Tab 5. 18 MS. MCCURDY: All right. 19 We've already covered Tab 5a. We will move to 20 Tab 5b, which we've discussed most of 2.1 this. Tab 5b is where we report to you 22 the nominations that will disappear for 23

the next -- at the next meeting absent a Board request. The only property we had to discuss this time was the D'Olive Bay property, which we covered and which will remain on the list.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

We then have what is really the first time the Board has -- another procedure that was established by the Board -- first time you've had to run through this, so I want to take just a The previous procedure is minute. attached to your Tab 5b handout, but it is for what we call repeat nominations. We consider a -- if we've had a nomination -an original nomination and then within two years get the same tract -- exact same tract nominated again, then it is called a repeat nomination because we received the nomination during the two-year moratorium period. These are names we came up without -- but you have seen some tracts that have been previously nominated, but they either were done so prior to the

Board adopting that procedure or it was after that two-year period had expired. So it's considered just a regular nomination. We have a tract this time, the Natural Bridge Park, which is -- sorry. It's on the list because it's not -- it attached -- the original nomination, the language -- your normal Board meeting material is attached to Tab 5c.

1.5

2.1

MS. STANLEY: Exhibit A Tab 5c.

MS. MCCURDY: Exhibit A Tab 5c -thank you, Ms. Stanley -- is what was in
your packet -- the old packet at the time
that Natural Bridge Park rolled off due to
no action. It had been extended, but
anyway, it rolled off ultimately. And so
under our procedure, we do not enter the
scoring process. We do not process a
repeat nomination until we come back to
the Board and see if there's a renewed
interest in doing so, putting that repeat
nomination back in our process. So

similar to keeping a tract on -- just like Dr. Powers did -- it only takes one Board member to say, hey, yes, I am okay with staff reassessing and begin scoring like a normal nomination would be a repeat nomination and that's what Natural Bridge is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

So I've attached the supporting information we have received from various parties. The concerns I think that the Board previously had -- and there were several meetings where it was discussed -did relate to the items such as security, structure removal, the necessary restoration work after structure removal. Sometimes my staff can handle demolition, but these are significant structures that we're going to have a cost to taking them off the property. It included an old gift When I say old, I don't mean bad looking or anything, but a gift shop. then an area that had previously served as a community center on the bottom and

living quarters for a family member on the top, but it is going to require more professional demolition work, which the County has now offered to provide.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

We have concerns about -- or the Board did of security on this tract due to the fact that neither the Department nor the Forever Wild program -- we really don't have anything that close by. not very easy for us to go check on it. There were some concerns in addition just to your normal security about if we could adequately protect what is a significant -- the natural feature on the park, various concerns that kind of detailed it. You're going from -- we're going from a property that had on site -people on site daily to what would be one of our normal properties that really you don't have somebody sitting on site all But the difference this time is the time. several of the offers, I guess, for assistance that were made last time have

been put in letter form. We don't have written agreements. I would not go to that effort unless this Board wanted us to consider it and put this back in the process.

But anyway, based on the information that you have in Tab 5b and 5c, if there is a Board member that requests us to begin processing this nomination, we will. We'll see how it scores, and it will be like anything else that's a willing seller nomination.

Ms. Stanley?

MS. STANLEY: Mr. Chairman, I move to reconsider this property given the additional support that is offered in the package for Natural Bridge Park.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right.

Thank you. We'll have our staff score it and put it back in the rotation. Thank you.

MS. MCCURDY: All right. Thank you.

Now you know another new process. See how

valuable the meeting was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Tab 5d -- if you'll All right. turn to that -- we're kind of going into some routine matters now. As the Board has heard before, upon acquisition of a property, we are required to prepare a management plan for that property. must be done within one year of Because it has to be done acquisition. within one year of acquisition, we try to bring it to the Board one meeting in advance of that expiration in case there is a question from the Board, we can address it, but also because, therefore, it is fairly early in the acquisition process, we are generally still, you know, securing the tract, getting it ready for public access, et cetera. So it's a bit early in the game, but it's when we have to do this.

So in Tab 5d, the properties that are due to be included in or have -- that are planned to develop are the following:

The Coldwater Mountain Complex-McVey
Addition, Perdido River WMA
Complex-Snowden Addition, and Red Hills
WMA Complex-Flat Creek Phase IV Addition.
Those are all simply additions to existing
plans. If the Board approves that, I will
need a motion. I provided a sample motion
for the Board. And I'll take any
questions on those plans.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers?

DR. POWERS: So I move the Board approves the State Lands Division proceeding with the inclusion of the Coldwater Mountain Complex-McVey Addition, Perdido River WMA-Snowden Addition, and Red Hills WMA-Flat Creek Phase IV Addition into existing management plans as set forth in the memorandum dated May 4, 2023.

MR. HOLLOWAY: Second.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Dr. Holloway.

Any questions or comments on that?

All those in favor of the motion

1.5

please raise your hand.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

MS. MCCURDY: That's unanimous, Commissioner.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The motion carries.

MS. MCCURDY: All right. The next sort of routine administrative -- two more matters that -- the next two memos are 5e and 5f. There are certain things that we every year do at a certain quarterly Every May, we address both meeting. requests of the Board for the administrative support to State Lands for administration of the project -- as you know, Forever Wild itself has no employees as an entity. It has Board members but no employees. So we do two things at the May meeting. We address the administrative support. We also will address next a year in advance Board approval for -- the administrative support is for this fiscal year. We wait on the end of the fiscal year to be sure there's no change of any

sort that I think needs to be brought to the Board's attention. We then will move to addressing authority to make expenditure from the Stewardship Account. I'll explain that in a minute. We do both of these in May, the administrative support for this fiscal year and the Stewardship authority for next year.

1.5

So what I've presented to the Board in your packet, what I do every year to give you context for the request, I'll take the last fiscal year -- just because it's a full fiscal year that I can give you actual numbers on -- and run through both expenditures and reimbursements for various things that come in to us to get to what -- the expenses to State Lands Division.

So each year, we ask for administrative support, and this year we're asking to stay at the \$900,000 level, which we have maintained for the last three years. So I will take any

questions from the Board on that. There's really nothing different this year than the previous years. I'm happy to take questions. And then because it does require a motion from the Board, we'll need a motion similar to what's provided to the Board in the memo.

Any questions?

1.5

2.1

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: No questions.

Is there a motion?

Mr. Holloway?

MR. HOLLOWAY: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board approve the State Lands Division staff to proceed with the requested Administrative Transfer in the amount of \$900,000 for fiscal year 2023 administrative support provided by the State Lands Division.

MR. CHILDERS: Second.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Mr. Childers.

All those in favor please raise your hand.

MS. MCCURDY: It's unanimous,
Commissioner.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The motion carries.

Thank you.

MS. MCCURDY: Thank you.

I do want to thank my staff. I stand up here and give you a lot of information, but that is provided from my staff both in the office and in the field. So I want to thank them.

The next matter --

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Before you move from that, I think I saw a lot of -- well, a lot of your State Lands staff -- field staff were here.

MS. MCCURDY: My enforcement staff.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The enforcement staff. So I want to make sure the Board knows that we have -- if y'all don't mind standing up just for a second. That's not all of them but quite a few of them.

These guys are in the field on the tracts

every day doing work and just wanted to recognize them. You meet a lot of the biological and staff from the Natural Heritage Section, but you may not always get to see some of the other guys that are in the field. So thank y'all for your service and thank you for the work y'all do making sure that everything stays good on our tracts. Thank you.

MS. MCCURDY: And I do want to say they are a special type of enforcement officer. They're not only dealing with, you know, the public activity on the tract, but they're doing physical land management work and biological evaluation on the tract, forestry evaluations and implementing those decisions, and watching over our contractors that are working on whether it's timber or restoration work. They've overseeing that. So they wear a lot of hats, and I do appreciate it in addition to keeping everybody safe.

Our next tab, Tab 5e, again, this

1.5

is every time at the May meeting. The Amendment 543 in addressing expenditures from the Stewardship Account has very specific language. A couple of those points are, one, I have to obtain authority as to disbursements from the Stewardship Account in advance of the upcoming fiscal year. So we have this meeting and the August meeting that we need to get that done, we're going to be allowed to make expenditures from the Stewardship Account. That's why it's being brought up at this meeting. What the Board --

1.5

The language also of the amendment, at the time it was written, we were — the management of the Stewardship Fund was envisioned to be for the purpose of interest income generation. That worked great maybe some years, but as you can imagine, it's not continuing to provide enough money to manage the tracts. So what is done because of the language of

the Amendment 543, the Commissioner has to make a determination that there will not be enough interest income from the Stewardship Fund and that we -- it's called an insufficiency determination. That's the language used in the amendment. Therefore, he has to come to the Board to ask for authority to use funds from the corpus of the Stewardship Account. So this procedure is required because of the specific language in the amendment.

1.5

2.1

The Commissioner has made that

determination that he will need additional
authority -- or we will need additional
authority from the Board. And that is all
the detail in Tab 5f. And what my
division does is we work with Parks
Division and Wildlife & Freshwater
Fisheries to evaluate what, in our
language, we call routine management
needs. That's, you know, your fire on the
tracts, your repairs, your recreational

maintenance and some enhancements, invasive species work, boundary line maintenance, just truly -- and forestry assessments, anything that's actual land management. We then have some non-routine And I don't know what else to call tasks. it. That's what we call it. We sometimes have reforestation needs on some of the tracts either because we have harvested timber and obviously are going to reforest that, or we have tracts that have timber reservations. The timber's been removed and so we are performing restoration work. So we have some restoration work coming this next year.

If you'll look at Tab 5, Exhibit A is Commissioner's determination. Exhibit B is expected reforestation efforts. I will add to that if the Little River Canyon harvesting -- if it continues to be ahead of schedule, we may have some on that tract as well.

But this year and every year, what

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

Commissioner does is recommend approval of You don't really know what disbursements. your needs are going to be in reflection of that and then reflection of the continued effort to try to make headway in adding recreational trails. We have now some specific groups under contract that can do design and construction of those. We can do them faster now than just using our crews. So all this taken into consideration and working with Commissioner, Commissioner is recommending that approval of the current state budgetary amount that we have in our budget, our availability for budget, at 2.5 million dollars of allowing an expenditure up to that amount, whatever amount exceeds interest that may be gained.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

So if the Board has any questions, let's address those now. We'll try to help you with those. Commissioner, if there are no questions, we would still

need a motion. If the Board's ready to do it at this meeting, we would need a motion similar to what's in there.

1.5

2.1

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Just to be clear because we have several new Board members since last May, when the amendment was passed, it just speaks to interest income. Since our Stewardship Fund is not in a money market, but we have in working with the State treasurer invested that in a diversified portfolio and actually the change in value has been much higher than the amount that's requested for use, but the actual interest is not up to that amount and so that's why we have that insufficiency notice. Does that make it understandable?

So we're doing good. It's just not interest. It's a change in -- an increase in value through the portfolio managed by the State treasurer.

MS. MCCURDY: And that's your Tab 4c report that you get.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Parnell? 1 MR. PARNELL: I'd make the motion to 2 do this. 3 Mr. Parnell, if you MS. MCCURDY: 4 could read the -- or Commissioner, one of 5 6 you -- the Tab 5f. The sample motion's on the second page of that at the bottom. I make a motion for the 8 MR. PARNELL: Board to authorize the ADCNR, after 9 exhausting available interest income from 10 the Stewardship Account, to extend the 11 funds from the corpus of the Stewardship 12 Account up to an amount that, when added 13 to the available interest income, does not 14 exceed two and a half million for FY24. 1.5 DR. MCCLINTOCK: I'll second that. 16 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 17 Seconded by Dr. McClintock. 18 All those in favor please raise 19 your hand. 20 2.1 MS. MCCURDY: It's unanimous. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Motion carries. 22 23 Thank you.

MS. MCCURDY: All right. You have some additional information in your packet, but I know we're running long. You can look at your Tab 5d, Tab 5f.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

I do want to take just a minute just to give you some -- I'll probably have more information at the next meeting. But if you'll turn to Tab 5i. That would be your last -- yeah, your last -- Tab 5. I just wanted to mention to the Board that as you may know, Birmingham Southern College has been making some changes to some of their operations, and one of those is they have transferred all of their interest in the Turkey Creek Nature Preserve tract. You know, you often hear from Charles Yeager who works there and comes and updates the Board on some various things and thanks the Board for various things. All the interest -- and Charles has informed us -- has transferred to the Ruffner Mountain Nature Coalition. Basically if you're familiar with Ruffner

Mountain, that's the existing organization that manages Ruffner Mountain. They have taken all of Birmingham Southern's interest, and the City of Pinson has done an assignment, which I just received before the meeting, noting the transfer of So we're working through that. procedurally kind of shifting that. don't think the Board will see anything different. I don't think the public will see anything different. But I did want to make you aware of that change in the relationship moving from Birmingham Southern College to the Ruffner Mountain Nature Coalition.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Commissioner, I think with that,
the other matters in your packet I think
you can read on your own. Other than -- I
don't want to -- I have one announcement.
I just want everybody to know that Evan
Lawrence, who has been helping so much
with Forever Wild and the packet and has
helped a lot of y'all, is not leaving the

Department, but he and his family are moving a little bit north and he's going to be working for our State Parks
Division. So I'm sure you'll be seeing and hearing from Evan again, but I want to thank Evan for all of his help that he's been to me. And, gosh, Evan, how many years have you been with State Lands now?

1.5

2.1

MR. LAWRENCE: Twelve, 13 if you count my seasonal laborer time.

MS. MCCURDY: Evan started as a seasonal laborer, so it's been 12 or 13 years. So anyway he has been a very valuable asset to the Department as a whole. So I just want to thank him, Commissioner, but, yeah, we'll be working with him still. We know where he is.

That's it for me.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Evan.

The next item is approval of the minutes from the February 2, 2023, meeting. Is there a motion -- are there

any corrections or changes or anything for those minutes? If not, I'll entertain a motion to approve the minutes.

DR. POWERS: So moved.

MR. HARPER: Second.

1.5

2.1

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers has motioned. Seconded by Mr. Harper.

Any other corrections or changes?

All those in favor of the approval of the February 2nd minutes please raise your hand.

MS. MCCURDY: That's unanimous.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The motion carries.

So the next meeting will be at the University of South Alabama in Mobile at the McQueen Alumni Center on August the 3rd. Thank you, Dr. Powers and President Jo Bonner, for hosting us on the University of South Alabama campus, my alma mater. And I appreciate the opportunity for y'all to be able to visit the campus down there.

comments from the Board? Seeing none, we are adjourned. (Proceedings concluded at 12:23 p.m.) 7	
(Proceedings concluded at 12:23 p.m.)	
5 12:23 p.m.) 6	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF ALABAMA

AUTAUGA COUNTY

I, Stacey L. Johnson, Certified Court
Reporter and Commissioner for the State of
Alabama at Large, hereby certify that on
May 4, 2023, I reported the proceedings in
the matter of the foregoing cause, and
that pages 3 through 105 contain a true
and accurate transcription of the
aforementioned proceedings.

I further certify that I am neither of kin nor of counsel to any of the parties to said cause, nor in any manner interested in the results thereof.

This the 22nd day of June, 2023.

1.5

2.1

/s/Stacey L. Johnson
STACEY L. JOHNSON, CCR
Commissioner for the
State of Alabama at Large
CCR 386, Expires 9/30/2023
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 6/22/2023