| 1   | MINUTES OF THE                           |
|-----|--|
| 2   | FOREVER WILD BOARD MEETING               |
| 3   | Coastal Alabama Community College        |
| 4   | L.D. Owen Performing Arts Center         |
| 5   | 1900 Highway 31 South                    |
| 6   | Bay Minette, Alabama                     |
| 7   | May 5, 2022                              |
| 8   | 10:00 a.m.                               |
| 9   |  |
| 10  | * * * * * * * * *                        |
| 11  | Transcript of Proceedings                |
| 12  | * * * * * * * * *                        |
| 13  |  |
| 14  | PROCEEDINGS, taken before Stacey         |
| 15  | L. Johnson, Certified Court Reporter and |
| 16  | Commissioner for the State of Alabama at |
| 17  | Coastal Alabama Community College,       |
| 18  | L.D. Owen Performing Arts Center,        |
| 19  | 1900 Highway 31 South, Bay Minette,      |
| 20  | Alabama, on the 5th day of May, 2022,    |
| 21  | commencing at 10:00 a.m.                 |
| 22  | * * * * * * * *                          |
| 2.3 |  |

| 1  | BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:                                       |
|----|--|
| 2  | Commissioner Chris Blankenship, Chairman                     |
| 3  | Mr. James "Mickey" Childers<br>Mr. Reginald N. Holloway      |
| 4  | Dr. Sean P. Powers<br>Dr. John Valentine<br>Mr. David Wright |
| 5  | Mr. Rick Oates Dr. Heather Howell                            |
| 6  | Dr. James McClintock<br>Mr. Jack Darnall                     |
| 7  | * * * * * * * *  |
| 8  |  |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Well, good 1 morning, everybody, and welcome to the 2 quarterly meeting of the Forever Wild Land 3 Trust Board. I'm Chris Blankenship, the 4 Commissioner of the Department of 5 6 Conservation and the Chairman of the Forever Wild Board, and I'm excited to be 8 here in Bay Minette today. Let me call the role and make sure 9 we have a quorum, and then I'm going to 10 recognize Dr. Craig Pouncey to make some 11 opening comments. If you are here, please 12 indicate that when I call your name. 1.3 Chris Blankenship, here. 14 Mickey Childers? 15 MR. CHILDERS: Here. 16 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Jack Darnall? 17 MR. DARNALL: Here. 18 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Holloway? 19 MR. HOLLOWAY: Here. 20 Dr. Howell? 2.1 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: DR. HOWELL: Here. 22 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. McClintock? 23

DR. MCCLINTOCK: Here.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Rick Oates?

MR. OATES: Here.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers?

DR. POWERS: Here.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Valentine?

DR. VALENTINE: Here.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright?

MR. WRIGHT: Here.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Raymond Jones, Dr. Neumann, Dr. Saloom, Mr. Satterfield, and Dr. Sims are not present. That gives us ten. We do have a quorum.

I would like to say how much I appreciate Coastal Alabama Community College for hosting us here. It is definitely very difficult for me not to call this Faulkner State Community College after growing up in Mobile. When I was on the Scholars' Bowl team in high school, this is where we had all of our Scholars' Bowl matches was over here. And many of you know, I started my career as a

Conservation Enforcement Officer and attended the police academy here at Coastal Alabama Community College about 28 years ago. I have a great picture of me and my daughter that I was holding in the lobby when she was about four months old when I graduated, and both of us were a lot smaller then than we are now. But it kind of brings back memories. When I turned onto the road to come back here, it brought back a lot of memories from 28 years ago. So very, very glad to be here in South Alabama and thank you so much for hosting us.

1.5

2.1

Dr. Pouncey, would you like to make a couple of opening comments?

DR. POUNCEY: Thank you very much.

We just want to welcome you on behalf of Chancellor Jimmy Baker. We understand the important work that you do.

I'm kind of an old, grounded country boy myself, and fortunately because of cooperation over my entire

career with the Lands Division, we've been able to help Alabama out a great deal.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I remember the first time I went to the State Department in 2003 -- and I typically stayed on the two-lane farmer market roads and helped people that didn't have resources -- and we had gone through two back-to-back years of proration and, therefore, Franklin County was up there totally broke. Well, nobody at the State Department knew anything about 16th Sections Land, but I knew a little bit about timber coming from Crenshaw County where I currently have a But anyway, we bailed that school farm. system out with that sale of that timber. Now, it hadn't been cut in 40 years and it was -- but, hey, we got 1.2 million dollars out of it, and we kept that school system afloat.

The second thing I remember, people in your position have to make tough, tough decisions. Oftentimes, those

decisions don't occur without controversy. I was in Hale County one night and the superintendent, Ms. Osie Pickens, had to make a tough decision. There was a public meeting about the closure of Sunshine High School, and so, you know how people were all fired up about that, closing our community school, this and that. what she had to do and that allowed her to move that school system forward and build a new tech center. One of our Board members stood up in front of her and spoke on her behalf, said, people, this isn't about turning the power off tomorrow. sign of a great society is when older men plant trees from which they will never That's what y'all do. enjoy the shade. Your actions every day are to provide for the next generation.

I'm so pleased here at Coastal because we have an instructor, Schuyler Huff -- and I know y'all have got a lot to do, but I'm so excited. He's formed a

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

partnership with West Alabama and Coastal Community College, and he's got student interns that have an eradication program this summer for invasive species and feral hogs. And I just wanted Schuyler to come up and tell y'all about it just a minute.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. HUFF: Thank you, Dr. Pouncey. Good morning, Board.

So here in the United States, invasive species are affecting our country, so those affect human health, they affect natural resources, and even affect our environment. It costs the United States over 100 million dollars yearly. Here locally, we're working with the feral swine and Cogon grass, which we So what the interns do is they have here. go out and they're working with boots on the ground spraying Cogon grass and setting up traps, trapping pigs. addition, we're also working with those landowners, giving them education and helping them with forest management and

even those non-timber forest products.

We're also helping those landowners with education and soil health and even pollinators, because pollinators are some of the most important assets on Earth.

And that's what we're doing here, not just this summer. We've been doing that the last three years in partnership with the University of West Alabama. So I thank you all for what y'all are doing and continue to do.

DR. POUNCEY: Thank y'all for being here, and if there's any way we can help out, we'll be glad to. Mr. Huff needs to go back. He's giving a final exam this morning.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Well, before you go, Mr. Huff, I just want to tell you thank you for coming, thank you for what you're doing on the invasive species and working with students from West Alabama and from Coastal Community College. I know you've got to give a final exam, but

any of these guys in the green shirts would love to talk to your students about coming to work for us, so we --

MR. HUFF: We have one right there.
Raise your hand. One of our former
students right there.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: That's great. So we have gotten one.

MS. MCCURDY: He's mine.

1.5

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: We would love to be able to take some of your students and put them to work from now on. So thank you so much for what you do and thank you for coming today.

Thank you, Dr. Pouncey, for hosting us here.

Now we'll move into the public comments. We only have a few speakers today, but please still limit your comments to three minutes, if at all possible. And I may give a little leeway today since we have so few speakers, but just be respectful, please, of everybody's

time.

The first speaker is Chad

Scroggins with Shelby County on the Peel

property Tab 4b page 11, 4B page 11. Give

everybody just a second.

All right, sir. Thank you.

MR. SCROGGINS: Thank you all for letting me be here today. My name is Chad Scroggins. I'm the county manager in Shelby County.

This piece of property, not knowing exactly what you're looking at, but this piece of property is directly adjacent to Cahaba River Park. Cahaba River Park is a joint project that Forever Wild has invested in and purchased approximately 1400 acres that is added to the 400 acres that Shelby County owns along the Cahaba River. The Cahaba River in that particular area is where we see the confluence of creeks that are coming out of Jefferson County and more developed land. It's a great place to recreate

today. Shelby County has invested about \$600,000 recently in improving the road and access there. We do all of the maintenance associated with Cahaba River Park. Since the ribbon cutting, we've added additional trails through the RTP program all to Cahaba River Park.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

But this particular property is the tip of the boot per se, and it is -basically, you have to come through the Forever Wild property to get to this tip. And the property owner does that. He does that during hunting season. But we are concerned that he might sell it to someone who may want to access it more, maybe potentially want to develop this particular tip. We believe that that additional river frontage would be, you know, well used by canoeists and others who might want to hike and go out and see this extremely good opportunity for outdoor recreation. We've developed this part to allow people to have an

opportunity to actually stick their toes into the Cahaba River.

1.5

As you know, there's many, many species and a lot of diversity that is there in the Cahaba River and not found anywhere else in the U.S. We believe that if you stick your toes in that river, you might want to protect it and want to preserve and conserve it.

So as this progresses, we would like you to know that Shelby County will take over or handle all expenses that are associated with maintenance like we've done with other properties in Shelby County. I also want you to know that we're very thankful for your investment in Shelby County recently, the Belcher property that's adjacent to Oak Mountain State Park, and certainly this area here at Cahaba River Park. We also want you to know that if -- you know, we've seen the letters from the legislator talking about returning property taxes and

things of that nature. Shelby County is not a part of that. We know the investment that you make creates sales tax generation when people come. We understand that creates lodging tax generation when people come and stay and look at these properties. So we are fully behind you. We thank you for every dime that you invest in Shelby County, and we would ask that you would consider progressing this particular piece of property because of its location.

Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any questions for Chad?

Dr. Powers?

DR. POWERS: So is that road access to the river from there, or can you only approach the property on canoe or boat from the river side?

MR. SCROGGINS: So the Cahaba River

Park property itself and the Forever Wild

property, there is road access. As a

matter of fact, that was the 600,000 that we just improved that. It was originally a dirt road and then gravel. We -actually, it's a paved road now. County Road 251. This particular parcel there is a gravel road. You can kind of see a bold line coming through from the Forever Wild and Cahaba River Park, but then it kind of -- it becomes like a pig trail more or less on the map. There's a gate there prohibiting motorized access, but there is a road all the way to the It splits as it comes into the river. property, and there's a road there. would improve that to a level that people could certainly hike and bike. And really if you want to extend motorized at that point, we can make that happen.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you. I do want to give you credit while you're here. There are several people that attended the Belcher celebration in Birmingham from the Board, but I want to

always thank Shelby County for being such They provided shuttle a good partner. buses that would get us from the church parking lot into the property so that we could get the amount -- the best amount of people in there with the least amount of impact to the property from vehicular traffic. So thank you always for being such a good partner. And we didn't call and ask for that. I think they called and told us they could do that for us, which is -- that's totally -- that's a totally different level of commitment than saying yes when they actually pick up the phone and call and see a need. So thank you, Chad and Shelby County, for all of your support.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. SCROGGINS: And anything that Forever Wild Board or Department of Conservation needs, we're here to help.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The next speaker is Ms. Charmaine White on the Belcher tract Phase II. This is not -- it

has been nominated but has not yet been scored and available for the Board to consider at this meeting. The nomination was received too close to the meeting to finish that up. So you don't have anything in your packet about it, but it's adjacent to the Belcher property that was just acquired.

1.5

MS. WHITE: So today I just want to speak in support of the acquisition because, of course, it's not in your packet today.

So this will be a 276-acre tract owned by EBSCO Industries. EBSCO realizes that the property's scenic and recreational value is very great and that we need to protect it from development. So protection of this tract is critical to protection of the viewshed of the King's Chair Overlook, which is one of the most popular hiking destinations at Oak Mountain State Park. And inclusion of this property into Oak Mountain State Park

would be casting a legacy for both EBSCO and Forever Wild. So once it is in your packet, please be sure to give it great consideration.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Charmaine.

The next speaker is Mr. Steve

Northcutt, also with the Nature

Conservancy, to talk about the Red Hills

Flat Creek -- the two phases, IV and V.

Phase IV is Tab 3b page 5 in your packet,

3b page 5, and Phase V is 4B page 27. So

3b 5, 4B 27. And since Charmaine -
you've asked for six minutes because

you're speaking on two properties. Since

Charmaine didn't use all her time, I'll

give you a little leeway. How about that?

MR. NORTHCUTT: I'll try to be brief, but I want to make sure I'm clear on what -- so the Red Hills is a project area that the Board has spent considerable capital on. If you go back to 2007, the

Nature Conservancy started looking at this area with the Department of Conservation & Natural Resources thinking about is there a way we can work together to buy properties here. So the first maybe 44-, 4800 acres of the property, the Nature Conservancy acquired and sold off in phases to Forever Wild. So we've got a stake in this area, and it's important to us and I think it's important to the citizens of Alabama.

1.3

1.5

2.1

What's interesting about this area is that Red Hills salamander, the key species that kind of has triggered federal investment here, is a threatened and endangered species. This animal only occurs in a handful of sites in Alabama. Nowhere else on Earth. And the reason that the U.S. Fish & Wildlife is in charge of enforcing the Endangered Species Act, they're so interested in this area, it's not only just the salamander, but this area has a very unique topography,

geography. It has these steep ravines.

There's more -- in terms of hardwood,

there's more oak species in the Red Hills

than the entire Great Smoky Mountains

National Park.

1.5

Traditionally, we've been upland longleaf pine. And there's still quite a bit of natural longleaf in the area, great for outdoor enthusiasts for hiking, nature photography, excellent hunting for deer and turkey, great hiking trails.

The Flat Creek designation is part of a Strategic Habitat Unit, an SHU, the USGS and Fish & Wildlife have designated it because of rare mussels. So I wanted to speak a bit about that and mention that over the series of years since 2008, U.S. Fish & Wildlife has provided over 15 million dollars for land acquisition through two programs, Section 6 Recovery and through HCP, Habitat Conservation Plan, which is a designation that a private landowner, usually timber

companies, would enter into with U.S. Fish & Wildlife and that would allow them not to be penalized for taking a species like Red Hills salamander if they agree to protect these intact slopes. salamanders will burrow into these slope They need to have good canopy habitats. covers so that you have moisture and And so they basically ask them not shade. to harvest timber in these slope areas. So if they enter into that HCP, later on it allows U.S. Fish & Wildlife to provide funding through that HCP land acquisition So in a way, it's helped acquire property because you've leveraged your money, I think about 15 million dollars from U.S. Fish & Wildlife, and I don't know if it's exactly right but I think maybe 10 or 11 million dollars of State match.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

So having said that, I've got two requests for you to consider. The first is what we call Flat Creek Phase IV, and

that's a 760-acre parcel that you'll see that's -- at the last Board meeting, you indicated that you wanted a first appraisal -- excuse me -- a second appraisal. That second appraisal has been done. Excuse me. Let me back up. The first appraisal has been done on that property, and today, I would ask you to consider a second appraisal and close because the Department was able to with three days' notice write a grant to get what we call reverted funds.

When the properties across the United States are trying to be acquired with Fish & Wildlife money, some of them failed. The landowners changed their mind, the appraisals don't come in where they ought to, so the money is reverted back to U.S. Fish & Wildlife, and they'll give you a very quick turnaround if you have a ready-to-go project -- you know, they kind of -- you have something you could do. And Doug Deaton and the

Department in like two days wrote a grant request, and he got 1.2 million dollars.

So that money could be used for the 760-acre parcel. So, again, without confusing you, I would ask today to consider a second appraisal and close using those grant funds that have been awarded for Phase IV.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Now, the other request that I would like the Board to consider is to the south of this property is what you call Phase V, and that's the end of the property ownership in this larger block that is owned by Conservation Resources. That's the landowner -- the patient landowner that's held these properties over the years as you've been able to buy these properties in sizes that usually met grant funds. So that property, I would ask the Board to consider a first appraisal on so that it would be in line for grant funds that Doug has already requested from U.S. Fish & Wildlife. And

as you can hear, there is a tremendous track record of Fish & Wildlife invested in this area. And so today, I'm asking you to consider those two.

1.5

Again, I'll go back over. The
760 acres would be a motion to get a
second appraisal and close, Phase V. The
1720 acres would be a motion for a first
appraisal with expectation and hope that
you would be getting grant funds this
summer. It depends on when they announce,
but he's already requested that funding.
And after all that if you have any
questions, I'll be glad to answer them.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any questions for Steve?

Thank you, sir.

That's all the green slips I have filled out for people to speak, so unless I see a hand that somebody didn't get a chance -- got here late and didn't get a chance to fill that out, that will conclude our public comment period.

All right. Thank you.

1.5

2.1

Now it's the time that we generally move into executive session to discuss tract appraisal values. By regulation, appraised values are confidential during periods of negotiation. Accordingly, in order to discuss tract appraisal values, the Board will need to go into recess for an executive session.

Is there a motion for the Board to now recess to attend an executive session?

DR. POWERS: So moved.

DR. MCCLINTOCK: Second.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers made the motion. I think that was Mr. Holloway that seconded.

MR. HOLLOWAY: No, Dr. McClintock.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Oh,

Dr. McClintock. Thank you.

As I call your name, please state your position on the motion to recess.

Those in favor, please say aye. And if

```
you are opposed, please indicate by saying
1
2
      nay.
3
              Chris Blankenship, aye.
              Mr. Childers?
4
          MR. CHILDERS:
5
                          Aye.
6
          CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Darnall?
          MR. DARNALL:
                         Aye.
8
          CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP:
                                  Mr. Holloway?
          MR. HOLLOWAY:
9
                          Aye.
          CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Howell?
10
          DR. HOWELL:
11
                        Aye.
          CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. McClintock?
12
          DR. MCCLINTOCK:
13
                            Aye.
          CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Oates?
14
          MR. OATES:
15
                       Aye.
          CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers?
16
17
          DR. POWERS:
                        Aye.
          CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Valentine?
18
19
          DR. VALENTINE:
                           Aye.
          CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright?
20
21
          MR. WRIGHT:
                        Aye.
          CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All are in
22
              We will now be in recess for
23
      favor.
```

executive session. It is 10:22. We hope to return in 25 minutes.

1.5

2.1

MS. MCCURDY: Members, please grab your packets. And it's a little bit of a walk, but it's a very nice room. The restrooms are in the other building, so just look for staff along the way.

(Recess from 10:23 a.m. to 10:45 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I have everybody back. We are back out of executive session and have returned to the normal meeting at 10:45. I would like to commend the Board. Y'all have become very efficient at the executive session. We're doing this stuff faster than I ever remember, so thank y'all for your work.

The next part of the program is the program status report, and I think that is you, Ms. McCurdy.

MS. MCCURDY: It is. And,

Commissioner, I just want to take a second

to follow up on Dr. Pouncey's remarks. I

wanted to highlight that the green shirt
he references is one of our State Lands
Division staff members, and it's Tom King.
Tom, wave. Tom is embarrassed now. At
least I'm not making you sing or anything.
But Tom is our newest Conservation
Enforcement Officer. He's been doing a
great job and is really a great example of
the fine young men and women that the
college produces. So I just wanted to
recognize Tom and also claim him as State
Lands Division.

1.5

So I'll move into the program status report. If the Board will be sure that you have from your green folder the Tab 2a. It will be the slightly yellow page of paper. This financial overview is what I do each meeting for the Board and for the public to detail the properties we have in closing and also to — in part to get to the amount of money that is currently available for Board action. So I'm going to run through the properties

that we actively have in closing and give you your total, and I also want to update you on a couple of declined offers since our last meeting.

1.3

Trust Addition. Actually, that one is scheduled to close Monday, so that should be on the closed page at our next meeting. And also the Styx River Wetlands tract in Baldwin County is very close to closing also. The other properties that are in the closing process but not quite as fully baked as those two transactions include the Bon Secour River Wetlands Addition in Baldwin County, Coldwater Mountain-McVey Addition, Calhoun County.

And to update the Board, after -I appreciate the Board's patience in
allowing staff to continue discussions
with that landowner. They did end up
accepting the offer and agreed to move
forward in that process, so thank you for
your patience on that one. Also, the

D'Olive Bay Addition at Baldwin County.

1.5

2.1

We have a cash balance in the account of about 19.4 million, but once I subtract those obligations — because those are tracts the Board said to go get unless we run into difficulty — the resulting amount of the unencumbered balance available for the Board is just at about 18 million. And you are within your statutory budget spending authority, so no issue there.

I will now mention you will see two additions on the next page as to the listing of this fiscal year Closed/Declined properties, so I'm going to run through those.

The Cedar Creek Addition, after a pretty good period of delay, we were informed by the landowner they were not going to proceed with that transaction.

They felt that they could obtain a premium on the private market above the appraised value which we were able to offer.

Next, the Coldwater Mountain-Carroll Addition, that one has closed.

Hollins WMA Addition is another property that, after some delay, the landowner informed us that they would not be proceeding. They too felt that the appraised value did not match their opportunities that might be available in the future.

We have closed Oak Mountain State
Park-Belcher Lake Addition, and we have
closed Thigpen Hill Option A Phase II also
in this fiscal year.

So that is an update as to all of the properties that the Board had motioned for us to proceed -- to try to proceed to closing on. Any questions from the Board on those tracts or those figures?

Yes, sir, Dr. Powers?

DR. POWERS: I'm still learning a little bit, I think, about everything. So one final question.

So the constitutional amendment

1.3

says that we have to go with the appraised value, right? We have no -- if someone says they think it's worth more and we really wanted the property, we really can't do anything?

1.5

MS. MCCURDY: The constitutional provision actually does provide a super majority opportunity if the Board so chooses. The Board has never in the history of the program chosen to take advantage of that. So it does require more votes, but there is some flexibility in the amendment that you could take advantage of if you ever desired to do so.

Any additional questions?

All right. I will now move to

Tab 2b also in your green folder, slightly
updated. This is the Stewardship Fund
account that we update the Board on. The
Board has given us previously authority to
spend up to 1.5 million dollars. We
currently still have a good bit of money
reflected. Actually, 1.3 million.

However, there's some work we cannot -well, we do not do during hunting season
and turkey. As you know, we just
concluded turkey season, so there will be
a flurry of work.

1.5

2.1

We also had to have a glitch corrected as to the authority within -- it's a State's -- State processing. But anyway, we were just able to get that corrected, so that held up a few purchases. But they're all loaded now and so you will see a decline at the next meeting, but we are fine for this fiscal year. We haven't identified any emergency or unforeseen significant need that we don't think we'll be able to accomplish with this amount in this fiscal year.

You will also see at the bottom of Tab 2b the balances as of the net assets. We usually give you the close of the fiscal year, and then the most recent update we have, as you'll see in Tab 2c, that the treasurer's office prepares for

us on net value right now, the market value of those funds, and the current is over about 45.6 million. So that is still -- the management of that is still going very well as the Board can see.

1.5

Any questions on Stewardship, either 2b or 2c?

All right. Then, we'll move to

Tab 3a. This is the report of tracts that

have -- we have received appraisals on,

and there is also an update in your green

folder for these tracts. So I will run

down these -- the ones we have received

appraisals on and then also update the

Board as to the status of some appraisals

that have been motioned for but we do not

have back in hand yet.

The appraisals that we have are the Freedom Hills WMA-Boddie Addition, Colbert County; Perdido WMA-Snowden Branch Addition, Baldwin County; Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase IV, Monroe County. We also literally after we left the office

yesterday to come down to set up for the meeting did receive in the appraisal for the Turkey Creek Nature Preserve-Parker The staff has not had time to Addition. fully review that appraisal. It is in. It would be, therefore, available to the Board. The Board could proceed, but I will tell you if we after review found anything we needed to correct in the appraisal, we would need to come back to the Board. But the good news is we do have that one in hand. We are still waiting on -- it's been initiated but we've not yet received the appraisal for the Coldwater Mountain-Robertson Addition, but we should have that for the next meeting for the Board. That reflects the status of all the tracts for which you motioned for a first appraisal.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I do want to provide an update
that you will see as to an appraisal that
our Wildlife & Freshwater Fisheries
Division, Chuck Sykes' division, proceeded

with obtaining Yellow Book appraisals as was determined to be required in connection with both the Cahaba WMA-Falling Rock Addition and the Sam R. Murphy WMA-Cantrell Mill Creek tract. we do have appraisals in that was done by one of the Department's appraisers, and we have reviewed those. They would be ready if the Board chose to take action, but a motion would require either adoption of this appraisal or obtaining our own appraisal. But if the Board has any interest when we get in the general discussion portion, I will be happy to walk you through that, but that was another kind of latecomer that we were able to get reflected in your green folder but that is, as it's done from time to time, an appraisal that we do not pay for but could adopt if you choose.

With all of that, I guess I didn't do the exact math on this, but you are coming up on and just exceeding about over

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

appraisals. But this is the time where I can tell you you actually do have more money than you have appraisals sitting on that sheet, so I guess that's good news. But that is the detail for the financial information and the appraised nomination overview. Let me stop there to see if anyone has any questions.

MR. CHILDERS: Patti?

MS. MCCURDY: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, sir, Mr. Childers?

MR. CHILDERS: On the Wildlife & Freshwater Fisheries request or appraisal, that's saying that that's the same as a second appraisal that we would do?

MS. MCCURDY: No, sir. We could adopt -- it could be adopted as our first appraisal, so it would save that step. So you'll see those tracts reflected in Tab 4b because they're still short list nominations, but we could adopt them -- you could adopt that appraisal as our

first appraisal as a motion for staff to proceed with the second appraisal and proceed to purchase. It's just a little wordier motion that you could skip basically to the motion to purchase.

MR. CHILDERS: Or we could proceed and accept that appraisal and accept the purchase?

MS. MCCURDY: Not the purchase. All you would be doing would be counting it as a first appraisal.

MR. CHILDERS: Okay. Thank you.

MS. MCCURDY: But I can help any of y'all with that if you desire to do that in the general discussion motion portion.

Any additional questions? Yes, sir, Dr. Powers?

DR. POWERS: And this might be more of a question when you get to the grants discussion. Do you have an idea from Wildlife & Freshwater Fisheries how -- when do they need us to make a decision before they would jeopardize the

## 75 percent?

MS. MCCURDY: I do have some idea, but let's run through that when we get to the grants because I'll also give you deadlines on all the grant opportunities at that time. That is a great question, though.

Any additional questions?

Okay. So if you will move into

Tab 5a. There is a supplemental Tab 5a in

your green folder, so if you could pull

that out. And, Keith, I'm going to get

you -- Gauldin -- why don't you come on up

here and just sit here because if we do

have a question -- or you do whatever -
if there is a question, I'll have you

right here.

MR. GAULDIN: Sure.

MS. MCCURDY: Okay. So I'm going to work through Tab 5a. That is the Grant Status Report. That's where we try to update the Board on the progress towards closing on any tracts that do have grant

funds or applications for grants we have filed but have not heard back on. I try to organize them in this by the stage, whether they're in close, they're appraised, or they're a short list nomination.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

All right. Of the tracts that require no additional Board action but are just an update of where we are using grant funds, both the Bon Secour River Wetlands Addition and the D'Olive Bay Addition and the Styx River Wetlands is in some form of closing process because you approved them for acquisition. The Cedar Creek SOA was a 75/25 split opportunity offered by Wildlife, but, again, the owner has removed that tract from further consideration so that's no longer an opportunity. But the Bon Secour River Wetlands, the D'Olive Bay Addition, and the Styx River Wetlands, all three tracts are benefiting from awards that staff was able to obtain under the National Coastal

Wetlands Conservation Grant Program. We each year evaluate those opportunities and apply where we think we have tracts that meet those grant program requirements.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Under Appraised Nominations With Grant Awards, we have the Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase IV, and that is an opportunity for Recovery Land Acquisition, or RLA, and Habitat Conservation Plan, HCP, grant funding. The program requires at a minimum a 75 percent State-based match, which is provided as -- Forever Wild uses its funding to buy acreage that is titled to the program. The Wildlife & Freshwater Fisheries Division will then use grant proceeds to purchase and title to their division their acreage. On this one, due to conditions on the ground, staff is recommending what works out to be about 76 percent -- excuse me -- 20 -- I'm getting my math backwards -- 26 percent commitment by Forever Wild because it helps us with the boundary lines and so

that's why it's slightly over, but it's approximately 26 percent. That funding is anticipated and is in hand and so it can move on to closing now.

1.5

We then move into -- I'm sorry.

Let me back up. Let me stop on each one.

So on that grant opportunity, getting to

Dr. Powers' question, it's certainly ready

for a motion because we have the grant

funds and we have the grant award. Keith,

is there a date specific that the tract

would physically need to be through the

closing process and done so that we do not

endanger losing that money?

MR. GAULDIN: I would refer that to Doug.

MS. MCCURDY: I'll let Doug Deaton give us that answer. Doug, come up here if you would in case I need you again.
Right now, we're on Red Hills Phase IV.

MR. DEATON: Okay. The grant ends in 2024, December 2024.

MS. MCCURDY: So we'd have to be fully

through our closing process by that time.

Doug, why don't you sit down in case Keith

or I need you again. I just like ordering

them around.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

All right. We're now moving into the grant opportunities or leveraging opportunities of short list nominations. So this will pull in the supplemental Tab 5a that was in your green folder. So the ones you have seen previously where Wildlife has offered to utilize its Pittman-Robertson funds to partner with us, an approximately 75/25 percent split, again, we would have title to our 25 percent; Wildlife would have title to their 75 percent using their Pittman-Robertson funds. These percentages could shift a hair. Obviously, we do not have appraisals back. Sometimes the appraisals we can't do a simple math equation of acreage into value because of either road frontage or timber or something that might make acres a little more valuable, but for purposes right now, we're presuming a 75/25 percent split on these.

1.5

Now, the Cahaba WMA-Falling Rock
Addition is one of the ten-year lease
opportunities. That will be 75/25 because
we would just be splitting the lease
payment. And if anyone has any questions,
we can come back to that.

The Portland Landing

SOA-Donald Addition, that would also be an approximate 75/25 percent split. That would be a purchase, so we would be talking about, you know, titled acreage in that transaction.

Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase IV,
again, due to ground conditions, we
currently think that may be closer to
26 percent, but regardless, approximately
75/25 percent split. Now, this one
involves RLA and HCP grant funding, but it
would be the same structure as far as
titling of acreage.

Then we have the Sam R. Murphy

WMA-Cantrell Mill Creek. That is another ten-year lease opportunity. That would be an exact 75/25 percent split because that is simply of the lease payment.

If you will now go to your supplemental information, your Tab 5a that was in your green folder. After we mailed the packet once Wildlife had a chance to review the current status of available funds, they were able to offer some additional partnership opportunities with their Pittman-Robertson funding applicable to the Autauga WMA-Hart Addition and the Autauga WMA-County Road 112 Addition.

Again, those are anticipated to be a 75/25 percent split.

Staff did a map that is attached to what was in your green folder 5a. We did one map just to illustrate how those two tracts fit together and how the proposed split would fit together.

However, Wildlife has made this partnership offer without making it

contingent on the Board acquiring both tracts. So it's -- you could move on one, you could move on both, you could move on none, but I thought it might be helpful to see what the interaction of those two nominations would result in since they are adjacent.

1.5

So I know I skipped ahead a bit.

I want to now back up and let's run
through as to each of those deadlines that
would be applicable as to each. So I'm
going to ask Keith and Doug for help here.
As to Pittman -- I'm going to ask y'all a
question, and there may not be a simple
answer. As to the current PR
opportunities that I just ran through,
would they all have the same critical date
as far as closing, or do we need to look
at different dates? And when you answer
me, come to the microphone. And for the
court reporter, Doug Deaton again.

MR. DEATON: Do you want me to go through them all?

MS. MCCURDY: If your answer is different, yes; if the answer is the same, we'll do PR first and then go through RLA/HCP later.

MR. DEATON: To update the date I mentioned earlier about December 2024, it's actually February 2024. That's for the Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase IV.

For the Pittman-Robertson tracts, we're willing -- that we're an interested partner with, we would submit the application, but I believe we need to commit those funds this FY; is that -- so for this FY, we would need to commit those funds. So by August, we would need to commit the funds, and from that point, we'd have a year to close.

MS. MCCURDY: And then -- so that answer -- the only one, Doug, I need you to clarify -- it's the only one that might be different. I just want to be sure -- is we talked before about the appraised nomination, the Red Hills-Flat Creek

Phase IV. We have the short list 1 nomination that is Phase V and is the 2 3 RCA/HCP. Is that date -- what would that date be for Phase V? 4 MR. DEATON: We're still waiting on 5 that grant award, so they would notify us. 6 7 I believe the date is July 29th is when 8 they're going to make the pre-award, and then 120 days after that -- so the grant 9 that I applied for, we would need to close 10 it out by December 31, 2024, if awarded 11 12 the grant. MS. MCCURDY: And I'll do a better job 13 next time of being sure I have the PR 14

dates down.

Any questions on any of the grant opportunities?

MR. CHILDERS: Patti?

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

MS. MCCURDY: I'm sorry. Go ahead. Yes, sir?

MR. CHILDERS: On the white sheet 5a in our green packet, the Autauga-Hart Addition, the Autauga-County Road, you

show a map. I'm looking at the map. 1 2 part of that is Forever Wild and what part 3 is Fisheries? MS. MCCURDY: On this map of the two 4 nominations, the nominations are outlined 5 6 in red, and then the sort of orange-ish -reddish orange-ish color would be Forever 7 8 Wild and the green would be Wildlife & Freshwater Fisheries. 9 10 MR. CHILDERS: So roughly one-third Forever Wild; two-thirds Fisheries? 11 MS. MCCURDY: It's closer to a fourth, 12 closer to 75/25, 75 Wildlife and 25 13 Forever Wild. 14 MR. CHILDERS: Okay. 1.5 MS. MCCURDY: Any additional 16 17 questions? CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers? 18 MS. MCCURDY: Dr. Powers? 19 DR. POWERS: So which ones have this 20 21 fiscal year as the ... MS. MCCURDY: It would be the Pittman-22 23 Robertson opportunities. I mean, I will

tell you which ones, but let me turn to my folks. Is that correct, Doug, that we would need a commitment from the Board -- they would not have to close by August 1st, but you would need a commitment? I mean, you tell me. What stage of close does it need to be in by the October -- I say October 1st -- August?

MR. DEATON: We would have to obligate the funds this fiscal year, so it wouldn't have to close this fiscal year but having the Board's support allows us to go through the proper steps of the appraisal and we can submit the grant application and have it obligated and then close within a year's time from date.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: On which properties?

MS. MCCURDY: So the Board -- it would either be this meeting or the August meeting, and so I'll run --

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: No, no, no. Doug, which properties?

1.5

MR. DEATON: The Autauga tracts, both of those tracts.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Okay. And the leased property? And the two with the lease?

MR. DEATON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Those four are what we're talking about?

MR. DEATON: Yes.

MS. MCCURDY: And I believe too the Donald Addition?

MR. GAULDIN: Yeah, anything that deals with PR.

MS. MCCURDY: That's why I was going to run through the memo. So if you'll look at -- in addition to the Autauga tracts, if you'll look at your packet 5a, the PR would apply to the Cahaba WMA, it would apply to number 2, the Portland Landing SOA Addition, the Sam R. Murphy, and then again the two Autaugas. And give us one second to be sure I haven't said anything wrong. Hold on.

Okay. We think we're together at least over here for the most.

Yes, sir, Dr. Powers?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

DR. POWERS: So a follow-up. So you said that you have to obligate or they have to be sure, so where -- what does that translate to us? Because it seems like August might be too late if you need it --

MS. MCCURDY: Well, I don't know that it's too late, but, for example, this so rarely happens with this Board but I mean there's always the possibility that we don't have a quorum for some reason, you know, something happens. That's only happened three times in the history of the program, but I bring that up. So that is why we often do like, you know, to be a meeting ahead of things to, you know, account for that. But I think we could --I mean, Doug, they could -- motion to proceed with purchase at the August meeting would be sufficient? Yes. It's

just at the August meeting, we have to have a quorum and the votes, you know, to secure that.

1.5

DR. POWERS: But the Autauga properties haven't even had a first appraisal, right, so you would need some action on them at this meeting; is that correct?

MS. MCCURDY: Yes, that would help.

But, of course, I will tell you that -and also the Donald -- the Portland

SOA-Donald Addition has not had a first
appraisal yet. But hold on one second
because I want to be sure.

Yeah. So it would be helpful if you think you might want to move forward to have the first appraisal, you know, at this meeting so we can get started on that.

DR. POWERS: For which ones?

MS. MCCURDY: For any of the PR. That would be the two leases, the Autauga tracts, and the Portland

SOA-Donald Addition.

1.5

MR. DARNALL: So -- I apologize, but I want to be clear. The obligation is the Board approving it and that starts the meter on the obligation so that the appraisals -- and we have to close within a year of the obligation. So that's -- and we have the next meeting for this Board to obligate Forever Wild funds.

MS. MCCURDY: You would have --

MR. DARNALL: I can appreciate the one meeting ahead, but I mean --

MS. MCCURDY: You would be -- it would be timely.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right.

MS. MCCURDY: Any additional questions on that?

Okay. What we'll move to next is the -- we'll run through the short -- the tracts that have made the short list. So if you will turn in your packet to Tab 4. And I usually -- the short list tracts, Tab 4a simply is the listing

alphabetically. That first page of Tab 4a is the listing. Tab 4b provides a brief description of each short list tract as well as an attached map. So I'm going to run through Tab 4b so that if you have a question, I've got the description and the map on hand; but that means I'll be looking down, so if you have a question, please just call out my name and if I don't see you raise your hand.

1.3

All right. The first tract is
Alligator Bayou. That's approximately
383 acres in Mobile County; we have the
Autauga WMA-County Road 112, 2200 acres in
Autauga County; Autauga WMA-Hart Addition,
that's approximately 80 acres,
Autauga County; we have the Butler FosterPraytor Mountains nomination,
approximately 939 acres in
Jefferson County.

I will note on this tract, as you know, although it involves only one landowner, the nomination itself by that

landowner covers several different parcels. We had engaged in discussions with the landowner about the possibility of reducing the number of parcels and possibly getting down to just a larger parcel. However, which is fully their right, the landowner wishes for the nomination to remain to contain the multiple parcels, and so that's where we are. We were not able to reduce the footprint of that nomination.

1.5

Next, the Cahaba River

Shelby County-Peel Park Addition,

110 acres approximately in Shelby County.

You will have in your folder a letter of support from the County, in addition to comments made today. That was your green folder, Tab 8a if you'd like to refer to it, but it tracks with the comments you heard today.

Cahaba WMA-Falling Rock Addition, that's again Bibb and Shelby Counties and is approximately 2,324 acres. That

nomination involves a ten-year lease as opposed to a fee simple opportunity.

1.5

We have D'Olive Bay Addition, approximately 23 acres in Baldwin County. And I will say on this one, the City of Spanish Fort has indicated a willingness to provide support for that tract, maybe some management assistance. We've asked for some additional information from the City. Once I receive that, I will pass it along to the Board.

Little River Forest-Lomax Branch
Addition, 39 acres, Escambia County;
Natural Bridge Park, approximately
149 acres, Winston County; Oak Mountain
State Park-Odess Addition, approximately
81 acres in Shelby County; Persimmon Knob,
approximately 854 acres, Morgan County;
Portland Landing SOA-Donald Addition -approximately 154 acres that would be
Forever Wild's ownership. Total area is
approximately 516 acres -- Red Hills-Flat
Creek Phase V, approximately 1728 acres in

Monroe County. Forever Wild's acquisition 1 2 would be approximately 453 acres. Riverton CHA -- that's Community Hunting 3 So Riverton CHA-Hurd Hollow Area. 4 5 Addition, approximately 235 acres, Colbert County; Saginaw Swamp, 6 approximately 160 acres in Shelby County; 7 8 Sam R. Murphy WMA-Cantrell Mill Creek, and that's covering Lamar and Marion Counties; 9 Sipsey River Swamp-Brownville Addition --10 hold on one second on this one. I wanted to double check that acreage. 12 The reason why on this one -- this is also a tract we 13 had mentioned to the Board at previous 14 meetings efforts by staff to reduce 1.5 multiple-parcel nominations down to what's 16 most critical for program purposes. 17 this landowner actually has agreed to 18 reduce it, so although you've seen this 19 20 before, the map you see reflects the 2.1 single parcel that is now part of the nomination. And with the subtraction of 22 the other parcel, we're down to 23

11

approximately 1,212 acres, and that's all in Tuscaloosa County.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

We then have the Weeks Bay Reserve-Marney Addition, approximately 14 acres in Baldwin County. I did want to provide an update to the Board. have mentioned in past meetings, we considered this tract to be potentially eligible for some federal funding through NOAA under their PAC grant. That's Procurement and Acquisition Grant Program. However, for this past cycle, at least for the money in the program right now, we had other more physical infrastructure needs that we had to submit so we didn't feel we could really move this one forward at this I think there's still potential in the future, but I had mentioned the possibility of the submission during the month of February but we did not, and I wanted to update the Board why. I'm still hopeful in the future. And there may be money added to that grant program, so

we'll keep an eye on that but I don't have a current line on grant funding for that one.

1.5

So those are the tracts that are available to the Board for action on the motion for first appraisal, and we can discuss that in the general discussion agenda item. But are there any questions that you'd like for me to cover at this time?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right.
Thank you.

MS. MCCURDY: Commissioner, I'll turn it back to you for general discussion.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right.

Thank you for the updates and for the short list nomination run-through.

We're now moving into general discussion. This is the part of the meeting where Board members generally will make motions, if they so desire, for first or second appraisals, and so I will open the floor up for any general discussion.

Mr. Holloway I think has --1 MR. HOLLOWAY: Yes. 2 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Holloway. 3 All right. 4 MR. HOLLOWAY: Mr. Chairman, I'd like 5 to make a motion to move for a first 6 appraisal for the Cahaba 7 River-Shelby County Park Peel Addition. 8 DR. MCCLINTOCK: I'll second that. 9 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So the motion 10 was made by Mr. Holloway and seconded by 11 Dr. McClintock to move for a first 12 appraisal on the Cahaba River-Shelby Park 13 Peel Addition, 110 acres in Shelby County. 14 Any discussion on that? Any questions? 1.5 MR. DARNALL: I have a question. 16 you show me which tab that is? I made 17 meticulous notes but I 18 MS. MCCURDY: It's Tab 4a page 11. 19 20 MR. DARNALL: 4a page 11. 2.1 MS. MCCURDY: 4b. MR. DARNALL: 4b page 11. All right. 22 23 No questions.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any other 1 2 questions? 3 All those in favor of moving for a first appraisal on the Cahaba River Park-4 Peel Addition, please say aye. 5 6 Any opposed? None opposed. The motion carries. 7 8 DR. MCCLINTOCK: Mr. Commissioner, Jim McClintock. 9 10 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, Dr. McClintock? 11 I'd like to make a DR. MCCLINTOCK: 12 second appraisal request for the 13 Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase IV property. 14 MR. DARNALL: I'll second that. 1.5 MS. MCCURDY: And that would be also 16 second appraisal proceed to purchase. 17 DR. MCCLINTOCK: Correct. 18 MR. DARNALL: I'll second. 19 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So 20 Dr. McClintock has made the motion. 21 Seconded by Mr. Darnall. 22 MS. MCCURDY: And that's Tab 3b 23

page 5. 1 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So that is the 2 3 motion for second appraisal and move to purchase on the Red Hills Phase IV 4 Addition. Any questions on this? 5 6 All those in favor say aye. Any opposed? 7 8 None opposed. The motion carries. MR. OATES: Commissioner, I'd like to 9 10 move for a first appraisal on the Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase V. 11 MS. MCCURDY: That's Tab 4b page 27 if 12 anyone needs it. 13 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Is there a 14 second? 1.5 MR. DARNALL: Second. 16 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 17 Seconded again by Mr. Darnall. So that is a motion for a 18 first appraisal on the Red Hills Phase V. 19 Any questions on that? 20 2.1 All those in favor say aye. 22 Any opposed? None opposed. The motion carries. 23

Thank you.

1.3

1.5

2.1

DR. MCCLINTOCK: Mr. Commissioner, Jim McClintock again. I'd like to make a second appraisal and proceed to purchase on the Turkey Creek Nature Park-Parker Addition pending satisfactory review of the recent appraisal.

MR. HOLLOWAY: Second.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Motion by

Dr. McClintock and seconded by

Mr. Holloway for a second appraisal and move to purchase on the Turkey Creek

Nature Preserve-Parker Addition contingent on staff approving the first appraisal and that it's in the proper form. Does that -- everybody understand the motion?

MR. CHILDERS: Tab and page?

Any discussion on that?

MS. MCCURDY: I'm sorry. That would be Tab 4b -- excuse me -- Tab -- well, actually it's under 3b page 6.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor say aye.

Any opposed? 1 None opposed. The motion carries. 2 3 Just as a reminder, we do only have ten members here today, so if you're 4 voting for something, please answer 5 6 affirmatively so I can make sure that I feel comfortable we've gotten the nine 7 8 votes. MS. MCCURDY: And I'm keeping an eye 9 down here, so if I'm not sure I've seen 10 you, I'll have to call you out. 11 Thank you. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 12 All right. Motion carries. 13 Dr. Powers? 14 I'd like to motion for a DR. POWERS: 1.5 second appraisal and proceed to purchase 16 for the Perdido WMA-Snowden Branch 17 Addition. 18 DR. VALENTINE: Second. 19 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers has 20 21 made the motion. Seconded by

Dr. Valentine for a second appraisal and

move to purchase on the Perdido

22

23

WMA-Snowden Branch Addition, 620 acres. 1 2 Any questions on that? 3 All those in favor say aye. Any opposed? 4 None opposed. The motion carries. 5 6 DR. POWERS: Chris? 7 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers? 8 Sorry. DR. POWERS: One more. I'd like to 9 make a motion for first appraisal for the 10 Autauga WMA-Hart Addition and if I can the 11 County Road 112. 12 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Let's do those 1.3 as separate motions, if you don't mind. 14 That just makes it cleaner for the court 15 reporter and for the Record. 16 So the Autauga WMA-Hart 17 DR. POWERS: Addition. 18 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Is there a 19 second? 20 2.1 MR. HOLLOWAY: Second. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by 22 23 Mr. Holloway. And that is for a first

appraisal on the Autauga WMA-Hart 1 2 Addition. Any questions? MR. DARNALL: I do. 3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Darnall? 4 MR. DARNALL: So I want to make sure I 5 understand this. This is for a ten-year 6 lease; is that correct? 7 8 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: No, sir. is for the one that is acquisition. 9 MR. DARNALL: Acquisition. Thank you. 10 MS. MCCURDY: And it's attached to --11 you see the clear map of them both -- the 12 Tab 5a, the short Tab 5a that was in your 13 green folder. 14 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright? 1.5 MR. WRIGHT: Can I just ask a 16 question? 17 MS. MCCURDY: Can you speak into your 18 microphone? I'm sorry. 19 Could I ask the question 20 MR. WRIGHT: 21 is the large portion here a corporate landowner? 22 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: A core? 23

MR. WRIGHT: Corporate. 1 MS. MCCURDY: Corporate landowner. 2 3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Deaton's indicating yes. 4 MS. MCCURDY: Yes. 5 6 MR. WRIGHT: I gotcha. Thank you. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any other 7 8 questions on that one? So this is the Hart Addition, the 9 smaller piece that we're going to be 10 voting on now. Make sure everybody's 11 12 good. All right. Those in favor of 13 moving for a first appraisal on the 14 Autauga-Hart Addition say aye. 15 Any opposed? 16 None opposed. The motion carries. 17 Dr. Powers? 18 DR. POWERS: I'd like to motion for a 19 first appraisal on the Autauga 20 WMA-County Road 112 Addition. 21 DR. MCCLINTOCK: I'll second that. 22 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 23 Seconded by

Dr. McClintock. So it is to move for a 1 2 first appraisal on the Autauga County Road 112 Addition. 3 That's the larger parcel. All those in favor of that say 4 5 aye. 6 Any opposed? 7 None opposed. The motion carries. 8 Give me just a second, please. Let me catch up on my notes. Even though 9 we have a court reporter, I like to have 10 my own notes. All right. 11 MR. OATES: Commissioner, I'd like to 12 move for a first appraisal on Little River 13 Forest-Lomax Branch Addition. 14 MS. MCCURDY: And that tract is Tab 4b 1.5 page 17. 16 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Is there a 17 second? 18 I'll second that, Blankenship. 19 4b page 17? 20 MR. DARNALL: MS. MCCURDY: 2.1 Correct. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: That is the one 22 that isn't in holding and --23

MS. MCCURDY: If you'll refer to the map, which is the -- the map is page 18 of 2b. It may visually help. And just one second.

1.5

Doug was letting me know that
Wildlife has been successful in securing a
purchase option, is that correct, on that
tract that just came through for them. So
if that follows through to the end, it
looks like that will be taken care of by
Wildlife.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: On the Lomax Addition-Little River?

MS. MCCURDY: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Okay.

MR. OATES: Do I need to rescind my motion at this time, or do we...

MS. MCCURDY: I mean --

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I would entertain the idea of rescinding that motion until we get some more information before the next meeting.

MR. OATES: So done, sir.

MS. MCCURDY: And I believe that one, with the landowner willing, should move on forward, and the only issue would be a landowner issue. One second.

MR. DEATON: If the Board would like

1.3

1.5

2.1

MR. DEATON: If the Board would like to partner with us as far as the match goes, we would welcome that.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Let's discuss that, if it's okay with the Board, at the next meeting since it's only 39 acres.

It's a very small parcel that may make dividing that more complicated for us to talk about right here. So let's hold that one if it's okay with you, Mr. Oates.

Sorry.

MR. OATES: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right.
Thank you.

All right. Any other discussion?

DR. MCCLINTOCK: I have a question

about the Oak Mountain State Park-Odess

Addition. It's my understanding

State Parks has looked at that and doesn't

seem terribly excited about purchasing it. 1 Is that your understanding as well? 2 MS. MCCURDY: I will let Commissioner 3 interject also on that one. 4 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Sure. 5 looked at the parcel adjacent to the 6 Belcher property in Oak Mountain State 7 8 Park, and although it is a beautiful piece of property, for the cost it didn't fit in 9 as well maybe with some other 10 opportunities we may have --11 DR. MCCLINTOCK: Okay. I agree. 12 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: -- in the near 13 future. 14 DR. MCCLINTOCK: Thank you. 1.5 MR. OATES: Commissioner, I'll make 16 I might need Patti's help on 17 one more. The Sam R. Murphy WMA-Cantrell Mill 18 this. Creek, exactly how does that motion need 19 to be phrased, Patti? 20 MS. MCCURDY: Hold on one second. 2.1 Give me a second. I jotted something down 22 in case it was needed to find where --23

To remind the Board -- oh, Okav. and also, let me get you to the tab and That's the Sam R. Murphy WMA. That page. is Tab 4b page 33. To remind the Board, that is the ten-year lease opportunity -one of them -- that's been offered at 75/25 percent. Forever Wild would be sharing in the cost of the lease at a rate of 25 percent of the lease. So the reason this motion may need to be a little different is actually not because -- a little different because of the lease, but we have an appraisal that Wildlife paid for with its own funds that the Board can So what you would need to do is adopt. make -- move to adopt the appraisal provided by Wildlife as the Board's first appraisal. So you're adopting the appraisal provided by Wildlife as a first appraisal and moving for staff to proceed with a second appraisal and enter a ten-year lease and close on that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. OATES: What she said.

MS. MCCURDY: So let me -- I'll repeat 1 Let me break down the steps. 2 got it? 3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I got it. 4 MS. MCCURDY: Okay. You're a fast 5 6 writer. That's why we have you up there. 7 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So the motion 8 by Mr. Oates is to adopt the Wildlife & Freshwater Fisheries appraisal on the 9 Samuel R. Murphy WMA-Cantrell Mill Creek 10 lease and then to move to a second 11 appraisal and move to complete the lease. 12 Is there a second on that? 1.3 DR. POWERS: Second. 14 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by 1.5 Dr. Powers. 16 Any discussion? 17 MR. DARNALL: I do --18 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Darnall? 19 MR. DARNALL: -- so I left my mic on. 20 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 2.1 MR. DARNALL: So this is just a 22 23 ten-year lease, correct?

MS. MCCURDY: That's all the land --

MR. DARNALL: And I'm sorry. That's the chopped-up thing I'm looking at here; is that right?

MS. MCCURDY: That's correct. The landowner -- previously at the Board's request, staff did check again with the landowner, but ten years is as long as they're willing to go with this opportunity.

MR. DARNALL: And I want to make sure I understood the motion. The motion was to adopt the first appraisal and go to a second appraisal and close?

MS. MCCURDY: Yes.

1.5

MR. DARNALL: Okay.

DR. MCCLINTOCK: Can I ask a question?

Is there a -- at the end of the ten-year period, is there an option for first purchase or something like that? I mean, are they going to sell this land eventually -- is that the idea -- or are they going to lease it forever? What

is -- in your estimate, what is the purpose of this?

MS. MCCURDY: Yeah. I don't think -at this time, all the landowner is
agreeing to do is to allow a lease with a
ten-year term. There's not currently an
offer of a provision to purchase now. The
opportunity, like any other tract, you
know, might be there at that time, but
there's no guarantee associated with this
lease transaction.

DR. MCCLINTOCK: Okay. Thank you.

MR. DARNALL: So I'm probably going to show how naive I am in saying this. I mean, a ten-year lease to me kind of flies in the face of the term forever. And I realize it's an opportunity to get a lot of acreage at a very low cost, but that's -- you know, maybe because I'm not educated enough, that's my fundamental objection to something other than a very long-term lease.

MS. MCCURDY: And the only discussions

we've had previously -- and you -- and your point is certainly well-taken. This is as -- I think it's just another tool in the toolbox to try to overcome the loss of acreage from having the voluntary WMA program, and that's really why Wildlife brought it forward, although it is a very different transaction than the Board has heard about previously. But, yes, it is different from our fee simple acquisitions. I will pause to see if -- Keith, if you're going to say anything, come to the microphone.

1.5

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: This is Keith Gauldin with the Wildlife & Freshwater Fisheries Division.

MR. GAULDIN: With Wildlife section, we have about 780,000 acres within our Wildlife Management Area System. And of that 780,000 acres that we have, about 33 -- well, just about 34,000 that we have is leased, and that's been a contractual lease with these landowners. Of that

33,000 or 34,000, 10,000 of that's in public ownership, either Alabama Forestry Commission or other agencies such as that that have worked with us through the years. We grade roads, we mark boundaries, we just provide security of the property just for that lease. don't provide any consideration, nor the private landowners. We have 23,628 acres in private ownership that we have contractual leases. They haven't provided any consideration, no funds for those leases. We just grade roads, provide security, and mark boundaries as well. And doing that through the years, we have -- if we take the Sam R. Murphy and Cahaba River-Falling Rock Addition out, there's only five -- well, 5700 acres left in private ownership and smaller parcels that we have throughout the state in these So we're not really setting a precedent of wanting to get more property leased with WMAs. It's just this Sam R.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Murphy Management Area has been around since 1967. It was named the Lamarion Management Area. Then in 1998, it got changed to Sam Murphy. And they've been a great mentor that's provided hunting opportunities for the hunters in those local areas, and some folks travel to that area just to hunt that area. really a long-term partner for us that's provided hunting opportunities for hunters in that area and it's been a part of the Wildlife Management Area System for quite We just -- we've lost Boykin some time. Wildlife Management Area, we've lost Scotch in Southwest Alabama, and we don't want Sam Murphy to be the -- one of the big ones that we lose. So we'd like to provide some type of consideration in the form of a ten-year lease so we can secure that lease for ten more years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

MR. CHILDERS: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Darnall
still has the...

MR. DARNALL: So if I understand you 1 2 correctly, this land has, quote/unquote, 3 been under your control since the '50s. It's not in addition to what you do. 4 5 just you're trying to maintain control of 6 what you've had for a long time? 7 MR. GAULDIN: Yes, sir, just the 8 hunting the programs. The Sam Murphy family, they've been doing the timber 9 management. We've just been allowed to 10 have the hunting programs in that area. 11 And Ogden, the small portion that's in 12 that grouping, is the same. They've just 13 been a smaller unit of the Sam R. Murphy 14 Wildlife Management Area. 15 Mr. Chairman? MR. CHILDERS: 16 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Childers? 17 MR. CHILDERS: Question: 18 You mentioned, I believe, 738,000 total acres; 19 is that correct? 20 MR. GAULDIN: Close. 21 It's 780,000, yes, sir. 22 MR. CHILDERS: Of that 780-, how much 23

of that does Forever Wild have on a ten-year lease?

MR. GAULDIN: None at this time.

MR. CHILDERS: None. So this is --

MR. GAULDIN: Well --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. MCCURDY: None of this acreage --Forever Wild currently only has two leases that were done -- I'm going to mess this up -- I think '08/'09, '09 possibly. of them is tied to the Cahaba WMA, but those were long-term recreational leases that basically were 90-plus years -- 96, 98 years -- that have been taken off since, I guess, 2009. They were, you know, 90-plus-year leases. So there are leases, but we have not previously done a The only leases we have done ten year. are the two long-term leases, one Cahaba WMA and the other Mulberry Fork.

MR. CHILDERS: So is this lease contingent on us partnering, or is this just a request?

MR. GAULDIN: Just a request.

MR. CHILDERS: Just a request. You'd 1 lease the land regardless of whether we 2 pay for it? 3 MR. GAULDIN: We'd like to provide 4 5 some type of consideration for pulling the property out of the Wildlife Management 6 System. 7 DR. VALENTINE: But if I understand 8 what we have in the data --9 MS. MCCURDY: Excuse me. 10 Dr. Valentine, it's hard to hear out here. 11 DR. VALENTINE: So if I understand 12 what we have here in the charts and the 13 data, this is really only costing Forever 14 Wild about a third of what the overall 1.5 lease is for the ten years; is that 16 correct? 17 MS. MCCURDY: It's about a fourth, 18 25 percent. 19 20 DR. VALENTINE: All right. MS. MCCURDY: Of course -- let me 2.1 correct that because it is the 25 percent, 22 23 but we have the 15 percent Stewardship

transfer that we'd have to make for our 1 2 25 percent. 3 DR. VALENTINE: That's where I came up with the third. 4 MS. MCCURDY: Yes, sir. 5 6 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Darnall and then Dr. Powers. 7 8 MR. DARNALL: So our obligation is 786,000, or is it 25 percent of 786-? 9 MR. GAULDIN: It's 225-. 10 MR. DARNALL: Oh, okay. I'm sorry. 11 It's right there in front of me. 12 Ι apologize. 13 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers? 14 DR. POWERS: So the answer you just 15 gave is different than the impression that 16 Chuck Sykes gave us when he came to us, 17 which is there was no other money for the 18 match source. That was the impetus of 19 this ask was that he couldn't identify --20 21 I mean, there was no other money identified as the match. 22 So the way we're funded, 23 MR. GAULDIN:

we operate out of hunting license dollars we typically use as our State match portion, and we utilize those PR dollars. We have to match one-quarter of our State dollars or our hunting license dollars and three-quarters of that Pittman-Robertson dollars. We only have so much money in our hunting license fees, so that was the purpose of trying to partner with Forever Wild to utilize those Forever Wild funds in the State match portion to satisfy the use of PR or PR moneys to utilize to make this lease go through.

DR. POWERS: So I guess getting back to the question, I mean, does -- do you really have funds for -- if Forever Wild didn't provide the match funds, I mean, would this rank, I guess, high enough in your division's priorities where, you know, you wouldn't have the --

MR. GAULDIN: It would be very tight to do a ten-year projection on that.

DR. POWERS: So one unrelated question

to that. Commissioner?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Go ahead. You still have the mic, yes, sir.

DR. POWERS: So now that you're leasing this and giving the owner actual cash, are you still anticipating that you would have maintenance and all of those other responsibilities, or would there be some savings because now we're paying the owner and now the owner has some responsibilities?

MR. GAULDIN: We only have three staff members there, so it's not a large amount of upkeep on that property. I don't foresee us changing our plan. We grade roads, clean up trash, and mark boundaries. The same things as the past Basically, you know, what it would years. be if we wouldn't take ownership in leasing this property, it would be taken away like Boykin and Scotch and leased out to private hunting clubs in a sense. would be the same thing if we don't do

that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

DR. POWERS: One more question,
Commissioner.

So the Stewardship funds, Patti, would they -- after the end of the ten years, what happens to those? Do they stay, or do we return those Stewardship funds to the --

They would stay. MS. MCCURDY: don't think we have any language -although, the logic -- I understand the logic -- I don't think we have any language to move. I've never looked at that, so let me say that. But off the top of my head, I don't know that we have a mechanism to move it -- you know, move it Now, you know, I believe that Wildlife has indicated a willingness -- I believe, Keith -- to carry the expense, so I'm not sure it would be that much of an expenditure from the Stewardship transfer, but I don't know -- I wouldn't know how to get it back but I could look into that.

Yeah, being only MR. GAULDIN: 1 Stewardship-wise and those related funds, 2 3 if a road culvert were to blow out on a road, that's the type of repairs we would 4 So it wouldn't be an exorbitant 5 6 amount of funds to satisfy those requirements. Mr. Chairman? 8 MR. CHILDERS: CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. 9 MR. CHILDERS: Just exactly what would 10 be the benefit of Forever Wild partnering 11 in this? What would be the benefit from 12 it? 1.3 MR. GAULDIN: Providing hunting for a 14 lot of individuals that utilize Sam R. 1.5 Murphy as their primary hunting 16 designation. 17 MR. CHILDERS: Would it be under the 18 auspices of the Federal Wildlife Act? 19 I think you said 20 MS. MCCURDY: It would not be. It would be --2.1 federal. MR. CHILDERS: Forever Wild and State 22

I'm sorry.

Wildlife & Fisheries.

MR. GAULDIN: Yeah, the partnership's 1 between Forever Wild and Wildlife & 2 Freshwater Fisheries. 3 MR. CHILDERS: Okay. 4 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Well, we've had 5 a good discussion on this. Since there's 6 ten of us and we've had some discussion, 7 8 there may be some affirmative votes and some that may not be affirmative. I would 9 like to do this one by a show of hands, if 10 that's okay, for the Samuel R. Murphy WMA 11 to adopt the Wildlife & Freshwater 12 Fisheries first appraisal and then move to 13 a second appraisal and then have the staff 14 execute the lease. 15 All those in favor, please raise 16 17 your hand. MS. MCCURDY: Give me just a second. 18 One, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8. 19 20 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. All 21 those opposed? MS. MCCURDY: Two. 22

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Motion fails.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

All right. Any other -- anything else?

All right. Thank you all very much for the discussion and the motions.

We'll move into the miscellaneous reports,

Tab 5. Are you taking care of that?

MS. MCCURDY: I am. So if you'll turn to Tab 5, Tab 5b in your packet. And I'm sorry. Give me one second. I'm going to Okay. Tab 5b, this is the get my pages. point of the meeting in which we bring up under our process any tracts that will -are due to -- based on a number of meetings without Board action of some type or request to move forward are going to roll off of either the appraised nominations list or the short list. this meeting, we have just one tract. It is a short list nomination. It's the Natural Bridge Park in Winston County. And if you need a tab and page, that's 4B page 19. That one is scheduled to roll

off. If a Board member would like to keep it on, it just takes a Board member's request pursuant to the process you previously adopted.

## Dr. Powers?

DR. POWERS: So I would like to keep this one on with a question for you. Is there any way we can be notified, because we had lots of discussions with this request with the local county or town encouraging them to come up with a plan for this property. Can we -- I'd like to keep it on with the idea that your division would send them a letter just saying, by the way, this is the -- this is it, so if you have a plan, you need to present it by the next meeting.

MS. MCCURDY: We'll be happy to send -- keep it on and send a letter.

Is there anything else -- since a letter will be going out, anything else additional a Board member would like in that letter? If not, we'll proceed with

Dr. Powers' suggestion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

All right. Oh, yes, sir.

MR. DARNALL: So I have a question about that because I know we talked about keeping properties on the list. So each meeting, we can continue to add a property ad infinitum, or is it a onetime request? Or is it in the form of a motion, or is it just one Board member can request that?

MS. MCCURDY: The process that was previously adopted did not require like a certain number vote. It was simply on the request of a Board member. So your opportunity to do it is only -- like today, if Dr. Powers had not made the request today, he could not come up at the next meeting and go, gosh, I didn't realize that was rolling off, can I stick it back on. It would have to be renominated and have to go through the whole process. So that's how it works. The Board request has to be at the applicable meeting, which for Natural

Bridge Park is today. It would then normally stay on the short list. It starts new. It starts at zero on the no Board action count, unless the landowner removes it. So it would stay on the short list.

1.5

MR. DARNALL: So next month, the next Board meeting, it starts with a count of zero because of that?

MS. MCCURDY: That's correct. Now, you can -- Dr. Powers, if you would like to handle it otherwise and just give them one more meeting, we can do that. This is simply a process which the Board reached by agreement. So you can certainly make a different request, I believe, Dr. Powers, without any --

DR. POWERS: Yes, I guess my intention was not to start the count all over again. It was just to notify them in case -- that they have one chance and -- you know. And to be honest, I'm not sure they can get everything together in that time. I would

just want to notify them that they have one more chance. But if my only option is to start the clock again, then I would withdraw my suggestion. I don't want to start the clock again.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I think you can do it. MS. MCCURDY: Again, this is an informal sort of courtesy that's allowed for the Board. It used to be that they would remain on indefinitely as long as the landowner was still a willing seller. So this is a less -- no matter what you do, it's a less drastic measure than -- well, it's less drastic. It's still a helpful administrative matter more so than in the past. So I would just like to say if any Board member has a different request, I will -- we will carry it -- we will note it for one more time as a courtesy to them to be sure they've had time to present a plan, and then we'll remove it.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right.
Thank you.

Okav. The next item is MS. MCCURDY: As the Board likely recalls for Tab 5c. each acquisition that we make, we are by the law required to develop a management plan within a year of a tract acquisition. We do do our best to -- again, this is something we do try to get to you a meeting in advance. But the only one we have this time would be to add the Coldwater Mountain Forever Wild Complex-Carroll Addition, Calhoun County, to the existing Coldwater Complex Management Plan. This was a -- you know, a tract within that complex, and so we would just add it to the existing management plan. There was a draft plan attached for your review. If you are ready to approve this addition to that management plan, there is a motion in your memo provided you're prepared to do that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright?

MR. WRIGHT: I would like to make the motion to the -- for the Board to approve

the State Lands Division proceeding with the inclusion of the Coldwater Forever Wild Complex-Carroll Addition into the existing management plan as set forth in the memorandum dated May 5, 2022.

MR. HOLLOWAY: Second.

1.5

2.1

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Mr. Holloway.

All those in favor say aye.

Any opposed?

None opposed. The motion carries.

Thank you, sir.

MS. MCCURDY: All right. The next two items are somewhat administrative in nature that we cover generally every May. This is something -- we have certain things we do at different meetings. This is generally at our May meeting.

The first is we each May ask for the Board's approval to make a transfer.

This year, the request is the continuing rate amount of \$900,000 to the State Lands

Division to cover administrative support

provided this fiscal year. We make this motion to cover the fiscal year that we're in. We do that partially to see if there's any reason to change that amount, but also, it's just a timing thing that we do this time each year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

So this 900,000 reflects our management of now about 285,000 acres And we have provided, to give almost. some context, a breakdown attached to this -- I'm sorry. It's Tab 5d. I don't think I said that. Tab 5d -- I use merely for context because I can give you a closed-out fiscal year for context. attached is a breakdown by category of the expenditures and the reimbursements, including the approval, you know, for the 900,000 and still shows a remaining balance that isn't fully covered by our division, but we're honored and, you know, pleased to help and work with this It's a great joy of our staff. program. But I do need a motion. This is not an

automatic administrative thing that we move money to ourselves.

So I wanted to answer any questions the Board has. If there are no questions, there is a motion in the memo, but I don't want to shortchange discussion. It is something we do every year, so I also don't want to belabor it if there are no questions.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Holloway?

MR. HOLLOWAY: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that the Board approve the State Lands Division staff to proceed with the requested administrative transfer in the amount of \$900,000 for fiscal year 2022 for administrative support provided by the State Lands Division.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. Is there a second?

MR. OATES: Second.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Mr. Oates.

Any discussion?

1.3

1.5

2.1

All those in favor say aye.

Any opposed?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

None opposed. The motion carries.

MS. MCCURDY: I want to thank the Board and thank my staff for the hard work -- I stand up here. They do all the work.

Now we move to Tab 5e. And each May, I also tell you this. For the Stewardship Fund, the spending authority granted by this Board, what we discussed earlier during the financial report, that 1.5 million, by the provisions of the law forming program, the Board has to move in advance of a fiscal year to set the spending authority for the upcoming fiscal So it's a little hard to predict that sometimes, but by law, we have to do that to have the Board's spending authority. The way the amendment was originally drafted, it was -- there was a determination that would need to be made by the Commissioner if it was demonstrated that interest income off of the -- off the Stewardship Fund would be insufficient for the upcoming fiscal year to fund the land management needs for the program. Obviously, we're having a great management of those funds as we see each time in the report from the treasurer, but the management model is not to produce Stewardship -- excuse me -- produce income -- interest income, it's to increase the asset value of that fund. And so as you will see in Tab 5e, Commissioner Blankenship, in consultation with staff, you know, made a determination -- although we don't know the exact -- obviously, we couldn't know the exact amount of interest income that we will have, it would not be sufficient to cover the Stewardship needs for the upcoming year. So you will see attached to our memo as tab -- as Exhibit A the official determination that Commissioner Blankenship has to make. One thing that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

is different this year -- so up to this point, it's the same discussion we have every year -- Commissioner Blankenship mentioned at the last meeting that -- and I'll let him speak to this -- but he had asked staff to perform a little more programmatic analysis in addition to our fairly standard 1.5 million request of what it might take to accomplish certain actions. And, Commissioner, I'll let you go back to that.

We have discussed maybe at the last meeting or two, the Innovation Commission has really looked at some opportunities in Alabama to help us to grow as a state.

One of those is taking advantage of our outdoor recreational opportunities to attract companies and gig workers or, you know, people that can work from home and to really use that as one of the tools to help grow the economy in the state.

As part of that, we see a need in

1.5

the Department that we have opportunity to make enhancements to trails in our state parks, to have amenities at the trail heads, to have better way finding signage, to make the properties much more user friendly and more available for people to enjoy the properties in the Department. We are making substantial investments in the rest of our properties in the Department of Conservation, so I had asked the staff to look at our Forever Wild properties and, you know, all of the several hundred miles of trails we have, the trail access, the way finding signage, you know, whether the -- how the kiosks look, you know, do we need to expand parking lots, are there places that we're having a lot of usage that we don't have enough parking, you know, that that's limiting the amount of people that can use our facilities.

So the staff did a good assessment, and in Exhibit C in that memo

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

as they looked at some of the different properties adjacent or close to our 220 miles of trails and made suggestions that you can see we need parking area kiosk replacements at most -- a lot of those properties, you know, better signage so the people don't -- you know, have good comfort on the trails, existing parking area improvements -- and I won't read those off. You can see them there -- and then new parking area construction. You know, we have several trails and several pieces of property that didn't have good, safe public access.

1.5

And so what I'm asking -- what we are proposing here is that we add \$600,000 into our normal request for us to make -- to be good stewards of the property that you have already purchased to allow better public access for those. And I'll be glad to take any questions on that. So this would just be on the Forever Wild properties. The Department's making

additional investments in other Department-owned properties.

1.3

1.5

MS. MCCURDY: And it's something as simple as -- you know, for example, you mentioned kiosks. It's not just that they're aged and maybe need to be replaced. It's sort of re-envisioning the design of those to better serve the public just as one example. So some of these are state -- everywhere is statewide improvements where you see the itemized for access to, you know, primarily parking lot trail heads. We try to list what we see is the priority.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright?

MR. WRIGHT: Yeah, I really like the concept of making the public lands that we already have more accessible, more usable, but I have one question. If we are going to spend \$600,000 extra on doing this, somebody's got to spend it. Are we going to hire more personnel, or are we doing —how are we expending that money?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: That's a very good question. We will do it with a combination of our staff, and there's some places I think that we'll contract. Like with the parking lots and some of those things, we'll contract out the construction of those.

1.5

2.1

MS. MCCURDY: And I will give you an example. Because of -- I have a great staff that's very skilled. We would try to do some work ourselves to save money. But an effort like this will require some -- which is fine -- you know, some contract help or, you know, some innovative ways because it is an extra load for the staff. There's no question.

MR. WRIGHT: Are you going to have one person overseeing these, or is it going to be a community effort within the people you already have?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: It will be -- I would imagine it will be managed out of the Montgomery office, but then the

persons that are over those particular

Forever Wild tracts in the district

regions around the state will be likely

coordinating the work that's done there.

1.3

1.5

2.1

MS. MCCURDY: But there would be high-level coordination amongst the division, you know, directors, but the -- you know, the field staff in each will be directed to implement it, the ones that are more familiar with the tracts, although there will be some effort of working together, you know, to get it done in combination with contractors. So you might have a group of our own folks getting it done quicker, or maybe we can't get a contractor in an area and they may need to team up and work together in another area.

MR. WRIGHT: I think anytime we can it better accessible to the public, that's what we need to do.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Darnall?

MR. DARNALL: Yeah. So let me start

by saying I support this. But as
Dr. Valentine will tell you, it's a crazy
world, and what you think something costs
today, costs 25 percent more tomorrow.
And so I would -- all I would suggest is
that there be an interim report to where
if the 600,000 is not enough, we don't
abandon the concept of doing what you're
saying just because we didn't estimate
enough the first time. So I don't know if
we need to change that in the motion, but
that's just my -- it's just hard to
predict --

MS. MCCURDY: Yes. And I will tell you --

MR. DARNALL: -- the cost of something tomorrow.

MS. MCCURDY: I will tell you two
things. We do need to round up somewhat,
but there is no question, having gone
through a home renovation myself, you
never know. So that is a valid question.
The only problem, though, is that if you

do have that concern, that amount would have to be established now because we're required to do it in advance of the fiscal year, which is difficult.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

DR. VALENTINE: Is this a onetime allocation, or is this every year from now on?

So the question CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: was was this as a onetime allocation, or is this something to happen every year My goal was for us to look from now on. at the low-hanging fruit and do the things this next year as we look at, you know, maybe some proposals for restroom facilities or maybe something that's more substantial down the road. I don't see this as a -- I quess I can answer that two I don't see this as onetime ways. request, but I think there may be some other things that we see from the public that would make these places even more accessible but I don't see this as a longterm addition. I think this gets us to a

place where we can really then assess the usage and what we need to do after this year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. MCCURDY: And it could be a tract-focused request the Board has an interest in one year. It could be different things. But this focus is more programmatic statewide, sort of base-level access usage upgrades.

MR. DARNALL: Okay. So you know 18 months is a long time, and in the developed world in the construction industry, we're pricing things at today's dollars and we're suggesting to our clients that they carry 1 to 2 percent per month as escalation just to cover themselves and that's -- that direction is worth what you pay for it, which was a doughnut today. But it is real, and just please don't -- if you rounded it up that much, I think that's great. If you didn't, I would ask that we round it up the appropriate amount.

MS. MCCURDY: And I would -- you know, Commissioner, I'll pull you into this discussion. And believe me, I appreciate the concern, and I don't want to hotbox the Board on a different amount or a higher amount. I'm just saying I also don't want to mislead, but that decision really needs to be made now.

1.5

2.1

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So after the discussion, the motion I think has -2.1 million is what we're asking to put into the budget for that. I guess I would -- if you're talking about 2 percent for 18 months, that's 36 percent, so you increase that 600- by a third. So instead of 2.1 maybe make it 2.3 million or
2.4 million as the cap. Would that be -- I mean, we would need a motion. But I guess that's what I'm thinking after listening to some of the conversation.

MS. MCCURDY: And there is a motion in the memo, but now you'd have to -- again,

I left it where -- I said in the memo an

amount needs to be inserted. But I don't mean to cut off questions.

Dr. Valentine?

1.5

2.1

DR. VALENTINE: Yeah. I'm just -- to the Commissioner, we're sending out bids regularly. We're getting a bid or no bids because the fees are coming in at like 300 percent above architect renderings right now, so it's a tough time to do it but it could also backfire and a recession may come along and may go the other way. But I think it's -- I think I'd rather see you err on the side of caution and take on the priorities and fix them, and then we can talk again next year.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: That sounds good. I thank you for that. I guess we need a motion from somebody because I don't want to make the motion myself since we're the one administering that. But, you know, if we did that as 2.5 million dollars in that draft motion, I think that would give us the leeway we need to be

able to -- otherwise, other than that with the state purchasing stuff that goes on, it would probably be bleeding into the next year anyway. So I think that would be sufficient.

Mr. Darnall?

1.5

2.1

MS. MCCURDY: And that's page 2 of the motion with the amount, page 2 of 5e.

MR. DARNALL: Yeah. So I'd like to make a motion for the Board to authorize the ADCNR, after exhausting available interest income from the Stewardship Account, to expend funds from the corpus of the Stewardship Account up to an amount that, when added to the available interest income, does not exceed 2.5 million dollars for fiscal year 2023.

MR. HOLLOWAY: Second.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Mr. Holloway.

Any more discussion on that? So it's the -- that we would be able to expend up to 2 and a half million dollars

from the Stewardship Account in 2023.

1.5

MS. MCCURDY: And, Commissioner, I would suggest this motion be by a show of hands or something identifying to be sure that we have the votes.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor, please raise your hand. I see -- MS. MCCURDY: It's unanimous.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Unanimous. Thank you.

MS. MCCURDY: Thank you. I'm sorry for that, but the auditors thank me every year for doing that so I like to keep them happy.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right.

Thank you. Thank you all. Good

discussion.

MS. MCCURDY: And so that's our only action items. I would -- before I throw it back to the Commissioner, just an update because they did ask me to update the Board. We do continue in discussions with CRATA. We have met with them again,

but they are -- although working on some additional requests to include some additional trails at Yates Lake, they are still asking us to hold on any further discussion regarding the acre parcel and the potential tower placement. So that's still on hold, but they wanted me to let you know that. And I wanted you to know that we're still in ongoing discussions with them.

Commissioner, other than that, I think you have an item, but I think -- I'm looking at staff. Did I miss anything anybody's aware of?

Okay.

1.3

1.5

2.1

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you. On Tab 5g in your -- it came in the green folder today, just to give a little background on this item. The Forever Wild program has been wildly popular over the -- since its inception in 1992. I think that the Board has done great work. I'm very excited when I go around the

state to see the properties that the Board has acquired and how we've opened that up for public access. It's probably the best program I think in — ever created in state government just the way that it works and the transparency of it. Even though sometimes it's a little slow for my taste, it is done very aboveboard and it's a very good program.

1.5

heard since I've been Commissioner and before about the program is that taking some of the properties out of the -- off the tax rolls in some of the rural counties has -- they feel has a negative impact to those counties. This was an issue that I know has been dealt with with the Board long before I was Commissioner starting with, I think, some comments at the Board or business of the Board by Coosa County commissioners in the past and others really asking for the Board not to participate in their county because of

impacts. We have worked over the time that -- just in the time I can speak of that I've been Commissioner since 2017, there have been eight pieces of legislation that has been introduced or working through the Legislature to require the Board to compensate the counties in some way. You know, there are multiple different ideas in that legislation, but there's been eight pieces of legislation.

1.5

This past session, the legislation passed -- a constitutional amendment passed the House, and on the last day of the session was poised to pass the Senate that would require us to, as we did some work, carbon credit work, to provide that money to the county where the property was located as opposed to the State's General Fund.

Before it has been limited to just a few counties that this was an issue for. I would say that it has gone from just being one or two counties to most of the

counties where Forever Wild owns property.

It is a concern for them. I did hear from Shelby County today that it is not a concern of theirs, but I think they are by far the exception to the other counties.

And, again, I know Chad left. I do appreciate our partnership with Shelby County.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

I was -- that night or the -towards the end of the session, instead of just having one constitutional amendment that would cause us to do something if it was passed by the Legislature, there were multiple other counties that were standing in line to have local constitutional amendments in their counties and then other counties that were looking at the next legislative session and the next legislative session to do something for their counties, and I saw that as a real danger to the program, especially since the program has to be reauthorized every 20 years and we're ten years away from

reauthorization. I would -- I think there is a real concern that if there are constitutional amendments on the ballot at every election to move to do something with Forever Wild that it creates a fatigue for the program and could jeopardize our reauthorization in 2032.

1.5

2.1

So saying all of that, I met with leadership in the Senate and several senators on that last night, and I made a commitment to them that if -- that I could bring to the Board an opportunity that I think would have the biggest impact to protect the Forever Wild program while providing some compensation to the counties that feel like they have -- that the Forever Wild ownership in their county is a detriment to them. And I do see some of their issues.

You know, in Jackson County where we have the Walls of Jericho, the counties and the rescue squads up there, they spend a lot of time and effort, you know, having

to rescue people from the walls or, you know, if people are injured, you know, it's difficult to get down there, you know, maintaining the roads within the -- the county roads that go to and from.

If you've been to our -- the southern terminus of the Pinhoti Trail, our Forever Wild property in Coosa County, the road going in there is in very rough shape and needs a lot of maintenance leading in and out, and a lot of that is caused by the traffic of the people coming in and out to the property. So I see issues. I see the county's point in some places. Others not -- maybe not quite so much.

But in order to protect the longterm viability of the program, I said that
I would bring to the Board a proposal
where we would compensate the counties in
some fair fashion with the least
administrative burden possible on our
staff to get over this one issue that

continues to dog the program, or really the only issue that continues to be a negative towards the program as far as I know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

So that kind of gives you the background of where we are today. legislative -- the people that we met with agreed not to pass that constitutional amendment on the last day and give the Board a chance to provide some action. And if the Board declines to provide the action, there was a commitment from the leadership in the Legislature that this would be an issue that would come back and that they would pass -- work to pass some legislation that would do something very similar to what's being proposed today, but instead of it being done by policy of the Board that can be amended or changed if circumstances change or for whatever reason, it would be in legislation that would bind the Board with very little say of the Board for future actions. And so I

just wanted to give a full background on how we got here to the proposal that we're making -- that I'm going to be making today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

To get to the part of what we think is fair, we have primarily -- Ed Poolos, our Deputy Commissioner -- we don't have a property tax accountant and somebody that's -- that does this every day, so Ed worked with the Department of Revenue and others that have a good knowledge of that, and we looked at multiple opportunities of what we thought was fair. They are -- just as a -- to do the -- go through the calculation of how we get to the amount per acre that I'm going to propose is that there are -- each piece -- each parcel that is designated current use timberland is either good, average, poor, or nonproductive, and there is an amount that goes with each of those. Since Forever Wild -- since our main purpose is not for timber management,

our -- the property that you acquire has a lot of other reasons or different reasons. Timber may be one of them -- but all of the land that Forever Wild has titled is not in areas that would be considered good, and some of it is in poor. And so we chose the average, the average productive rating.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

Then we looked at the millage rates. You know, over 67 counties, there are different rates for each county. There are school systems within those counties and there are -- and those school systems have different rates within the counties, and so as we worked to try and find what we thought was fair, we just -we averaged the 67 county -- the mills for the 67 counties and then also averaged the school systems within the counties and then averaged that to come up with -- 26 and a half mills is what we felt like is a fair amount of compensation for the counties. So when you use the \$605

productivity rate and then the timberland use value, the 10 percent of appraised value for that times the millage rate, it ends up at \$1.60 per acre. And so with our current amount of acres that we own, not what's included in leases -- because in those leases, those landowners are already paying the property taxes, so we backed out any property that was not titled to the Alabama Trust Fund on behalf of Forever Wild -- that comes out at \$1.60 an acre to about \$357,000 annually that this would -- compensation would be to the counties. So I understand this is a -you know, we've talked about this for a long time as an issue, but this is the first time that we've really talked about the brass tacks of it, and so I would like to open it up for further discussion or conversation.

Mr. Darnall?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

MR. DARNALL: So probably the most exciting Board meeting I've ever been to

for Forever Wild Land Trust, so, you know, my -- my personal opinion is -- and I have talked to my senator and my representative -- is that this is something that the Forever Wild Land Trust Board needs to seriously consider doing, and I'm inclined to make the motion to do so. And I can -- I'm not a -- I don't run a county, so I cannot appreciate the lack of funds or the need for funds that each county needs, but in principle, I think this is fair. And so if -- and I trust you and the Deputy that y'all have come up with a fair system.

I really like the concept of us taking the initiative to do it -- and nothing against politicians -- but as opposed to somebody that's running for office grabbing a popular topic and, you know, going to beat back and -- you know, whoever, Big Brother, to do that, and I like that. And I think that -- you know, I realize every dollar that we spend not

buying land flies against our mission, but I also don't want to do anything that creates a situation that they throw the baby out with the bath water. So I -- if this was my trust, that's what I would do, but I'm only one of ten votes.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. Mr. Wright?

1.5

MR. WRIGHT: I'm from one of those rural counties, and I support this wholeheartedly. I agree with everything that Jack said. But I only found out about this on Tuesday afternoon, and, y'all, there's a can of worms before us. I'm all for that can of worms, but I would like just a little bit of time to look and see what's in that can of worms.

So I would like to ask the

Board that -- I don't know what kind of

motion or whatever -- to table it until

next meeting, but I am all for the motion,

just not today. I want to look in the can

of worms. And that's all I have to say

about it. And I do take this job seriously, and I will look into that can of worms.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Go ahead, Mr. Oates.

MR. OATES: You know, I've talked about this in the past, and I've also talked to several legislators about it.

MS. MCCURDY: It's a little hard to hear you, Mr. Oates.

MR. OATES: Sorry. I've talked to several legislators about it, and I feel that it is something that we need to address. And as you mentioned, there is going to be a special session soon in probably July or August, and I'm afraid that they will try and pass some legislation in that special session. That's not really easy to do as you know, but I think they will try to do it in that special session if we don't act so I would support moving forward with it quickly.

MS. MCCURDY: And we lost the last

part of your comment.

1.3

1.5

MR. OATES: I said I would support moving forward with it quickly.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: One thing about doing it by policy if we do it today is if we want to come back in August, I mean, it's not, you know, binding for life. If we find some unintended consequence or something that needs to be tweaked on that, we can do that when we come back in August because we wouldn't be -- with what I'm proposing, we would not be making any contributions to the counties until far after that August meeting so we would have the ability to, I would say, fix anything that we see as a -- that comes up as an issue between now and the next meeting.

MR. CHILDERS: Did I miss the motion?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: No, sir, I have not made it yet. I was opening it up for comments. I guess I could have made the motion first.

MR. CHILDERS: I guess we need a

motion to discuss it, right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Sure. I can do that. So I will make the motion since I am the one that committed to bringing this to the Board.

I make a motion for the Board to adopt the following policy: Beginning with the 2023 fiscal year, in an effort to cooperate with local county governments, the Forever Wild Land Trust will make an annual payment to each county where Forever Wild lands are located pursuant to the authority vested in the Board by Section 5(k)(2) of Amendment 543. amount of the annual payment shall be \$1.60 per acre in each such county. Lands staff are instructed to calculate the acreage assessed in the name of the State for the Forever Wild Land Trust in each county as of August 1st of each year. So that's the motion.

Do I have a second?

MR. DARNALL: Second.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Mr. Darnall.

1.3

1.5

Now, then, any other discussion?

MR. CHILDERS: I have a question.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Childers and then Mr. Holloway.

MR. CHILDERS: The letter from Senator Chambliss, I believe, indicated a carbon credit proposal. Was this researched before we decided or landed on this system as ad valorem tax to be paid? Is there a possibility that that could be pursued through Forever Wild's carbon credits versus ad valorem taxes?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Well, I will say this. We are not -- I'm not proposing that we reimburse the county for any lost ad valorem taxes. I'm proposing we make a contribution at \$1.60 per acre to those counties. But to answer the first part of the question, we did extensively look at the carbon credits. We are exploring that as a department. And it is a very

volatile and new market, and we could have done something related to that, but I think it would not get to the issue.

This, I think, addresses the long-term issue of viability of the program. I think if we had done what was in the carbon credit legislation, I don't think there would have been much, if any, revenue realized for those counties and we'd be right back where we are talking about this. I think this fixes it and the issue for long-term. That was — that's why we —

1.5

2.1

MS. MCCURDY: And to clarify just a little in addition that may be part of your question. This is something that the Board can do by motion. The Board cannot redirect where revenue off the property goes. So the Board would not have the power by motion to redirect proceeds from the General Fund to the counties. So there's nothing this Board could vote on to get any carbon credit or anything else

to the counties.

1.5

2.1

MR. CHILDERS: I'm in full support of supporting these counties. This is based on ad valorem taxes. It's based on current use property values. Those values change periodically. Is there any provision made here to escalate it as those change, or would that have to come back before the Board as an amendment or a change to our motion if this is approved?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: What I've proposed here has -- is as a set dollar figure. If the value changes in the future, it would come back to the Board for additional action, just like we do a lot of the other memos in 5. It would be a --

MR. CHILDERS: It would have to be reevaluated?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir.

MR. CHILDERS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers?

Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Holloway first.

MR. HOLLOWAY: Actually, I got the question answered by that gentleman there.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right.

## Dr. Powers?

DR. POWERS: So I support this. It seems like a very pragmatic approach to the only problem we face. I also agree that, you know, the fact that we set the policy means we can revisit it at either the August meeting or later. So I think those are both good points. My only question is is can we use Stewardship funds to pay this as opposed to taking dollars away.

MS. MCCURDY: We could not. By the wording of the amendment of forming a program, it's very specific on the uses of the Stewardship Fund. And we did look into that in detail to see if we could give the Board options, but that is not an option available to the Board even by your motion.

DR. POWERS: So is there a way to

change that or that would kick in a whole 'nother process?

1.5

2.1

MS. MCCURDY: It's similar to the other question on carbon credit revenue. It would take a constitutional amendment to change that.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. McClintock?

DR. MCCLINTOCK: Yeah, I support this
as well. I think it's probably fiscally
and politically prudent to do this.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any other -Jack?

MR. DARNALL: So this is kind of a clarifying point, and I -- is there a way for us to proceed with this motion and allow the staff -- the professional staff to continue to validate this motion and do the final approval in August? And I say that only because we've got very, very experienced Board members that aren't here, and you've got some very inexperienced Board members that are here. So I mean, I just -- and I'm not trying to

kick the can down the road. I do think it's important to send a signal to the Legislature that we're serious about that, but if that's dodging the bullet or kicking the can, then I'm not for that. That's more of a procedural question.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I would say that if we pass this motion, then we can still revisit those same things in August if there's -- if we see -- we will have the staff evaluate it, and if we see issues -- and I think there may be suggestions from others on how to make this work better -- I'm committing to the Board to bring that back in August for us to make sure this works as seamlessly as possible with as least administrative burden on the staff to get this done.

MS. MCCURDY: And I think to complicate it, we don't know when the legislative session may go in. That's not something we would know right now.

DR. VALENTINE: So, Commissioner,

1.5

2.1

knowing some of these guys, it's probably a good idea to do some due diligence between now and the special session to make sure they're on board, because when I look at the distribution of funds, it's kind of the counties who need it less who are getting the most here, and I could see Senator Singleton, for example, noticing that right away. So I think doing some homework before the special session with these guys — because I'm a little worried about that.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Sure.
Understood.

1.5

MS. MCCURDY: I would throw in -- and I will commend Deputy Commissioner Poolos who has been working hard on that, trying to give them information, and what's here is a per-acre, you know, figure. So it is going to vary, but it varies by the acreage. I didn't mean to interrupt you, Commissioner. I'm just going to be honest. I'm not sure -- I would need some

additional assistance certainly working with Deputy Commissioner to know what more to look at.

1.3

1.5

2.1

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I don't think the question was what to look at. It was just that we -- if there are -- if you see any administrative problems with this how to bring --

MS. MCCURDY: Oh, I'm sorry.

MR. CHILDERS: I'm not doing this, but I'm asking. If we table this to our next meeting, this motion, we put the motion in action but we table it to give our other Board members an opportunity for input — we've already got one letter from a member that's not here to — is that right? Do we not have a —

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir.

MR. CHILDERS: -- that had a request.

If we table, would that satisfy the

Legislature?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I can't speak for the Legislature, but I can tell you my

sense is that it would not. And I think if they have a special session or the opportunity to do something before we meet again in August, it's a real possibility that they would act legislatively. And that's just my opinion. I don't have anything definitive on that, but that's just from the multiple conversations I had throughout this whole process.

1.3

1.5

2.1

I think Dr. Howell had her hand up.

DR. HOWELL: I was wondering how sure we can be the counties will be satisfied with that raise.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The question is would the counties be satisfied. And that is a good question. There are a lot of counties, and we have not met with all of them individually but this does get to the heart of the questions that they've had. And I think that it meets the objectives to provide some compensation back to the counties for what they're missing. And I

think it's fair. I really think it's fair. That's the -- if I can say anything about how we've calculated it, I think it's fair to us, I think it's fair to them. I don't think it weighs on one side or the other, and I think it's very logical and -- and just to be able to explain that to the counties and to the legislative members.

Yes, sir?

MR. DARNALL: So I mean, personally, I'm comfortable with the motion going forward with what you said about, hey, if we get down the road and realize there's a better way to do this, we can always bring it up. I'm very satisfied and comfortable and trusting with that direction. And I also — but to Dr. Valentine's comments, it's not our job to make up funding for every county that doesn't have enough money. Our job is to make positive moves to protect the integrity of Forever Wild moving forward forever and trust that

the Legislature will see our actions in the light that they're done and take that into consideration. So if Jefferson County is not satisfied, as long as the Legislature is, satisfied, you know, we're just doing our part to provide a solution for what people may perceive as taking money from them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And so, again, I would encourage us to pass it and then to -- you know, and then as other people -- I mean, let me say If I don't show up to the meeting, I don't get a vote. I understand that. But that way, we can continue discussion, you know, and things like that, and so that's -- I appreciate you saying what you I think this is a good move. think this would -- if we approve it, I think it sends a very positive signal to the authorities in Montgomery that actually can do something that we're trying to move in a direction that is appropriate.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright?

1.5

MR. WRIGHT: I agree with everything that's been said here this morning about this, but I am from one of those rural counties and what just come up, would the counties be satisfied since -- I mean, I like what the Shelby County man said this morning. And it's definitely an asset for Forever Wild to have this property in Shelby County. But, y'all, when I said something about that can of worms, I'm coming from one of those rural counties, and I see something there that may not be kosher with particularly my county.

This letter that was dated by the senator was April 26th. That's nine days ago from today. I as a Board member found out about it Tuesday afternoon. So I want time to look into that can. So if we can figure how we could change this thing in August -- if we pass it today to satisfy the Legislature, no problem. But can we actually change it in August if we -- if I

find something in that can of worms?

That's my question.

1.3

1.5

2.1

MS. MCCURDY: Yes, you're voting a motion today. Any motion you make can be changed by subsequent motion, same process, assuming a quorum was here, yes.

We've had good discussion on this. I don't want to cut us short if anybody's got a burning -- something burning they've got to say, but if not, I would like to call the question and let's vote on the motion. We'll do this one by a show of hands as well, please, because I want to make sure that we have -- since we only have ten members here, I want to make sure that we have enough affirmative votes or not.

So all those in favor of the motion, please raise their hand.

All right. All those opposed?

Nine to one. Motion carries nine to one.

I don't have any -- any other 1 miscellaneous reports or anything else on 2 that? 3 MS. MCCURDY: No. 4 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The next order 5 6 of business is the approval of the February 3rd minutes. Is there anybody 7 8 that has any corrections or changes to the minutes? 9 MR. DARNALL: I make a motion we 10 approve them. 11 MR. OATES: Second. 12 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I'll give 13 Mr. Darnall credit for the motion and 14 Mr. Oates credit for the second. 1.5 No other corrections. All those 16 17 in favor of approving the minutes from the February 3rd meeting say aye. 18 Any opposed? 19 The motion carries. 20 None opposed. 2.1 The next meeting will be August

the 4th in Oxford, Alabama. The mayor of

Oxford, Mayor Craft, is a great supporter

22

23

of the Department of Conservation and State Parks and the Forever Wild program, and so I'm excited to take the meeting to his city. I think that you will like hearing from him and his vision on outdoor recreation and how he's taking Choccolocco Park in Oxford in combination with Chehaw State Park and the other things they're trying to do there to help grow their economy in Oxford. So I'm hopeful that as we have these partnerships with different people around the state, we can continue to have meetings in places where those folks can come share some of their vision and opportunity with the Board.

So with that, if there's no other business, I'd entertain a motion to adjourn.

MR. WRIGHT: So moved.

MR. DARNALL: Second.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I'll give Mr. Wright the motion to adjourn and

Mr. Darnall the second.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

| 1  | All those in favor say aye.      |
|----|----------------------------------|
| 2  | We are adjourned. Thank you all. |
| 3  | (Proceedings concluded at        |
| 4  | 12:44 p.m.)                      |
| 5  |                                  |
| 6  |                                  |
| 7  |                                  |
| 8  |                                  |
| 9  |                                  |
| 10 |                                  |
| 11 |                                  |
| 12 |                                  |
| 13 |                                  |
| 14 |                                  |
| 15 |                                  |
|    |                                  |
| 16 |                                  |
| 17 |                                  |
| 18 |                                  |
| 19 |                                  |
| 20 |                                  |
| 21 |                                  |
| 22 |                                  |
| 23 |                                  |
| l  |                                  |

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

## STATE OF ALABAMA

## **AUTAUGA COUNTY**

I, Stacey L. Johnson, Certified Court
Reporter and Commissioner for the State of
Alabama at Large, hereby certify that on
May 5, 2022, I reported the proceedings in
the matter of the foregoing cause, and
that pages 3 through 143 contain a true
and accurate transcription of the
aforementioned proceedings.

I further certify that I am neither of kin nor of counsel to any of the parties to said cause, nor in any manner interested in the results thereof.

This the 17th day of July, 2022.

1.5

2.1

/s/Stacey L. Johnson
STACEY L. JOHNSON, CCR
Commissioner for the
State of Alabama at Large
CCR 386, Expires 9/30/2022
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 6/22/2023