1	MINUTES OF THE
2	FOREVER WILD BOARD MEETING
3	LANARK PAVILION
4	Millbrook, Alabama
5	June 11, 2020
6	
7	
8	* * * * * * * * * *
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11	
12	* * * * * * * * * *
13	
14	
15	Proceedings taken before Tracye
16	Sadler Blackwell, Certified Court Reporter, ACCR
17	No. 294, and Commissioner for the State of Alabama
18	at Large, at the Lanark Pavilion, 3050 Lanark Road,
19	Millbrook, Alabama, on Thursday, June 11, 2020,
20	commencing at approximately 10:05 a.m.
21	
22	* * * * * * * * * *
23	

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: 1 Commissioner Christopher M. Blankenship, Chairman Mr. Rick Oates 3 Mr. Horace H. Horn, Jr. Mr. Raymond B. Jones, Jr. Mr. William "Bill" Satterfield 5 Mr. Russ A. Runyan Mr. Reginald Holloway 6 Dr. John Valentine Dr. Sean Powers Dr. Patricia Sims 7 Dr. Lori Tolley-Jordan Dr. Salem Saloom 8 Dr. James McClintock 9 10 11 12 13 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Welcome to the June meeting of the Alabama Forever Wild 14 Board. Appreciate y'all being here 15 16 today. What a beautiful setting for a meeting. Being the Forever Wild Board, 17 we've probably -- it's only fitting, I 18 guess, that we do have an outside 19 meeting every now and then. This is a 20 great place. 21 Ed Poolos, our Deputy Commissioner, 2.2 did recommend that if we have an outside 23

1	meeting we not have it for the spring or
2	the summer meetings in the future. But
3	
	it actually feels very nice under here,
4	and I appreciate the opportunity for us
5	to have a meeting. Appreciate your
6	willingness to come and to have this
7	public meeting so that we can do the
8	work of the Board.
9	And so at this time let me call the
10	roll, and we'll get started. As I call
11	your name, if you're here, please
12	indicate that.
13	Chris Blankenship is here.
14	Reginald Holloway?
15	MR. HOLLOWAY: Here.
16	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Horace Horn?
17	MR. HORN: Here.
18	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Raymond Jones?
19	MR. JONES: Here.
20	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. James McClintock?
21	DR. McCLINTOCK: Here.
22	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Rick Oates?
23	MR. OATES: Here.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers? 1 DR. POWERS: Here. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Saloom? 3 DR. SALOOM: Here. 4 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Satterfield? 5 6 MR. SATTERFIELD: Here. 7 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Sims? DR. SIMS: Here. 8 9 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Tolley-Jordan? DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Here. 10 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Valentine? 11 12 DR. VALENTINE: Here. 1.3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Runyan? 14 MR. RUNYAN: Here. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: And Mr. Wright? 15 16 (No response.) CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright is not here, 17 but we do have a quorum. 18 Like I said, thank you all for 19 taking the time to come and for being 20 flexible with the meeting date, moving 21 it from May to June. Really didn't want 2.2 23 to go until August without having a

2.2

meeting. I thought that we had some work that the Board could do and wanted to keep us on track with the work that we have underway. Appreciate you being here.

I'd really like to thank Mr. Tim

Gothard for allowing us to use the

facility here as we were talking about

places that we could meet that was large

enough to get the Board spread out and

to be able to have plenty of public

access and maintain social distancing

and close to Montgomery. So we had

several objectives that we were trying

to reach, and Tim offered the facility

here.

And with that, Tim, I want to thank you and give you just a moment to say anything you would like to about your facility and the work that y'all do here.

MR. GOTHARD: Thank you, Chris. And I'll move around this way a little bit.

I just want to welcome everybody to Lanark Pavilion. There's about 420 acres here now that is home to AWF State Headquarters, Historic Lanark, Lanark Pavilion, and right down the paved road is our Alabama Nature Center facility.

while you were talking, I was enjoying seeing some families out here walking the trails. We have 5 miles of boardwalks and trails. Right now trail access is the only thing that's open out here other than we're following health department requirements for our summer camp. Usually we would have 50, 60, 70 campers, and we've got that down in the 20s so we can maintain the social distancing that we do.

Chris, I would just say this: It's a real honor and a privilege for AWF, our board of directors, our members across the state, to have the Forever Wild Board here meeting at this

facility. Our organization has been a big part of Forever Wild since its inception and up to now. We're very proud of that relationship. We're proud of whatever Forever Wild does. So it's our privilege and honor to have y'all here today. I hope that the meeting goes well.

And I'll say thank you. The weather did not feel this good yesterday. Glad to be here. I'll be around for a little while. And thank you for what you do for Alabama and for conservation.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you.

1.3

2.2

And if you are -- Tim is right.

They have beautiful trails out here. If you're looking for a place close to

Montgomery to get outdoors and hike some trails, this is a wonderful place. I brought my family out here in the spring, and we had -- early spring, I guess, late winter, and we had a really nice time. A really beautiful place.

So with that, we'll move into the public comment period. As a reminder, we try to keep this to a three-minute limit. And I think Evan is going to keep the time or Jo and just kind of let you know when you get to the end of your three minutes.

But the first speaker will be Andrew Schock on the Little River State Forest Addition. That's Tab 4-B, page 17.
4-B, page 17.

I was remiss in not welcoming our new member.

I'm sorry. Your time is not -- I'm
still giving you your three minutes.

I would like to recognize the newest member of the Forever Wild Board,
Dr. James McClintock from the University of Alabama in Birmingham. This is his first meeting. And appreciate your service to the Board and your willingness to participate.

DR. McCLINTOCK: Thank you. It's an honor to

serve.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Glad to have you here.

Having a new member, I'll make sure we go a little slower before the speakers begin to make sure everybody can find the tracts that they're speaking on in their packets.

So with that, Tab 4-B, page 17,
Little River State Forest Addition. I
think we're good. Go ahead, Mr. Schock.

MR. SCHOCK: Well, thank you, Commissioner.

And I thought I would come and take my mask off because I have missed several of these meetings. As I was telling

Mr. Oates earlier, I don't know why, but you don't consult me when you set the dates for these meetings. So I have not been able to come.

But I'm here today, and I appreciate it very much. And my name is Andrew Schock. I'm with The Conservation Fund. And I'm going to speak on behalf of Little River State Forest Addition.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I am and The Conservation Fund are very much in favor of that addition because it will provide almost 3,000 acres into public ownership with public access with protection along the river -- along Little River. It clearly supports the State ownership in the Little River State Forest, which is just across the river. And, of course, those who know me, near and dear to my heart, it will provide roughly 1900 acres that will be available for longleaf pine restoration. And it's a critical ecosystem in our state of Alabama and, of course, in the Southeast.

And so this is just a tremendous opportunity, not to say the least that the Forever Wild funding would be leveraged three to one or four to one, however you want to look at that, by federal funding. So most of the acquisition that would be done for the almost 3,000 acres would be federal

dollars with the Forever Wild being part 1 of the match. So thank you. Any questions? 3 (No response.) 4 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: No questions, but I'll 5 6 give you a tip if you want to put this on your calendar. We try and schedule 7 our meetings the first Thursday of 8 9 February, May, August, and November, except for this month. 10 11 MR. SCHOCK: Thank you. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: But the last several 12 1.3 that you have not made have all been on that normal schedule. 14 MR. SCHOCK: Yes, sir. I understand. 15 16 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you. DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I had --17 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, 18 ma'am. 19 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Just had a quick question. 20 Who is the match provided by? 21 MR. SCHOCK: Most of the funding -- the 2.2 23 majority of the funding will come

through the U.S. Department of 1 Agriculture's Forest Legacy Program. That's the bulk of the funding. And 3 then Forever Wild would be the match to 5 that. And obviously you can look at it 6 the other way. Forever Wild puts in 25 percent and the federal funding does the 7 rest. 8 9 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. Thank you, sir. 10 11 MR. SCHOCK: Thank you. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The next speaker on the 12 1.3 same property is Mitch Reid. MR. REID: I'm speaking on the Little River 14 Addition. And I just wanted to echo my 15 16 support for it. We think it is a great partnership between Forest Legacy and 17 Forestry Commission and Forever Wild. 18 This is a great example of how to take a 19 federal program that's doing -- you 20 know, preserving public land in Alabama 21 and leveraging that with State dollars 2.2

through Forever Wild.

23

We're absolutely supportive of it.

We would request that the Board move for a second appraisal and close on this. I think it's a great opportunity to match the funds. It's a great place. It's near our TNC's Pitcher Plant Bog down in south Alabama near Atmore. So it would be a great sort of part of that complex of land that's being preserved down in south-central Alabama. And I just echo the support that Andrew gave for it.

Since I've got a little bit of time,
I would also like to just throw out that
I really appreciate AWF hosting this and
all that they do for the state. This is
a wonderful opportunity for us to come
together in a way that's safe and allows
us to get the business of the State
done. So I want to just echo that
appreciation of the AWF since I had some
time there.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you. Any questions?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Might as well stay
there, Mitch, because the next speaker
will be Mitch Reid on the Oak Mountain
State Park-Belcher Lake Addition.

That's Tab 4-B, page 23. 4-B, page 23. All right, sir.

MR. REID: This is a new project that we have not spoken on before, but I am speaking in high favor of this project for just a whole host of benefits to the community of Shelby County, the greater Birmingham area, and also the people who are building and living along the 280 corridor.

This is a piece of property -- it's not a Conservancy property. This is actually owned by EBSCO company. They bought it as a development property.

And members of that family have since fallen in love with the opportunity that this property provides.

It is a gorgeous longleaf pine

2.2

habitat that sort of flows down into hardwood land. It's got stocked fishing ponds. Most importantly, it adjoins to the current Oak Mountain State Park property. So if you are moving from west to east in Oak Mountain, the hard cut on the ridgeline overlooking the two easternmost lakes at Oak Mountain, that ridgeline is actually this property that would continue over to 280.

So there's really two options. You could either preserve this for the people of Alabama or you could have houses overlooking the state park. And to me this is just a great opportunity. We're asking that the Board consider a first appraisal for this property.

I think that the first question is how much is it going to cost in a place that's obviously booming. But if you — we go — my family — this is personal a little bit because we go to Oak Mountain about once a week. And that is a

2.2

well-loved piece of property. I mean, people are flying in during normal times to mountain-bike and recreate at Oak Mountain. But during this time, the COVID pandemic, it has been just a -- just a godsend for people to be able to go out and get into a big open space when you live in that urban area.

And this would be an additional 2,000 acres plus access that many of the community really doesn't have. If you're living down in Chelsea or that part of Shelby County, you've got to come up and around the park to get in, whereas this — and the family has said that they would work on this with Forever Wild. This would provide a corridor access off of 280.

The benefits are just legion, and I would just consider the Board to give it a favorable nomination.

Any questions for me?

MR. JONES: Excuse me. This -- I don't see

that it touches 280.

2.2

MR. REID: So if you look at the piece of property as they've drawn it out, the family -- EBSCO -- and I think it's in the nomination letter. I've heard directly from them that they want to work with Forever Wild and the state park to make sure that that is a 280 access as part of this package.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir.

MR. SATTERFIELD: A couple of questions.

First of all, since we know that
this is going to be an expensive piece
of property, has there been any
discussions with Shelby County in how
they feel about if Forever Wild acquired
this property the reverberation on
Shelby County of taking it out of their
tax base?

Because we've had that problem come up before on other pieces of property, and that's something that's very

2.2

important for us to know -- from that standpoint to know whether or not the local folks are going to be in favor of a purchase or not. Before we spend a lot of money it just seems to me -- because it's going to be expensive to get an appraisal. And I'd like to know a little bit more about what the local support is going to be from a political standpoint to make sure we're not stepping on people's toes before we go forward with this and are the local folks at the political level knowledgeable about this nomination.

MR. REID: Sir, I can't speak directly to

Shelby County. I know that I have

had -- I sent the packet to

Mr. Holloway. I have personally had

conversations with members of the

community who say that they have been

talking with Shelby County before this

nomination about how to expand the park.

And there's been some conversations,

particularly in the -- in reference to 1 this particular conversation was that the mountain bike community, they think 3 that the tax receipts and the benefits 5 coming in currently are a benefit to --6 from Oak Mountain currently are a benefit to Shelby County. But I would have to turn it over to Shelby County to 8 9 speak specifically about tax --MR. HOLLOWAY: Mr. Chairman, if I could. 10 11 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. MR. HOLLOWAY: To the Board members and to 12 13 Mitch, the County's position is that it's fine with the project. Okay. It's 14 fine with the project. It's not really 15 16 its priority right now; okay? It likes the project, and we don't 17 see -- they don't see a problem with it. 18 19 It's just that it would be probably a number-two item in a priority at this 20 particular time. 21 MR. SATTERFIELD: And what would be your first 2.2 23 and second and third priorities that

we're dealing with for Shelby County?

2.2

- MR. HOLLOWAY: I think that perhaps there is something about another area -- swamp area there in the Alabaster area would probably be number three, and that's about it right now.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: And what would be number one?
- MR. HOLLOWAY: Number one is the Shelby Crossroads.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: Okay. Because we've got three properties that are in various stages on today's agenda, Shelby Crossroads, this piece of property, and also the Penitentiary Mountain nomination, which is, I think, on the short list, too, which is further south on 280. And in our balancing it's helpful for us to know what the priorities of the County are because they will all impact not only the recreational opportunity but those tax-base revenues.

MR. HOLLOWAY: Let me say this on the 1 Penitentiary property: It's not on our short list. It's not on the County's 3 short list. 5 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir. 6 Yes, sir, Mr. Satterfield. MR. SATTERFIELD: Regarding the property, I 7 have a couple more specific questions. 8 I notice on the extreme southeastern 9 boundary of this property it appears 10 that there's a railroad. 11 MR. REID: That's correct. 12 1.3 MR. SATTERFIELD: And there would be a right of way. Do you know what encumbrances 14 there would be involved with the 15 16 railroad right of way? Because it looks like the way the 17 boundaries are -- the property lines 18 that are being proposed, some of the 19 area would be on both sides of that 20 right of way. 21 MR. REID: This is -- and I'm trying to -- and 2.2 23 someone from the staff may be able to

answer about working with railroad right of ways in other cases.

Originally when they were looking at the package that EBSCO was considering, there was property that was actually to the south and the east of that railroad line. And in an effort to try to reduce the cost that they may be asking for, if I understand the maps that you have, they've moved that up so that the property south of that is not part of the package. And so the railroad line would be the boundary.

But specifically how the Board deals with right of way of railroad, I would have to really ask for your thoughts on that.

MS. McCURDY: Obviously it's going to involve the specific language of that particular right of way. I have not seen the document. If it is on the boundary, it generally creates not -- unless there's an active railroad and a safety issue,

it doesn't generally present an issue. 1 If it transects the tract, it depends on the particular document. 3 We go back to Shelby Crossroads. 5 made an adjustment in that tract with 6 the landowner because of an issue we had on that tract. 7 So it's very tract specific. I have 8 9 not seen the document. And I think it would -- probably y'all would need to 10 11 help us be sure whether it is on the 12 boundary or is actually transecting the 1.3 property. So I would need y'all's help 14 on that aspect. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. 15 16 MR. SATTERFIELD: And one other quick question. 17 I notice up in the northeastern 18 19 corner of the proposed property it looks like a small inholding. Do you know 20 what that is? 21 MR. REID: On the northeastern corner, is 2.2 23 that -- so that's come up -- I think

that that's -- we've asked about that piece. I think that that is a mistake in the drawing. We're not aware of that -- when we talked to EBSCO about their nomination, I think that's a misdrawn line that would be corrected as part of the appraisal. I don't -- they don't -- as I understand it, they are not holding any inholdings within the property, that that boundary line actually would continue up and around without it being squared off.

- MS. McCURDY: So you're saying that is not excluded, or are you saying there's a gap?
- MR. REID: I'm saying that that's -- as I understand it that is not excluded.
- MS. McCURDY: Okay. We'll compare maps with what you have and what we have.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, not to belabor the point, but I've personally been on this property. I'm a little familiar with it. And as I remember, there are

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

also some structures on this property which we would also have to identify and staff would have to deal with. And we would need to know probably a little bit more about those and how we deal with those as well, I assume. We've had that problem come up in the past.

MR. REID: So we actually did a drive-through with the owners of the property -- or at least members of the family of the property along with some of the state park employees. It was recognized that as this deal was sort of put together, if there was an intent -- I know that there is at least one house that was used as a caretaker. If the state park had interest in preserving the structures that that was -- that money would have to come outside of Forever Although I would say that EBSCO Wild. was sort of agnostic as to whether the house was left or destroyed or however that -- they're like whatever y'all need

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

to do. I think that's part of the structure program that would come through the state park.

MS. McCURDY: And, also, just to remind Board members, we do not pay for the -- the program -- if there are structures, the program does not pay for the value. If there is a value associated with that structure, that is from -- it's part of the appraisal, but it is not considered part of the amount that we pay. So that's one thing we do.

> Whether the structure remains or not -- also structure specific, tract specific -- State Parks -- we'd have to work with State Parks as to whether that structure would serve any program purpose for them or for us, if it's a hazard or if it's just going to be a nuisance. You know, we tear down a lot of structures, and our crews can generally handle that.

> > But, again, I can't speak to the

specifics of the structure. Parks would 1 have to add to that. DR. SALOOM: Do I need ... 3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I'll do my best. 5 DR. SALOOM: Thank you very much. 6 Looking at the map, there appears to be five named lakes on this property. 7 Do we know about roughly ballpark figure 8 9 total acreage with those? MR. REID: I don't have the total of --10 There appears to be a lot of 11 DR. SALOOM: water running through this property. 12 1.3 that downstream is where? Does it serve 14 any of Birmingham or any of the surrounding communities? 15 16 MR. REID: No, sir. You're actually -- you actually fall off of the fall line, and 17 that moves into the Coosa Watershed. 18 19 It's not part of a drinking water supply. The lakes have been maintained 20 for sports fisheries. I think probably 21 members of this community have actually 2.2 23 been on the property as part of fishing

tournaments that the company has had. 1 They're well stocked. They're -- it's an envious invitation to get onto the 3 property for these lakes. 5 But I would say that the 6 uppermost -- if you're looking at the map, as you go up, that uppermost lake, 7 which is called Catfish Lake or Pond, 8 9 that is actually the ridgeline that would overlook the current state park 10 11 lakes that fall into the Indian Springs -- it's over the line, so it 12 1.3 doesn't -- they flow that way. But that really gives you a sense of how 14 important this piece of property is to 15 16 the continuity of the current state 17 park. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. Thank you, 18 sir. 19 MR. REID: I sure appreciate the chance to 20 answer these questions. 21 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The next speaker is 2.2

23

Mr. --

1	DR. McCLINTOCK: Can I ask a question?
2	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Oh, yes, sir.
3	Dr. McClintock.
4	DR. McCLINTOCK: Coming from Birmingham, Oak
5	Mountain State Park is sort of the jewel
6	of the state parks in central Alabama.
7	So anything that we can do, I think, to
8	help ensure the integrity of that for
9	the future would be wonderful just
10	speaking as a recreational sort of value
11	for that part of the state.
12	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. Thank you.
13	MR. REID: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The next speaker is
15	Mr. Jerry Joe Ingram on the Lowndes
16	WMA-Johnson Hill Addition, Tab 4-B, page
17	19. 4-B, page 19.
18	I think it looks like we've got a
19	handout coming. So give everybody just
20	a second, Jerry Joe, and let them get
21	that handout.
22	MR. INGRAM: Okay. Commissioner Blankenship,
23	thank you for and the Board, thank

you for letting me come up and speak to y'all today. I know -- you did pick -- I'm glad you're having this meeting today and not yesterday.

2.2

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: We had a fine storm that rolled through Montgomery yesterday about 12:30 or 1:00, I mean, a fine one, now.

MR. INGRAM: It did. It laid a bunch of my sweet corn down that was just about ready, so ...

And I've kind of addressed the pros and cons for this property. I know — you know, since Forever Wild started till today, I know, you know, y'all's criteria and mission statement has probably changed a lot. And y'all are probably kind of like the University of Alabama and Auburn football teams. Y'all don't have to pick every recruit that comes along anymore. Y'all can be more selective and go after the ones that you want. And I think there's some

reasons why I think this property is a good recruit.

First of all, it already adjoins the Lowndes County Wildlife Management Area. The management area is comprised — the Corps of Engineer owns part of it, State Lands and Forever Wild owns part, and then Department of Conservation owns part. This actually joins some of the Forever Wild property, and it also joins the Corps of Engineer property.

Also with this property -- it's all owned by the Browder Veneer -- the family that owns Browder Veneer in downtown Montgomery, and they own it in two entities. They bought 160 acres of it that is landlocked probably 20 plus years ago, and then they bought another piece that is a little over 600 acres that has access to a county-maintained gravel road and a paved county road.

And so -- but the 160 acres, there's always, I think, been some problems

1.3

there at the management area because the access is a prescriptive easement that's been used for 40 or 50 years, and the -- you know, hunters and loggers try to go through there, which is going right through the middle of the Forever Wild property. And, you know, so there is, you know, potentially some kind of prescriptive easement maybe there.

I'm not an attorney, but they have used that access for back in there. As far as I know nobody else drives through this property to get to any other property, though, that I'm presenting.

A little bit about the property.

You know, about 89 percent of it is in a 100-year floodplain. You know, it's a bottomland hardwood tract. It's a little unique of a bottomland hardwood tract in the respect that it has an understory of palmetto bushes, which is a -- you don't find many of in this part of the country. But it's pretty much --

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

you know, mostly water, swamp chestnut and overcup oak with this palmetto understory on the floor, which is a unique, you know, ecosystem.

The Big Swamp Creek, just the floodplain part of it is about 70,000 acres. It's about a 30-mile-long range. It starts just a little bit east of I-65 in Crenshaw County, and it goes all the -- actually it's kind of weird. Ιt flows from north to south. It flows from underneath the interstate and goes to Hayneville and eventually ends up down in White Hall where this property is. And then when it gets a little bit -- a couple of miles north of this property where it goes in the Alabama River, it gets down real narrow to just a creek where it goes in the Alabama River.

So I know all of y'all are familiar with Tensaw Delta in this room. This is sort of like a mini version of the

Tensaw Delta because you've got this big wide drainage area and it's kind of like when get down to Mobile Bay and it necks up and it holds all that water back.

So it's a very wet-natured area. If we went in there today, you know, you'd probably be walking through waist-high water and oxbow lakes and stuff like that. And it's, you know, a tremendous amount of wildlife and also is loaded with timber rattlers and water moccasins. So I would strongly suggest snake boots for going in there.

I guess, you know, really that's probably -- you know, it's a great filtering system. These big hardwood drains are always, you know, good for the environment for drainage and all that.

But one point I want to make, I know with a lot of properties that get presented to this Board, you know, there's people that oppose it. And I

know with a lot of rural counties the county commissioners are always looking at a loss for ad valorem tax and stuff.

And I know y'all hear these complaints.

That's why y'all get paid the big bucks,
I guess, to sit on this board and hear stuff like that.

But I think this property does make sense because of the way it's shaped.

It's all in the floodplain. Y'all -you know, the management area is
adjoining it already on three sides.

You know, it is, I think, an
environmentally sensitive property.

And, you know, when you -- when Forever Wild started out, you know, 20 plus years ago, whenever it was, you know, probably, you know, trying to piece stuff together, you know, maybe some properties that y'all don't do today -- you know, that you don't approve you may have approved a long time ago. But I still think this

property here still works within the 1 plan of what y'all are trying to achieve. 3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any questions for Mr. Ingram? 5 6 Mr. Satterfield. MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman. 7 Patti, I notice there's a 8 9 discrepancy in the map we have in the book and the map that's been handed out 10 11 in this handout in that this map that we just got in the handout doesn't show the 12 13 1-acre outparcel that's in the map that's in our book that says is 14 privately owned and accessed via --15 16 MR. INGRAM: Oh, yes. Yeah. MR. SATTERFIELD: Is that still --17 That property touches the county 18 MR. INGRAM: road. And the family that used to own 19 it deeded a 1-acre lot years ago to a 20 family. And there's no houses or 21 structures on it, but it does have a 2.2

county road/dirt road frontage on it.

23

MR. SATTERFIELD: Do you know how that property is used and --

1.3

2.2

- MR. INGRAM: It's just abandoned right now.

 There's no houses or -- there's not a

 house or anything on it.
 - MR. SATTERFIELD: Well, is there any opportunity for that to be included in the purchase? Do you know? Has anyone approached them to see if that could be incorporated in --
 - MR. INGRAM: I certainly could.
 - MR. SATTERFIELD: -- the process?
 - MR. INGRAM: You know, I think it's -- you know, it's been handed down. There's several people that own it right now.

 But, you know, it's just -- you know, it's not landlocked. It does have its own frontage off of a county road. But if you drove through there today, you never would even know you -- that there's an outparcel.
 - MR. SATTERFIELD: And so if there is a county road through there, does that mean

there's a right of way that we'd have to 1 deal with for that county road? MR. INGRAM: Well, it's a -- you know, it's a 3 county-maintained road that goes through 5 the property. 6 MR. SATTERFIELD: Okay. But that easement also doesn't show on the map. 7 MS. McCURDY: The county road doesn't show on 8 9 the map? MR. SATTERFIELD: No. On the map we have here 10 11 in the book. 12 MR. INGRAM: Actually it doesn't really show 1.3 it on here. I should have brought a tax 14 map. But it goes -- yeah, here it is. It goes right through here to this piece 15 16 right here. You see that road right there? 17 It goes right there to that Johnson 18 Hill Tract. 19 MS. McCURDY: And so the easement --20 MR. INGRAM: It's not an easement. It's --21 you know, it's a county road. But it's 2.2

on the map. It shows it on the topo

- map. You can see it. I should have -my fault for not drawing it out. But it
 is a county-maintained road.
 - MS. McCURDY: Are you asking about the access for the owner of the inholding? Is that what you're referring to?
 - MR. SATTERFIELD: No. I'm interested in what -- if that is a county road --
 - MS. McCURDY: Yes.

2.2

- MR. SATTERFIELD: -- and it's a dedicated public county road --
- MR. INGRAM: It is.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: -- then what duties does

 that -- or is there any -- are there any
 issues that DCNR would be concerned
 about about a county road coming into
 that piece of property to just access
 that 1-acre parcel if it's purchased by
 Forever Wild. That's what I'm getting
 at. We've run into these road issues
 and easements and all that kind of thing
 before which then would create
 management problems.

MS. McCURDY: As I understand this one right now, it's the county road, and the question -- you know, we -- on all of our tracts, obviously, due to the size of many -- I won't say all of our tracts. But due to the size of many of our tracts, there are going to be county roads transecting portions. You will have a county road sometimes crossing entirely through.

2.2

so, yes, you know, we manage accordingly. It's just part of what we have to do on the larger acreage -- you know, a large acreage tract. So the county road doesn't present a concern. If there's some reason that the inholding would require a special easement, then we would have to talk about that, but --

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: For clarification, I

think your question was did the county

road just go to that 1-acre parcel. I

believe Mr. Ingram said that county road

goes all the way through to the Johnson 1 Hill property that's listed here. Is that correct? 3 It does. It is a county -- it's MR. INGRAM: not one of these county-abandoned roads. 5 6 It's county-maintained. They scrape it and haul gravel on it and maintain it. 7 MR. SATTERFIELD: Okay. 8 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir. 9 The next speaker --10 11 MR. INGRAM: So I'm sorry I didn't include 12 that on the map. 13 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The next speaker is Mr. Robert Ritchey on the -- not for a 14 particular nomination but wanted to 15 16 speak on the Yates Tract property that Forever Wild -- I'm sorry -- for the 17 Yates Tract Forever Wild property. 18 19 Yes, sir. MR. RITCHEY: Good morning. 20 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Good morning. 21 MR. RITCHEY: I just want to thank y'all. 2.2 Yates Lake is beautiful. And the 23

Gothard Tract is on the west side, and there's another tract on the east side. And we've had a lot of rain this spring, and I've taken my family. This is the first time I've taken my grandkids. We floated down Saugahatchee Creek into Yates Lake, and we floated down Weoka into Lake Jordan. And you'll see all types of birds and see all types of animals.

I'd just like y'all to consider looking at the fall line. The diversity of plant life along the fall line is just tremendous. I don't know if you're familiar with Dutchman's Pipe Vine.

Anybody?

There's that and it's -- the flower is like a pipe, an old mantis -- just beautiful -- and a certain pipevine swallowtail lays its eggs on it. But there's just so much natural beauty that you're protecting.

I don't think anything I say will

2.2

ever be quoted, but someone once said the great use of human life is to use it for something that outlasts it. And that's what y'all are doing. That's your task.

I've got some handouts -- I don't have enough -- that shows you some species of trees that are growing now that the birds particularly like in Elmore and Tallapoosa Counties, everything from when they first start -- when they first start getting ripe with the red mulberries in the spring -- fairly early spring to the black cherries now, the caterpillars that are on the oak trees.

There's a tremendous basis of life in these creeks that flow into your wildlife areas. And so I just urge you to try to buy more property, try to acquire the east side of Yates Lake, possibly -- I know several large landowners on Weoka Creek. They let us

put our canoe in on their property or 1 take it out. Same thing with Yates Lake. 3 You're on the right track. all I can say. It's almost undiscovered 5 6 land over there. And a lot of it you might be able to acquire. I don't know. 7 But that's what I came for is just to 8 9 thank you for what you're doing. It means a lot to my family. 10 11 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. Thank you 12 for your comments. I do appreciate you 1.3 coming. It's nice to hear --14 MR. RITCHEY: It's rare you just get thanked 15 for something. 16 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. It is very nice to hear about the tracts that we've 17 acquired as a board. And thank you very 18 19 much. I took my family to the tract in the fall, and we hiked. We spent a good 20 day hiking along the river and through 21

2.2

23

the tract, and it was very beautiful.

And I appreciate you --

MR. RITCHEY: And we've also hiked the John 1 Scott Trail. There's beautiful wildflowers up there on the north end. 3 And I'll tell you something. I never thought I'd see an alligator above the 5 6 fall line, but there's one -- when the 7 fog lifted and I was sitting out there fishing one day, there was one on Yates 8 9 Lake. There is also otter -- river otter on Yates Lake, a family of them. 10 11 Beautiful. Thank you. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir. 12 13 Our last speaker is Mr. Jonathan 14 Neely, again, not on a particular tract. 15 Just a general comment. 16 MR. NEELY: Good morning. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Good morning, sir. 17 MR. NEELY: My name is Jonathan Neely. I 18 19 represent the Southeast Chapter of Backcountry Hunters & Anglers. I want 20 to express my personal gratitude and the 21 Backcountry Hunters & Anglers' gratitude 2.2 23 for the work the Board does and the

1	public access you provide me and my
2	family. I'm a military member. So we
3	find ourselves traveling a lot. So it's
4	really hard to secure hunting and
5	fishing recreational access to lands. I
6	think Alabama does a great job,
7	specifically Forever Wild tracts, in
8	providing all kinds of recreational
9	access to me and the millions of
10	families that are like mine across the
11	country.
12	That's all. Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir. Again,
14	nice to hear some good comments on the
15	work of the Board. Appreciate the work
16	that the Board does.
17	MR. OATES: And thank you for your service.
18	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir.
19	So that's the last speaker. Anybody
20	else that wanted to make a public
21	comment that didn't have an opportunity
22	to fill out the green card?
23	(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I'm seeing none. 1 Now we'll move into Executive Session. By regulation, appraisal 3 values are confidential during the periods of negotiation. Accordingly, in 5 6 order to discuss tract appraisal values, the Board will need to go into recess 7 for an Executive Session. 8 Is there a motion for the Board to 9 now recess to attend an Executive 10 Session? 11 12 DR. SALOOM: So move. 1.3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: A motion by Dr. Saloom. And is there a second? 14 MR. OATES: Second. 15 16 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Mr. Oates. On this one we do have to have a 17 recorded vote. So as I call your name, 18 if you are in favor of dismissing to an 19 Executive Session, please indicate by 20 saying "aye." 21 Chris Blankenship, aye. 2.2 23 Mr. Holloway?

- 1 MR. HOLLOWAY: Aye.
- 2 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Horn?
- 3 MR. HORN: Aye.
- 4 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Jones?
- 5 MR. JONES: Aye.
- 6 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. McClintock?
- 7 DR. McCLINTOCK: Aye.
- 8 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Oates?
- 9 MR. OATES: Aye.
- 10 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers?
- DR. POWERS: Aye.
- 12 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Saloom?
- DR. SALOOM: Aye.
- 14 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Satterfield?
- MR. SATTERFIELD: Aye.
- 16 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Sims?
- 17 DR. SIMS: Aye.
- 18 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Tolley-Jordan?
- 19 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Aye.
- 20 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Valentine?
- 21 DR. VALENTINE: Aye.
- 22 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Runyan?
- 23 MR. RUNYAN: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: With that, we are 1 dismissed into an Executive Session. It's 10:48. We will be meeting under a 3 tree somewhere. (Recess for Executive Session was 5 6 taken at approximately 10:48 a.m. and the meeting was called back to 7 order at approximately 11:08 a.m.) 8 9 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. We are back from Executive Session at 11:08. 10 11 would like to point out that we have for the first time that I've been on the 12 13 Board limited our Executive Session to 20 minutes like we say that we will. We 14 dismissed at 10:48. It is now 11:08. 15 16 Glad to have everybody back. We'll move now to the financial data and 17 Ms. McCurdy. 18 MS. McCURDY: All right. I'm going to run 19 through -- if nothing blows away, I'm 20 going to run through the financial 21 information. I'm going to do the 2.2

standard, starting as we do each time

with Tab 2-A.

/

If you have any questions, just speak up. You're a little further spread out, so it's a little more difficult for me to see somebody -- be sure I see somebody raise their hand. So if I miss you, just stop me.

For the benefit of the public, I'm

Patti McCurdy. I'm Director of State

Lands Division. And I begin this

portion of the meeting talking about for

the Board how much money that you have

available to work with during the

meeting today.

As I say each time, just like your checkbook, sometimes you write checks that haven't cashed yet, but you've got to keep up with them so you know you've got enough money in the bank and don't bounce one of them. And that's what we're here to do.

You have many projects. As soon as you motion for the staff to proceed with

purchase and begin those negotiations and try to get to the closing table, those properties become an obligation. And I'm going to run through those that are in some stage of the closing -- of trying to get to closing as you have motioned.

So the balance -- the cash balance before I subtract from it is about \$25.4 million.

The tracts that are currently,
again, in some form of negotiation
and/or closing process as you motioned
us to acquire would include D'Olive Bay,
Baldwin County; Red Hills-Baucom
Addition, Monroe County; Monte Sano
State Park-McCombs Addition, Madison
County; Red Hills-Parris Trust Addition,
Monroe County; Cedar Creek SOA Addition,
Dallas County; Cedar Creek SOA-Elm Bluff
Addition, Dallas; Portland Landing
SOA-Gilmore Addition, Dallas County; Red
Hills-Brown Schutt Trust Addition,

Monroe County; Red Hills-Flat Creek

Phase III, Monroe County; Thigpen

Hill-Option A, Phase 1, Butler County.

When I subtract what we anticipate to be both the Forever Wild acquisition amount as well as a 15-percent stewardship transfer that we're required to make with each transfer, you have an unencumbered balance of just over \$11.3 million. However, there are also certain capital spending authority limitations that are connected to State budgetary processes. Because of that, you have just barely over \$11 million to work with that's not — that would be within your capital spending authority.

So that is the current status of cash and spending authority. Any questions on that?

(No response.)

MS. McCURDY: The second page of Tab 2-A just contains a listing of the properties that have rolled off the 2-A, the

adjustments, because we have either closed them or it has been removed from consideration by the landowner or we've reached some impasse and we know they're not going to close. So far this fiscal year everything has closed. We haven't hit a glitch on anything.

The tracts that have closed this fiscal year which for the State began October 1st: Blackwater River South.

We've completed the Blakeley Land Swap.
Beaverdam Swamp. Cahaba River-Mohon

Tract. Cahaba River-Savage Creek Tract.

Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase I and Red

Hills-Flat Creek Phase 2 and Red

Hills-Section 2. I think those are all together just for my tongue-tying event each meeting.

Any question on those closed tracts or anything else from that account -- from our acquisition account?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I would just mention for the Board's information that the

Alabama Legislature passed 13 bills in the last session. One of those bills was a supplemental appropriation that included a little over \$3 1/2 million in spending authority for the Forever Wild Board. That's not new money, but it was money that — it was the spending authority to be able to use some of the cash that had accumulated over time in the account where we had lost the spending authority for that.

So we appreciate the Governor and the Finance Director and the two budget chairmen for including that in the supplemental appropriations bill that passed this year to give us that authority back.

Thank you.

MS. McCURDY: And then, also, we wanted to provide an update on the stewardship fund, the usage of the authority that the Board gives us each year.

We had -- the Board approved up to \$1.5 million for the use of stewardship

fund proceeds. Currently, as of May 31, we have spent \$473,000, just over that amount. So we still have over a million remaining.

Now, this is the time of year where a lot of stuff starts clicking. And so we think we're fine. We believe -- we have not identified any need that we will not be able to provide for. But you will see that available balance continue to go down as we head towards the end of the fiscal year.

The net assets that we provide to the Board, that amount as of September 30th -- we go back to the beginning of the fiscal year -- was just over \$36 million, \$36,33,000. As of April 30th it's \$36,208,000 approximately. There was an expected little bit of dip in the middle but looking good on that.

Any questions on the stewardship fund usage?

(No response.)

MS. McCURDY: You also have in your packet as

Tab 2-C the report that the Treasurer's

Department has begun providing the Board

for each meeting. This is more of an

investment report -- investment

performance report. And so if there are

any questions on that -- I know

Commissioner works with the Treasurer.

We sure appreciate that information. We

can cover that also.

All right. If no questions, we'll move into what is your Tab 3-A. Tab 3-A contains the properties -- the listing of properties that this Board has motioned for appraisal or that we have been provided an appraisal by a third party that we have both had the time to review and have found the review to be satisfactory. At times we do save some money by others who provide appraisals to us.

So I'm going to run through those.

I would say that normally at the meeting
I run through the appraisals we have in
hand. I also run through the appraisals
that we have not yet received for some
reason.

When you motion for a first appraisal, the staff's first step is to contact the owner, get deed information, provide that information to the appraiser to be sure, hopefully, we have as accurate an appraisal as possible. Sometimes there are delays. Sometimes the appraisals just are not completed. At this meeting we have actually now caught up to where everything that I am going to list for the public and for the Board is an appraisal that we have in hand.

All right. We have the Coldwater Mountain-Oxanna Addition, Calhoun County. Coldwater Mountain-Young Addition, Calhoun County.

Locust Fork-Palmer Tract. That

crosses Blount and Jefferson County.

Perdido WMA-McNeill Addition,

Baldwin County. Prairie Grove Glades

Tract, Lawrence County. Shelby

Crossroads, Shelby County. Thigpen

Hill-Option A, Phase II, Butler County.

Weeks Bay Reserve-Snook Addition,

Baldwin County.

I will pause there to say those are the tracts that the Board had motioned on. As you know, appraisal amounts are confidential, but I will report that that takes up about — over 11, heading towards the \$11 1/2 million area, and so that's a little more than we talked about you have spending authority for.

And I also want to note that one additional appraisal that was provided -- being paid for by the Alabama Forestry Commission, that appraisal was provided to DCNR. My staff has been through the appraisal, and we have approved the appraisal. It

was also provided by one of our -- the 1 Board's usual contract appraisers. so with that appraisal added in, 3 obviously you will -- you were past your 5 spending authority before that and again 6 past your spending authority. But that was for the Little River State Forest Addition in Escambia County that you 8 9 heard spoke on before earlier in the meeting. 10 11 So any questions on those -- those tracts or the status of those 12 13 appraisals? 14 MR. HOLLOWAY: Ms. McCurdy? MS. McCURDY: Yes. 15 MR. HOLLOWAY: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman. 16 17 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. MR. HOLLOWAY: Do we need to accept any of 18 19 those appraisals at this time? MS. McCURDY: The next step would occur 20 No. in the general discussion agenda item 21 that comes a little bit later when 2.2

motions -- you don't have to accept any

1 3 5 6 8 9 performed. 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

of these. You would only -- your next step if you wanted to acquire the property would be to move for a second appraisal and to proceed to purchase during general discussion.

For the benefit of the public that may not know, the constitutional amendment which formed this program required that two appraisals be If those two appraisals do not come back within 10 percent, that triggers the need for a third, a review appraisal, to try to figure out why there's such a difference between those two value opinions. But, yes, that would come up in general discussion.

- MR. HOLLOWAY: Thank you.
- MS. McCURDY: Any other questions? (No response.)
- MS. McCURDY: Just to limit the number of people up at the microphone, I'm going to go ahead -- and I'm sure not do as well as Jo does by any means. That's

not even my goal. But I'm going to run through the short-list tracts. And I apologize. Due to the setting of the meeting, we're not able to project as we normally do. But the presentation I'm going to go through or talk about — the tracts I'm going to talk about are in Tab 4-A.

Tab 4-A lists the tracts that have short-listed. We take the top-three tracts across the four usage categories that the program is built on -- nature preserve, recreation, wildlife management area or additions or state parks or additions to state parks -- and then also factor in those scores by the northern, central, and southern district.

By Jo's math -- I'm a lawyer, not a mathematician -- but, anyway, that you potentially can have 36 tracts on the short list. But normally tracts -- at least some of the tracts will short-list

in more than one category, so we very 1 rarely have that many. 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 County. 14 15 16 Calhoun County. 17 18 19 We have Cypress Creek Tract, 20 21 2.2

23

So in your packet in Tab B you have a brief description and a map for each tract. And so I'm going to try to kind of run through that briefly. Again, it is fine to stop me if you have a question. Just do please speak up.

The tracts currently on the short list that are available to the Board for further consideration include Briar Lake, which is 111 acres in Baldwin

We have Coldwater Mountain-Andrews Addition, approximately 86 acres in

We have Coosa WMA-Hatchet Creek Addition, 151 acres, Coosa County.

74 acres in Lauderdale County. D'Olive Bay Addition, 29 acres in Baldwin County. Hobbs Island, 334 acres, Madison County.

2.2

Joe Wheeler State Park-Page Branch Addition. That's 423 acres in Lauderdale County.

Little River State Forest Addition,

2,885 acres in Escambia County. This
would be a split with Forestry

Commission. So the approximate acreage
that would be titled to Forever Wild
would be approximately -- approximately
the 712 acres.

Lowndes WMA-Johnson Hill Addition,
783 acres in Lowndes County. Minamac
Wildflower Bog, 19 acres in Baldwin
County. Oak Mountain State Park-Belcher
Lake Addition, 1,651 acres approximately
in Shelby County. Penitentiary
Mountain, 3,928 acres in Shelby County.
Perdido Headwaters-Brushy Creek,
408 acres in Baldwin County. Walls of
Jericho-Bradford Addition, 40 acres,
Jackson County. Yates-Porter Addition,
47 acres, Elmore County.

So, again, that is a brief

run-through. Again, I apologize for it 1 not being on the overhead projector. Any questions as to the short list? 3 (No response.) 4 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: No questions. 5 6 MS. McCURDY: So I think that wraps up -- I'm sorry you had to listen to me the whole 7 time and didn't get to hear Jo. But 8 9 that wraps up our status reports. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Did you want to talk 10 11 about the grant status? 12 MS. McCURDY: Oh, I'm sorry. Yeah. I got so 1.3 excited about getting to do the short The power overcame me. So I'm 14 list. sorry. We normally do cover the grant 15 16 status before the short list. So if you'll turn to Tab 5-A in your 17 packet, you'll find the grant status 18 update memo. It's shorter and a little 19 less complicated than it has been the 20 last several meetings due to the motions 21 from the last Board meeting that you 2.2

made on some of the Pittman-Robertson

2.2

opportunities for partnership with
Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries and,
also, because of the receipt of grant
funds for the Red Hills tracts. Those
awards finally came in. So we were able
to move forward with those. And I
appreciate everybody's help with those,
and we're very excited to get those
awards in for those tracts.

Commissioner, anything else you want to say on Red Hills?

There were a couple of -- we're continually trying to pursue grant funds. And so we're always doing that. But one opportunity I wanted to mention to you specifically -- there's one short-list nomination, D'Olive Bay Addition -- that's Tab 4, pages 11 and 12. I wanted to identify that tract.

As you may recall, we're in the process of closing the D'Olive tract.

That tract by the time we got to closing included a good bit of donated acreage.

3

4

6

5

8

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And so long story short, we had some funds -- we had some funds left over from that award.

We are talking with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service about trying to amend that award to continue to take advantage of those funds and work with them on the other potential tract. And this D'Olive Bay Addition is one tract that we are working -- talking to them on and planning to try to amend the grant and obtain those funds. That does not obligate the Board to acquire the tract, but I wanted you to know that that activity that we generally do is ongoing and we hope to take full advantage of that grant award. We will keep you updated on that.

The second really is a combination of grant opportunity and a partnership opportunity that we've been talking about on the Little River State Forest Addition with Alabama Forestry

Commission. And so if you want to turn to page -- and that tract is Tab 4, pages 17 and 18.

To kind of give you an overview both of the tract and how the -- I know Will Brantley was kind enough at the last meeting to provide you a little tutorial on the Forest Legacy -- that grant program. So I wanted this time to sort of tell you how -- run through how the splits would work, what the opportunity looks like, and review the tract a little bit.

If you'll look on page -- Tab 4,
page 18, you'll see the nomination map.
You will see the ownership that is
proposed -- the way the grant works, the
federal dollars, as most do, require
State match. Forever Wild's expenditure
of funds to purchase its portion of this
tract will serve as the required State
match in order to receive the federal
dollars. There is a minimum State match

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

of 25 percent. You must have that to get the funds.

We are actually -- staff -- DCNR staff working with Forestry Commission staff are actually proposing the split that you see on the map in Tab 4-B because of some -- the drain, some internal roads, some natural features that we believe are the best opportunity for management of the tract and best allow us to describe the acreage that each agency will retain. That would put Forever Wild slightly over the required 25 percent at approximately 28.3 percent of the acquisition funding. We would have just under 25 percent of acreage, 24.5, and that's all due to the appraisal value. The required match comes off the funding tied to the appraisal value. So that's -- you'll see that difference.

The Forestry Commission would utilize the grant funds to take down the

remaining acreage, and they would hold title to that property. Our intent would be to manage this tract, obviously, in close coordination and cooperation and partnership with the Alabama Forestry Commission. One aspect of that would be also combining our efforts -- Forever Wild's normal efforts as well as Forestry Commission's efforts related to timber management on the tract. And the Forestry Commission has offered their assistance on helping us with the forestry plans that will be on the tract, and those will be used for post closing in connection with the grant.

So one aspect that's very unique with this tract -- and I'll be honest.

I had never -- to be totally honest,

I had never heard of this before. But the tract contains a location that is called a Mystery Worm Pond. There's about 80 acres in this area. It's a

181920212223

16

patch on the tract that is Southern

Coastal Nonriverine Cypress Dome. Never

heard of that before.

But it is very, very rare. And, in fact, in the state we believe there are only 6 acres of this rare habitat that currently occurs on public land and therefore is able to be conserved and properly managed and maintained. And this acreage in this area has been identified in the Alabama Wildlife Action Plan, which our Department develops, as a priority area for conservation action.

So in addition to looking at timber management on the tract -- let me clarify. I think there -- some have asked me about this. There's no requirement to harvest a certain amount of the timber on the tract per the grant requirements. You're able to provide for recreation. You're able to provide for habitat conservation. You are to

properly manage the timber, and you may have timber harvesting going on. But I would just note that to alleviate -- if there are any concerns or any questions that quite obviously, as with any tract that has a significant habitat feature, we manage our harvest efforts on the Forever Wild acreage first looking at habitat and looking at recreation usage, the wildlife needs.

And so we would certainly, as we always would, be conscious of this very rare area and manage any timber that might be harvested in a manner that did not impact the area with the usual buffer zones that we have. And obviously this is a priority for everybody. But I did want to thank the Forestry Commission for their offer to be part of the overall timber management planning on the tract.

So that's a little bit more about the tract, a little about the split.

One other thing I do want to 1 mention -- and we do have -- Will Brantley is here today, and he can 3 provide any specifics -- as I'm sure Mr. Oates can also -- on the grant 5 6 program. But I want to note one thing that is 7 kind of critical in this tract. We're 8 9 coming up on a September 30th --That is correct; right? 10 11 MR. OATES: That is correct, yes. 12 MS. McCURDY: -- deadline on these grant 13 proceeds. And so just as with any 14 tract, I'm not saying that to tell you to do anything, but we always make you 15 16 aware of upcoming deadlines. And that's one of the deadlines that we're looking 17 toward. 18 19 So having given that overview, let me take any questions and, also, I'll 20 make Will come up. 21 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any questions? 2.2

MR. OATES: Can I just add real quick, Chris,

this will be a part of the Little River

State Forest which we currently own down
there. It's about 2,000 -- a little

over 2,000 acres. So this 2800 will

more than double the size of the Little

River State Forest.

And we will -- we do manage our land for timber -- or partly. So the part that we own, we will look at managing it for timber. And we will certainly be -- hope to be a very big partner with y'all working on managing all of it and protecting the pond as well and make sure we don't get anywhere near that.

But we extend that offer to help
manage this land and save y'all the
effort of doing it with -- of course,
y'all would get approval of our plans or
anything. But we want to be a part of
that.

MS. McCURDY: And we thank you for your offer of expertise. I mean, a second eye is always helpful.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right.

MS. McCURDY: Oh, I'm sorry. I do want to mention one thing on this one too.

It is available for the next usual step, which would be to get the second appraisal and proceed to purchase if you would like to do that. But I would note, again, this is a tract that we were provided the appraisal by a third party. So this would require a little more technical motion.

If anyone wants to make a motion, I did include that at the end of the grant status update memo in 5-A. It's just a little more -- I thought that might be easier.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. I see no other questions or comments. Thank you.

We'll move into the next order of business is the general discussion. For Dr. McClintock, this is generally where motions are made on particular tracts.

And so I'll try and make sure that --

2.2

2.2

and for Tracye's benefit and it being outside, I'll try to make sure I recognize for you who makes the motions and who seconds those so we can have an accurate recording in the minutes. If I get too fast, please stop me.

DR. SALOOM: Commissioner?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Saloom.

DR. SALOOM: Yes. In light of that

discussion, I move that we make a motion to accept the appraisal provided by the Alabama Forestry Commission and approved by State Lands Division staff as Forever Wild's first appraisal for the Little River State Forest Addition and to proceed with second appraisal and purchase of the proposed Forever Wild portion of this nomination contingent upon an expenditure by Forever Wild Land Trust in an amount not to exceed 28.3 percent of the overall tract appraisal value.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So for the record, let

1	me just make sure that that you are
2	talking about the Little River State
3	Forest Addition?
4	DR. SALOOM: That is correct.
5	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Okay. Is there a
6	second?
7	MR. SATTERFIELD: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I have that seconded by
9	Mr. Satterfield.
LO	Any discussion on that motion?
L1	MS. McCURDY: Just everybody please verbalize
L2	when you vote. Thank you.
L3	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. Seeing no
L 4	hands for discussion, all those in favor
L5	of the motion say "aye."
L 6	(All Board members present respond
L7	"aye.")
L8	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
L 9	(No response.)
20	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. The
21	motion carries.
22	DR. VALENTINE: Commissioner?
23	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Valentine.

DR. VALENTINE: I would like to move for Weeks 1 Bay Reserve-Snook Addition for a second appraisal and purchase. 3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So the motion is for a second appraisal and move to purchase on 5 6 the Weeks Bay Reserve-Snook Addition made by Dr. Valentine. Is there a 7 second? 8 9 DR. POWERS: Second. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Dr. Powers. 10 11 Any discussion? 12 Yes, sir, Mr. Satterfield. 13 MR. SATTERFIELD: Maybe this is for staff. This originally was eligible for some 14 funding from the -- as I remember, from 15 the Deepwater oil spill. 16 It was actually --17 MS. McCURDY: MR. SATTERFIELD: But whatever it was, it went 18 19 away because you used that money somewhere else. Are you working on a 20 contribution from some other agency that 21 might impact this purchase as well? 2.2 23 MS. McCURDY: And for the Board, it was NOAA

funding through our Weeks Bay National 1 Estuarine Research Reserve facility. And we had another tract that we 3 were able, Commissioner, partnered with 5 some of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill 6 money to add to this to get a larger tract. It was ready to go. So those 7 funds were applied there. 8 9 We are always hoping to get and always, when we have opportunity, 10 11 applying for additional NOAA funding. We did not receive any for the tract in 12 13 the last round that was awarded. 14 will continue each year to try to get funding, but I do not have any currently 15 16 available to apply. MR. SATTERFIELD: So there's no foreseeable 17 near-term opportunity to do that? 18 MS. McCURDY: No, sir, not in the near term. 19 MR. SATTERFIELD: 20 Okay. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any other discussion or 21 questions? 2.2

(No response.)

1	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seeing none, the motion
2	is to move for a second appraisal and
3	move to purchase on the Weeks Bay
4	Reserve-Snook Addition. All those in
5	favor say "aye."
6	(All Board members present respond
7	"aye.")
8	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
9	(No response.)
10	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. Motion
11	carries.
12	MR. HOLLOWAY: Mr. Chairman?
13	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Holloway.
14	MR. HOLLOWAY: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make
15	a motion to move for a second appraisal
16	for the Shelby Crossroads property and
17	move to purchase.
18	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. So that's a
19	move for a second appraisal and move to
20	purchase on the Shelby Crossroads
21	property made by Mr. Holloway. Is there
22	a second?
23	(No response.)

1	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: No second. All right.
2	Motion fails.
3	Dr. Powers.
4	DR. POWERS: I'd like to move for a second
5	appraisal and move to purchase on the
6	Perdido WMA-McNeill Addition.
7	MR. JONES: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Mr. Jones.
9	Any discussion?
10	(No response.)
11	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So the motion is to
12	move for a second appraisal and move to
13	purchase on the Perdido-McNeill
14	Addition. All those in favor say "aye."
15	(All Board members present respond
16	"aye.")
17	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
18	(No response.)
19	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. Motion
20	carries.
21	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Mr. Chairman?
22	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, ma'am.
23	Dr. Tolley-Jordan.

DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I would like to make a 1 motion for second appraisal and move to purchase for the Prairie Grove Glades 3 Tract in Lawrence County. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. So it's a 5 motion for the Prairie Grove Glades 6 Tract. Is there a second? 7 DR. SIMS: Second. 8 9 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Dr. Sims. Is there any discussion? 10 11 Mr. Satterfield. 12 MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I have 13 concerns about this particular site. First of all, I know it's worthy of 14 protection, but it's already under the 15 16 protection of our friends with The Nature Conservancy. And it's got some 17 significant management problems in how 18 that site needs to be managed. It's 19 been the benefit of the glade -- benefit 20 of the plants there being managed --21 being actively managed with the cattle. 2.2

To help do that through -- it would have

2.2

to be done a different way if we didn't put cattle on it if it was acquired through Forever Wild with some kind of burning problems.

My philosophy is -- I love to
partner with our good friends from The
Nature Conservancy, but this is one of
those exceptions when I think on behalf
of looking at it from -- from the
standpoint of Forever Wild, this piece
of property has too many management
problems for us as a Forever Wild piece
of property in the fact that it's
isolated and it would take some very
site-specific resources away from DCNR
or however they would manage it.

So I think it just has too many challenges for Forever Wild to take on at this particular time. Maybe sometime in the future when the economy is restored and we have more resources available. I just think it's a premature purchase at this point in

time. 1 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Jones, I think you had a comment. 3 MR. JONES: I feel very similarly. This tract is kind of a one-off tract. It's not 5 6 really located near any of our other tracts. I think, like Mr. Satterfield, 7 it would have extreme management 8 9 issues/problems that it would cause. And with the limited spending authority 10 11 and some of the other large tracts that 12 we have potential to spend money on 13 either now or down the road, I just feel like this tract is not a wise purchase 14 at this time. 15 16 MR. OATES: Commissioner, I agree with both of those comments. Small tracts like that 17 that are by themselves just -- it 18 concerns me that y'all's resources to 19 manage them are going to be stretched. 20 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Would anybody like to 21 speak in favor of the motion or ... 2.2

DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I'll rescind the motion.

1	I'm not sure what the language is for
2	that, but I can rescind it.
3	MS. McCURDY: Okay. Just speak up a little
4	bit.
5	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I will rescind the motion.
6	I'm not sure of the language that you
7	use.
8	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: That's fine.
9	Is that okay with the seconder,
10	Dr. Sims?
11	DR. SIMS: That's fine.
12	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Sims indicated that
13	was okay to rescind her second on that.
14	Thank you, Dr. Tolley-Jordan.
15	So we'll move on. Any other
16	discussion?
17	MR. OATES: Commissioner, I would move for a
18	first appraisal on the Coldwater
19	Mountain-Andrews Addition.
20	MR. SATTERFIELD: I'm sorry. I didn't hear
21	that.
22	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Sure. The motion is to
23	move for a first appraisal on the

Coldwater-Andrews Addition. 1 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Second. MR. SATTERFIELD: That's under Tab 4? 3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. MS. McCURDY: Tab 4, page 5. 5 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So the motion was made 6 7 by Mr. Oates and seconded by Dr. Tolley-Jordan for a first appraisal 8 on the Coldwater Mountain-Andrews 9 Addition. 10 11 Any questions or discussion on that? Yes. 12 MR. SATTERFIELD: 1.3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir. Mr. Satterfield. 14 MR. SATTERFIELD: From our previous discussion 15 16 at previous meetings, my recollection is that piece of property has some 17 challenges with potential access issues 18 19 because the access was going to be provided through a residential area in 20 that area getting into that parcel, 21 unless I'm mistaken about previous 2.2 discussions. 23

MS. McCURDY: And it gets very confusing. 1 We've had so many --MR. SATTERFIELD: Is that a concern -- is that 3 something that we need to be concerned about? 5 6 MS. McCURDY: Yeah. I'm double-checking with staff, but I think we've had so many 7 Coldwater Mountains with different 8 names. I don't think that was the issue 9 on the Andrews. I don't believe we --10 11 Andrews just made it onto the short list for this meeting -- well, I don't know 12 13 if it was this meeting. Did we discuss it in the past? 14 I don't believe it's been discussed 15 16 in the past. I'll ask Evan on my staff to be sure that -- we'll double-check --17 that there's no residential issue, none 18 that we're aware of. We'll 19 double-check. 20 We can certainly hold on that and 21 get back to the Board. That's fine. 2.2

That's not a problem.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Yeah.

2.2

- MS. McCURDY: I know this tract is one that —

 I know we've seen several of the

 Coldwater Mountain. It just gives us

 some access toward the city of Oxford.

 And another opportunity for access, it

 adjoins some acreage up there. So I

 know that about it, but I'm not aware of

 the I'm not saying you're incorrect,

 Mr. Satterfield. I just don't recall

 that.
 - MR. SATTERFIELD: Well, the notes I had showed it was accessed through the Hobson City area, which is a residential area, to get onto that piece of property. So that's why I was asking that question.
 - CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: And this is a motion for a first appraisal, and that's something that could be --
 - MS. McCURDY: I mean, and that's -- some of
 the access in some areas -- I mean, that
 is -- could be. So I guess if that -we can either report back to the Board

- in August or -- I mean, if that's your
 question, or if that was access on a
 road that --
 - MR. SATTERFIELD: Well, the reason I raise the issue is if that's a question, do we want to go through the trouble to pay the expense of an appraisal at this meeting without getting that question answered.
 - MS. McCURDY: Well, might I suggest --

2.2

- MR. SATTERFIELD: Maybe we ought to defer that to the next meeting where we have a more definitive answer to that issue.
- MS. McCURDY: Either need to defer it if

 that's a concern or if it's -- I mean, I

 guess I would need to know -- we can

 make the motion contingent upon staff's

 completion of investigation of access.

 But it appears that -- I'll tell you

 what. Commissioner, if you don't mind

 on this one, can we just hold this one

 and let us talk about --
- CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Let me -- is there --

is the motioner and the seconder in favor of --

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- MR. OATES: I'll withdraw the motion until August.
- MS. McCURDY: I certainly don't want to do anything that any -- proceed with an appraisal while someone is uncomfortable with it. And I'm not sure if -- but even though we can acquire the answer, I'm not sure how to apply your concern to it and then whether to go forward with the appraisal or not. So that's my reason. It's difficult for me to take that contingent on that because when I get the answer I'm not going to know how to apply it to the concern. Because we already have properties where you go down roads -- public roads that pass through a neighborhood, so that's --

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Tolley-Jordan, are you okay with rescinding the second?

DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Oh, yeah. That's a great question.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Okay. So we'll hold 1 off on that, then, at the request of the motioner and the second. 3 MS. McCURDY: Thank you. And we'll report back. And I may get with you, if you 5 6 don't mind, to be sure before the next meeting that we've identified the 7 concern in relation to the information 8 9 that we have. So thank you. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Anything else? Any 10 11 other motions? Any other discussion? DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I had one more motion, 12 1.3 Commissioner. 14 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, ma'am. DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I would like to motion for 15 16 a first appraisal for the Oak Mountain State Park-Belcher Lake Addition. 17 DR. SALOOM: Second. 18 19 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. The motion was made by Dr. Tolley-Jordan, seconded 20 by Dr. Saloom on the Oak 21 Mountain-Belcher Tract Addition for a 2.2

first appraisal.

All right. Any discussion or 1 question? MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman? 3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir, Mr. Satterfield. 5 6 MR. SATTERFIELD: I hate to be dominating this meeting, but I would like to just 7 reiterate some of the questions that I 8 9 asked earlier about this piece of 10 property. 11 I think it's a great piece of property. As I said, I've been on it. 12 13 I've had the opportunity on a couple of occasions in fishing tournaments to fish 14 those lakes, and they have -- they're 15 16 bass-trophy fishing lakes. They're in a great watershed. The water comes down 17 through a series of three or four lakes 18 19 on the property. But I do know, having been on the 20 property, it does have that house on it, 21 caretaker house, and my recollection is 2.2

that it may also have some other

facilities that the family that owns it utilizes for recreational purposes.

We have the question that I raised about the boundary map and having those little -- what impact the railroad easement might have on it and whether or not those little portions that showed on the maps that we have in front of us are on the other side of the railroad. And all of those things to me would impact boundaries of property that we're talking about and the fact that we need -- might need to get those things cleared up because that will impact the appraisal price.

And, again, because this is a big piece of property, it's going to cost some significant money to appraise it.

My only question is -- I'm not -- I'm not opposing the piece of property. I think it's a worthwhile thing to do, and I would like to see us acquire it down the road at the appropriate time when we

2.2

have enough information to expand Oak

Mountain State Park. I think that's

very important. But I would prefer that

we defer this until the next meeting and

take it up then when staff has had a

better opportunity to work with the

nominator to get these questions cleared

up before we go to the expense of

developing the appraisal. That's my

concern.

MR. JONES: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Jones.

MR. JONES: It was also indicated in the presentation that there would be access to 280 off of that, and that was not shown. To me that's a vital portion to this purchase. I, too, agree. I think it's a great piece of property. But having that access point cleared up would be -- I do think -- the one little line where there's a little land below the railroad, as Mr. Satterfield talked about, if it would be really nice and

clean, the railroad and the boundary line in that portion of the property.

But if we could have that connection over at 280 and staff could work that out, I think that would greatly benefit the value of what we could create.

MR. OATES: Commissioner, I'll just add one more comment.

We are looking at, as you and I have talked about, maybe making this a Forest Legacy grant. Those will be due in, I think, the October, November time frame. So we will hopefully be able to come to the table with some significant funding to help pay for this.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: In applying for a

Forest Legacy grant, would it be

beneficial to have the appraised value

of the property as you -- before you

apply or --

MR. OATES: That would be -- so, yes, that would be. But, again, we won't be doing that until November, October time frame,

so ...

2.2

DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: May I ask how long do you think staff would need in order to address those questions to be done within the time frame of October,

November, by that time period.

MS. McCURDY: I'll have to take it individually.

I think information on how State

Parks would envision management on the ground, for example, as to the structures, Greg -- I don't want to put him on the spot -- probably could provide some information on that today.

I don't know if he was prepared totally. But that should be fairly quick.

I would have to rely on Mitch and
TNC in working with the landowner as to
formalizing a formal offer by the
landowners of exactly what would
constitute their promise of access to
280. I wouldn't be able to control
that, but I'm sure Mitch would do all

that he could for you on that.

Third, as to the railroad positioning, you will have to get a little more detail as to the mapping and drawing abilities that we have and Mitch's representation for sure that those — that acreage has been, he thinks, moved up. That should be fairly quick.

I think -- so I think -- let me let Greg -- do you want Greg to go ahead and provide the information he can?

I think the rest of the information would be pretty attainable based on the assistance of TNC. They're always a great partner.

MR. LEIN: Good morning. For the benefit of the Board, I'm Greg Lein. I'm the State Parks Director.

We have spent time on this property, and we've worked with the County, The Nature Conservancy, representatives from EBSCO for the last year to look at this

opportunity. There's been a lot of action and responsiveness in terms of carving out portions of the property that could reduce the cost that are developable -- Shelby County had expressed that to us in the beginning -- to include areas up around 280. That area in our opinion -- access could be satisfied through an easement rather than frontage of land out on 280. And the County has a water tank up there. So there's already a road and things that would be needed to service that.

There are two houses on this property, one up on the 280 end and one down on the south. We've reviewed all this in the beginning. We represented to the -- all the partners that Parks would likely want to have those two houses as caretaker facilities for our staff to help in the stewardship of the land, but beyond that general discussion we haven't attempted to carve out

acreage or anything like that.

There is a very, very good road system on this property which is of huge benefit in terms of access.

The Parks system looks at this as an opportunity -- probably the last opportunity -- to add significant land to Oak Mountain State Park. We've seen the ridge developed in the Indian Springs area. We've seen land built next to Peavine Falls in the Pelham area. This is really the last front on the part to protect, and it's a significant block. This is our largest park in the Parks system. It's the second-most visited park in the Parks system, second only to Gulf State Park.

There would be immediate access and benefit to the public from this property and a large portion of the public through the trail system. And, of course, there would be opportunities for other improvements on the property over

time.

1

3

5

6

7

8

And we recognize it is a very expensive piece of property. And, again, the Commissioner and I haven't had very specific conversations, but we've had general conversations about the Parks system being a partner in this effort and making it work.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, it's for all those reasons that I indicated earlier I think this is an important project for us to eventually seriously consider to acquire and for all those reasons. My only concern is it might be premature for us to move forward on an appraisal at this time until we get all of these boundary and other technical questions cleared up that have been raised here today. And hopefully we can get that done so that the staff and the property owners can respond to us before the next -- by the next meeting in August, and then maybe we can be prepared to do

something different then if it's
appropriate. That's the reason I
brought up those questions.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So the questions -- I

think Greg answered your questions on

structures. I think he answered the

question about access to 280 in his

discussions he's had with Shelby County.

The information about the railroad track and the easement there would be borne out in the -- obtaining the deeds from the landowner prior to doing the appraisal.

The internal road system, I think that may have been another question that you had. It sounds like that was in pretty good shape.

There's some clarification that needs to be made before we would request an appraisal on the exact boundary -- southern boundaries of the property on what was being offered by the landowner, whether it included the area around the

railroad tracks or if the railroad 1 tracks would be the southern border of the property. 3 MR. RUNYAN: Mr. Chairman, is that an active railroad, or is it abandoned? 5 6 MS. McCURDY: I couldn't hear. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The question is, is 7 that an active railroad or is it 8 9 abandoned. MR. LEIN: It's active. 10 11 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: If I might suggest, I think the -- I'll just, you know, share 12 13 my concerns. The length of time it takes to have 14 an appraisal done on a property of this 15 16 size is sometimes significant. I am concerned that if we don't start the 17 appraisal process until sometime towards 18 the end of August after the next meeting 19 that it will be difficult to complete 20 the appraisal before the time that 21

Mr. Oates would be applying for some

grant funds for this.

2.2

MR. OATES: You're probably right about that. 1 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: If I might could suggest this as a path forward at this 3 meeting, that if we made the motion for 5 the first appraisal contingent upon the 6 boundary of the property not including -- where it would not include the railroad track, after we get that 8 clarification that the Board -- that we 9 move --10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

MR. JONES: And I would like to add the clarification that we do have access to the easement to 280, whatever that looks like that's satisfactory to the staff, so we would make sure we have access in that regard.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So if it suits the motioner, if I can add -- if it will be appropriate to add a couple of contingencies on that motion that before we would order an appraisal that we would ensure that there would be an agreement -- tentative agreement or at

least some information for access to 280 1 from the property and that the property would not include the railroad track or 3 the easement around the railroad track. If any of those two things can't be 5 6 accomplished, then we would not move forward on the appraisal and we would 7 come back at the next meeting and 8 9 discuss those. MR. RUNYAN: Where are we on the structure 10 11 issue? 12 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: And the structures, I 13 think the State Parks indicated that 14 they would like to keep the structures for them to use for either housing or 15 16 management of the property. MS. McCURDY: And that would -- now, again --17 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: And those would not be 18 included in what Forever Wild would pay 19 for the property. 20 MR. OATES: And we might could put those in 21 the Legacy part if we did the Legacy 2.2

part too.

1	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So I don't want to
2	be I don't want to overly confuse
3	anybody. That was a lot of information.
4	Are there any questions about the
5	proposed amendment to the motion?
6	MR. SATTERFIELD: Can you restate it for us,
7	please?
8	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Sure. I'll do my best.
9	So the motion would be for a first
10	appraisal on the Oak Mountain-Belcher
11	Tract contingent upon some path forward
12	for an easement or access to
13	U.S. Highway 280 and that the property
14	would not include the railroad track or
15	the railroad track right of way or
16	easement. And if those two
17	contingencies can't be resolved, then we
18	would not move forward with an
19	appraisal. We would come back at the
20	next meeting with
21	MR. SATTERFIELD: So, in essence, your
22	proposal on the boundary is that the
23	boundary of that southeastern portion of

1	the property would be the railroad right
2	of way?
3	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Either the railroad
4	right of way or some or some area
5	north of that.
6	MS. McCURDY: Or stop before. It would not
7	touch
8	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yeah. It would stop
9	it would not touch or include the
10	railroad or the railroad right of way.
11	MR. SATTERFIELD: Not include the railroad or
12	that little triangular piece across the
13	railroad?
14	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Correct.
15	MR. SATTERFIELD: And in regard to the
16	structures, what was I didn't hear
17	all of that answer.
18	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So the structures
19	the Forever Wild Board doesn't pay for
20	structures anyway on the properties.
21	But the State Parks has indicated that
22	they would like to keep the structures
23	for housing or management of the

1 property.

1.3

2.2

- DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: And, Mr. Oates, didn't you say that that could be used as --
- MR. OATES: We think that could be in the Legacy acreage if we go that route.
- MS. McCURDY: And I will say, you know, we just -- and if it's not, I can tell you how we handle that. We've just had to be very up front with landowners that, you know, any value to those structures would not be included in funding from -- you know, from an offer from the Board. So that's standard for us. Some -- we've lost some deals on that and we've kept some deals on that. But that's how we would proceed.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: And as I remember, one of the other questions I had was that little inholding piece of property up in the northeastern corner that I think Mitch was going to try to clarify for us, also, as to the ownership of that and whether or not it was included in

the property or would still be an outparcel.

MS. McCURDY: Yeah. We were going to confirm

MS. McCURDY: Yeah. We were going to confirm the acreage with Mitch, and if that is in fact an outparcel or due to the on-the-ground line in our mapping limitations, if it looked like -- so let me -- Mitch, I'll let -- Mitch may have updates. Let me move 6 feet away.

MR. REID: I apologize for the confusion here.

I do want -- Bill, I wanted to make sure
there was one clarification.

On the map -- so I was -- I didn't have the map in front of me in making sure that they're talking about the same outparcel piece.

There is -- so there is a map that shows a little red dot/circle. That is a family cemetery that is -- would be an inholding within the property. There's another map that shows sort of an odd square within. That is not an inholding.

- MS. McCURDY: I think that would have been at 1 the top. But, anyway ... MR. REID: So that is a family cemetery. 3 MS. McCURDY: So the bottom line, the only inholding is a family cemetery that is 5 the little circle in the north-most tip 6 on the map. So that's bottom line the 7 only inholding. 8 9 MR. SATTERFIELD: So the answer is ... MR. REID: You're looking at -- I don't know 10 what page that is. 11 12
 - MS. McCURDY: This is Tab 4, page 24.
- 13 MR. SATTERFIELD: Exactly.
- 14 MR. REID: That is a family cemetery, and that is an inholding that they would hold 15 16 out.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: A family cemetery? 17
- MR. REID: Right. There is another map that I 18 saw in here that showed a boundary line 19 with a sizable square cut out. That's 20 just a misdrawing. There is no 21 inholding in that sizable square. 2.2
- 23 MR. SATTERFIELD: So is it, then --

MR. REID: I'm sorry. That dot is a family cemetery and is an inholding. I'll clarify that.

1.3

2.2

- MR. SATTERFIELD: And that brings up another question. Is it a historic cemetery, or is it a working cemetery? And if it's a working cemetery, do we have to continue to provide public access to it?
- MR. REID: They -- my understanding from the family is that they are requesting an easement to that cemetery because of the inholding.
- MR. SATTERFIELD: Which would also impact the appraisal price.
- MS. McCURDY: So I think --
- MR. SATTERFIELD: I bring that up, Chris,

 because if it requires another

 clarification of your motion, I wanted

 to put that in there, too, contingent

 upon us understanding what we would have

 to do to provide an easement for that

 cemetery.
- MS. McCURDY: And, Commissioner, what I think

we would probably do, if this would work 1 as to both questions on the easement, we would not proceed with the appraisal 3 until we have an area defined so that the appraiser would know the area and 5 location of access to 280 and would know 6 the area and location of access to the 7 cemetery, so those two easements. Until 8 9 we have enough information to define the easements for the appraiser, we will not 10 11 move forward with the appraisal. So would that be sufficient, 12 13 Mr. Satterfield, do you think? 14 MR. SATTERFIELD: However you guys want to work out the motion and get all these 15 contingencies in there. That's all I'm 16 saying. 17 MS. McCURDY: Would that be a satisfactory 18 19 contingency --MR. SATTERFIELD: Yes. 20 MS. McCURDY: -- as to the two easements?

MS. McCURDY: It would be sufficient 23

Yes.

MR. SATTERFIELD:

21

2.2

definition so that both easements could be appraised and included in the appraisal value -- taken into consideration in the appraisal value.

So it would be contingent upon staff obtaining sufficient information from the landowner to define the area and location of the easement access to Highway 280 and easement access to the inholding and then, of course, what you had for the railroad.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. Let's try
this one more time just for
clarification for the Board so that we
make sure as we vote that you are fully
aware of what we're voting for.

The clarification contingency upon the motion would be to move for a first appraisal on the Oak Mountain-Belcher Tract Addition with the contingency that the staff obtain definite access and location for the easement to access 280 and that they obtain information on the

1	required easement access to the cemetery
2	inholding and that it would not include
3	property that would include the railroad
4	track or south of the railroad track.
5	MR. JONES: Do you need a second on that?
6	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I think the appropriate
7	thing to do would be as long as the
8	motioner and the seconder agree
9	I'm sorry. That would be
10	Dr. Saloom.
11	agree on the contingent motion
12	DR. SALOOM: Agree.
13	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Saloom agrees.
14	DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I agree.
15	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: And Dr. Tolley-Jordan
16	agrees.
17	So with that, any further
18	discussion?
19	(No response.)
20	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor say
21	"aye."
22	(All Board members present respond
23	"aye.")

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? 1 (No response.) CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. Motion 3 carries. Any other discussion? 5 6 (No response.) CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. Thank you. 7 We'll now move to the miscellaneous 8 9 reports in Tab 5. MS. McCURDY: I'll start with Tab 5-B. We've 10 11 already covered 5-A. 12 In each meeting, pursuant to the 13 Board's earlier established procedure, I wanted to let you know that unless 14 additional action is taken by this Board 15 the short-list nomination Briar Lake in 16 17 Baldwin County -- that was approximately an 111-acre tract -- that short-list 18 nomination will be removed after this 19 meeting from the short-list report due 20 to the number of meetings without Board 21 activity. If anybody wants us to keep 2.2

it, all you have to do is speak up, but

if not, it will be removed after this meeting.

(No response.)

MS. McCURDY: All right. That's the only one that we had to mention at this time.

Next we have Tab 5-C and 5-D. Both of these relate to -- normally when we meet in May each year we deal with two authorizations from the Board. We deal with in the current year -- we do this in the current year. We ask the State Lands Division -- request approval for transfer of an administrative support amount to the State Lands Division. We do that in the current fiscal year. We then by specific provisions of the constitutional amendment forming the program have to discuss stewardship fund expenditure and that spending authority for the upcoming fiscal year. We have to do that in advance of the beginning, October 1, of the upcoming fiscal year.

So I'm going to move into those two.

23

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

I just wanted to highlight up front that I'm going to be talking about the different fiscal years.

So the memo at Tab 5-C deals with administrative support reimbursement request for the current fiscal year that we're in that would have begun October 1 and will conclude September 30th, 2020, for the State Lands Division.

We generally include every year an attachment that runs through categorically types of expenditures that State Lands makes, the reimbursement that State Lands receives. Part of that is this administrative transfer each year. We also — it varies year to year — benefit sometimes from staff hours that are paid for through grant funds. We have a charitable trust that Mr. Wehle established for our Wehle property that provides a little bit of funding every year. And then we have a few activities that also bring in

revenue.

So I've attached expenditures and reimbursements of those expenditures, but it still leaves every year a fairly significant unreimbursed amount to State Lands. One thing that used to help -- you may remember the Coastal Impact Assistance Program we had about four fiscal years -- three or four. We received significant staff support through that. That has not been -- that ended in 2015 and still has not been replaced.

So this year we are asking the Board -- and this in an increase from the last fiscal year -- a \$150,000 increase for a total of \$900,000 as administrative transfer.

But I do want to discuss any questions. That is just an amount that we're requesting. We are certainly thankful for any assistance. And so please don't feel shy about questions or

discussions or differing proposals on 1 that. But, regardless, whatever the amount 3 is, I'm going to have to have a motion from the Board in order for us to have 5 6 that authority. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir, Mr. Jones. 7 MR. JONES: I'd like to make a motion that the 8 9 Board approve the State Lands Division staff to proceed with the requested 10 11 administrative transfer in the amount of \$900,000 for the fiscal year 2020 12 1.3 administrative support provided by the State Lands Division. 14 DR. SALOOM: Second. 15 16 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Motion made by Mr. Jones, seconded by Dr. Saloom. 17 Any discussion on that? Questions? 18 19 (No response.) CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor say 20 "aye." 21 (All Board members present respond 2.2 "aye.") 23

1	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
2	(No response.)
3	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. Motion
4	carries.
5	MS. McCURDY: All right. Thank you.
6	And we discuss both of these items
7	just once a year, but I do want to
8	remind everyone that anytime you have
9	questions during the year, you know,
10	please bring those forward.
11	Tab 5-D is the annual analysis that
12	we do that we're required to do in
13	advance of the upcoming fiscal year. So
14	it must be done either this meeting or
15	the next meeting.
16	And each year we present to you the
17	expenditures from the stewardship
18	account. Our staff has certain ongoing,
19	you know, land management activities
20	that we do. They vary from year to
21	year, but they're pretty constant. And
22	we call those routine. Examples would

be road repairs, boundary lines. We can

implement some recreational improvements. We have invasive species control. Just what you would think of in physically managing the land that we manage. And then we will present certain tract-specific expenditures which generally relate to anticipated reforestation activity that we'll mention to the Board related to some reservations that we are still dealing with and having released.

So the anticipated routine expenditures would be just over \$1.3 million with, we think, the reforestation experiences being just over \$91,000. That brings us to our best a-year-ahead-of-a-fiscal-year guess of expenditures at \$1.47 million.

What the Board has done the last several years, in case we have something unforeseen, whether it be a timber issue or an infestation issue -- you never know -- if we have an issue of storm

damage from tornados, hurricanes, 1 whatever -- in the last several years and rounded that up to just 3 \$1.5 million. So we have -- we believe we can still operate within that \$1.5 5 6 million in this upcoming year. that's in this request. I'll entertain questions, take other 8 9 amounts. But regardless of the amount, again, we'll need a motion. 10 11 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any questions? 12 (No response.) 1.3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Again, just as a reminder from last year, we have changed 14 the method by which we invest the 15 16 stewardship funds. When the constitutional amendment was passed, it 17 mentioned specifically interest funds, 18 whereas now that we have that invested 19 in a diversified portfolio under the 20 direction of the State treasurer, we are 21 seeing an increase in value of the fund, 2.2 23 but it's not necessarily interest.

is changing in value as the portfolio grows over time.

2.2

So I have in accordance with the constitutional amendment provided a memo that the interest as mentioned in the constitutional amendment would not be sufficient for us to raise the \$1.5 million and so we would have to use money from the corpus or from the portfolio of the stewardship fund.

That's just a little additional background, but that is different last year and this year from previous years for people that have been on the Board for a while.

So with that, is there a motion?

DR. SIMS: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Sims.

DR. SIMS: I move that the Board authorizes

ADCNR, after exhausting available

interest income from the stewardship

account, to expend funds from the corpus

of the stewardship account up to an

1	amount that when added to the available
2	interest incomes does not exceed
3	\$1.5 million.
4	MR. HORN: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: A motion by Dr. Sims,
6	seconded by Mr. Horn.
7	Any other questions or discussion on
8	that?
9	(No response.)
10	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor say
11	"aye."
12	(All Board members present respond
13	"aye.")
14	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
15	(No response.)
16	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed.
17	All right. Thank you. Motion
18	passes.
19	Anything else, Ms. McCurdy?
20	MS. McCURDY: I was going to mention a request
21	for utility line easement that we have
22	had from Tallapoosa River Electric
23	Cooperative. And that is your Tab 5-E.

2.2

However, we have technically not received the written confirmation I asked for from them regarding their agreement to pay the cost of appraisal and then they have to pay the value of the easement.

So since I have not -- I thought I would have that by this morning. I do not. So let's table that, and we'll bring that back up in August. There's no reason to discuss it if we don't have that basic understanding.

And then, Commissioner, I don't think I have anything else for miscellaneous.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I do have one piece of other business.

At the February 6th Forever Wild
Board Meeting three separate motions
were made concerning tracts that would
be purchased in partnership with the
Department of Conservation and Natural
Resources Wildlife and Freshwater

Fisheries Division. All three motions were contingent upon Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries providing a three-to-one match to Forever Wild funds. However, when dividing the tracts for the deed and closing information, the match was not exactly three to one. The splits varied by tenths of a percentage point, but it is slightly different than the original motion that was passed.

As with other similar partnerships,

I feel that the Board's intention was

for the match to be approximately three

to one knowing that timber values and

natural boundaries make it difficult at

times to be exact -- exactly three to

one.

The staff felt that a clarifying motion would be in order to ensure that the final deeds meet the Board's expectations on the three purchases and that there would be no future issues.

1	Therefore, I offer the following motion:
2	I move that we amend the motions for
3	second appraisal and move to purchase
4	for the Cedar Creek SOA Addition, the
5	Cedar Creek-Elm Bluff Addition, and the
6	Portland Landing-Gilmore Addition that
7	were made on February 6th, 2020, to be
8	contingent on the Wildlife and
9	Freshwater Fisheries Division providing
10	approximately a three-to-one match.
11	MR. JONES: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Mr. Jones.
13	Questions? Discussion?
14	MS. McCURDY: For the Board, that was 26.1,
15	25 or 25.1, 25.6, and 25.8, so
16	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So they're very close.
17	But this will keep us from having any
18	problems in the future with an audit or
19	anything.
20	So all those in favor of the motion,
21	please say "aye."
22	(All Board members present respond
23	"aye.")

1	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
2	(No response.)
3	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. Motion
4	carries. Thank you all for that.
5	The next item of business is
6	approval of the minutes from the
7	February 6th, 2020, meeting.
8	DR. SALOOM: I move that we do approve the
9	minutes.
LO	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Saloom has moved
L1	that we approve those minutes.
L2	MR. SATTERFIELD: Second.
L3	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by
L4	Mr. Satterfield.
L5	Any questions, clarifications?
L6	(No response.)
L7	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seeing none, all those
L8	in favor of approving the minutes from
L9	the February 6th meeting, please say
20	"aye."
21	(All Board members present respond
22	"aye.")
23	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. Motion carries.

The next meeting is tentatively scheduled for August the 6th, 2020.

Hopefully we'll be back indoors in a nice meeting location. However, it has been a beautiful day and the weather has been very nice.

I would like to thank Tim Gothard and the Alabama Wildlife Federation for allowing us the use of the Pavilion, and I would like to thank the Good Lord for providing us a pretty fine day with low humidity and low temperatures for us to enjoy this in June. We don't get too many days in June that are this comfortable to have a meeting outside, and I'm very thankful. The Lord shined down upon us today.

Anything other --

MS. McCURDY: I have one thing, Commissioner, just purely administrative.

To avoid close contact at the 1 sign-in table, we included for the Board a travel reimbursement form in your 3 green folder. So those of you who 5 usually would stop at the table to address your travel reimbursement, 6 7 please just leave that -- complete that form and just leave it on your table. 8 9 Put it under your microphone so it doesn't blow away, and we'll pick it up. 10 11 And I'd just like to add my thanks to everybody for their work today and 12 1.3 speaking up in the microphone. appreciate it and thank y'all. 14 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. Do I have a 15 16 motion to adjourn? MR. OATES: So move. 17 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So moved. I heard many 18 seconds. If there's no objection, we 19 are dismissed. Thank you all. 20 21 (Meeting adjourned at approximately 2.2 23 12:23 p.m.)

1	* * * * * * *
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
3	* * * * * * * *
4	STATE OF ALABAMA:
5	MONTGOMERY COUNTY:
6	I, Tracye Sadler Blackwell, Certified
7	Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State of
8	Alabama at Large, do hereby certify that I reported
9	the foregoing proceedings of the Forever Wild Board
10	Meeting on June 11, 2020.
11	The foregoing 128 computer-printed pages
12	contain a true and correct transcript of the
13	proceedings held.
14	I further certify that I am neither of
15	kin nor of counsel to the parties to said cause nor
16	in any manner interested in the results thereof.
17	This 23rd day of July 2020.
18	
19	
20	 Tracye Sadler Blackwell
21	ACCR No. 294 Expiration date: 9-30-2020
22	Certified Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State
23	of Alabama at Large