1	MINUTES OF THE
2	FOREVER WILD BOARD MEETING
3	Agriculture and Industries Auditorium
4	Richard Beard Building
5	1445 Federal Drive
6	Montgomery, Alabama
7	February 3, 2022
8	10:00 a.m.
9	
10	* * * * * * * * *
11	Transcript of Proceedings
12	* * * * * * * * *
13	
14	PROCEEDINGS, taken before Stacey
15	L. Johnson, Certified Court Reporter and
16	Commissioner for the State of Alabama at
17	Large, at the Agriculture and Industries
18	Auditorium, 1445 Federal Drive,
19	Montgomery, Alabama, on the 3rd day of
20	February, 2022, commencing at 10:00 a.m.
21	* * * * * * * *
22	
23	

1	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
2	Commissioner Chris Blankenship, Chairman
3	Mr. Raymond B. Jones, Jr. Mr. Reginald N. Holloway Dr. Sean P. Powers
4	Dr. Sean P. Powers Dr. Salem Saloom Mr. William "Bill" Satterfield
5	Dr. John Valentine Mr. David Wright
6	Mr. Rick Oates Dr. Heather Howell
7	Dr. A.M. "Jay" Neumann, Jr. Dr. James McClintock
8	Mr. Jack Darnall
9	* * * * * * * * *
10	
11	
12 13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Good morning,
2	everybody, and welcome to the first
3	Forever Wild Board Meeting of 2022. I'm
4	Commissioner Chris Blankenship with the
5	Department of Conservation & Natural
6	Resources, and I'd like to call our
7	meeting to order. And I'll call the roll
8	and make sure we have a quorum. If you
9	are present, please indicate when I call
10	your name.
11	Chris Blankenship is here.
12	Mr. Childers?
13	(No response.)
14	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Darnall?
15	MR. DARNALL: Here.
16	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Holloway?
17	MR. HOLLOWAY: Here.
18	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Howell?
19	DR. HOWELL: Here.
20	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Jones?
21	MR. JONES: Here.
22	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. McClintock?
23	DR. MCCLINTOCK: Here.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Neumann? 1 DR. NEUMANN: Here. 2 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Oates? 3 MR. OATES: Here. 4 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers? 5 DR. POWERS: 6 Here. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Saloom? DR. SALOOM: 8 Here. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 9 Mr. Satterfield? 10 MR. SATTERFIELD: Here. 11 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Valentine? 12 DR. VALENTINE: 13 Here. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright? 14 MR. WRIGHT: Here. 1.5 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: And Dr. Sims is 16 17 not present. So we do have a quorum. 18 It's good to see a good crowd here 19 this morning. I appreciate the Department 20 21 of Agriculture and Industries allowing us to use their facility here. I think this 22 is convenient, has better parking than 23

especially when the Legislature's in session and there's not very many free -- or open parking places down there, so I appreciate their hospitality and Commissioner Rick Pate for his support of our group and the Department of Conservation and the Forever Wild program.

1.5

You'll find a copy of the Forever Wild Annual Report in your packet. That was delivered to the governor, lieutenant governor, and speaker of the House this week as required under the statute on February 1st. Just want to let you know that was done.

Any other opening comments before we take public comments? Anything from the Board?

All right. As a reminder to our speakers, we try and keep this to a three-minute limit from everyone for public comments so that we may ensure we have enough time for everyone to make a

comment. And for the Board members, as I call the person to speak, if they're speaking on a particular property, I'll let you know what page and tab that is in your book so you can find it before they begin.

Our first speaker is Steve

Northcutt on the Red Hills property,

Tab 4b, page 25, 4b, page 25. Just a

second, Steve. Let everybody find that.

All right, sir.

1.5

MR. NORTHCUTT: So I'm Steve Northcutt with the Nature Conservancy, and some of you have heard me speak about the Red Hills before.

Red Hills is located in Monroe

County. Several interesting things about that particular area, it's kind of an underserved area, and when I say that, I mean it doesn't have a whole lot of public land. So since 2008, the Nature

Conservancy has worked with the State through Forever Wild and specifically

through the Wildlife and Freshwater

Fisheries Division because they have an

access to funds that are very unique for

this project area.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

Because the Red Hills salamander is a threatened endangered species and was listed in 1977, several of the larger landowners in that area signed up for what they call this Habitat Conservation Planning funds. Basically it was an agreement with U.S. Fish and Wildlife to protect these slope habitats for the Red Hills salamander. They have to have a cool, shaded, moist area to survive, and you can't go and destroy that habitat. if they signed up for these HCPs, they were immune from the Endangered Species Act.

So what's important about that is U.S. Fish And Wildlife has a pot of money under their RLA, these recovery land acquisition funds, that the Department can apply for. And so over the years, they

were able to acquire over

16 million dollars through these RLA

funds. That's a combination of HCP land
acquisition and Section 6 recovery. So

it's always good to leverage your money,
and the Board has done a great job and I
have to say the Department has done a
great job.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

So there's a couple of things to There's a 760-acre look at on the map. parcel that's broken out in two color codes, one showing if you were to match the money that has been awarded. And when I say awarded, there's been a verbal approval of 1.2 or 1.9-something million dollars that the letter should come out -the award letter should come out any day now, so that will be an absolute confirmation to get that money, and those are from reverted funds. So other projects across the United States that weren't able to use their money, they were able to secure that money, so a nice

addition to this Wildlife Management Area 1 that's comanaged by the Department of 2 the -- the Lands Division and the Wildlife 3 and Freshwater Fisheries. And I'm hoping 4 you will consider today to issue a 5 request -- a first appraisal on this 6 760-acre parcel that the landowner, 8 Conservation Resources, has agreed to this parcel size and based on timber 9 compartments, road access, and other 10 attributes. 11 So if anybody has any questions, 12 I'll be glad to answer. 1.3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any questions? 14 Thank you. 1.5 Mr. Commissioner? MR. WRIGHT: 16 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright? 17 MR. WRIGHT: Does this have any timber 18 reservations on it? 19 No timber MR. NORTHCUTT: 20 reservations. Based on the timber 2.1 compartments and the road, they use the 22 760-acre tract based on certain 23

attributes, so that's why it's that size. 1 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, sir. 2 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: And I would 3 just --4 Yes, sir, Mr. Satterfield? 5 Steve, you said that 6 MR. SATTERFIELD: you thought you had a verbal commitment 7 for the money. Our notebook here 8 indicates that the application had been 9 submitted but the grant has not yet been 10 approved, and I guess putting on my lawyer 11 hat, I'm always a little leery of verbal 12 commitment as opposed to cash in hand. 13 And I'm wondering if staff can help me 14 with that, the feeling of comfort on that 15 dealing with that. 16 I'm looking for Chuck. 17 MS. MCCURDY: SYKES: I'm sitting exactly where 18 my name says sit. 19 MS. MCCURDY: And that's a first. 20 Please note that for the Record. 21

Anyway, so if you or someone in your staff could provide some additional

22

23

information.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

MR. SYKES: Yes, ma'am. I'm Chuck Sykes, Director of Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries. And I agree with you, I don't like to count on Mr. Satterfield. anything until it's in hand. However, we have a long history of working with the Region 4 Director, Leo Miranda. isn't the first one of those projects that we've taken down. I'm 99.99 positive that money will be in hand as Mr. Northcutt said. Probably get the award letter within the month. I have no reason to think it won't happen.

MS. MCCURDY: And if the Board chose to act, any motion can be -- could be made contingent upon receipt of those fundings and the -- you know, that leveraging coming in, so that's a thought too.

MR. SATTERFIELD: So if we dealt with it, then the motion would need to say not to begin an appraisal until such time as we know the money is in hand, because

committing normally to go ahead means we'd have to commit money -- spend money for an appraisal for something -- a project that we can't really move forward on yet.

1.5

MS. MCCURDY: The Board could do that. I don't know if we've really done quite that before, but let me also ask if any appraisal work is underway possibly through Wildlife.

Okay. So, you know, that would be your decision whether you would move forward as usual just with the first appraisal or contingent moving forward with the first appraisal on receipt of the letter. Either one could be a motion, yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Sean?

DR. POWERS: So is this required match for this program, Chuck, or is it -- or Patti -- or is it that the property owners want to sell just that section to your grant without a promise for the whole?

MS. MCCURDY: I'll let Wildlife speak

to the deal with the landowner. They would be closer to that. This particular program does require at least a 25 percent match. In this scenario with the current configuration, we don't anticipate there will be enough money for Wildlife without us contributing, I'm thinking, about 55 percent. That's why you see that. But as far as to the configuration of the parcel, let me turn back to Wildlife as far as the landowner.

MR. NORTHCUTT: I'm going to sit down.

I'll just say one last thing. I got a

text this morning from U.S. Fish and

Wildlife. They were hoping to have the

award letter out by today because they

knew that I was going to come and speak,

so I think it's imminent.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Steve.

Chuck?

MR. SYKES: Dr. Powers, just -- the

1.5

landowner doesn't care where the money 1 comes from. It's just as Ms. Powell 2 3 said -- or Ms. McCurdy. I'm sorry -that's just -- the amount of funds that we 4 have to take down that tract of that size, 5 6 normally we would do 75/25, but we just 7 don't have that so we'd be asking for 8 Forever Wild to come up with the other part of the match. 9 DR. POWERS: But so -- so 25 percent 10 you have to provide. In this particular 11 case, you're asking for 55? 12 MR. SYKES: Correct. To take down 1.3 that whole tract. 14 Mr. Jones? CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 1.5 MR. JONES: Question about that. Ιf 16 I'm reading the map right, it says 17 55 percent is y'all --18 MR. SYKES: Is us. 19 MR. JONES: -- and 45 -- but I think 20 21 you just said that --MR. SYKES: It was backwards. You're 22 23 correct.

MR. JONES: I wanted to make sure we're clear. So Forever Wild would be providing 45 percent?

MR. SYKES: Correct.

MS. MCCURDY: And that was my fault. I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So I do want to commend Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries staff in working with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on these reverted funds because these are -- like I said, these are funds for projects around the country that were not utilized and so our staff has done a very good job keeping an open dialogue with Region 4 when something like this comes available. So really it's an opportunity for us in Alabama to get something that we might not have otherwise received without the good relationship we have with Fish and Wildlife Service Region 4. So thank y'all for your work there.

All right. Next speaker is

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

Charles Yeager on the Turkey Creek Nature Preserve, 4b, page 33, 4b, page 33.

MR. YEAGER: Is that the Parker Addition?

MS. MCCURDY: Yes.

1.5

2.1

MR. YEAGER: Wonderful. So, yes, my name is Charles Yeager, and for the last ten years, I have had the honor of managing the Turkey Creek Nature Preserve Forever Wild tract. I work for the Southern Environmental Center at Birmingham Southern College, and I try to come out every year to provide updates on the activities at the preserve, hopefully kind of keep you guys in the loop and also to kind of share some of the exciting things and development that's occurred.

Last November, I came out to the meeting and I neglected to mention that -the support that we received from
State Lands to replace a culvert. We had a culvert that was failing, a very old culvert in the preserve. They did a

wonderful job of getting that culvert replaced. And it would have -- actually if we lost it, especially with as much rain as we had last year, it would have forced us to close the road. So they did an incredible job of getting that replaced, and hopefully, it's something that we won't have to ever deal with again.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

In terms of this upcoming year, we've got a lot going on. This spring is -- has been completely booked up with school programs. We have field trip programs, schools coming from all over the We have some really kind of fun state. events coming up. We have a learn and burn, which is the first time we've ever It's actually not going to be done this. on the Forever Wild tract, but it's on an adjacent tract that I also manage for the City of Pinson. And hopefully, it's going to open up some doors for us to be able to introduce fire to some of our ridges that

hold longleaf pine. So we're kind of just getting our foot in the door with the county and trying to get through that process so that we can hopefully have some more burns in the future. We're real excited about that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

We also have a BioBlitz that's coming up in April. And if you guys are not familiar with the BioBlitz, what a BioBlitz is, it's a 24-hour biological survey. We're going to invite universities and enthusiasts and biologists from all over the state and really even outside of the state. usually have a few that come from Tennessee and Georgia, and we've had folks come as far as Indiana for these events. But we do it a little differently. really focus on the data. So our approach is to really get those experts out there and really focus on getting as much data as possible. Hopefully, we're going to have some folks from DCNR as well, which

is exciting, and I would like to invite you guys. If any of you guys would like to come out, please reach out to me. We would love to have you. It's a really fun event and a great opportunity to see some really cool stuff and learn about some really cool stuff. We're going to have dinner on Friday night of that and breakfast available as well on Saturday. But we'd love to have you guys there.

1.5

We also have our native plant sale coming up in -- on May 7th, which is our annual event. Now that we have our new pavilion, we're making good use of that to be able to host these events, and the money that we are able to make from that, we put right back into the preserve in terms of the maintenance and support to keep the operation going.

Also, I want to mention the Parker Addition. So this is -- I'm real excited about this nomination. This is really kind of a great opportunity for, not only

the footprint of the preserve to be able to grow, but the recreational opportunities for the preserve.

1.5

2.1

I think one of the most common comments that I get from the public is request for more trails. And we're a relatively small preserve and with limitations in terms of topography and kind of a layout and access, and this addition would present a really great opportunity for us to be able to increase the mileage of trails that we have at the preserve.

So our commitment from the
Southern Environmental Center at
Birmingham Southern College would be to
continue this partnership that we have
with Forever Wild and State Lands to be
able to provide management for that
addition as well in the same way that we
provided management for the existing
tract. And that would also include
seeking out funds, like RTP programs, for

trails and for management of that property. So I hope that you guys will consider that when you look at first appraisals.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright?

MR. WRIGHT: I wore my Turkey Creek
hat today.

MR. YEAGER: It's looking good.

MR. WRIGHT: Y'all, I went and visited Charles and his operation a few years ago. I was very impressed with what was going on up there. Charles, you've got a lot of people helping you up there. The biggest thing I saw the day that I was up there was they need a bigger parking lot. It was very well-used, and you can tell that there's some people that cares behind everything up there, particularly all of the privet that had been removed.

MR. YEAGER: Thank you.

MR. WRIGHT: So, Charles, thank you for what you're doing up there.

MR. YEAGER: Thank you for mentioning

with the heavy amount of traffic that we receive, parking is a massive issue and, you know, that takes a lot of -- honestly, it takes a lot of manpower to make sure that we keep our road clear and people are able to get through there safely and have access to the preserve without being blocked off or having issues with that. So thank you for mentioning that. That's certainly something that we want to look at in the future.

DR. MCCLINTOCK: I've got a question about access. Is there an existing road that goes to this new property? And if not, would you also be building and funding the building of these trails that you're talking about?

MR. YEAGER: So -- yes. So there is a right-of-way that exists. It actually -- the property is across the road from our existing exit gate, which there is some acreage on that side of the road that's

part of the tract, and the right-of-way runs right kind of through the middle of the property almost -- so -- not quite through the middle but close to it, and so we could provide actually a really great area for potential parking. I think that that right-of-way gate would need to be moved and there would be some work that would need to be done, but it certainly wouldn't be that difficult to put in some parking and have kind of access to there pretty quickly. And, yes, we would certainly be willing to kind of spearhead the effort to get another RTP grant and to move forward with the trails in the There's some work that would need future. to be done before we do that, of course.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

DR. MCCLINTOCK: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Saloom?

DR. SALOOM: Yes. I'm sorry. I didn't hear exactly what you were saying about Birmingham Southern College. Are they having involvement on this tract?

MR. YEAGER: Yes. So I work for Birmingham Southern. I actually work for the Southern Environmental Center at Birmingham Southern College. We have a partnership with Forever Wild to comanage the property. So I'm the on-site manager there.

DR. SALOOM: Thank you.

1.5

2.1

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Charles.

MR. YEAGER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The next speaker is Jonathan Goode on the same tract, the Turkey Creek Nature Preserve-Parker Addition.

MR. GOODE: Good morning. Thank y'all for the opportunity to speak to you. I am Jonathan Goode. I'm a land broker with Southeastern Land Group, and I represent the Parker family.

This property, as Mr. Yeager said,

I think would make a great addition. We
have not gone on the market with this

property yet to the public to see if the State Forever Wild has any interest in it before we do that, so we've actually held off since last year.

But this is -- it's 170 acres.

The western boundary of Turkey Creek

Nature Preserve shares about a half a mile
of common boundary with this Parker

property, so it's a really good fit. The
access to the Parker property is across
the Forever Wild land, so one of the
things that you would accomplish by
purchasing this property is also removing
any issue with that for this Parker
property in the future. So I would just
ask that you consider it for first
appraisal.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir.

Next speaker, is Drew Nix on the Autauga WMA-Hart Addition, 4b, page 5, 4b, page 5.

MR. NIX: Yes, I'm Drew Nix. I spoke to y'all back in the August meeting about

this Hart Addition to Autauga WMA. kind of on the furthest western side of the WMA. It lays to the north, and it's adjacent about a quarter mile of what is already owned by the State. It's a little bit different habitat type. It has some bottom land in it where a lot of the stuff we have over there is sand hill uplands. It does give some bridge -- a land bridge across to a larger tract of land, industrial timberland, that lays to the north of it that I think would be a good addition also at some point in time. like to ask that y'all consider a motion for first appraisal on it.

Any questions?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Drew.

MR. NIX: Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The next speaker is Mr. Paul Kennedy on the Walker County Lake-Frederickson Addition. This is a nomination, but it's not yet

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

been scored, so you don't have a -- I think you do have on Tab 5 --

1.3

1.5

2.1

MS. MCCURDY: In your green folder, it would be Tab 5 -- sorry. Excuse me -- Tab 8b, Tab 8b. It will be the very last one if you haven't moved anything in your green folder. It just has some correspondence that we received that we got after the packets.

MR. KENNEDY: Good morning. Thank you for the opportunity to address you.

I find a little bit of irony in that Steve Northcutt was talking about 760 acres, and we've estimated that what we're nominating here is in the ballpark of 760 acres. It is immediately south and east of the 420 acres that's in the Walker County Lake. That property is owned and managed by DCNR as a fishery. That is the only state lake that I'm aware of that has a trout fishing component to it, and I'd like to thank you for that as well.

To date, the community has invested more than \$200,000 in the Walker County Lake as a part of what we call our recreational economy. trying to develop that through the Health Action Partnership. We've done a master plan for the county lake, help put in the archery park, two and a half miles of trail, and even the county commission used some of their transportation road dollars to do around \$80,000 worth of improvements to the parking lot at the county lake. And I'm telling you that to give you a demonstration of the level of commitment that we have from the city, the county. Even the rotary club has been out there to help do improvements to the lake. Ι believe that we can count on all that and more if the 760 acres was acquired by the Forever Wild program and added to the 420 that's in the county lake.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The Alabama Innovation Commission just released their reports supported by

Governor Ivey and my own District 5.

Senator Greg Reed cochaired it. It's all about creating a recreational economy, and we believe that the addition of this property creating a 1200-acre recreation destination that close to the interstate, that close to Jasper, that close to Birmingham, would be a great addition to the Forever Wild portfolio and the community really wants it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I understand that it's problematic because there's a timber lease on the property that runs until June of '24. Ι have spoken with the trustee that represents the estate, and Rayonier has the timber lease now. There is no indication that they have any interest in continuing the lease. It is two years away from expiring. It's a 50-year lease. To date, the -- not the property that we're nominating but other property that Rayonier has under lease with the same family, they released 350 acres of it.

They did not pick up the option to renew that. The landowner is willing and interested in discussing this. I want you to just keep it simmering. I understand that it's premature to go for anything like an appraisal, but I can promise you the local community's support, even to my community foundation's financial support as needed.

1.5

2.1

Are there any -- I'm out of time.

Three seconds.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any questions for Mr. Kennedy?

Thank you, sir, for coming down -- MR. KENNEDY: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: -- and expressing your interest in the particular nomination.

Those are all of the cards for public comment that I have. Is there anybody that wanted to make a public comment that got here a little late or didn't have a chance to fill out the green

slip?

1.5

I don't see anyone, so we'll move to the next agenda item and recess for an executive session.

By regulation, our appraisal values are confidential during periods of negotiation. Accordingly, in order to discuss tract appraisal values, the Board will need to go into recess for an executive session.

Is there a motion for the Board to now recess to attend an executive session?

MR. JONES: So moved.

DR. POWERS: Second.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Moved by Mr. Jones; seconded by Dr. Powers.

As I call your name, please state your position on the motion to recess.

Those in favor please say aye, and those opposed say nay.

Chris Blankenship, aye.

Jack Darnall?

MR. DARNALL: Aye.

1		CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Holloway?
2		MR. HOLLOWAY: Aye.
3		CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Howell?
4		DR. HOWELL: Aye.
5		CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Jones?
6		MR. JONES: Aye.
7		CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. McClintock?
8		DR. MCCLINTOCK: Aye.
9		CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Neumann?
10		DR. NEUMANN: Aye.
11		CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Oates?
12		MR. OATES: Aye.
13		CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers?
14		DR. POWERS: Aye.
15		CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Saloom?
16		DR. SALOOM: Aye.
17		CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP:
18	Mr.	Satterfield?
19		MR. SATTERFIELD: Aye.
20		CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Valentine?
21		DR. VALENTINE: Aye.
22		CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright?
23		MR. WRIGHT: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Motion passes.

It is 10:26. We will be in recess for approximately 20 to 25 minutes.

1.5

(Recess from 10:26 a.m. to 10:47 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Welcome back.

We are back from the executive session.

It is 10:47. We are resuming the meeting.

Ms. McCurdy, I think you have some status reports?

MS. MCCURDY: I do. I'd ask the Board to turn to Tab 2a. Updated Tab 2a materials are in your green folder. As always, we have the ability to update that at the end of the month, which falls after I send you your meeting packet, and so we generally provide you as current information as we can.

So Tab 2a, I want to run through the nominations that have been previously -- the staff had been previously directed to move forward toward closing on by the Board. I keep those in

various stages of that progression on

Tab 2a to try to help you know how much

money you have available to spend and to

be sure I don't accidentally let you spend

more than you have. So let me run through

the nominations that are still in

potential to close.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

So those are Cedar Creek SOA Addition, Dallas County; the Coldwater Mountain-McVey Addition. As you may recall, that is an inholding at Coldwater Mountain. We are continuing to try to provide some additional information on that one. We would like to get that inholding wrapped up, and so I'm leaving that on here because we're still talking. D'Olive Bay Addition -- I'm sorry. Coldwater is Calhoun County. D'Olive Bay Addition, Baldwin County; Hollins WMA, Clay County; Red Hills-Parris Trust Addition, Monroe County; Styx River Wetlands, Baldwin County. All of those total roughly 5.6 million dollars.

available unencumbered balance is just over 10 million dollars. You are fine as far as your capital spending authority.

1.5

2.1

Any questions on those numbers?

All right. I generally at this

time too just remind you of the properties

that have closed in this fiscal year. The

fiscal year started October 1st, so we're

not that far into the fiscal year, but so

far this fiscal year, the Coldwater

Mountain-Carroll Addition; Oak Mountain

State Park-Belcher Lake Addition; and

Thigpen Hill Option A Phase II have closed

in this fiscal year.

Any questions on Tab 2? Yes, sir, Dr. Powers?

DR. POWERS: I know you mentioned it last time, but can you remind us on that 10 million, when does Forever Wild receive its funds? Is it throughout the year?

MS. MCCURDY: It is throughout the year. And so that's also the ability we have by the updated reports is to include

the most recent deposit from the program.

DR. POWERS: So every quarter we expect an increase?

MS. MCCURDY: Yes.

1.5

2.1

DR. POWERS: And what is that about every year?

MS. MCCURDY: The total per year is capped at 15 million, and so it is not an exact science but it comes down through the year. And we have been reaching in the past several years right at that 15 million cap.

the Stewardship Fund. For the benefit of the public who are here today, for each acquisition the Board makes, we cannot make that acquisition unless we also have enough money to transfer to the Stewardship account 15 percent of that property's appraised value. In fact, even if we accept a landowner donation, the program — although the donation might be free — the program has to move 15 percent

of that appraised value into the Stewardship Fund so that the acreage we acquire in the program sort of carries its own weight as far as contributing to the Stewardship account. For this fiscal year, the Board has granted us authority to spend 1.5 million dollars from the Stewardship Fund. Again, we're early in this fiscal year and also some wet conditions, but right now the balance remaining of that 1.5 authorized spending amount is just over 1.4 million. The net assets of the Stewardship Fund at the close of the last fiscal year was just over 48.2 million dollars. As of the close of the calendar year, 12-31, as you will see noted on Tab 2c, that increased to approximately 49.2 million.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

Any questions on those financial reports in Tab 2?

Okay. Then we will move to Tab 3.

I will start with -- on Tab 3a, I wanted
to relay for the Board and the public an

update as to the properties that the Board has previously motioned for staff to obtain appraisals. So I will run through those tracts now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The Bon Secour River Wetlands Addition, Baldwin County, that appraisal is in; D'Olive Bay-Barnhill Addition, we have received the appraisal but have determined that we will not be able to get title insurance on this acreage so that would not be moving forward; Perdido WMA-Snowden Branch Addition, Baldwin County -- all of those together approach two million dollars. However, there are additional appraisals that are pending that have not been received -- the Freedom Hills Boddie Addition, that appraisal has been initiated, just was not back in time for this meeting; the Coldwater Mountain-Robertson Addition, we are working with the landowner to gather complete deed information. There was some missing information, but that should move

forward as soon as we have that. And then also additional appraisal work but on a past nomination that was -- I referenced in Tab 2a the Red Hills-Parris Trust Addition, we had to do some additional appraisal work due to timber harvest that occurred after the Board had moved forward with the motion to purchase, so we now have that information in.

I also want to update the Board.

We -- the landowner has agreed to pay for those appraisal costs that were incurred by the Board due to that harvest activity after the offer was initially made. So the Board requested that and so we were able to secure that agreement with the landowner.

But that wraps up status as to the nominations that to date have had a motion for appraisal work coming from the Board.

Any questions on Tab 3a?

All right. If there are no more questions on Tab 2 or 3, we will move on

1.5

2.1

to the grant status update that we give at this time each meeting. That is Tab 5a in your packet.

1.5

2.1

With the grant status report, what we do is report back to the Board as to status of any efforts that staff has been involved in either to obtain grant funding or identify leveraging opportunities. A leveraging opportunity might be working with Wildlife in relation to use of Pittman-Robertson funding that comes from the Fish and Wildlife Service but flows to our Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division. So we'll run through the various nominations.

As to nominations that have been approved for acquisition, the Cedar Creek SOA Addition is a Pittman-Robertson leverage opportunity that has a 75/25 split. Again, 25 percent is the minimum contribution that must be made as a State-based match. Forever Wild provides that State-based match. Without which,

Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries would not be able to access those federal funds, so it's a very important cornerstone of accessing that funding. I do want to note for the Board that nomination is in closing, but we did have an update and some revisions to the split map, and so you will see in Tab 5k of your packet an updated split map. We made some reservations to the split map for two reasons, one it made sense to follow some quarter lines, some quarters of sections, some of those lines to better define the split, but also the shift that we made allowed the existing timber reservation to be located solely on the Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division portion so it allowed us to clean that up a bit. no real change to that. We still expect the 75/25 percent split, but I did want to include the updated map to the Board in Tab 5k.

MR. WRIGHT: Patti?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

MS. MCCURDY: Yes, sir. And speak into your microphone.

MR. WRIGHT: Where is the map at?

MS. MCCURDY: It's Tab 5.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: It would have been in the green folder.

MS. MCCURDY: I'm sorry. In the green folder, yes. I'm sorry.

Starting with Tab -- in Tab 5, anything 5h or beyond is in your green folder. I apologize if I forget to mention that. So I'll give you a second to find Tab 5k in your green folder.

I will note that, as mentioned in your memo, the landowner wanted to enter a purchase and sale agreement. We are working on that. Once we have that, we will update the split report and valuation. If there's any change, we'll update the Board as to the 25 percent, but we do expect the 75/25 percent and to now fall along the map on 5k.

All right. The next tract, the

D'Olive Bay Addition, that was an opportunity we had to utilize a National Coastal Wetlands Conservation Grant Program, and that program will provide 52 percent of that appraised value. one's moving forward to Board closing. Also, the Styx River Wetlands Tract, since we last spoke, I believe -- maybe the last meeting. I can't remember -- we have a full approval for the amended acreage that the Board approved, so that tract is moving forward. We expect for that National Coastal Wetlands Conservation Grant funding to cover approximately 68 percent of the tract appraised value.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Moving to some of our appraised nominations that have not had further motion yet. The Bon Secour River Wetlands Addition, we have an award in hand for that tract that we believe will -- and, again, that's another National Coastal Wetlands Grant -- we believe will cover approximately 68 percent of that land

value.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

Nominations on the short list with leverage opportunities, we have the Cahaba WMA-Falling Rock Addition. That tract has been offered as a 75/25 percent split with Pittman-Robertson funds, with Wildlife offering to partner with the program. Both Cahaba WMA-Falling Rock and the last one you'll see in your memo, the Sam R. Murphy WMA-Cantrell Mill Creek, those two are 75/25 opportunities that would be matched with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Pittman-Robertson funds. Those two transactions were nominated as ten-year leases. We have been working with Wildlife as well as with our Legal section, and we are going to be able to partner that 75/25 percent based on the cost of that ten-year lease interest without having to go into the time and expense of delineating specific 75/25 percent acreage. I gave some detail about that in your Tab 5 -- excuse me

in your green folder, Tab 5 -- that would be Tab 5i.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

And, Commissioner, we can either go into that additional discussion in detail now or we can do it later, but in a nutshell, we would be able to 75/25 split the lease cost and we would -- Wildlife and Forever Wild would jointly enter a lease with each landowner, and then Wildlife and Forever Wild would enter an MOU, memorandum of understanding, setting out between the two of us management of the tract and how that tract management would operate under that type of arrangement. Wildlife has offered to continue to cover the land management cost on those tracts as they have while that land has been in a voluntary lease So that is an additional benefit program. to Forever Wild that we can expand our public hunting offerings into areas we -that have not been before or either securing an entire area, such as with Sam

R. Murphy, and we can do that without additional on-the-ground land management cost. Wildlife will continue with that. So 5i contains some of that detail.

1.5

2.1

Commissioner, do you want to go ahead and entertain any questions on that transaction?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I think we'll just wait.

MS. MCCURDY: Okay. I wanted to give that overview on those two.

And then the other short list nominations, the Portland Landing SOA-Donald Addition, that is an offer of PR support from Wildlife. As you will see, we have -- or as you may have noticed in your packet memo, I noted I would include a map. We were still working on a split at the time we mailed the packet. So if you will look in your green folder, Tab 5j, you will see the proposed split map for the Portland Landing SOA-Donald Addition. And I will give you a moment to

get there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

All right. If you've got the map in front of you, one correction from the Tab 5a memo, we noted at the time we sent the packet we presumed the approximate 75/25 split for the Donald Addition. After looking on the ground and just continuing to work on a split map that made sense, we came to the conclusion without any natural boundaries or roadways or waterways to really help us where we needed to split, that it made the most sense to make that split using section quarters. And so using that method, we ended up with what was closer to a 70/30 split, so I wanted to note that for the Board. That's a little bit higher than a That's really related to the 25 percent. ability to define acreage and manage that acreage.

Finally, we have the Red HillsFlat Creek Phase IV Addition. As we
discussed earlier, this is an opportunity

through U.S. Fish and Wildlife to use Recovery Land Acquisition, or RLA, funds and Habitat Conservation Plan, HCP, funding. And as we discussed earlier — and I had somewhat backwards when I spoke — I believe Forever Wild under this opportunity would put in 45 percent with Wildlife utilizing the 55 percent of those grant funds. Again, for this tract and the cost of the tract and simply what was available through that program, that's as good as that split would be if you wished to move forward with that.

1.3

So those are the currently pending grant awards. We continue on the Board's behalf to pursue grant opportunities and will continue to keep you updated.

But if there are no more questions on Tab 5a, then I will move to short list discussion. And that would be 4. If you'll give me a minute, I've got to get to my tab also.

MR. JONES: Patti, you indicated there

would be an example motion in the Tab 5 for those WMA tracts, and I did not see that in there. Did I --

MS. MCCURDY: For the ten-year lease tracts?

MR. JONES: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I'm sorry. The MS. MCCURDY: Yes. memo simply states -- we had something occur literally this week. U.S. Fish and Wildlife has informed our Wildlife section that a new Yellow Book appraisal will be needed on that acreage. So as we discussed previously the possibility of the Board adopting existing appraisal work Wildlife had obtained in making a joint motion adopting and then moving forward with the program, we're not going to be able to do that. We're not at that stage. So the proper motion, if the Board would like to proceed to the next step, would be your standard motion for first appraisal on those tracts, and they would need to be separately -- separately made motions like you did for the tract. But thank you for asking that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

If there are no other questions on 5a, we'll head toward Tab 4.

What I'd like to do All right. through Tab 4 -- specifically Tab 4b -- is I'm going to run through the nominations that are currently on the short list. nominations if they're received by the staff after we get the nomination would confirm that there's willing seller After we do that, we proceed with status. The short list reflects the scoring. tracts that have scored in the top three of the northern, middle, or central and southern districts in each of the four categories, and it's possible that a tract hits one of those top three positions across the four categories in more than one of the four categories. The four categories being nature preserve, recreation, additions to or new wildlife management SOA areas, and additions to or

new state park acreage. So Tab 4b reflects the nominations currently on the short list and, therefore, nominations available to this Board for motions for first appraisal if you would like to take the next step. As I run through these, again, I'll be looking down at my paper. Please speak up if you have a question or would like to discuss anything as I move through.

1.5

2.1

We have the Autauga

WMA-County Road 112 in Autauga County; the

Autauga WMA-Hart Addition, Autauga County.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Excuse me, Patti.

MS. MCCURDY: Yes, sir?

MR. SATTERFIELD: Returning to

County Road 112, I notice on the map

with -- also with the discussion there's
an inholding there in that proposal, and
it says -- said that all the landowners

within the inholding have access from

County Road 112. Do we know how many
inholders/landowners there are within that

inholding? MS. MC

1.5

2.1

MS. MCCURDY: Let me turn to our Wildlife section. I do know we confirmed they all have their own road access, but I don't recall the number. One second. Let me check.

MR. DEATON: It's about nine. I don't have the exact number, but that's close to the number, about nine.

MS. MCCURDY: For the court reporter, that's Doug Deaton. And he thinks it's about nine.

MR. DEATON: Yeah.

MS. MCCURDY: And that matches my staff's memory.

MR. SATTERFIELD: And are they permanent residents? Do we know? Can you explain more about those inholdings, who the landowners are, how they use that land?

MR. DEATON: Yes. So the total footprint was one landowner, family landowner, and since they passed, it's

kind of been divided up into different family members. And they have residences on those, like trailers, homes, barns, things like that. So they use it kind of like a residential area, but it's in a rural area.

MR. SATTERFIELD: So there's fairly significant use of that road -- access road and that inholding property by those landowners?

MR. DEATON: Yes, yes. They -- daily use, yes.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Since they're in sort of the center of that piece of proposed property, what unique issues will Forever Wild and DCNR have to deal with in managing that property to keep from having a conflict between the public's use of the Forever Wild land and all those landowners? Because we've had those issues come up before where we had problems with people complaining folks were shooting toward their houses, you

know, all kind of other issues that we -that DCNR had to deal with, and I'm trying
to foresee what might happen with that
inholding process if we go forward with
that purchase. Have you considered any of
that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

MR. DEATON: So looking at the totality of the WMA that's currently there, there's other areas within the WMA that are very similar to this situation. And so it's off of a main county road. haven't had issues with the other landowners in the Autauga County area, but it's something to consider. You know, we can also -- you know, we can ask them those questions. But I don't anticipate any issues because we haven't had issues in other areas within the WMA. And they have access off of the main county road, and I would imagine -- I can't speak for certain -- but I imagine that the landowner that's nominated this property to us has a hunting lease on it.

believe it's a timber company. So they 1 2 may already be used to that type of activity next to them. 3 MR. SATTERFIELD: Do we know what the 4 5 size of that acreage is, that inholding 6 acreage? 7 Eighty acres. MR. DEATON: And if 8 there is any issue, we're willing to consider a buffer zone around that 9 property if there needs to be, like bow 10 hunting only, if we need to accommodate 11 some type of conflict. 12 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. 13 MR. DEATON: Thank you. 14 MS. MCCURDY: And I would say, you 15 know, it is certainly always a factor, but 16 17 it's just part of what we manage, you know, across the state. So sometimes it's 18 not always simple. 19 20 Any other questions on that one, 21 or any follow-up, Mr. Satterfield?

All right. So we have the Autauga

WMA-Hart Addition also in Autauga County;

22

we have the Briar Lake in Baldwin County; Butler Foster Praytor Mountains property in Jefferson County. And I want to let the Board know the nomination is still as you see reflected on the map. We are going to approach the landowner about the possibility of reducing the nomination just to the largest parcel that you see, but that has not happened yet so I'll keep the Board updated. But that would simplify that nomination both as to geography, but also on the ground management. Cahaba WMA-Falling Rock Addition, Bibb and Shelby Counties; Chickasaw Creek tract, Mobile County; D'Olive Bay-North Addition, Baldwin County; Natural Bridge Park, Winston County. And I would like to inform the Board that Ms. Nix, she was Her GPS took her off course so she late. was not able to speak to y'all today, but if any questions come up, she's available if you have any. Oak Mountain State

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Park-Odess Addition, Shelby County; Persimmon Knob, Morgan County; Portland Landing SOA-Donald Addition, and that's in Dallas County; Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase IV, Monroe County; Saginaw Swamp, Shelby County; Sam R. Murphy WMA-Cantrell Mill Creek, Lamar and Marion Counties. Ι will take this opportunity to note to the Board also the map you see in 4b in your packet had some spots where the color and hatching did not really cover. It looks like just some empty hatching. We have a cleaned up map that is in your green folder, Tab 51, that reflects -- we continued -- my staff and Wildlife staff continue to work to resolve whether mapping errors or on-the-ground issues resulted in the mapping. Anyway, it's cleaned up, and it available to you Tab 51. We have Sipsey River Swamp-Brownville Addition, Tuscaloosa and Fayette Counties. I will note to the Board on this nomination, we have talked

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

in the past about sort of the conflict between the desire to make something work because of the popularity of hunting in this area and the need for it, but the broken-up parcels and the lack of access, we do have access to the bottom most parcel and so we're hoping that the landowner will agree to a revision to this nomination, eliminating the other parcels. We will update the Board, but we do have adequate access to that one parcel. Turkey Creek Nature Preserve-Parker Addition, Jefferson County; Weeks Bay Reserve-Marney Addition, Baldwin County. And so those are the tracts that are currently on the short list and, again, would be the tracts available for a motion for first appraisal.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

So that's all I have,
Commissioner, before we would normally
move into general discussion unless you
have something.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright?

Patti, I didn't hear you MR. WRIGHT: 1 2 mention Tab 5c. 3 MS. MCCURDY: Oh, we will be going to miscellaneous -- we'll do that in the 4 miscellaneous reports after general 5 6 discussion. 7 MR. WRIGHT: Gotcha. 8 MS. MCCURDY: It won't be long. Any other questions before we move 9 into general discussion? 10 MR. HOLLOWAY: Patti? 11 MS. MCCURDY: Oh, I'm sorry. 12 Mr. Holloway? 13 MR. HOLLOWAY: Is the representative 14 of Natural Bridge here now? 15 MS. MCCURDY: She is. 16 Can she give us an 17 MR. HOLLOWAY: update, Commissioner, on how things are 18 progressing? 19 If you want to in 20 MS. MCCURDY: 21 general discussion. 22 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Sure. If she 23 was trying to come here to make a public

comment and didn't make it because of her GPS, I don't mind making an exception for her to have the three minutes to make the comments that she would have made during public comments.

1.5

Does that suit the Board?

MS. MCCURDY: And I think -- looking at Reba, I think she just wanted to be available if there were Board questions; is that accurate?

MR. HOLLOWAY: Well, the question we had when she was here before was basically -- the question we had was there's supposed to be some partnerships or things of that nature I think that were supposed to be being arranged for that particular project. So my question is how is that communication with the partners -- local partners, how is that coming along?

MS. MCCURDY: Come on up to the microphone. Ms. Hix and I have talked and I don't want to misstate anything, so let me have her --

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So for the court reporter, the way I heard the question was that there had been some discussion about resources in the community.

MR. HOLLOWAY: Right.

1.5

2.1

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: And
Mr. Holloway would like an update on how
those discussions have been going since
our last meeting; is that accurate?

MR. HOLLOWAY: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right.
Thank you.

MS. HIX: I have spoke with the municipal areas. The Town of Natural Bridge itself, they just don't have the resources to do anything. The county's willing to do anything within their district to do as far as policing and that kind of thing. And I am just wondering if there's something that I'm missing, like what other kind of input that you would want from a surrounding county or the town

as far as a partnership.

1.5

MR. HOLLOWAY: Okay. For me, you've got a broad -- you're saying that they're willing to do anything. We really need to be specific, don't you think, Board members?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So he says that it's a very broad response from them, and Mr. Holloway was asking for something more specific.

MS. HIX: Well -- okay. As I spoke last time, there was -- the county commissioners had offered their support for if there was the need to tear any buildings down -- because that was mentioned at one -- the county would help in that; and then the county, as far as policing, they have offered twice-a-day protection as far -- and then at night also. And I did not know if I was missing something as far as what you were talking about from the Town of Lynn as far as partnership.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

DR. POWERS: I quess we were talking last time about we would like to see a management plan. What would y'all propose as the management plan? Because this is not a State Parks priority, so they wouldn't be -- we wouldn't expect them to take it over in any way, so how do we know if we acquired this property we're not creating more of a problem because we're now taken out of any kind of day-to-day What's the day-to-day supervision? supervision plan? What's the management That at least for me -- and I think this is his question as well -- for us to move forward, we would want to see something like that and that would require, yeah, just a management plan that relies on their partners and -- so we have some assurance that if we acquire this property that somebody is going to manage it.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Howell?

DR. HOWELL: Have you thought about 1 dividing up the property into a commercial 2 3 section and a preserve section and having like a county RV park in the commercial 4 section? 5 6 MS. HIX: We have done some commercial, like some private people. 7 8 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So Dr. Howell's question was have they thought of breaking 9 that up into a commercial section and 10 preserve section and having the county or 11 somebody have a campground or an RV park 12 in the commercial section. Is that --1.3 DR. HOWELL: Yeah, because then you 14 could have like a campground or someone 1.5 who would be responsible for, you know, 16 kind of keeping an eye on things, and it 17 might be a way for that to be self-18 sustaining. 19 Exactly. And that's what 20 2.1 we've talked about. Introduce yourself. 22

MR. REDDOCK: I'm Tim Reddock with

Southeast Commercial. We have just recently had that discussion of subdividing the commercial piece for hospitality use. What that hospitality use is, we don't know yet. We just started marketing in that regard. So the question I would have with management — this is sort of new to me — I'd like to know who we need to talk to about mentioning the Wildlife organization or talking about the same —

1.5

MS. MCCURDY: I think there is the -what I was talking about related to
federal funding, that particular funding
that we were discussing relates really to
hunting-based -- primarily hunting-based
opportunities. Some of the other grants
we discussed were available due to the
wetlands on the tract and are a different
grant program. I think by management -- I
don't want to speak for the Board -- you
know, you have the cost of initial
acquisition -- that's one cost -- and then

you have the more hard-to-plan figure and through determining cost of management, and so looking at the things that naturally go into managing a tract that might be contributed or performed, you know, by others. And so I think that's -- I'm not particularly aware of a grant opportunity that comes to mind at this moment, but there could be that I don't know about.

MR. REDDOCK: Okay. So I guess the question is is there — if we find that company to do a commercial piece, there are cross—easements that can be done or an agreement where they can be used as a park for whoever that hospitality user or park user is.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: It's more complicated with Forever Wild property to do that, but I think maybe a discussion with our staff to talk through some of those --

MR. REDDOCK: We can certainly do

that.

1.3

1.5

2.1

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: -- things would probably be better served than at the Board meeting here.

MS. MCCURDY: Yeah. And it is an -involves, you know, the split on how that
would work. So, yeah, I think let's talk
a little bit more. I think it's hard to
talk without knowing what something might
look like as far as interests that you
would have commercially and what they may
want to cover, but, you know, I think -Reba and I talked and we just continue to
talk as to what you may uncover.

MR. REDDOCK: We'll have something ready for the next meeting.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers?

DR. POWERS: So I guess at the last meeting we brought up, you know, the Turkey Creek as an example of something you might want to look at how they manage Forever Wild lands. That's an example.

But I guess some of us when we're talking

about this -- I mean, the onus is really on you and -- to bring us a plan, and that's working with staff. And, you know, usually we would have somebody that comes with partners and all of those things already arranged. I guess that's what we -- I shouldn't say we -- that's what I would need to feel comfortable.

MR. REDDOCK: We're newbies to this field, so we're learning. We'll be ready.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So, you know, if there's an opportunity for y'all to explore some commercial opportunity there, that may fit better with whatever long-term plans from the landowner community to have that operate as a park or an attraction as opposed to Forever Wild, but — to be able to weight those two things together the next meeting I think would be helpful.

MR. REDDOCK: Perfect. Thank you.

MS. MCCURDY: Thank you.

So we'll move into general

1.5

2.1

discussion now. This is the part where we 1 2 generally take motions for either first or 3 second appraisals and anything else the Board would like to discuss before we go 4 into miscellaneous reports. 5 6 So are there any general discussions? Any motions? 7 8 DR. VALENTINE: Commissioner, I would like --9 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Valentine? 10 MS. MCCURDY: And speak up. 11 I gave you the bad microphone. 12 DR. VALENTINE: Yeah, this is just to 1.3 keep Bill from talking. 14 I'd like to move for a second 1.5 appraisal and purchase on the Bon Secour 16 River Wetlands Addition. 17 DR. MCCLINTOCK: And I'll second that 18 nomination. 19 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 20 All right. 21 Seconded by Dr. McClintock. Motion made by Dr. Valentine; seconded by 22

Dr. McClintock on the Bon Secour for a

second appraisal and then move to purchase 1 the Bon Secour River Wetlands, 81 acres. 2 Any discussion on that? 3 All those in favor say aye. 4 5 Any opposed? 6 None opposed. The motion carries. Dr. Saloom? 7 Yes, I would like to move 8 DR. SALOOM: for a first appraisal on the Red Hills-9 Flat Creek Phase IV parcel. 10 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. 11 a first appraisal. Is there a second? 12 MR. OATES: Second. 13 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by 14 Mr. Oates. So the motion is for a first 1.5 appraisal on the Red Hills Phase IV. Any 16 discussion on that? 17 Mr. Satterfield? 18 19 MR. SATTERFIELD: Are we still waiting on grant approval on that? Is the grant 20 21 application for sharing the cost? Is that the one --22 MS. MCCURDY: And I will, you know, 23

also refer back to Wildlife, but that is one that we believe word on issue of the award letter is imminent that Steve

Northcutt spoke on earlier, and so that one would be -- let me double check my percentage -- but we do not have the -
Wildlife does not have -- I should say we -- the award letter in hand, but it is expected to be in an amount that would cover -- Forever Wild would purchase

45 percent and Wildlife would use the grant funds to cover the remaining

55 percent of the acquisition.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: You have something, Mr. Sykes?

MR. SYKES: I've got something that I forgot to bring up the last time,
Mr. Satterfield. This was a direct call to us to apply for these funds, so I'm -- this wasn't just something that Doug stumbled upon in federal registry. They called us and said, we just got money, you need to apply for this.

MR. SATTERFIELD: And this is the same 1 one you spoke to earlier? 2 3 MR. SYKES: Yes, sir. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Would it make 4 the Board more comfortable if we were --5 6 if Dr. Saloom was to revise the motion for a first appraisal once we receive notice 7 8 of the grant award? MR. SATTERFIELD: Yes. 9 DR. SALOOM: Well, yeah, I'd go that 10 way. Knowing Fish and Wildlife and Leo 11 Miranda like I do, I'd probably state 12 close to my life on that, that that's 13 going to happen. 14 I'll work at CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 1.5 the pleasure of the Board. We can move 16 forward with the motion we have on the 17 table, which is a first appraisal for Red 18 Hills Phase IV. 19 20 Do we have any discussion? 21 All those in favor say aye. Any opposed? 22

None opposed. The motion carries.

Dr. Powers?

1.5

2.1

DR. POWERS: So this has to do with the Cahaba and I guess the Red Hills-Flat Creek as well. The lease -- the discussion that we -- we asked Chuck for a few things at the last meeting, but the first was the idea that could this be more than a ten-year lease. My comfort level would just model -- obviously increase the longer and longer we can hold these properties. That's my first question.

MS. MCCURDY: And I was informed that the landowners were not willing to go beyond ten years on either -- this was my understanding -- either Falling Rock or the Murphy. They stated ten years.

DR. POWERS: Okay. The second question is, Patti, the Stewardship Fund, how would that be handled. I know you said that Wildlife would take care of the management and everything, but how does it -- how much funds do we have set apart?

MS. MCCURDY: We are still acquiring

some interest in land, so we will have to contribute to the Stewardship account. And since we are not -- you know, we really haven't even talked about that because we're not dividing the acreage but dividing the payment amount. So Legal on the fly thinks that it would be -- the 15 percent that we apply would be toward our 25 percent of the acquisition cost for the lease because this is the value of what we're acquiring. Now, we are having rights to the entire tract, so -- I mean, we'll double check that, but at this stage, it really is a -- you can only go as far as first appraisal, you know, at this point.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

DR. POWERS: And finally, the time period for the decision, when did you need us to --

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I'll defer to Wildlife on --

MS. MCCURDY: Yeah, because there's a landowner consideration, I quess, and then

if there's any risk of losing Pittman-Robertson -- your ability within your range of Pittman-Robertson, but I'll have to ask you both those questions.

MR. SYKES: As far as us losing money, we're okay. These leases are yearly leases, so the sooner the better. If we rock on into the summer, it's a possibility that they will lease it to someone else.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right.

Dr. McClintock?

DR. MCCLINTOCK: I would like to propose that we consider first a first appraisal for Turkey Creek Nature

Park-Parker Addition in Jefferson County.

MR. WRIGHT: I will second.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. McClintock made the motion; seconded by Mr. Wright for a first appraisal on the Turkey Creek-Parker Addition. Any discussion on that? Comments?

All those in favor say aye.

1.5

2.1

Any opposed? 1 None opposed. The motion carries. 2 Mr. Jones? 3 MR. JONES: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. I'd 4 like to move for first appraisal on the 5 6 Sam R. Murphy WMA and the Cahaba 7 WMA-Falling Rock Addition as they're 8 both -- I know those are two separate nominations. Do I need to separate it 9 out? 10 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Let's do this 11 as two separate motions. 12 MR. JONES: All right. 1.3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The one will be 14 on the Sam R. Murphy WMA lease. You move 15 forward for a first appraisal on that made 16 by Mr. Jones. Is there a second? 17 MR. HOLLOWAY: Second. 18 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by 19 Mr. Holloway. 20 2.1 All right. Discussion? 22 MR. SATTERFIELD: We're ready for discussion, Mr. Chairman? 23

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, there are three of us here on the Board who have the privilege of having been around in the beginning of Forever Wild and now full circle having had the opportunity to serve on the Board. Myself, Rick Pate, and Dr. Valentine, I believe, was also at the table when Forever Wild was being discussed and formulated, working with Commissioner Martin. And I have to tell you hearkening back to those time periods when we were working with the broad-base community, the conservation community, the environmental community, the hunting and fishing resource people, the concept of Forever Wild was and still is, in my view, a land acquisition program, so I'm not enamored of this concept of now embarking on a process of leasing land.

And we've already seen how this can cascade. Last meeting we had this proposal on the Cahaba WMA for a

5,000-acre lease parcel, and now this time we have a second one for a 15,000-acre lease parcel. And my concern is this will continue to happen, and we will be involved more and more spending money on leases rather than acquisition of land.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

To me, a lease is not in the concept of Forever Wild because we won't own it forever, and our resources from the oil and gas royalties won't last forever. And as we know, we have to reup that process every 25 years also with another constitutional amendment. So I'm not enamored of this process. I'm not saying I'm going to inalterably oppose it, but I need to be convinced that this is something we really, really have to do for the use of -- proper use of Forever Wild funding as well as to benefit the public and citizens of Alabama, and I'm not there yet. Especially because today is the first time we've seen -- we've been talking in terms of conceptually about

this lease proposal. Today for the first time here in the meeting, we've seen this begin to be fleshed out with some words on pieces of paper, and as we know, the devil's in the details. And this doesn't -- first of all, I haven't had time to really look at this proposal that's here in this lease concept to understand it and/or ask further questions about it, and I think it's premature for us to embark on this yet, particularly in light of the fact that Yellow Book appraisals -- new Yellow Book appraisals will be required also. So I don't think -- I'm not comfortable with moving ahead today on these two proposals without having the opportunity to learn a lot more about it, ask a lot more questions, look at more details. And I would respectfully request that we hold off on moving forward on this until we have more time to contemplate the impact of these proposals. Thank you, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers?

DR. POWERS: So I have similar reservations and thoughts as I expressed in some of the questions, but I understand the problem that Chuck's division is facing. They're losing land that's there. And I think I understand the public's perception. I worry about the opposite if the public finds out we could have done something to help on this problem and then didn't. You know, but for me to move the discussion forward, I would need to know how much it costs. I mean -- and it doesn't seem -- from what I've been able to pick up, it's not going to be a major cost, but I don't know that. So I agree with that we need more discussion, but I think the time for that discussion is between the first and second appraisal because I would like to know what it's really going to cost.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Anybody?

Mr. Oates?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

MR. OATES: I would just say I'm kind of with Bill on this. It's -- I'm not adamantly opposed to it, but it does question. But it's kind of not what I thought Forever Wild was about. So I agree maybe some more -- we need to have a little more discussion, and maybe the time between the first and second appraisal is the time to be doing that.

1.5

2.1

MS. MCCURDY: I will mention

Wildlife -- Chuck has nodded to me that
they were willing to proceed on with the
Yellow Book appraisal work, therefore,
paying for that. So that -- I will throw
that out.

MR. JONES: So would that mean that we don't need that appraisal?

MS. MCCURDY: Well, you have an option. You could continue with a motion for a first appraisal or as we've done on some other nominations and initially thought we might be able to do on these, we could then adopt the appraisal that's

performed by Wildlife. We'll run it through. They use our contract appraisers and we'll have to review it and give it the normal staff review on our side, which we do anyway, and you can then potentially adopt and make a motion to adopt the appraisal and move forward to purchase at one time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I will -- I have one question, but -- I'll ask the question and I'll be If there would be comfort on the Board's level -- I mean, we -- part of knowing what final lease terms might look like involves -- you know, I can't project everything onto what the landowners, you know, would agree to, so I would ask that the Board be comfortable for us to continue those discussions with them knowing that we have not moved forward but that there's been inquiries and potential interest, I guess, and, therefore, talk a little further with the landowners on what might be acceptable terms to them that I

could give as more concrete.

1.3

1.5

2.1

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Jones?

MR. JONES: I also agree with

Mr. Satterfield and y'all's questions
about having the Forever Wild -- that's
really not our purpose, but our purpose
also is to serve the state of Alabama and
serve its citizens and to lose 15,000
acres to people -- to our citizenry has a
dramatic effect. So I likewise think that
we need to know the cost so that we know
what we're discussing and whether we want
to move forward or not.

So with that and with the willingness of the Department to pick up that appraisal, I would like to rescind my motion, then, if the seconder is okay with that, until we get a further appraisal from Yellow Book, and then we can have discussion about what it's going to cost.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I see

Mr. Holloway nodding in agreement to
rescind that nomination.

MR. HOLLOWAY: Yes. 1 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Valentine? 2 3 DR. VALENTINE: Just for the Record, a correction. I appreciate Bill giving me 4 credit, but it was Dr. George Crozier who 5 was involved in the early days. 6 7 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: And one 8 question that I have, you would -- asking Chuck Sykes, you would anticipate that we 9 would have that appraisal by the May 10 meeting? 11 MR. SYKES: Hopefully, but, yes, we 12 should. 13 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: You'll strive 14 for that? 1.5 MR. SYKES: Yes. 16 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: If the time 17 frame is needing to do something before 18 summer, the next meeting after that would 19 be August. Hopefully, we can have that 20 for discussion. 21 MR. SYKES: Yes. 22 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 23 Thank you.

DR. MCCLINTOCK: Could I ask a quick question? Was there anything about the original language in the charter of Forever Wild that speaks specifically to this issue, or is it just a protection of lands? It's not the purchase? It's not the leasing? What is the language?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

MS. MCCURDY: The amendment itself provides flexibility for the Board to lease acreage if they so choose, so it's not a violation of the establishing law. There was later discussion by the Board, a previous Board, about, you know, the desire to -- basically to say it the right way to not make leasing the priority. It did not rule out, but there was Board discussion in later years about leasing versus fee simple acquisition. It was not in this context of WMA with -- withdrawal of voluntary acreage, it's not in this context, but it was discussion involving long-term leases and not wanting those to equate with fee simple acquisitions. This

is short term and so it may be a little 1 different, but Mr. Satterfield is correct, 2 the leasing concept was discussed 3 thoroughly by a later Board. 4 DR. MCCLINTOCK: 5 Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any others? Mr. Holloway? 8 MR. HOLLOWAY: I'd like to officially withdraw my second based on the Board 9 discussion of the topic. 10 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Holloway 11 officially withdrew his second of the 12 motion. 13 Thank you, sir. 14 Any others? Dr. Saloom? 1.5 DR. SALOOM: Is this appropriate? 16 I'm 17 assuming that we need a motion to close out Parris Hill Trust -- the Red 18 Hills-Parris Trust Addition? 19 MS. MCCURDY: Yes sir. 20 I make that motion. 2.1 SALOOM: MS. MCCURDY: For staff -- your motion 22 23 would be for staff to proceed with closing of the Red Hills-Parris Trust Addition?

DR. SALOOM: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Is there a second on that?

DR. POWERS: Second.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Dr. Powers.

So this is a little bit of a different motion. As you recall, this is one that y'all have previously -- the Board had previously motioned for a second appraisal and proceed to purchase. was some timber harvested. There was a difference between what you -- the amount that we appraised that at when you approved that before. We have that amended amount. And so this is a motion for the staff to go ahead and continue towards the closing of that at the new appraised value amount after the timber has been removed for some portion of. just want to make sure the motion is clear to everyone. Is there any -- so that was

the motion by Dr. Saloom and seconded by Dr. Powers. Does that capture your motion?

DR. SALOOM: Perfect.

1.5

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Saloom says yes. Is there any discussion on that?

Any other questions? I want to make sure that's clear.

All right. All those in favor say aye.

Any opposed?

DR. MCCLINTOCK:

None opposed. The motion carries.

I'd like to ask for

an update on the Oak

Mountain-Odess Addition. I knew last I

heard about that there was some interest

from the State Parks system in that

property and acquiring it. Patti, do you

have any updates on that?

MS. MCCURDY: I will look to Greg and allow him to come up, but I believe that those discussions did not progress toward acquisition.

MR. LEIN: We did pursue an engagement with the landowner and the idea of acquiring the property. We were not able to come to an agreement at this time on being able to secure the property, but, you know, we remain open to options that may be considered in the future.

DR. MCCLINTOCK: Thank you, Greg.

MR. LEIN: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. Any other discussion? Any questions?

All right. We'll move to the next item, miscellaneous reports in Tab 5.

MS. MCCURDY: If everybody -- we've already covered some of them, but if you'll just have Tab 5 from your packet in your green folder, we'll move through those.

This is the point in the meeting -- the first thing that we have done in the past is begin with talking with the Board and identifying any nominations that have passed with a number

of meetings where we -- with no Board action, or NBA, and so we always alert the Board to anything that's going to roll off. And at this meeting, there's nothing that would roll off under our usual 5b, Tab 5b discussion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Now, what I do want to move into, though, is -- you'll see in Tab 5c ties to that and relates back to discussion at our last meeting about similarly adopting some sort of set procedure as to how staff handles tracts that are renominated, tracts that have been on the appraised list, the short list, have rolled off due to no Board action but are again nominated for consideration. And so the Board asked staff to kind of think about how to maybe eliminate those tracts coming back on and sort of clogging up the short list when in recent times the Board had not made -- had not had any interest.

So if you'll see in Tab C what I and staff have proposed would be that --

and I will also note up front the different time periods noted and discussed by the Board, so I wasn't sure as to the time period but I have used two years for purposes of proposing this procedure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

So if we have a nomination that would roll off and has rolled off due to no Board action and we have another nomination during a two-year period, which Mr. Satterfield named the moratorium period, prior to us beginning to score and process that renominated or repeat nomination, we would alert the Board as part of what we do -- just do for Tab B, it would just be a new part of Tab B, where I alert you, hey, we've had this tract renominated, it had come up. would then provide the Board some information as to whether circumstances have changed as to the nomination, it's substantially similar but not exactly, or there has been a change in the Department programming or grant opportunity -- I

don't know -- something that makes it different or presenting a different opportunity for the Board, we would identify that in that Tab 5b discussion. And then basically, though, we will not proceed with processing unless the Board -- you know, as the Board member asked us to, kind of now like you can do in Tab 5b when something would roll off but you are still interested in that nomination staying, for some reason a Board member asked us to keep it on, so similar process.

So let me think. That's about it.

But is that suitable to the Board? You

want to run through it again how it would

work? Are there any questions or changes?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP:

Mr. Satterfield?

1.5

2.1

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, since
I helped initiate this discussion and we
have a couple of suggested motions here in
front of us, I would -- in order to get

this discussion going again, I would move that the Board adopt a new short list eligibility process as provided in the memo dated February 3, 2022. And in addition in light of this process being adopted, I move that staff remove the Briar Lake nomination from the short list consideration. That's the motion that was provided here in the memo.

MR. WRIGHT: Second.

MR. SATTERFIELD: That's the motion I would make.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So a motion by Mr. Satterfield; seconded by Mr. Wright.

Any other clarifying questions, or that makes sense to everybody? Everybody good with that procedure?

All right. Let's vote, then. All those in favor of that motion say aye.

Any opposed?

None opposed. Motion carries. Thank you.

MS. MCCURDY: And, again, we've

covered some of these memos, so I'll be moving forward to Tab 5e.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

I wanted to alert the Board just to a request for a communications tower that we received from Reynolds Technical Associates. It would relate to the Gothard-AWF Yates Lake WMA. We really do not have -- I had anticipated that we would have some additional information or that we might have a speaker present today, but we did not receive anything additional. So I would tell the Board I've just alerted you to the request, but I don't have enough detail to really provide anything additional. So since that speaker did not come, I don't have anything additional. We will keep you updated on that.

Similarly, since I've referenced
Yates Lake WMA, just FYI to the Board, I
remain in discussion and contact with
CRATA regarding their tower request. They
are currently busy on some other

activities and still intend to come back to the Board but have similarly asked just to hold off on that discussion so -- but I told them I would pass that along.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

Tab 5g. Tab 5g contains a management -- a draft management plan. As the Board knows, we are required after an acquisition to adopt an initial management plan for a tract within a year of acquisition. We try to come to the Board a meeting ahead of when that year would expire in case there are questions or we don't have a quorum, but -- so it's very early in tract acquisition. nonetheless, we only have one for this meeting and that's for the Thigpen Hill Forever Wild Tract Phase II Addition that that be added to the existing Thigpen Hill Management Plan. You may recall we had two tracts that moved at different times, and so it made sense to combine the second nomination into the existing tract management plan. But a draft management

plan is attached. If the Board has any 1 questions, I or the staff will address 2 them. If the Board is okay with that 3 draft management plan, then there's a 4 motion provided in your memo. 5 6 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes, I would like the 7 Board -- make a motion the Board approves 8 the State Lands Division proceeding with 9 inclusion of the Thigpen Hill Forever Wild 10 Tract Phase II into an existing management 11 plan as set forth in the memorandum dated 12

DR. SALOOM: Second.

February 3, 2022.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Dr. Saloom.

Any questions? Discussion?
All those in favor say aye.
Any opposed?

None opposed. The motion carries.

MS. MCCURDY: The next item is really no motion required, but I wanted to provide the Board with a copy of the

annual report that Amendment 543 requires us to provide the governor, lieutenant governor, and speaker each year that tracks Forever Wild's activities, so what actions the Board has taken, what we've got going on on our tracts. So you will find in Tab 5h that report. It's required to be delivered on or before February 1st, so that was delivered after the mailing of your packet.

1.5

2.1

It is a fairly standard report year to year but does track who is to serve on our Board during the period, the tracts we've acquired, and I would -- and some financial information as well as information on currently active nominations that are -- you know, have been made to the program.

So happy to answer any questions on that, but I just want to thank my staff for their hard work in there. Between my staff and State Parks and Wildlife, there's a lot of work that goes on behind

the scenes and on the ground that 1 2 3 4 5 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 6 7 8 9 10 11 else. 12 13 MS. MCCURDY: 14 the public. 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

obviously the Board doesn't see just me standing up here. So I want to thank my staff and the other division staff. Everybody really helps us with what we do.

I know you just got that today, so you can have that for your light reading at home. If you have questions, as always, feel free to call me or Ms. McCurdy anytime you have a question about something in that report or anything

And that report's posted on our website, so it is available also to

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right.

Just checking through. MS. MCCURDY: Y'all hang on. I'm trying to make sure I've gotten everything we need to.

I think that's it, Commissioner.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I've got everything checked off on mine.

MS. MCCURDY: Anybody that knows

otherwise, please speak up now.

2.1

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So I need approval of the minutes from the November the 4th Forever Wild Board Meeting at Wallace Community College in Greenville.

Is there a motion for that?

DR. SALOOM: So moved.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Saloom.

MR. WRIGHT: Second.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Mr. Wright.

Any changes, corrections, additions, deletions?

Seeing none, all in those in favor say aye.

Any opposed?

None opposed. The motion carries.

I do have two quick items I do want to bring to the Board's attention. I think I sent you an email giving you some advance notice of save the date, but it appears that on April the 15th around ten a.m. is how we have it set now for the

governor to join us on the Oak Mountain State Park-Belcher Tract for a public event celebrating that Forever Wild That has gotten quite a bit of purchase. public support and press around the state, and the governor was very complimentary of the work of the Board and wanted to do something to highlight that tract and highlight really the work of the Board. And we're going to do that -- plan to do that on April the 15th at ten a.m., and we'll work with State Parks and the Nature Conservancy and the landowner to set that I wanted you to get -- make sure all up. you had that on your calendar because it would be great if all of you could attend. I would appreciate that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And then also just kind of a heads-up, as we have -- as many of you've seen the Innovation Corporation or Commission that was set up to look at things that could be very positive for Alabama in attracting high-tech jobs and

workers to our state to bring our economy forward. One of the things that was noticed by that group and has really been publicized is the importance of outdoor recreation for us to grow our economy here. And what we do in the Forever Wild program along with all the other mission of the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources I think is critical to meet some of the goals of that group.

1.5

2.1

and her staff are going to be doing an assessment of our Forever Wild properties where we have trails, where we have hiking, biking, horseback riding, canoe, kayak trails, looking at those trail head facilities, what amenities we have there, what we — and, you know, a recommendation to bring back to the Board on some opportunities for us to improve the public access for outdoor recreation on the Forever Wild tracts, and so we plan to have that to present to the Board in May.

So I just wanted to kind of give you a heads-up on that. Be looking for that. We want to make sure that the Forever Wild program and the Board that we're doing our part to provide quality public access to these sites and help to not only grow the economy of Alabama, but really improve the quality of life and the opportunity for people to get out and enjoy the beautiful outdoors in the state. So I'm very glad the Innovation Commission and now the Innovation Corporation to recognize I think what everybody that serves on this Board already knows is that we have a particularly beautiful state with trees and water and great places, all the way from the mountains in Tennessee to the beaches of the Gulf Coast; and it's a beautiful place, and we want people to be able to enjoy these public lands that you-all work so hard to protect and acquire.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

So with that, I will take a motion

to adjourn until our next meeting May the 5th in Bay Minette. That's where our next meeting will take place. Is there a motion to adjourn? I think everybody so moved or seconded. With that, we'll be adjourned. Thank you. (Proceedings concluded at 12:02 p.m.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF ALABAMA

AUTAUGA COUNTY

I, Stacey L. Johnson, Certified Court
Reporter and Commissioner for the State of
Alabama at Large, hereby certify that on
February 3, 2022, I reported the
proceedings in the matter of the foregoing
cause, and that pages 3 through 103
contain a true and accurate transcription
of the aforementioned proceedings.

I further certify that I am neither of kin nor of counsel to any of the parties to said cause, nor in any manner interested in the results thereof.

This the 17th day of April, 2022.

1.5

STACEY L. JOHNSON, CCR Commissioner for the State of Alabama at Large CCR 386, Expires 9/30/2022 COMMISSION EXPIRES: 6/22/2023